 Anterolateral Ligament of the Knee: An Evaluation of Intact and ACL Deficient Knees from the XXXXXXX. Abstract Abstract Purpose: To characterize the normal anterolateral ligament (ALL) and the spectrum of ALL injury in anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) deficient knees on early and delayed three dimensional magnetic resonance imaging (3D-MRI). The aim of this study was to determine the visualisation rate of the ALL in uninjured and ACL deficient knees when using 3D-MRI. In addition, it was sought to characterize the spectrum of ALL injury in acute and chronically ACL deficient knees, and also to determine the inter and intra-observer reliability of a 3D- MRI classification of ALL injury. Methods: 100 knees underwent 3D-MRI (60 with ACL rupture and 40 non-injured knees). The ALL was evaluated based on previous studies regarding this structure and on known structural parameters. Evaluation was performed by two blinded orthopaedic surgeons. The ALL was classified as Type A: continuous, clearly defined low-signal band, Type B: with warping, thinning, or iso-signal changes, Type C: without clear continuity. Comparison between acute (<1 month) and chronically ACL injured knees was evaluated as well as intra and inter-observer reliability. 	1	Three-dimensional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the
 Deficient Knees from the XXXXXXX. Abstract Purpose: To characterize the normal anterolateral ligament (ALL) and the spectrum of ALL injury in anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) deficient knees on early and delayed three dimensional magnetic resonance imaging (3D MRI). The aim of this study was to determine the visualisation rate of the ALL in uninjured and ACL deficient knees when using 3D-MRI. In addition, it was sought to characterize the spectrum of ALL injury in acute and chronically ACL deficient knees, and also to determine the inter and intra-observer reliability of a 3D- MRI classification of ALL injury. Methods: 100 knees underwent 3D-MRI (60 with ACL rupture and 40 non-injured knees). The ALL was evaluated based on previous studies regarding this structure and on known structural parameters. Evaluation was performed by two blinded orthopaedic surgeons. The ALL was classified as Type A: continuous, clearly defined low-signal band, Type B: with warping, thinning, or iso-signal changes, Type C: without clear continuity. Comparison between acute (<1 month) and chronically ACL injured knees was evaluated as well as intra and inter-observer reliability. Results: Complete visualisation of the full path of the ALL was achieved in all non-injured 	2	Anterolateral Ligament of the Knee: An Evaluation of Intact and ACL
4 5 Abstract 6 7 Purpose: To characterize the normal anterolateral ligament (ALL) and the spectrum of ALL 8 injury in anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) deficient knees on early and delayed three 9 dimensional magnetic resonance imaging (3D MRI). The aim of this study was to determine 10 the visualisation rate of the ALL in uninjured and ACL deficient knees when using 3D-MRI. 11 In addition, it was sought to characterize the spectrum of ALL injury in acute and chronically 12 ACL deficient knees, and also to determine the inter and intra-observer reliability of a 3D- 13 MRI classification of ALL injury. 14 Methods: 100 knees underwent 3D-MRI (60 with ACL rupture and 40 non-injured knees). 15 The ALL was evaluated based on previous studies regarding this structure and on known 16 structural parameters. Evaluation was performed by two blinded orthopaedic surgeons. The 18 ALL was classified as Type A: continuous, clearly defined low-signal band, Type B: with 19 warping, thinning, or iso-signal changes, Type C: without clear continuity. Comparison 10 between acute (<1 month) and chronically ACL injured knees was evaluated as well as intra 10 between acute (<1 month) and chronically ACL injured knees was	3	Deficient Knees from the XXXXXXX.
5 Abstract 6	4	
6 7 Purpose: To characterize the normal anterolateral ligament (ALL) and the spectrum of ALL injury in anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) deficient knees on early and delayed three 9 dimensional magnetic resonance imaging (3D MRI). The aim of this study was to determine 10 the visualisation rate of the ALL in uninjured and ACL deficient knees when using 3D-MRI. 11 In addition, it was sought to characterize the spectrum of ALL injury in acute and chronically 12 ACL deficient knees, and also to determine the inter and intra-observer reliability of a 3D- 13 MRI classification of ALL injury. 14 Methods: 100 knees underwent 3D-MRI (60 with ACL rupture and 40 non-injured knees). 15 The ALL was evaluated based on previous studies regarding this structure and on known 17 structural parameters. Evaluation was performed-by two blinded orthopaedic surgeons. The 18 ALL was classified as Type A: continuous, clearly defined low-signal band, Type B: with 19 warping, thinning, or iso-signal changes, Type C: without clear continuity. Comparison 20 between acute (<1 month) and chronically ACL injured knees was evaluated as well as intra 21 and inter-observer reliability. 22 Results: Complete visualisation of the full path of the ALL was achieved in all non-injured	5	Abstract
 Purpose: To characterize the normal anterolateral ligament (ALL) and the spectrum of ALL injury in anterior eruciate ligament (ACL) deficient knees on early and delayed three dimensional magnetic resonance imaging (3D MRI). The aim of this study was to determine the visualisation rate of the ALL in uninjured and ACL deficient knees when using 3D-MRI. In addition, it was sought to characterize the spectrum of ALL injury in acute and chronically ACL deficient knees, and also to determine the inter and intra-observer reliability of a 3D- MRI classification of ALL injury. Methods: 100 knees underwent 3D-MRI (60 with ACL rupture and 40 non-injured knees). The ALL was evaluated based on previous studies regarding this structure and on known structural parameters. Evaluation was performed by two blinded orthopaedic surgeons. The ALL was classified as Type A: continuous, clearly defined low-signal band, Type B: with warping, thinning, or iso-signal changes, Type C: without clear continuity. Comparison between acute (<1 month) and chronically ACL injured knees was evaluated as well as intra and inter-observer reliability. 	6	
 8 injury in anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) deficient knees on early and delayed three 9 dimensional magnetic resonance imaging (3D MRI). The aim of this study was to determine 10 the visualisation rate of the ALL in uninjured and ACL deficient knees when using 3D-MRI. 11 In addition, it was sought to characterize the spectrum of ALL injury in acute and chronically ACL deficient knees, and also to determine the inter and intra-observer reliability of a 3D- 11 MRI classification of ALL injury. 14 15 Methods: 100 knees underwent 3D-MRI (60 with ACL rupture and 40 non-injured knees). 16 The ALL was evaluated based on previous studies regarding this structure and on known structural parameters. Evaluation was performed by two blinded orthopaedic surgeons. The ALL was classified as Type A: continuous, clearly defined low-signal band, Type B: with warping, thinning, or iso-signal changes, Type C: without clear continuity. Comparison between acute (<1 month) and chronically ACL injured knees was evaluated as well as intra and inter-observer reliability. 23 Results: Complete visualisation of the full path of the ALL was achieved in all non-injured 	7	Purpose: To characterize the normal anterolateral ligament (ALL) and the spectrum of ALL
 9 dimensional magnetic resonance imaging (3D-MRI). The aim of this study was to determine 10 the visualisation rate of the ALL in uninjured and ACL deficient knees when using 3D-MRI. 11 In addition, it was sought to characterize the spectrum of ALL injury in acute and chronically 12 ACL deficient knees, and also to determine the inter and intra-observer reliability of a 3D- 13 MRI classification of ALL injury. 14 15 Methods: 100 knees underwent 3D-MRI (60 with ACL rupture and 40 non-injured knees). 16 The ALL was evaluated based on previous studies regarding this structure and on known 17 structural parameters. Evaluation was performed by two blinded orthopaedic surgeons. The 18 ALL was classified as Type A: continuous, clearly defined low-signal band, Type B: with 19 warping, thinning, or iso-signal changes, Type C: without clear continuity. Comparison 20 between acute (<1 month) and chronically ACL injured knees 21 and inter-observer reliability. 22 23 Results: Complete visualisation of the full path of the ALL was achieved in all non-injured 	8	injury in anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) deficient knees on early and delayed three
10 the visualisation rate of the ALL in uninjured and ACL deficient knees when using 3D-MRI. 11 In addition, it was sought to characterize the spectrum of ALL injury in acute and chronically 12 ACL deficient knees, and also to determine the inter and intra-observer reliability of a 3D- 13 MRI classification of ALL injury. 14 In addition, it was evaluated based on previous studies regarding this structure and on known 15 The ALL was evaluated based on previous studies regarding this structure and on known 17 structural parameters. Evaluation was performed by two blinded orthopaedic surgeons. The 18 ALL was classified as Type A: continuous, clearly defined low-signal band, Type B: with 19 warping, thinning, or iso-signal changes, Type C: without clear continuity. Comparison 20 between acute (<1 month) and chronically ACL injured knees 21 and inter-observer reliability. 22 Results: Complete visualisation of the full path of the ALL was achieved in all non-injured	9	dimensional magnetic resonance imaging (3D-MRI). The aim of this study was to determine
11In addition, it was sought to characterize the spectrum of ALL injury in acute and chronically12ACL deficient knees, and also to determine the inter and intra-observer reliability of a 3D-13MRI classification of ALL injury.141515Methods: 100 knees underwent 3D-MRI (60 with ACL rupture and 40 non-injured knees).16The ALL was evaluated based on previous studies regarding this structure and on known17structural parameters. Evaluation was performed by two blinded orthopaedic surgeons. The18ALL was classified as Type A: continuous, clearly defined low-signal band, Type B: with19warping, thinning, or iso-signal changes, Type C: without clear continuity. Comparison20between acute (<1 month) and chronically ACL injured knees21and inter-observer reliability.22Results: Complete visualisation of the full path of the ALL was achieved in all non-injured	10	the visualisation rate of the ALL in uninjured and ACL deficient knees when using 3D-MRI.
ACL deficient knees, and also to determine the inter and intra-observer reliability of a 3D- MRI classification of ALL injury. Methods: 100 knees underwent 3D-MRI (60 with ACL rupture and 40 non-injured knees). The ALL was evaluated based on previous studies regarding this structure and on known structural parameters. Evaluation was performed by two blinded orthopaedic surgeons. The ALL was classified as Type A: continuous, clearly defined low-signal band, Type B: with warping, thinning, or iso-signal changes, Type C: without clear continuity. Comparison between acute (<1 month) and chronically ACL injured knees was evaluated as well as intra and inter-observer reliability. Results: Complete visualisation of the full path of the ALL was achieved in all non-injured	11	In addition, it was sought to characterize the spectrum of ALL injury in acute and chronically
 MRI classification of ALL injury. Methods: 100 knees underwent 3D-MRI (60 with ACL rupture and 40 non-injured knees). The ALL was evaluated based on previous studies regarding this structure and on known structural parameters. Evaluation was performed by two blinded orthopaedic surgeons. The ALL was classified as Type A: continuous, clearly defined low-signal band, Type B: with warping, thinning, or iso-signal changes, Type C: without clear continuity. Comparison between acute (<1 month) and chronically ACL injured knees was evaluated as well as intra and inter-observer reliability. Results: Complete visualisation of the full path of the ALL was achieved in all non-injured 	12	ACL deficient knees, and also to determine the inter and intra-observer reliability of a 3D-
 Methods: 100 knees underwent 3D-MRI (60 with ACL rupture and 40 non-injured knees). The ALL was evaluated based on previous studies regarding this structure and on known structural parameters. Evaluation was performed by two blinded orthopaedic surgeons. The ALL was classified as Type A: continuous, clearly defined low-signal band, Type B: with warping, thinning, or iso-signal changes, Type C: without clear continuity. Comparison between acute (<1 month) and chronically ACL injured knees was evaluated as well as intra and inter-observer reliability. Results: Complete visualisation of the full path of the ALL was achieved in all non-injured 	13	MRI classification of ALL injury.
 Methods: 100 knees underwent 3D-MRI (60 with ACL rupture and 40 non-injured knees). The ALL was evaluated based on previous studies regarding this structure and on known structural parameters. Evaluation was performed by two blinded orthopaedic surgeons. The ALL was classified as Type A: continuous, clearly defined low-signal band, Type B: with warping, thinning, or iso-signal changes, Type C: without clear continuity. Comparison between acute (<1 month) and chronically ACL injured knees was evaluated as well as intra and inter-observer reliability. Results: Complete visualisation of the full path of the ALL was achieved in all non-injured 	14	
 The ALL was evaluated based on previous studies regarding this structure and on known structural parameters. Evaluation was performed by two blinded orthopaedic surgeons. The ALL was classified as Type A: continuous, clearly defined low-signal band, Type B: with warping, thinning, or iso-signal changes, Type C: without clear continuity. Comparison between acute (<1 month) and chronically ACL injured knees was evaluated as well as intra and inter-observer reliability. Results: Complete visualisation of the full path of the ALL was achieved in all non-injured 	15	Methods: 100 knees underwent 3D-MRI (60 with ACL rupture and 40 non-injured knees).
 structural parameters. Evaluation was performed by two blinded orthopaedic surgeons. The ALL was classified as Type A: continuous, clearly defined low-signal band, Type B: with warping, thinning, or iso-signal changes, Type C: without clear continuity. Comparison between acute (<1 month) and chronically ACL injured knees was evaluated as well as intra and inter-observer reliability. Results: Complete visualisation of the full path of the ALL was achieved in all non-injured 	16	The ALL was evaluated based on previous studies regarding this structure and on known
ALL was classified as Type A: continuous, clearly defined low-signal band, Type B: with warping, thinning, or iso-signal changes, Type C: without clear continuity. Comparison between <u>acute (<1 month) and chronically ACL injured knees</u> was evaluated as well as intra and inter-observer reliability. Results: Complete visualisation of the full path of the ALL was achieved in all non-injured	17	structural parameters. Evaluation was performed by two blinded orthopaedic surgeons. The
 warping, thinning, or iso-signal changes, Type C: without clear continuity. Comparison between <u>acute (<1 month) and chronically ACL injured knees</u> was evaluated as well as intra and inter-observer reliability. Results: Complete visualisation of the full path of the ALL was achieved in all non-injured 	18	ALL was classified as Type A: continuous, clearly defined low-signal band, Type B: with
 between <u>acute (<1 month) and chronically ACL injured knees</u> was evaluated as well as intra and inter-observer reliability. Results: Complete visualisation of the full path of the ALL was achieved in all non-injured 	19	warping, thinning, or iso-signal changes, Type C: without clear continuity. Comparison
 and inter-observer reliability. Results: Complete visualisation of the full path of the ALL was achieved in all non-injured 	20	between <u>acute (<1 month) and chronically ACL injured knees</u> was evaluated as well as intra
Results: Complete visualisation of the full path of the ALL was achieved in all non-injured	21	and inter-observer reliability.
Results: Complete visualisation of the full path of the ALL was achieved in all non-injured	22	
	23	Results: Complete visualisation of the full path of the ALL was achieved in all non-injured

24 knees. In the ACL injured group, 24 <u>acutely injured knees were imaged</u>: 87.5% showed

25	evidence of injury (3 knees were normal/Type A (12.5%), 18 Type B (75.0%), and 3 Type C
26	(12.5%)). 36 knees chronically ACL injured knees were imaged: 55.6% showed evidence of
27	injury (16 Type A (44.4%), 18 Type B (50.0%), and 2 Type C (5.6%)). The difference in the
28	rate of injury between the two groups was significant ($p = 0.03$). Multivariate analysis
29	demonstrated that the delay from ACL injury to MRI was the only factor (negatively)
30	associated with the rate of injury to the ALL. Inter- and intra-observer reliability of the
31	classification of ALL type were good (kappa 0.86 and 0.93 respectively).
32	
33	Conclusion: 3D-MRI allows full visualisation of the ALL in all knees. The rate of injury to
34	the ALL in acutely ACL injured knees identified on 3D-MRI is higher than previous reports
35	using standard MRI techniques. This rate is significantly higher than the rate of injury to the
36	ALL identified in chronically ACL injured knees.
37	
38	Level of Evidence: IV, Diagnostic, case control study
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	

50	
51	
52	
53	
54	Introduction
55	
56	Recent study has demonstrated that combined anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) and
57	anterolateral ligament (ALL) reconstruction is associated with significantly reduced graft
58	rupture rates at medium term follow-up when compared to isolated ACL reconstruction in
59	young patients participating in pivoting sports. ¹ However, the precise indications for
60	combined ACL and ALL reconstruction are not yet clearly defined. Biomechanical studies
61	have shown that isolated ACL reconstruction does not restore normal knee kinematics in the
62	presence of anterolateral injury. ² Even though the healing potential of the ALL is still not
63	known, it can be suggested It may therefore be the case that the patients most likely to benefit
64	from the addition of an extra-articular procedure are those that have demonstrable injury to
65	the ALL on pre-operative imaging.
66	
67	The ability of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) to reliably delineate the anatomy of the
68	ALL in injured and normal knees is controversial. Very broad ranges of visualisation of the
69	ALL are reported (full visualisation $11-100\%^{3,4}$, partial visualisation $11.5 - 48.5\%^{5,6}$, and
70	non-visualisation 0-49%) ^{3,4} . Despite this apparent lack of reliability, ALL tears have been
71	demonstrated in 32.6-78.7% of ACL injured knees when using MRI. ^{7,8} Unfortunately, there
72	are no published studies comparing imaging and open exploration. However, it appears that

73 MRI may lack sensitivity as Ferretti et al reported a much higher rate (approximately 90%) of

74 injury to the anterolateral structures at open surgical exploration of ACL injured knees than
 75 the aforementioned imaging studies.⁹

77	The variation in rates of successful identification of the ALL on MRI prevent a high level of
78	confidence in current imaging of this structure. The main limiting factor appears to be the
79	same issue that has confounded anatomical studies. Namely a difficulty in clearly delineating
80	the complex and tightly confluent structural anatomy around the lateral femoral
81	epicondyle. ^{10,11,12} This is further compounded by the partial volume effect which occurs when
82	portions of several objects are averaged together in an imaging slice. This results in an
83	impaired spatial resolution and erroneous signal intensity. Three-dimensional MRI (3D-MRI)
84	is a technique that provides 3D data that enables the reconstruction of two-dimensional
85	images in any section and the creation of thin-slice images within a short time. It therefore
86	potentially enables delicate structures such as the ALL to be more clearly visualized. ¹³
87	Yokosawa et al. reported a 47% rate of visualization of the ALL with conventional 2D-MRI
88	(T2W, slice thickness 4mm) in 32 healthy knees compared to 100% when using 3D-MRI
89	(T2W-SPACE, slice thickness 1mm). ¹³ Similarly, Klontzas et al reported that when using 2D
90	images the ALL could not be visualised on any of the sagittal sequences. In contrast it could
91	be visualised in all cases when using 3D MRI. ¹⁴ The utility of 3D MRI in the evaluation of
92	other extra-articular knee ligaments has also been reported. Ahn et al stated that the results of
93	their imaging study suggested that tears of the individual structures of the posterolateral
94	corner were better defined with 3D rather than 2D images. ¹⁵

- 96 The aim of this study was to determine the visualisation rate of the ALL in uninjured and
- 97 ACL deficient knees when using 3D-MRI. In addition, it was sought to characterize the
- 98 spectrum of ALL injury in <u>both acute and chronically</u> ACL deficient knees, and also to

99	determine the inter and intra-observer reliability of a 3D-MRI classification of ALL injury.	
100	The hypothesis of this study was that 3D-MRI would allow full visualisation of the ALL in	
101	all non-injured knees and good inter and intra-observer reliability (kappa 0.61-0.8) ¹⁶ of the	
102	determination of injury in ACL deficient knees.	
103		
104		
105	Patients and Methods	
106	The study received institutional review board approval and all participants gave valid consent	
107	to participate. No financial incentives were provided.	
108		
109	Patient recruitment to the study was performed between May 2015 to June 2016. Enrolled	Commented [AS1]: Koichi, please can you check this. In your email it says both June and January so I was not sure
110	patients were allocated to either the "injured knee" or "non-injured knee" groups. All patients	Thank you
111	with ACL rupture (confirmed by MRI and clinical examination) who had instability during	
112	their daily activities or sport, and had been scheduled for ACL reconstruction, were screened	
113	for study eligibility. Patients were only excluded if they had concomitant multi-ligament	
114	injury, advanced osteoarthritis, or had undergone previous ipsilateral knee ligament surgery.	
115	All patients in this group underwent pre-operative assessment that included Lysholm score,	
116	IKDC evaluation and side-to-side laxity difference (KT1000) evaluation.	
117		
118	For the "non-injured" knee group, consecutive patients were invited to participate in the	
119	study if they were undergoing knee MRI for indications other than clinical diagnoses of ACL	
120	and/or meniscal tear. In addition, members of staff from the primary institution were invited	
121	to volunteer to participate in the "non-injured" knee group if they were asymptomatic and	
122	had no previous history of knee pathology.	
123		

124 Three Dimensional 3.0 T-MRI Scanner Evaluation

125	Three-dimensional imaging was carried out with a small, 4-channel flex coil, 3.0T MRI
126	scanner (Magnetom Trio, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) following sampling perfection with
127	application of optimized contrasts using a different flip angle evolution (SPACE) protocol.
128	The imaging conditions used were proton density-weighted (PDW) SPACE imaging, with
129	repetition time (TR) 1000ms, echo time (TE) 37ms, flip angle (FA) variable, number of
130	excitations (NEX) 1.4, matrix 320×300 , bandwidth (BW) 539 Hz, field of view (FOV) 156
131	mm ² , slice thickness 0.5 mm, and a scan time of 3 minutes 38 seconds.
132	
133	The section passing through the centre of the lateral epicondyle of the femur and the midpoint
134	of a line joining the posterior margin of Gerdy's tubercle on the lateral condyle of the tibia
135	with the anterior margin of the fibula head was used as the reference section. Coronal cross-
136	sectional images were reconstructed for a total of 50-60 slices, with a slice thickness of 0.5
137	mm in front of and behind this plane. The knee was positioned and supported in 30 degrees
138	of flexion for the duration of the scan.
139	
140	Imaging Evaluation
141	The assessment of images was performed by two independent orthopaedic surgeons (X and
142	Y) who had greater than 12 years of experience in interpreting MR imaging of the knee in
143	their daily practice. Both also performed a detailed review of the literature in order to gain a
144	thorough understanding of MRI evaluation of the ALL. In the "non-injured" knee group,
145	images were assessed in order to characterise the normal ALL on 3D MRI. The key
146	characteristics recorded were the rate of full visualisation of the ALL, the precise location of
147	the femoral origin and the ability to differentiate the femoral origin from adjacent structures.

149	For assessment of the "injured knee" group, the evaluators were blinded to physical
150	examination findings and the history of acute or chronic injurypatients were in the early or
151	late imaging groups. The images were evaluated on the basis of the classification system
152	described below and these assessments were performed twice, with a period of 2 weeks
153	between test and retest evaluations. Intra-and inter observer reliability was determined.
154	
155	ALL Classification
156	The ALL was defined as the low signal band originating from the region of the lateral
157	epicondyle of the femur, crossing the proximal surface of the lateral collateral ligament
158	(LCL), and reaching the middle third of the lateral tibial plateau (Fig 1.). In order to describe
159	the spectrum of injury, the appearance of the ALL was categorised (Fig 2.) as follows: Type
160	A; ligaments visualized as a continuous, clearly defined low-signal band, Type B; those that
161	exhibited warping, thinning, or iso-signal changes, and Type C; those without clear
162	continuity.
163	
164	Statistical analyses
165	All calculations were made using SPSS software (Version 20.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). The
166	Chi ² test and Fisher's exact test were used to compare proportions and the Kruskall-Wallis
167	test was used to compare medians. Bivariate and multivariate analyses were performed in
168	order to determine whether any of the demographic or injury descriptive variables were
169	significantly associated with the ALL classification gradeBivariate and multivariate analyses
170	were conducted to test associations between the classification type of the ALL and potentially
171	important factors. For all variables, results with a p value of <0.05 were considered
172	statistically significant. Inter- and intra-observer agreement were evaluated using the Kappa
173	test with a 95% confidence interval.

175 Results

176	Between May 2015 and January 2016, 100 patients met the eligibility criteria and were
177	enrolled to the study ("injured knee" group; n=60, "non-injured" knee group; n=40). The
178	demographics of patients in the injured knee group (including age, gender and time between
179	injury and imaging) are presented in Table 1. Other than the time elapsed from injury to
180	imaging, tThere were no significant differences between the two groups with respect to
181	concomitant injury and pre-operative scores and the incidence of concomitant injuries. In
182	this The injured cohort group included 24 acutely ACL injured knees that underwent 3D-MRI
183	within one month of the date of injury and were defined as the early imaging group (mean
184	time to scan from date of injury = 5.3 days, range $0 - 28$ days). The remaining , and the other
185	36 knees in the injured cohort group were chronically ACL injured and were imaged beyond
186	<u>later than 1 month from the date of injury (mean time to scan from date of injury = 45.3</u>
187	months, range 1– 240 months).

188

189 "Non-Injured" Knee Group

- 190 In the non-injured group, 10 healthy volunteers were imaged and the remaining 30 knees
- 191 underwent MRI for knee pain unrelated to sports or trauma (plica synovialis n=4, tumour
- n=3, bursitis n=2, without obvious lesion n=21). The mean age of patients in this group was
- 193 29.1 years (range 13-50 years). There were 25 male and 15 female participants
- 194
- 195 The visualization rate of the full length of the ALL was 100%. In 13/40 knees (32.5%) the
- 196 ALL could clearly be seen originating proximal and posterior to the lateral epicondyle and in
- 197 12 knees (30.0%) the ALL was identified as originating distal and anterior to the origin of the
- 198 LCL, close to the center of the lateral epicondyle. Both types were visualized simultaneously

199	in 15 knees (37.5%), which was the most common variation (Figure 3). In 11 knees (27.5%),
200	the border of ALL and iliotibial band (ITB) or the border of ALL and LCL were indistinct.
201	
202	"Injured Knee" Group
203	Table 1 reports the demographic and clinical data of patients in the injured knee group. This
204	demonstrates that the early and delayed imaging groupsacute and chronically ACL injured
205	knee groups were broadly comparable with no significant differences in demographic data,
206	Lysholm score, IKDC, side-to-side laxity difference or type of concomitant meniscal
207	pathology.
208	
209	The ALL was also visualised in all ACL injured knees. However, there were differences in
210	the spectrum of ALL injury seen in the two subgroups. In the early imaging groupacute ACL
211	injured group (n=24), 87.5% (21 knees) showed evidence of injury (Type B=18, and Type
212	C=3) to the ALL. In the delayed imaging chronically ACL injured group (n=36), only 55.6%
213	(20 knees) showed evidence of injury (Type B=18, and Type C=2). This difference between
214	the two groups was significant ($p = 0.02$). Both the inter-rater reliability ($\kappa = 0.86$) and the
215	intra-rater reliability ($\kappa = 0.93$) of the 3D-MRI classification system were good (Table 2).
216	
217	Multivariate analysis demonstrated that the delay from injury to MRI was associated with the
219	rate of identification of abnormalities of the ALL. Early imaging was associated with an
220	increased rate of identification of Types B and C ALL on 3D-MRI (OR= 0.19; CI 95%: 0.04-
221	0.73). Other factors such as pre-operative side-to-side laxity difference, age and the presence
222	of concomitant medial meniscal tears were not found to be associated with the rate of
223	identification of abnormalities of the ALL (Table 4)
224 225	Discussion

226	The main finding of this study is that 3D MRI was able to comprehensively evaluate the full
227	length of the ALL in all knees and that the classification system used to grade injuries had
228	good inter- and intra-observer reliability. In contrast, previous studies using standard MRI
229	techniques have not been able to reliably demonstrate the ALL and rates of complete
230	evaluation have varied between 11-100%. ^{3,4} The main advantage of 3D-MRI is in allowing
231	rapid acquisition of a large amount of data, in particular permitting reduced slice thickness. ¹³
232	This is particularly useful for imaging of the ALL, which is a thin structure and subject to
233	partial volume effect due to its close proximity to the LCL, popliteus, anterolateral capsule
234	and ITB. It is therefore unsurprising that in contrast to reports from previous authors (using
235	standard MRI techniques) ^{3,5,6,11,12,17} , the ALL could be identified in all knees in this study.
236	This suggests that 3D MRI should be considered the gold standard for MR imaging
237	evaluation of the ALL.

239 The failure of reliable evaluation of the ALL with standard MRI techniques has been 240 disappointing, especially given the promising findings from early cadaveric studies. 241 Specifically, Caterine et al^{18} and Helito et al^{19} were able to identify the full course of the ALL 242 using 1.5T MRI in anatomical specimens and subjectively and objectively correlate imaging 243 findings with dissection. It is important to note that both cadaveric studies used MRI 244 protocols with thin slices (0.4mm and 0.6-1.5mm, respectively). In contrast, in clinical 245 practice, a typical knee scan is performed using slice thicknesses of 3mm. Although the use 246 of thinner slices reduces the partial volume effect, the scan duration increases significantly 247 and therefore the use of 3mm slices is a widely accepted standard for imaging that provides 248 high sensitivity and specificity for imaging of intra- and extra-articular structures in the 249 acutely injured knee. However, because the ALL is a thin structure (thickness 1.4+/-0.6mm)¹⁸, it should be expected that clinical studies using more typical slice thicknesses 250

251	(particularly if an interslice gap is present) have failed to show full visualisation reliably. In
252	previous clinical MRI series the following slice thicknesses and rates of complete
253	visualisation have been reported: 2.5mm (Helito 71%) ¹¹ , 3mm (Devitt 20%) ²⁰ , and 3.5mm
254	(Macchi 54% 17 , Coquart 82%) ⁵ .
255	
256	In addition to the broad reported ranges of complete visualisation, rates of partial (11.5-48%)
257	and non-visualisation (0-49%) also show considerable variation. ^{3,5,6,11,12,17} In a study of 113
258	knees with acute ACL injury (53 knees imaged with 1.5T and 48 knees with 3T), Helito et al
259	found that the rate of non-visualisation when using 1.5T (17%) was more than twice that of
260	those undergoing imaging with 3T (8%). ²¹ Although, this was not statistically significant,
261	likely due to small sample size, it is logical that using a stronger magnet would improve
262	spatial resolution and reduce the non-visualisation rate arising from a partial volume effect.
263	
264	Reliable identification of the ALL has also been complicated by a lack of consensus in the
265	literature regarding its anatomy ^{22,23,24,25} with some authors reporting a proximal and posterior
266	^{23,25,26,27,28} origin in relation to the lateral epicondyle and others anterior and distal. ^{21,22,29,30}
267	This variability in femoral origin was also demonstrated in the current study, but
268	simultaneous visualisation of both types was also seen in 37.5% of patients. To the authors
269	knowledge this has not previously been described in any imaging study. This finding is
270	and proximal origin) and deep parts (central lateral epicondylar origin, or distal, or
271	proximal/posterior) of the ALL in a cadaveric dissection study. The authors considered that
272	both structures were ligamentous, on the basis of the presence of dense and well-organised
273	collagen fibres and a similar number of fibroblasts per mm ² as the adult ACL. ³¹ Other authors
274	have also noted similar intra-specimen variations in femoral origin in anatomical
275	studies. ^{17,19,28,32} In addition, it has previously been highlighted that there seems to be

agreement in all published series that the femoral origin is less easily seen on imaging and
also at dissection.¹²

279	On standard MR imaging, due to the partial volume effect, it can be difficult to clearly
280	delineate the ALL from the LCL/ITB. ⁴ Helito et al reported that in some situations, when it is
281	possible to visualise a clear differentiation between these structures, the ALL is already
282	anterior to the LCL on its path to the tibia and this can be misconstrued as an anterior/distal
283	origin. $3\frac{3}{2}$ In any case, this difficulty in clearly delineating the femoral origin when using
284	standard imaging protocols, is one of the main reasons to consider using 3D-MRI. Porrino et
285	al., in 53 knees, identified the ALL with MRI in all patients but described the femoral origin
286	as inseparable from the adjacent LCL and difficult to discern. ⁴ Caterine et al. also reported
287	the ability to visualize the ALL in all patients but described the proximal origin as "not
288	clearly visible" in many patients. ¹⁸ Other studies have more explicitly reported the rate of
289	visualization of the femoral origin (Kosy et al. 57% ¹² , Helito et al. 89.7% ¹¹). It was
290	hypothesized that the use of 3D-MRI in the current study would allow clear visualization of
291	the femoral origin in all cases. However, there were a small percentage of cases (11%) where
292	the femoral origin could not be clearly differentiated from the LCL or ITB and this was
293	attributed to the tight confluence of these structures at the lateral epicondyle rather than a
294	pathological abnormality as this was studied in the "non-injured knee" group
295	
296	The rate of identification of injury to the ALL in acute (87.5%) and chronic <u>ally</u> (55.6%) ACL
297	injured knees was significantly different (p=0.02). A possible explanation for this difference
298	may be that the ALL has some intrinsic potential for healing, akin to that of the medial
299	collateral ligament, though longitudinal studies are required to evaluate this theory. An
300	alternative possible explanation for the difference in rates of injury in the early and

301	delayedacute and chronically ACL injured groups is that the presence of effusion in acutely
302	knees may improve the ability to visualise the ALL and certainly this has been suggested by
303	previous authors. ^{3,8,21} In fact, Helito et al, injected 40ml of saline into cadaveric knees in
304	order to help with identification at MRI. ¹⁹ Despite that, there are no comparative studies to
305	demonstrate that this is a proven advantage and in contrast, Hartigan et al suggested that
306	because the ALL is extracapsular, a capsular distension may actually make visualisation more
307	difficult. ¹⁰

309	Devitt, et al. showed no significant difference in the ability to fully visualise the ALL in the
310	ACL injured and ACL intact knees but the overall percentage of full visualisation was very
311	low in both groups. ²⁰ The rate of MRI identified ALL injury in ACL injured knees in
312	previous studies varies between 32.6 to 78.7%. ^{7,8,21} In the current study, the rate of injury to
313	the ALL in the early imaging group was 87.5% and this is consistent with the rate reported by
314	Ferretti et al, at surgical exploration of the anterolateral structures at the time of ACL
315	reconstruction.9 The current study is the first to show concordance between the clinically
316	reported rate of ALL injury and MR imaging findings. Almost all previous MRI studies have
317	shown a much lower rate of injury with the only exception being Claes et al at 78.7%. ⁷ In
318	contrast, Helito et al, identified a rate of ALL injury in knees with an acutely (<3 weeks)
319	ruptured ACL at a rate of only 32.6%, the remaining patients either had a normal ALL
320	(54.4%) or it was considered not adequately visualized (12.8%). ²¹ Helito et al reported that
321	the rate of failure to characterize the ALL was twice as high in those patients who underwent
322	MRI with 1.5T compared to 3.0T and this may also be an explanation as to why the incidence
323	of ALL injury identified is much lower than in the current study. It is also important to note
324	that although some authors have reported high rates of visualisation of the ALL with standard
325	imaging techniques, this does not necessarily equate to the ability to reliably diagnose an

326	injury to the ALL. An example of this is the study by Hartigan, et al. who reported 100%	
327	visualisation of the ALL but poor inter-observer reliability regarding determination of	
328	whether the structure was injured or not (Kappa statistics: femoral insertion 0.14, tibial	
329	insertion 0.31, meniscal attachment 0.15). ¹⁰	
330		
331	Further reasons for previous studies demonstrating a much lower rate of ALL injury in ACL	
332	ruptured knees than in the current study is that many authors have excluded patients with	
333	evidence of injury to the lateral side of knee (including lateral meniscal tears). ^{4,6,11,17}	
334	However, significant associations with ALL injury and injuries to the LCL, popliteus, IT	
335	band, bone contusions and lateral meniscal tears have been previously demonstrated $^{8,3\underline{4},3\underline{5}}$ and	
336	on that basis excluding these patients would likely falsely lower the incidence of ALL injury.	
337	Although multiligament injuries were excluded in the current study, other types of lateral	
338	sided injuries were not excluded. Other considerations that may also have led to the large	
339	variations seen between previous studies includes differences in imaging protocols,	
340	experience in evaluation of the ALL, and knee position during imaging. Further work should	
341	aim to establish standardised protocols for MR imaging.	
342		
343	Recent study has drawn some comparison between MRI and ultrasound scan (USS)	
344	evaluation of the ALL. Bilfeld-Cavaignac et al, reported that ultrasound was able to visualise	
345	the ALL in all normal knees and that the rate of abnormalities detected in injured knees was	
346	higher than detected with MRI. This was attributed to the higher spatial resolution of	
347	ultrasound and the fact that it is a dynamic investigation during which the ALL can be placed	
348	under tension. However, the MRI sequences were performed in a strict coronal plane and it	
349	was highlighted that the use of 3DMRI may have increased the rate of detection of injuries.	

350 One of the disadvantages of USS is that it is highly operator dependent but further study is

351	required to determine whether one modality has a significant advantage over the other. It is
352	interesting to note that Cavaignac et al demonstrated that there was a significant association
353	with USS proven ALL abnormality and high grade pivot shift but only a trend towards this
354	with standard 2D MRIIn addition, the authors reported that in ACL injured knees there was a
355	strong correlation between both standard MRI and ultrasound with respect to the pathological
356	appearance of the ALL. ³⁶ Future study should also aim to compare ultrasound, which has a
357	higher spatial resolution than standard MRI, with 3D-MRI.
358	
359	Limitations
360	The main limitations of this study are that the MRI findings were not correlated with surgical
361	exploration of the anterolateral structures or with the grade of pivot shift and that no specific
362	3DMRI protocol exists for evaluation of the ALL. This means that the possibility that the
363	higher rate of injury detection being a result of false positive diagnoses cannot be excluded,
364	although this seems unlikely due to the high inter-observer reliability. Therefore, the findings
365	of this study cannot be extrapolated to demonstrating that all 3DMRI abnormalities of the
366	ALL are clinically important. Additional limitations include the number of patients enrolled
367	to the study (n=100), but this is larger than many of the previous studies on the same topic.
368	However, it does mean that the population size may be too small to determine a reliable
369	estimate of the rate of injury to the ALL. An additional A final_limitation is that there was no
370	longitudinal component to this study. This means that As a result even although a difference
371	in the rate of ALL injury in acute and chronically ACL injured knees has been demonstrated,
372	further study will be required to determine the pathophysiology behind these findings.
373	Furthermore, the influence of including injuries that were several years old (and more likely
374	to have developed secondary restraint lesions) on the rate of identified ALL injury in the

375 <u>chronic group cannot be determined in the current study.</u>

377	Concl	usion
511	Conci	usion

- 378 3D-MRI allows full visualisation of the ALL in all <u>normal</u> knees. The rate of injury to the
- 379 ALL in acutely ACL injured knees identified on 3D-MRI is higher than previous reports
- 380 using standard MRI techniques. This rate is significantly higher than the rate of injury to the
- 381 ALL identified in chronically ACL injured knees.

562 Reference

383 384 1. Sonnery-Cottet B, Saithna A, Cavalier M, et al. Anterolateral Ligament Reconstruction Is 385 Associated With Significantly Reduced ACL Graft Rupture Rates at a Minimum Followup of 2 Years: A Prospective Comparative Study of 502 Patients From the SANTI Study 386 387 Group. Am J Sports Med 2017;45:1547-1557. 388 2. Inderhaug E, Stephen JM, Williams A, Amis AA. Biomechanical Comparison of 389 Anterolateral Procedures Combined With Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. 390 Am J Sports Med 2017;45:347-354. 3. Taneja AK, Miranda FC, Braga CA, Gill CM, Hartmann LG, Santos DC, Rosemberg LA. 391 392 MRI features of the anterolateral ligament of the knee. Skeletal Radiol 2015;44:403-410. 393 4. Porrino J Jr, Maloney E, Richardson M, Mulcahy H, Ha A, Chew FS. The anterolateral 394 ligament of the knee: MRI appearance, association with the Segond fracture, and 395 historical perspective. Am J Roentgenol 2015;204:367-373. 396 5. Coquart B, Le Corroller T, Laurent PE, Ollivier M, Pradel V, Champsaur P, Guenoun D. 397 Anterolateral ligament of the knee: myth or reality? Surg Radiol Anat 2016;38:955-962. 6. Helito CP, Demange MK, Helito PV, et al. Evaluation of the anterolateral ligament of the 398 399 knee by means of magnetic resonance examination. Rev Bras Ortop 2015;50:214-219. 7. Claes S, Bartholomeeusen S, Bellemans J. High prevalence of anterolateral ligament 400 401 abnormalities in magnetic resonance images of anterior cruciate ligament-injured knees. 402 Acta Orthop Belg 2014;80:45-49. 403 8. Van Dyck P, Clockaerts S, Vanhoenacker FM, et al. Anterolateral ligament abnormalities

- 404 in patients with acute anterior cruciate ligament rupture are associated with lateral
 405 meniscal and osseous injuries. Eur Radiol 2016;26:3383-3391.
- 406 9. Ferretti A, Monaco E, Fabbri M, Maestri B, De Carli A. Prevalence and classification of

- 407 injuries of anterolateral complex in acute anterior cruciate ligament tears. Arthroscopy408 2016;33:147-154.
- 409 10. Hartigan DE, Carroll KW, Kosarek FJ, Piasecki DP, Fleischli JF, D'Alessandro DF.
- 410 Visibility of anterolateral ligament tears in anterior cruciate ligament deficient knees with
- 411 standard 1.5-tesla magnetic resonance imaging. Arthroscopy 2016;32:2061–2065.
- 412 11. Helito CP, Helito PV, Costa HP, et al. MRI evaluation of the anterolateral ligament of the
 413 knee: assessment in routine 1.5-T scans. Skeletal Radiol 2014;43: 1421-1427.
- 414 12. Kosy JD, Mandalia VI, Anaspure R. Characterization of the anatomy of the anterolateral
- 415 ligament of the knee using magnetic resonance imaging. Skeletal Radiol 2015;44:1647-53
- 416 13. Yokosawa K, Sasaki K, Muramatsu K, Ono T, Izawa H, Hachiya Y. Visualization of
- 417 anterolateral ligament of the knee using 3D reconstructed variable refocus flip angle-
- 418 turbo spin echo T2 weighted image. Nihon Hoshasen Gijutsu Gakkai Zasshi
- 419 2016;72:416-23.
- 420 14. Klontzas ME, Maris TG, Zibis AH, Karantanas AH. Normal Magnetic Resonance
- 421 Imaging Anatomy of the Anterolateral Knee Ligament With a T2/T1-Weighted 3-
- 422 Dimensional Sequence: A Feasibility Study. Can Assoc Radiol J 2016;67:52-9.
- 423 15. Ahn SJ, Jeong YM, Lee BG, Sim JA, Choi HY, Kim JH, Lee SW. Using three-
- 424 dimensional isotropic SPACE MRI to detect posterolateral corner injury of the knee. Acta
 425 Radiol. 2016 Oct;57(10):1251-60.
- 426 16. Altman, DG. Practical Statistics for Medical Research. London: Chapman & Hall, 1991
- 17. Macchi V, Porzionato A, Morra A, et al. The anterolateral ligament of the knee: a
 radiologic and histotopographic study. Surg Radiol Anat 2016;38:341-348.
- 429 18. Caterine S, Litchfield R, Johnson M, Chronik B, Getgood A. A cadaveric study of the
- 430 anterolateral ligament: re-introducing the lateral capsular ligament. Knee Surg Sports
- 431 Traumatol Arthrosc 2015;23:3186-3195.

- 432 19. Helito CP, Helito PV, Bonadio MB, et al. Correlation of Magnetic Resonance Imaging
- With Knee Anterolateral Ligament Anatomy: A Cadaveric Study. Orthop J Sports Med
 2015;3:2325967115621024.
- 435 20. Devitt BM, O'Sullivan R, Feller JA, Lash N, Porter TJ, Webster KE, Whitehead TS. MRI
- 436 is not reliable in diagnosing of concomitant anterolateral ligament and anterior cruciate
- 437 ligament injuries of the knee. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2017;25:1345-1351.
- 438 21. Helito CP, Helito PV, Costa HP, Demange MK, Bordalo-Rodrigues M. Assessment of the
- 439 Anterolateral Ligament of the Knee by Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Acute Injuries of
 440 the Anterior Cruciate Ligament. Arthroscopy 2017;33(1):140-146.
- 22. Claes S, Vereecke E, Maes M, Victor J, Verdonk P, Bellemans J. Anatomy of
 the anterolateral ligament of the knee. J Anat 2013;223:321-328.
- 443 23. Dodds AL, Halewood C, Gupte CM, Williams A, Amis AA. The anterolateral ligament:
- 444 anatomy, length changes and association with the Segond fracture. Bone Joint J445 2014;96:325-331.
- 446 24. Helito CP, Demange MK, Bonadio MB, et al. Anatomy and histology of the knee
 447 anterolateral ligament. Orthop J Sports Med 2013;1:2325967113513546.
- 448 25. Rasmussen MT, Nitri M, Williams BT, et al. An in vitro robotic assessment of the
- anterolateral ligament, part 1: secondary role of the anterolateral ligament in the setting ofan anterior cruciate ligament injury. Am J Sports Med 2016;44:585-592.
- 451 26. Kennedy MI, Claes S, Fuso FA, et al. The anterolateral ligament: an anatomic,
 452 radiographic, and biomechanical analysis. Am J Sports Med 2015;43:1606-1615.
- 453 27. Lutz C, Sonnery-Cottet B, Niglis L, Freychet B, Clavert P, Imbert P. Behavior of the
- 454 anterolateral structures of the knee during internal rotation. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res455 2015;101:523-528.
- 456 28. Daggett M, Ockuly AC, Cullen M, et al. Femoral origin of the anterolateral ligament: an

457 anatomic analysis. Arthroscopy 2016;32:835-841.

458	29. Vincent JP, Magnussen RA, Gezmez F, et al. The anterolateral ligament of the human
459	knee: an anatomic and histologic study. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc
460	2012;20:147-152.

- 30. Heckmann N, Sivasundaram L, Villacis D, et al. Radiographic landmarks for identifying
 the anterolateral ligament of the knee. Arthroscopy 2016;32:844-848.
- 463 31. Helito CP, do Amaral C Jr, Nakamichi YD, et al. Why Do Authors Differ With Regard to
- 464 the Femoral and Meniscal Anatomic Parameters of the Knee Anterolateral Ligament?:
- 465 Dissection by Layers and a Description of Its Superficial and Deep Layers. Orthop J
 466 Sports Med 2016;4:2325967116675604.
- 467 32. Runer A, Birkmaier S, Pamminger M, et al. The anterolateral ligament of the knee: a
 468 dissection study. Knee 2016;23:8-12.
- 33. Helito CP, Helito PVP. Magnetic Resonance Imaging Analysis of the Anterolateral
 Complex of the Knee. Oper Tech Orthop 2017;27:113-120.
- 471 34. Helito CP, Helito PVP, Leão RV, Demange MK, Bordalo-Rodrigues M.
- 472 Anterolateral ligament abnormalities are associated with peripheral ligament and osseous
- 473 injuries in acute ruptures of the anterior cruciate ligament. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol
 474 Arthrosc 2017;25:1140-1148
- 475 35. Song GY, Zhang H, Wang QQ, Zhang J, Li Y, Feng H. Bone Contusions After Acute
- 476 Noncontact Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury Are Associated With Knee Joint
- 477 Laxity, Concomitant Meniscal Lesions, and Anterolateral Ligament Abnormality.
 478 Arthroscopy 2016;32:2331-2341
- 479 36. Cavaignac E, Faruch M, Wytrykowski K, Constant O, Murgier J, Berard E, Chiron P.
- 480 Ultrasonographic Evaluation of Anterolateral Ligament Injuries: Correlation With
- 481 Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Pivot-Shift Testing. Arthroscopy 2017;33:1384-1390

487 Figure Legends

489	Figure 1. Coronal cross-sectional images along the course of the ALL scanned by PDW-
490	SPACE in a 19-year-old woman (5 days after ACL injury). The ALL can be visualized
491	clearly as the low-signal band originating proximal and posterior to the lateral epicondyle of
492	the femur, crossing the proximal surface of the LCL, and reaching the middle third of the
493	lateral condyle of the tibia. (1.Anterolateral ligament, 2.Lateral femoral epicondyle, 3.Lateral
494	collateral ligament, 4.Deep layer of iliotibial band, 5.Superficial layer of iliotibial band,
495	6.Popliteus tendon, 7.Capsule, 8.Lateral meniscus)

Figure 2. Injury classification of the ALL in ACL deficient knees demonstrated on coronal
cross sectional images (Type A: Normal ALL: Visualized as a continuous, clearly defined
low-signal band, Type B: Abnormal ALL: Demonstrates warping, thinning, or iso-signal

500 changes, Type C: Abnormal ALL: No clear continuity)

502	Figure 3. Visualization status of the ALL in non-injured knees demonstrated on coronal cross
503	sectional images. The femoral origin of the ALL was observed to be proximal and posterior
504	to the lateral epicondyle of the femur in $13/40$ knees (32.5%). In 12 knees (30.0%), the
505	femoral origin was observed to be distal and anterior to the origin of the LCL in the lateral

epicondyle of the femur. Both of these subtypes types were visualised simultaneously in 15

- 507 knees (37.5%).

12	Table 1. Demographic and	clinical data of	patients included	in the "Inj	jured-knee"	group
----	--------------------------	------------------	-------------------	-------------	-------------	-------

	Time betwe	en injury and		
	MRIChronicit	y of ACL Injury		
	Acute (<1 month)	Chronic (>1 month)	Total	
	n(%)	n(%)	N(%)	P*
	24 (40%)	36 (60%)	60 (100%)	
Gender				0.78
Female	9(37.5)	11(30.6)	20(33.3)	
Male	15(62.5)	25(69.4)	40(66.7)	
MRI ALL state				0.02
Normal (Type A)	3(12.5)	16(44.4)	19(31.7)	0102
Abnormal (Types B+C)	21(87.5)	20(55.6)	41(68.3)	
	(0.10)	_==(=====)	()	
Meniscal state				>0.2
Patient with Meniscal tears	11(45.8)	18(50)	29(48.3)	0.96
Patient with LM tears	7(29.2)	8(22.2)	15(25)	0.76
Patients with MM tears	5(20.8)	14(38.9)	19(31.7)	0.23
KT1000				0.657
med[IQR]	4.5[4-5]	4[4-6]	4[4-6]	
Δ AP laxity (IKDC grade)				0.464
В	18(78.3)	23(65.7)	41(70.7)	
С	5(21.7)	12(34.3)	17(29.3)	
Age				0.341
med[IQR]	21.5[19.8-30.2]	28.5[20.8-40.2]	25[20-40]	
Time from injury to MRI (months)				0.003
med[IQR]	0.1[0-0.2]	4.5[1.5-60]	1.5[0.1-7.8]	
Lysholm				0.06
med[IQR]	70.5[43.8-82]	80[69.5-87.5]	79.5[64.2-86.2]	
IKDC				0.487
med[IQR]	60.4[46-72.4]	64.4[54-69.3]	62.6[50.6-71.3]	

523 Table 2: Concordance between measures (weighted kappa). An evaluation of inter- and intra-

524 observer reliability of classification of injury to the ALL when using 3D-MRI

		95%0	CI
	Estimate	Lower	Upper
Inter-observer concordance			
Weighted kappa*	0.86	0.76	0.95
Intra-observer concordance			
Weighted kappa*	0.93	0.85	1.00
* Quadratic weighting			

548	MRI
547	Table 3: Bivariate analysis: Factors associated with the presence of injury to the ALL on 3D-

Type of lesion					
	А	B-C	Total		
Variables	n(%)	n(%)	N(%)	P*	
	19 (31.7%)	41 (68.3%)	60 (100%)		
Sex				0.624	
F	5(26.3)	15(36.6)	20(33.3)		
М	14(73.7)	26(63.4)	40(66.7)		
Side				1	
L	11(57.9)	24(58.5)	35(58.3)		
R	8(42.1)	17(41.5)	25(41.7)		
Lateral Meniscus Injury				0.755	
-	15(78.9)	30(73.2)	45(75)		
+	4(21.1)	11(26.8)	15(25)		
Medial Meniscus Injury				0.376	
-	11(57.9)	30(73.2)	41(68.3)		
+	8(42.1)	11(26.8)	19(31.7)		
Delayed ImaginChronicity of				0.02	
<u>Injuryg</u>					
NoAcute ACL Injury	3(15.8)	21(51.2)	24(40)		
YesChronic ACL Injury	16(84.2)	20(48.8)	36(60)		
Any Meniscal Injury				1	
-	10(52.6)	21(51.2)	31(51.7)		
+	9(47.4)	20(48.8)	29(48.3)		
KT1000				1	
В	13(68.4)	28(71.8)	41(70.7)		
С	6(31.6)	11(28.2)	17(29.3)		
KT1000	10/00 10	20(72.2)		0.943	
A+B	13(68.4)	30(73.2)	43(71.7)		
C	6(31.6)	11(26.8)	17(28.3)		
A				0.117	
Age	20122 42 51	22510 403	25520 403	0.117	
med[IQR]	28[22-42.5]	23[19-40]	25[20-40]		
mean(SD)	32.2(12.3)	28.3(11.9)	29.5(12.1)		
\mathbf{T}				.10.3	
Time to imaging (days)				<10 ⁻³	

med[IQR]	5[1.8-102]	0.7[0.1-2.5]	1.5[0.1-7.8]	
mean(SD)	56.1(80.4)	13.9(42.7)	27.3(60)	
KT1000.dif				0.317
med[IQR]	5[4-6]	4[4-6]	4[4-6]	
mean(SD)	5.1(1.5)	4.8(1.9)	4.9(1.7)	
Lysholm				0.404
med[IQR]	81[67.5-86.5]	79[62-85]	79.5[64.2-86.2]	
mean(SD)	76.3(15.4)	70.1(22.5)	72(20.6)	
IKDC				0.546
med[IQR]	64.4[55.8-69.5]	62.1[46-71.3]	62.6[50.6-71.3]	
mean(SD)	62(11.1)	57.3(16.9)	58.8(15.4)	

 mean(SD)
 02(11.1)
 57.3(10.2)
 36.6(13.4)

 *P=Pvalue from Fisher exact or Chi square test for categorical variables or Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous variables, Med=Median IQR=Interquartile range, SD=Standard deviation
 Table 4. Multivariate analysis: factors associated with ALL lesion at MRI.

	Adjusted odds ratio (95%CI)	Р
Delayed ImagingDelay between Injury	0.19 (0.037-0.726)	0.024
and Imaging		
KT1000	1.034 (0.277-4.092)	0.961
Age < 20 years	3.377 (0.72-24.928)	0.160
Presence of medial meniscus injury	0.684 (0.184-2.591)	0.569

550 551 552