

Structural and functional responses of macroinvertebrate assemblages to long-term flow variability at perennial and non-perennial sites

Journal:	Ecohydrology
Manuscript ID	ECO-19-0020.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Mathers, Kate; Eawag Oberflachengewasser, Stubbington, Rachel; Nottingham Trent University School of Science and Technology, ; Nottingham Trent University Westwood, Chris; ERA-UK, hydroecology Leeming, David; DJL Ecologists Ltd England, Judy; Environment Agency,
Keywords:	functional traits, instream habitat, intermittent streams, stability, heterogeneity, flow permanence, hydrology



1		
2 3 4	1	Structural and functional responses of macroinvertebrate assemblages to long-term
5 6	2	flow variability at perennial and non-perennial sites
7 8		
9 10	3	Kate L. Mathers ¹⁻² , Rachel Stubbington ³ , David Leeming ⁴ , Christian Westwood ⁵ ,
11 12	4	Judy England ⁶
13 14 15	5	1. Eawag, Department of Surface Waters Research and Management, 6047
16 17 18	6	Kastanienbaum, Switzerland.
19 20	7	2. School of Animal, Rural and Environmental Sciences, Nottingham Trent
21 22 23	8	University, Nottingham, UK. NG25 0QF.
24 25	9	3. School of Science and Technology, Nottingham Trent University, Nottingham,
26 27	10	UK. NG11 8NS.
28 29 30	11	4. DJL Ecologists Ltd., Old Bells Farm, The Street, Billingford, Dereham, Norfolk,
31 32	12	UK. NR20 4RF.
33 34	13	5. Environmental Research Associates, Exeter, UK
35 36 37	14	6. Environment Agency, Red Kite House, Howbery Park, Crowmarsh Gifford,
38 39	15	Wallingford, UK. OX10 8BD.
40 41 42	16	Author for Correspondence
43	17	Kate Mathers
44 45	18	Eawag.
46	19	Department of Surface Waters Research and Management
47	20	6047 Kastanienbaum
48	20	Switzorland
49	۲ ا	Switzenand.
50 51 52	22	Email:- kate.mathers@eawag.ch
53 54 55	23	Running headline: Macroinvertebrate responses to flow variability
56 57	24	Key-words: functional traits, instream habitat, intermittent streams, stability,
58 59 60	25	heterogeneity, flow permanence, hydrology.

Abstract

Temporary streams constitute a significant proportion of rivers globally and are common in wet, cool, temperate regions. These heterogeneous ecosystems harbour high biodiversity associated with the dynamic turnover of taxa. Despite flow permanence being widely recognised as an important environmental control, few studies have characterised biotic responses to long-term hydrological variability in temporary streams. We examined taxonomic and functional macroinvertebrate communities of perennial and non-perennial river reaches over a 26-year period. Flow permanence resulted in spatial variation in taxonomic and functional macroinvertebrate communities. Non-perennial river reaches, which were characterised by dynamic habitat provision (lotic, lentic and dry states) over the study period, supported more heterogeneous communities than perennial river reaches. Hydrological variables, in particular wetted width, water depth and zero-flow states, were instrumental in structuring taxonomic and functional communities, although the importance of substrate conditions increased in autumn. Hydrological conditions resulted in separation of perennial and non-perennial taxonomic communities regardless of season, whereas functional communities differed only in spring. Our results emphasise that understanding of community responses to hydrological variability is enhanced by analyses that concurrently explore taxonomic and functional responses to long-term intra- and inter-annual hydrological variability. Moreover functional responses represents a robust method to test ecological responses to hydrological drivers. Further research that builds on our work is needed to inform the protection of both perennial and non-perennial streams as they adapt to ongoing environmental change.

Page 3 of 45

1. Introduction

Hydrological variability and in particular flow permanence (Poff & Ward, 1989) are primary determinants of aquatic community composition in lotic ecosystems (Smith & Wood, 2002; Datry et al., 2014a). Non-perennial streams are defined by flow cessation, often experience partial or complete surface drying, and are characterised by high temporal and spatial variability (Leigh et al., 2015; Datry, Larned, & Tockner, 2014b; Cid et al., 2017). The frequency, magnitude, duration and predictability of transitions between lotic, lentic and dry states influence the diversity and connectivity of habitat patches and, in turn, biotic responses (Bogan & Lytle, 2007; Leigh & Datry, 2017). As such, non-perennial reaches often support high biodiversity as communities vary in space and time in response to changing habitat availability (Bogan, Boersma, & Lytle, 2013; Rolls, Heino, & Chessman, 2016; Tonkin et al., 2017).

Historically, most research considering macroinvertebrate responses to flow permanence has focused on taxonomic changes. As discharge declines, flowing habitats are typically lost and rivers become dominated by connected pools inhabited by both lotic refugees and lentic colonists (Hill & Milner, 2018). Over time, pools become isolated and community composition continues to diverge from the lotic community as lentic colonists become increasingly dominant (Bonada, Rieradevall, Prat, & Resh, 2006). Flow resumption allows rapid recolonisation by resistant and resilient lotic species (Rolls et al., 2016; Vander Vorste, Corti, Sagouis, & Datry, 2016). Communities within sites with contrasting flow permanence regimes may therefore converge as flowing phase durations increase (Wood, Gunn, Smith, & Abas-Kutty, 2005; Vander Vorste et al., 2016). However, studies of biotic responses to flow permanence are typically short-term (months to years), leaving long-term

Page 4 of 45

(decadal) patterns poorly characterised (but see Bêche, Connors, Resh, & Merenlender, 2009; Stubbington, Wood, & Boulton, 2009; Chessman, 2015; Leigh & Datry, 2017; Wilding et al., 2018).

In addition to taxonomic responses, biological traits based on life history, behaviour morphology and physiology may be more informative when investigating community responses to hydrological variability and flow permanence (Menezes, Baird, & Soares, 2010; Schriever et al., 2015). Understanding life-history traits (such as body size, morphology, lifecycle) associated with the habitat template via functional trait analysis has been cited as strong basis for the theoretical development of ecological indicators (Statzner & Bêche, 2010). Macroinvertebrates within non-perennial streams may exhibit *r*-selected traits such as high dispersal, short life histories, rapid growth and opportunistic feeding modalities that promote resilience to drying and enable persistence in dynamic environments (Williams, 1996; García-Roger et al., 2013; Giam et al., 2017). Taxa may also possess traits promoting resistance to drying, such as desiccation-tolerant forms or respiration systems which permit air breathing (Leigh et al., 2016; Datry et al., 2017a). The prevalence of taxa possessing resistance traits may result in functional redundancy increasing with intermittence (García-Roger et al., 2013; Boersma, Bogan, Henrichs, & Lytle, 2014). In turn, functional redundancy can stabilise community trait composition over time (Bêche, Mcelravy, & Resh, 2006), potentially facilitating clearer discrimination between assemblages from sites with contrasting flow permanence compared to taxonomic approaches (Cid et al., 2016; García-Roger, 2017). Communities in reaches which dry infrequently or unpredictably may be decoupled from such adaptations, and may therefore exhibit stronger taxonomic and functional responses (Bêche et al., 2009; Chessman, 2015).

2
3
1
4
5
6
7
,
0
9
10
11
10
12
13
14
15
16
10
17
18
19
20
20
21
22
23
24
24
25
26
27
20
20
29
30
31
22
32
33
34
35
20
30
37
38
30
10
40
41
42
<u>4</u> २
44
45
46
47
т/ 40
48
49
50
51
51
52
53
54
55
55
56
57
58
50

60

101 Recognition of their extensive and increasing occurrence, biodiversity, and 102 ecosystem service provision has resulted in increased research examining 103 temporary streams (Leigh et al., 2015; Datry et al., 2018). Despite this increase, 104 most ecological studies are from Mediterranean and dryland climates (e.g. Bonada, 105 Rieradevall, & Prat, 2007; Bogan et al., 2015; Cid et al., 2016; Leigh et al., 2016), 106 whereas research in cooler, wetter temperate climates remains restricted to a few 107 well-studied rivers including groundwater-dominated streams (Wood & Petts, 1999; 108 Hill & Milner, 2017; White et al., 2018). Such systems support high biodiversity 109 including rare species but are particularly sensitive to hydrological alterations, which 110 may manifest instream as reduced discharge in perennial reaches, as flow cessation 111 or drying in near-perennial reaches, and as prolonged ponded or dry states in non-112 perennial reaches. This spatial variability makes groundwater-dominated streams 113 useful systems in which to examine ecological responses to hydrological variability 114 (Wright, 1992).

115 This study examined taxonomic and functional macroinvertebrate assemblage 116 responses to antecedent hydrological variability (including flow cessation and drying 117 events) at sites with contrasting flow permanence regimes in a UK groundwater-118 dominated stream. We analysed a long-term dataset consisting of macroinvertebrate 119 samples and continuous, site-specific hydrological measurements. Hydrological data 120 were supplemented by observations of flow states aiding discrimination between 121 lentic conditions and streambed drying events. The following research questions 122 were addressed:

123 (i) Do perennial and non-perennial river reaches support distinct taxonomic124 and functional macroinvertebrate assemblages?

3 4	125	(ii)	Does heterogeneity of taxonomic and functional macroinvertebrate	
5 6	126		assemblages differ between perennial and non-perennial river reaches?	
7 8 9	127	(iii)	Which environmental parameters are influential in structuring the	
9 10 11	128		composition of taxonomic and functional macroinvertebrate assemblages	
12 13	129		in perennial and non-perennial river reaches?	
14 15 16	130	2. Metho	ods	
16 17 18	131	2.1 Stud	ly sites	
19 20	132	The Rive	er Misbourne is a small chalk stream in southern England which flows for	
21 22	133	approxim	nately 27 km to its confluence with the River Colne (Figure 1). Land use	
23 24 25	134	within the	e 94.8 km ² catchment is predominately arable (34.07%) with some grassland	
26 27	135	(28.33%)), mixed woodland (21.50%) and urban land cover (16.67%); NRFA, 2017).	
28 29 30 31 32	136	The Misbourne experiences predictable annual discharge variation and loses water		
	137	from its u	upper reaches and the middle section upstream of Chalfont St. Peter (Figure	
33 34	138	1). Natur	al variation in water loss is exacerbated by anthropogenic influences (e.g.,	
35 36	139	channel	realignment to provide water to historic mills and instream channel	
37 38 39	140	modificat	tions).	
40 41 42	141	Six samp	oling sites (1-6 from upstream to downstream) with contrasting flow	
43 44	142	permane	ence were examined during the 26-year study period (1990–2016; Table 1;	
45 46	143	Figure 1)). For the purposes of this study, sites were categorised as 'non-perennial'	
47 48 49	144	being the	ose that dry regularly (sites 1, 3 and 4), or 'perennial' being those that never	
50 51	145	cease to	flow or maintain flow except during extreme drought events (sites 2, 5 and	
52 53	146	6.) Table	a 1 summarises the physical characteristics of each site and the flow	
54 55 56	147	permane	ence regime according to two hydrological metrics: the six-month	
57 58	148	predictat	oility of dry periods (Sd6; which ranges from 0 - no predictability to 1 - total	
59 60	149	predictat	oility) and the mean number of days with zero flow (Gallart et al., 2012).	

2	
- 3 4	150
5 6	151
7 8	152
9 10	153
11 12	
13 14	154
15 16	155
17 18	156
19 20 21	157
21 22 23	158
24 25	159
26 27	160
28 29 20	161
30 31 32	162
33 34	163
35 36	164
37	104
38 39	165
40 41 42	166
43 44	167
45 46	168
47 48	169
49 50	170
51 52	171
53 54	
55	172
56 57	173
58 59	174

Hydrological variability was evident within and among the six sampling sites during
the study period. Droughts occurred in England in 1997-1998, 2006-2007 and 20112012, and wet phases in 2001 and 2014 (Marsh, Cole, & Wilby, 2007; Marsh et al.,
2013).

154 2.2 Macroinvertebrate data

5 Benthic macroinvertebrate samples were collected by the Environment Agency of 6 England using their standard sampling protocol for statutory monitoring purposes: a 7 3-minute kick sample (1-mm mesh size) encompassing all habitats in proportion to 8 their occurrence, followed by a 1-minute hand search (Murray-Bligh, 1999). 9 Sampling was conducted in spring (March – May) and autumn (September – 0 November; UKTAG, 2014) and began between 1990 and 1998 (dependent on site) 1 and continued until 2016. For sites surveyed more than once in a single sampling 2 season, the most recent replicate was retained to ensure independence of samples, 3 leaving a total of 180 samples. Taxa were mainly identified to family level, except 4 Hydracarina and Collembola, which were recorded as such. Due to the semi-5 quantitative technique, abundance data were standardised into ordinal classes (1 = 6 $1-9, 2 = 10-32, 3 = 33-99, 4 = 100-332, 5 = 333-999, 6 = \ge 1000$ individuals per 7 sample; Durance & Ormerod, 2009; Mathers et al., 2016).

The functional composition of macroinvertebrate communities was characterised by
assigning taxa into 11 categories comprising 61 biological traits from the Tachet,
Bournaud, Richoux, & Usseglio-Polatera (2010) database (Table S1). Traits were
assigned to genera based on a fuzzy-coding approach with scores ranging from zero
(indicating no affinity) to five (the strongest affinity based on available literature;
Chevene, Doléadec, & Chessel, 1994). Because we worked at the family level, we

averaged the affinities of all genera recorded within a family and these affinity scores were rescaled as proportions for each category (sum=1) for each taxon (sensu Gayraud et al., 2003). To produce a trait abundance matrix, taxon-trait categories were multiplied by the ordinal abundances and subsequently scaled such that each trait equalled one (Larsen & Ormerod, 2010a; Descloux, Datry, & Usseglio-Polatera, 2014; White et al., 2017a). Trait abundance and diversity can be achieved at the family level (i.e.) regardless of spatial scales (Gayraud et al., 2003; García-Roger et al., 2013). Chironomidae and taxa recorded at a coarser resolution than family level were excluded, because these taxa are likely to include multiple representatives with highly variable responses (Monk et al., 2012; Cañedo-Argüelles, Bogan, Lytle, & Prat, 2016) Of the 90 taxa recorded, 78 were assigned functional traits. Alpha diversity of each sample was determined via taxa richness and the inverse Simpson's diversity value (Oksanen et al., 2015), which accounts for the fixed number of traits and the lack of independence between traits (Larsen & Ormerod, 4. 2010b; White et al., 2017b).

2.3 Hydrological data

Discharge has been gauged continuously in the lower Misbourne (51°40' N, 00°39' W) since 1985 and in the upper river (51°34' N, 00°29' W) since 1993 (Figure 1) with additional spot discharge measurements taken along the river under varying flow conditions (Figure 1). Table S2 shows the time periods and number of spot gauge measurements used to establish flow relationships at each site. Daily mean discharge data provided by the Environment Agency of England (available from NRFA, 2017) and spot-gauging measurements closest to the biological monitoring sites were used to generate site-specific discharge time series using linear regressions (see Gordon, McMahon, Finlayson, Gippel, & Nathan 2004). This

1 ว	
2 3 4	200
5 6	20 ⁻
7 8 0	202
9 10 11	203
12 13	204
14 15 16	20
10 17 18	206
19 20	207
21 22	208
23 24 25	209
26 27	21(
28 29	21′
30 31 32	212
33 34	213
35 36	214
37 38 30	21
39 40 41	216
42 43	217
44 45	218
46 47 48	219
49 50	22(
51 52	22
53 54	22
55 56 57	222
58 59	223
60	224

200	approach provides sufficient representation of hydrological conditions at a site, gives
201	a good description of relative patterns but may lack precision for low flows (Malcolm
202	et al., 2012a), and has been used successfully in hydroecological assessments
203	(Gordon et al., 2004; Westwood et al., 2017). For sites 2-5, between one and four
204	linear regressions were constructed reflecting different hydrological periods
205	associated with discharge levels (Table S2). Figure 2 provides hydrographs for
206	typical perennial and non-perennial river reaches.
207	
208	Using the derived daily mean discharge data, we calculated antecedent hydrological
209	metrics previously shown to be relevant when examining macroinvertebrate
210	response to flows (Dunbar et al. 2010a, 2010b). We divided the year into two periods
211	which we termed summer flows (April–September) and winter flows (October–
212	March). Each flow period for each site thus provides a series of blocks of discharge
213	data, each of 183 days for summer and 182/183 days for winter. For each block, two
214	statistics were calculated: the % of zero-flow days for the previous year (% of winter
215	zero-flow days – % W1 zero-flow days; % of summer zero-flow days – % S1 zero-
216	flow days) and two characterising mean discharge (mean winter discharge – Mean
217	W1; mean summer discharge – Mean S1). In addition, mean discharge (Mean S2)
218	and % of zero-flow days (% S2 zero-flow days) were calculated for the summer
219	before S1, resulting in six hydrological statistics.

⁰ 220 **2.4 Data analysis**

221 2.4.1 Macroinvertebrate responses in perennial and non-perennial river reaches
 222 All analyses were conducted in R version 3.4.3 (R Development Core Team, 2017).
 223 To assess whether alpha diversity varied in association with flow permanence
 224 regime (perennial or non-perennial), season, site and the interaction of these factors,

differences in alpha diversity were tested via a linear mixed-effects model using the 'Ime' function in the 'nIme' package (Pinheiro et al., 2016). Season, site and flow permanence regime were fitted as fixed interacting effects and year as a random effect to account for community compositions from individual sites being potentially correlated over time. Significance values were obtained using Type II analysis of variance (ANOVA) in the 'car' package (Fox & Weisberg, 2011). Marginal r² (proportion of variance explained by the fixed effects alone) and conditional r^2 (proportion of variance explained by the fixed and random effects) values were extracted using the 'MuMIn' package (Barton, 2016). Differences in community composition were explored as a function of the interactive explanatory factors of site, flow permanence regime and season via a permutational multivariate ANOVA (PERMANOVA) using the 'adonis' function in the 'vegan' package (Oksanen et al., 2015). Indicator taxa and traits were identified for perennial and non-perennial sites using the 'multipatt' function within the 'indicspecies' package (De Cáceres & Jansen, 2016). An indicator value of >0.25 was accepted as ecologically relevant (Dufrêne & Legendre, 1997), and all significant indicators with a fidelity value of <0.25 removed to exclude rare taxa and traits (De Cáceres, Legendre, Wiser, & Brotons, 2012; Trivellone et al., 2014). Indicator values were assigned based on global, spring and autumn datasets to assess variation between seasons. 2.4.2 Heterogeneity in macroinvertebrate community composition in perennial and non-perennial river reaches To examine heterogeneity in macroinvertebrate community composition, homogeneity of multivariate dispersions were calculated for functional and taxonomic

communities based on Bray-Curtis distances using the 'betadisper' function in

John Wiley & Sons, Ltd

2
3
4
5
ر د
6
7
8
9
10
11
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
10
17
20
21
22
23
24
25
25
20
27
28
29
30
31
32
22
22
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
17
40
40
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
55
50
57
58
59

250	'vegan'. Tests were run on flow permanence regime (perennial and non-perennial)
251	on global, spring and autumn datasets. Statistical differences in multivariate
252	dispersion between the flow permanence groups and within flow permanence regime
253	by season were tested using one-way ANOVA. Heterogeneity of all taxonomic and
254	functional samples (regardless of factors) were determined to establish overall
255	temporal variability of the two approaches and tested using ANOVA.
256	2.4.3 Perennial and non-perennial macroinvertebrate community associations with
257	environmental variables
258	Redundancy analyses (RDA) were performed using the 'ordistep' function in 'vegan'
259	to examine relationships between taxonomic / functional composition and 13
260	environmental parameters, specifically six hydrological metrics (described above),
261	five substrate characteristics assessed via visual estimates (% boulders, cobbles,
262	pebbles, gravel, silt), and two mean wetted channel dimensions (width, depth;
263	Murray-Bligh, 1999). Prior to analysis, a Hellinger transformation was applied to the
264	taxonomic data. A stepwise (forward and backward) selection procedure using
265	permutational-based significance tests (999 permutations) was used to identify
266	factors that influenced assemblages, with only significant variables included in the
267	final model. Final variables were checked for collinearity using the 'vif function in the
268	<i>'car'</i> package to ensure that all <i>'variance inflation factors'</i> were <3 (Zuur et al., 2010).
269	Analyses were conducted on spring and autumn datasets for taxonomic and
270	functional communities.
271	3. Results

 $35_{56}_{56}_{56}$ 272**3.1 Macroinvertebrate responses in perennial and non-perennial river reaches** 57_{58}_{58} 273_{58}_{59} Taxa richness for the 26-year study period was higher at perennial sites (mean ± SE 60_{59}_{59} 274_{59}_{59} 34 ± 0.56 , range 9-39) compared to non-perennial sites (18 ± 0.81, range 4-30) with

11

John Wiley & Sons, Ltd

15 families being unique to perennial river reaches (most notably Odontoceridae and Psychomyiidae). Functional diversity was higher at perennial sites (mean inverse Simpson's diversity value 31 ± 0.11) compared to non-perennial sites (21 ± 0.21). Taxonomic richness and functional diversity varied as a function of flow permanence regime and the interaction of flow permanence regime and site but not by the individual influence of site and season or the interaction of any other factors (all *p* >0.05; Table 2). Site explained the greatest amount of variation in taxonomic community composition, whereas the interaction of site and flow permanence regime was the most influential factor for functional community composition, as indicated by the greater r² and F values (Table 3). Both taxonomic and functional communities differed as a function of site, season and flow permanence regime and the interaction of flow regime and site (Table 3). There was no interaction between season and either site or flow permanence regime. Indicator analysis (Table 4) determined that non-perennial sites were characterised by taxa with resistance forms including cocoons and housing against desiccation, such as Lymnaeidae, the strongest indicator taxon. These sites also supported taxa that temporarily attach to substrates and surface swimmers (e.g. Dytiscidae). The family Lumbricidae was also a strong indicator of non-perennial sites. Perennial sites supported large taxa (>8 cm, e.g. Erpobdellidae), taxa with <1 brood per year, those with parasitic and carnivorous feeding modes (e.g. Erpobdellidae, Glossiphoniidae), and those permanently attached to substrates (e.g. Hydrobiidae). Indicator values were generally higher for traits than for taxa and at perennial sites than non-perennial sites (Table 4), and taxonomic indicator values were higher in spring

2 2	
כ ⊿	
4 7	
כ 6	
07	
/	
ð	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
10	
1/	
10	
19	
∠U 21	
21 22	
22	
23 24	
24	
25	
20 27	
27 28	
20	
30	
30	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	

60

305

299 compared to autumn (Tables S3 and S4). Indicators were generally comparable 300 between seasons with a few exceptions at non-perennial sites, notably Corixidae, 301 Hydroptilidae, Crangonyctidae in autumn and Lumbricidae in spring. Taxa with 302 passive aquatic dispersal, small body size (>1-2 cm and <0.25 cm) and with adult 303 aquatic stage characterised autumn samples whilst spring supported taxa that 304 temporally attach to substrates or which have an aquatic nymph stage.

3.2 Heterogeneity in macroinvertebrate community composition in perennial

306 and non-perennial river reaches

307 Taxonomic communities demonstrated greater temporal dispersion (mean distance 308 of all communities: 0.369) than functional communities (0.084). Heterogeneity was 309 higher at non-perennial sites compared to perennial sites for taxonomic and 310 functional communities regardless of season (Table 5). Both taxonomic and 311 functional communities differed in heterogeneity between perennial and non-312 perennial sites within the global and spring models, whereas only functional 313 communities differed in autumn (Table 6). Heterogeneity within perennial or non-314 perennial groups was comparable between seasons (all p > 0.05), but was generally 315 lower in autumn at non-perennial sites, in both taxonomic and functional community 316 composition.

317 **3.3 Perennial and non-perennial macroinvertebrate community associations**

⁹ 318 *with environment variables*

All RDA models were highly significant (all p = 0.001), with functional communities demonstrating a stronger association with the measured environmental parameters and the models accounting for greater variance on the first two axes, especially in spring (range 27.77-18.92%; Table S5). In all instances, hydrological metrics and

wetted channel characteristics influenced functional and taxonomic community composition. Width and depth were correlated with taxonomic and functional communities in both spring and autumn, with one exception (between depth and functional communities in spring; Table 7). Both taxonomic and functional communities were strongly correlated with a greater number of hydrological metrics in spring, whereas substrate characteristics were most important in autumn. The % of S1 zero-flow days had the greatest influence on both taxonomic and functional community composition in spring (as denoted by the greatest F value), whereas in autumn the % of silt and gravel exerted the greatest influence on taxonomic and functional communities, respectively (Table 7). Functional communities demonstrated a greater association with hydrological metrics in both spring and autumn (as indicated by the greater F values for % zero-flow days). Perennial and non-perennial taxonomic communities separated along RDA axis 1 in spring, which was correlated with hydrological metrics describing flow permanence (i.e. % S1 zero-flow days and mean S1; Figure 3a). Variation in community composition within non-perennial sites was associated with substrate conditions (% gravel) and wetted channel characteristics (width, depth), as reflected by separation along RDA axis 2. The two RDA axes accounted for 14.13% of variance and were highly significant (p < 0.05; Table 7). There was some evidence of a perennial and non-perennial site separation along RDA axis 1 which represented mean winter discharge, mean summer discharge and depth for autumn taxonomic communities (Figure 3b; RDA axes accounted for 13.86% of variance; Table 7). Variation within flow permanence regimes was correlated with % pebbles. In both seasons, community variability associated with the environmental parameters was greatest in perennial sites.

1 2		
- 3 4	348	
5 6	349	Separation of perennial and non-perennial sites was evident in spring functional
7 8 0	350	communities along RDA axis 1, which was associated with descriptors of flow
9 10 11	351	permanence regimes (% S1 - zero-flow days for the previous summer) and wetted
12 13	352	width (Figure 3c). Positioning of assemblages along RDA axis 2 reflected within flow
14 15	353	regime variation in community composition associated with mean winter discharge
16 17 18	354	(mean W1) and the two axes collectively explained 27.77% of the variation in
19 20	355	community composition. In contrast, separation of perennial and non-perennial
21 22	356	functional assemblages was not evident in autumn (Figure 3d; RDA axes accounted
23 24 25	357	for 18.92% of variance; Table 7). In both seasons, variability in communities
25 26 27	358	associated with the environmental parameters was greatest in non-perennial sites.
28 29	359	4. Discussion
30 31 32	360	Characterising broad-scale macroinvertebrate responses to hydrological variability
33 34	361	and flow permanence is challenging, because interacting local conditions such as
35 36	362	antecedent hydrological conditions, the core taxa present, and habitat characteristics
37 38	363	simultaneously influence ecological responses (Chakona, Phiri, Magadza, &
39 40 41	364	Brendonck, 2008; Leigh et al., 2016). Tackling this ecological complexity, our 26-
42 43	365	year study of taxonomic and functional macroinvertebrate communities
44 45	366	demonstrated that the dynamic, heterogeneous communities of non-perennial
46 47 48	367	streams can be captured effectively using paired biological-hydrological data
49 50	368	collected over multi-decade timescales. Flow permanence, wetted width and depth
51 52	369	were primary drivers of macroinvertebrate community composition, and the
53 54 55	370	importance of substrate conditions increased in autumn.
56 57	371	4.1 Macroinvertebrate responses within perennial and non-perennial river
58 59 60	372	reaches

3 4	373	Our research, conducted in a wet, cool temperate region, builds on short-term
5 6	374	oceanic-climate studies which have found that perennial and non-perennial river
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	375	reaches support discrete taxonomic macroinvertebrate assemblages (Wood et al.,
	376	2005; Stubbington et al., 2011; White et al., 2018). We found that these differences
	377	persisted long term (26 years) despite evident intra-annual variability in hydrological
14 15 16	378	conditions and low spatial / temporal extent of flow permanence in oceanic regions
16 17 18	379	compared to dryland regions, including the close proximity of perennial reaches.
19 20	380	
21 22 22	381	Other studies conducted over shorter time periods have reported that taxonomic
23 24 25	382	community composition at perennial and non-perennial sites may converge if
26 27	383	sampling is conducted after several months of continuous flow, reflecting
28 29	384	recolonisation by taxa persisting in refuges. However, our clear separation of sites
30 31 32	385	highlights the importance of long-term studies that represent the range and dominant
33 34	386	hydrological conditions experienced at a location (Delucchi, 1998; Wood et al., 2005;
35 36	387	Sheldon et al., 2010). We found differences in the composition and alpha diversity of
37 38 30	388	taxonomic and functional communities in perennial and non-perennial river reaches.
40 41	389	Flow permanence regime was the primary driver of diversity differences, with
42 43	390	perennial sites supporting higher values than non-perennial sites regardless of
44 45	391	season (Bonada et al., 2007; Datry, 2012; Schriever et al., 2015; Suárez et al.,
40 47 48 49	392	2017).
50 51	393	We did, however, note subtle differences between the two methodological
52 53	394	approaches, with functional communities being more strongly influenced by flow
54 55 56	395	permanence regime (and the interaction with site) whilst taxonomic communities
57 58	396	demonstrated greater differences among sites. Communities were likely responding
59 60	397	to habitat-specific flow velocities, hydraulic habitats and substrate conditions (Lane

2	
3	308
4	290
5	~~~
6	399
7	
8	400
9	
10	401
11	
12	102
13	702
14	400
15	403
16	
17	404
18	
19	405
20	100
21	106
22	400
23	
24	407
25	
26	408
27	
28	409
29	400
30	110
31	410
32	
22 24	411
25	
36	412
30	
38	413
30	
40	111
40 41	414
42	
43	415
44	
45	416
46	
47	417
48	
49	110
50	410
51	
52	419
53	
54	420
55	
56	421
57	1 - 1
58	100
59	422

98 Pasternack, & Sandoval-Solis, 2018; White et al., 2019). Functional responses may 99 be less sensitive to small-scale fluctuations in hydrological conditions due to their 00 high stability in space and time (Statzner & Bêche, 2010), meaning that extreme 01 conditions may be required to eliminate enough taxa to cause detectable 02 compositional shifts (Schriever et al., 2015). Alpha diversity also demonstrated a 03 significant interaction between site and flow permanence regime, indicating that site--04 specific environmental controls may play a more important role in the structuring of 05 communities compared to general hydrological patterns (Bonada et al., 2007).

07 Broad patterns of compositional differences among non-perennial and perennial river ·08 reaches reflected the distributions of taxa adapted to the prevailing hydrological .09 conditions. Non-perennial river reaches were characterised by taxa with traits 10 promoting resistance to drying events, i.e. cocoons and housing against desiccation 11 (Bogan et al., 2014; Leigh et al., 2016). For example, some Lymnaeidae species are 12 characteristic of non-perennial waterbodies and are a desiccation-tolerant 13 component of the aquatic invertebrate 'seedbank' (Stubbington, Gunn, Little, Worrall, 14 & Wood, 2016). Galba truncatula was the dominant lymnaeid in our study stream, is 15 capable of air-breathing, and has previously been identified as indicative of 16 intermittent stream reaches (Stubbington et al., 2009; White et al., 2018). Surface 17 swimmers were found to indicative of non-perennial sites reflecting the presence of 18 lentic habitats when flow ceases (Hill and Milner, 2019). Coleopterans of the family 19 Dytiscidae were characteristic of non-perennial sites, possessing adaptations which 20 enable dry-phase persistence, such as air-breathing, an impermeable cuticle that 21 reduces water loss (Holdgate, 1956), and overland flight which enables dispersal -22 between disconnected waterbodies (Jackson, 1952). Lumbricidae were also 60

indicative of these sites and likely included aquatic, semi-aquatic and terrestrial species (Stubbington et al., 2016).

Taxa characterising the perennial reaches had traits reliant on continuous water availability, such as feeding on aquatic prey (e.g. Erpobdellidae, Glossiphoniidae) and permanent attachment to a substrate (e.g. Hydrobiidae). Although predators can thrive during flow recession due to the concentration of prev within a declining habitat area (Acuña et al., 2005), their typically large body sizes can prevent access to saturated refuges during dry phases, causing population densities to decline (Ledger, Edwards, Brown, Milner, & Woodward, 2011). Perennial reaches were also characterised by K-selected traits, with the prevalence of large-bodied and long-lived taxa which exhibit low voltinism typically increasing with the hydroperiod (wet-phase duration; Corti, Kohler, & Sparks, 1996; García-Roger et al., 2013).

Notable seasonal differences in indicator taxa within non-perennial reaches included taxa with smaller body sizes, aquatic passive dispersal and adult aquatic stages in autumn. Taxa possessing such traits include Crangonyctidae and Corixidae, which were characteristic of non-perennial reaches. These taxa are associated with slow-flowing and/or lentic waters (Jass & Klausmeier, 2003; Van de Meutter, Stoks, & De Meester, 2006; Wiberg-Larsen, 2008), and may have inhabited pools and ponded habitats (Hill & Milner, 2018). Habitat complexity may have enabled their localised persistence after flow resumption in autumn (Lane et al., 2018), prior to likely displacement by high winter flows. Indicator traits unique to spring included nymph life stages and temporary attachment to substrates. Such taxa are likely to be insects with seasonal life cycle dynamics, for example Simuliidae, whose abundance peaked in spring with temporary attachment enabling rapid migration to refuges as drying

447 commences. That such taxa were not non-perennial indicators suggests non448 discriminant seasonal colonisation of reaches with contrasting flow permanence
449 regimes.

Indicator values were generally higher for functional traits than taxa, highlighting the potential of traits as ecological indicators of environmental conditions (Sarremejane et al., 2017a). Functional responses may facilitate clearer observations of responses to changing environmental conditions (such as hydrology) as there is less 'noise' in datasets, due to functional redundancy (García-Roger, 2017), and some traits such as resistance forms may enable the persistence of multiple taxa at non-perennial sites (Boersma et al., 2014). Including trait-based assessments in ecological monitoring may therefore enhance fundamental understanding of the links between biodiversity and ecosystem function (Monk et al., 2018; Reiss, Bridle, Montoya, & Woodward, 2009).

4.2 Heterogeneity in macroinvertebrate community composition of perennial

461 and non-perennial river reaches

Taxonomic and functional communities inhabiting non-perennial sites were characterised by greater heterogeneity than perennial river reaches. Such variability may reflect higher temporal and spatial habitat heterogeneity (Bonada et al., 2007; Datry et al., 2014b; Cid et al., 2017), which allows a diverse range of aquatic taxa to sequentially inhabit lotic and lentic instream habitats (Bogan & Lytle, 2007; Munné & Prat, 2011; Giam et al., 2017; Tonkin et al., 2017). Overall, functional assemblage compositions were temporally and spatially stable in this study (demonstrated by the significantly lower heterogeneity values compared to taxonomic communities), despite hydrological variability which encompassed drought events and periods of

471 above-average precipitation, suggesting their potential as stable indicator (Bêche et
472 al., 2006; Statzner & Bêche, 2010; Dolédec, Tilbian & Bonada, 2017).

Some evidence of seasonal effects was detected in community heterogeneity, with non-perennial sites demonstrating reduced heterogeneity in autumn in both taxonomic and functional communities, whereas perennial reaches did not differ in heterogeneity among seasons. Autumn is typically when the influence of flow permanence and low flows on aquatic communities is most evident in temperate-zone streams, due to recent flow resumption. Non-perennial sites may be more temporally heterogeneous in spring dependent on dispersal of recolonists from refuges following flow resumption; these processes are likely to be highly variable (Cañedo-Argüelles et al., 2015; Sarremejane, Mykrä, Bonada, Aroviita, & Muotka, 2017b). For example, Perrow, Leeming, England, & Tomlinson (2007) noted specific flowing-water species at some Misbourne sites after flow resumption following the 1996/1997 drought that had not been recorded elsewhere in the river, thereby increasing heterogeneity.

486 4.3 Perennial and non-perennial macroinvertebrate community associations 487 with environmental variables

488 Our results indicate that hydrological metrics were the primary factors structuring
489 functional and taxonomic macroinvertebrate communities, most notably in spring,
490 and for functional communities. Trait-based approaches may provide a robust means
491 of assessing ecological responses to hydrology across regions with different taxon
492 pools (White et al., 2017a). The high temporal stability in functional communities can
493 help facilitate discrimination of spatial environmental differences in assemblage
494 composition (Cid et al., 2016; García-Roger 2017) and may explain why spring

495 functional communities demonstrated the strongest association to a measured496 environmental parameter.

The % of zero-flow days in the previous summer (April–September) was of greatest importance in structuring spring communities. Wetted channel characteristics were also significant drivers, with width demonstrating a significant correlation in all four models and depth in all but one. Autumn communities were more strongly correlated with substrate conditions (% silt, pebble or gravel) that characterise the legacy of hydraulic conditions. These results provide further evidence that localised hydraulic observations may improve the characterisation of forces that biota are responding to at the time of sampling compared to the use of discharge-related statistics alone (Malcolm et al., 2012b; Monk et al., 2018; White et al., 2019)

Functional redundancy may promote community resilience to environmental variation (Schriever et al., 2015; Oliver et al., 2015) and may explain the lack of separation between functional communities in perennial and non-perennial river reaches in the autumn. Non-perennial communities in autumn may represent a subset of those from perennial reaches (Arscott et al., 2010; Datry, 2012; Datry et al., 2014a), comprising resistant representatives that are able to persist in moist habitats/substrates (e.g. Galba truncatula; Stubbington & Datry, 2013). In contrast, spring communities also include taxa which may have recolonised non-perennial reaches from perennial sources upstream or persisted in-situ following flow resumption. The composition of functional processes may therefore have been altered resulting in separation of the two functional flow permanence communities during spring. In contrast taxonomic composition demonstrated some overlap in perennial and non-perennial river reaches but differences in composition were evident in both spring and autumn.

5. Conclusion

As global recognition of the biodiversity value and ecosystem service provision of temporary streams increases (Acuña et al., 2017; Datry et al., 2017b), so does their extent, in response to interacting climate change and water resource pressures. Ecologically robust conservation and management strategies are therefore required, and will be most effective if informed by analyses that interpret both taxonomic and functional responses to flow permanence in the context of long-term intra- and inter-annual hydrological variability (Döll & Schmied, 2012; Watts et al., 2015). Our results suggest that functional approaches could be a robust means of assessing ecological responses to hydrological drivers. We call for future research that builds on our work to further inform the protection of both perennial and non-perennial streams as they adapt to ongoing environmental change.

2 531 Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Geoff Angel and Rebecca Ross from the Environment Agency of England for the provision of the hydrological data and the many Environment Agency staff who collected the hydrological and biological data. Thanks also go to Romain Sarremejane for the calculation of flow-permanence flow metrics and Diana Hammond for providing background information about the river. Matthew Hill is thanked for useful discussions pertaining to the analyses of the study. The views expressed within the paper are those of the authors and not necessarily those of their organisations. We thank the anonymous reviewers who for their helpful and constructive comments.

541 Data availability: The daily mean discharge data for sites 1 and 6 can be obtained
 542 freely from the National River Flow Archive at https://nrfa.ceh.ac.uk/data using the
 543 station codes of 39127 and 39102. Biological data for all sites and spot gauged data

2		
3 4	544	(sites 2-5) are available on request from the Environment Agency via
5 6 7	545	http://apps.environment-agency.gov.uk/contact/.
8 9 10	546	
11 12 13	547	List of Figures
14 15 16	548	Figure 1. Locations of biotic and abiotic parameters used in the study and the flow
17 18 19	549	permanence regime of the River Misbourne, UK.
20 21	550	Figure 2. Typical hydrographs of discharge regimes at: (a) non-perennial and; (b)
22 23 24	551	perennial river reaches on the River Misbourne during the study period. Note:
25 26	552	gauging records were not present at sites 1-4 until 1994. Site 3 (non-perennial) and
27 28 29	553	site 6 (perennial) are shown.
30 31	554	Figure 3. Redundancy analysis (RDA) of: (a) spring taxonomic; (b) autumn
32 33 24	555	taxonomic; (c) spring functional and; (d) autumn functional macroinvertebrate
34 35 36	556	community composition on the River Misbourne. Perennial sites = grey; non-
37 38	557	perennial sites = black. Only significant environmental parameters influencing faunal
39 40	558	data are presented. % W1 zero-flow days = % of winter zero-flow days; % S1 zero-
41 42 43	559	flow days and % S2 zero-flow days = % of summer zero-flow days; mean W1 =
44 45	560	mean winter discharge; mean S1 and S2 = mean summer discharge. Two years of
46 47	561	antecedent summer (April–September) and one year of winter (October–March) data
48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	562	were used. Note the difference in scale between panes a-b and c-d.

563 References

1 2 3

4 5

Acuña, V., Muñoz, I., Giorgi, A., Omella, M., Sabater, F., & Sabater, S. (2005).
 Drought and postdrought recovery cycles in an intermittent Mediterranean stream:
 Structural and functional aspects. *Journal of the North American Benthological* Society, 24, 919–933. doi:10.1899/04-078.1

Acuña, V., Hunter, M. & Ruhí, A. (2017). Managing temporary streams and rivers as
 unique rather than second-class ecosystems. *Biological Conservation*, *211*, pp.12 570 19.doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2016.12.025

Arscott, D. B., Larned, S., Scarsbrook, M. R., & Lambert, P. (2010). Aquatic
 invertebrate community structure along an intermittence gradient: Selwyn River, New
 Zealand. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society, 29*, 530-545. doi:
 10.1899/08-124.1

²³₂₄ 575 Bartoń, K. (2016) MuMIn: Multi-Model inference. R package version 1.15.6.

²⁵
 ²⁶
 ²⁷
 ²⁷
 ²⁸
 ²⁹
 ²⁷
 ²⁸
 ²⁹
 ²⁷
 ²⁸
 ²⁹
 ²⁹
 ²⁹
 ²⁰
 ²⁰
 ²¹
 ²²
 ²³
 ²⁴
 ²⁵
 ²⁵
 ²⁵
 ²⁶
 ²⁷
 ²⁸
 ²⁹
 ²⁸
 ²⁹
 ²⁹
 ²⁹
 ²⁰
 ²¹
 ²¹
 ²²
 ²⁵
 ²⁶
 ²⁷
 ²⁸
 ²⁹
 ²⁷
 ²⁸
 ²⁹
 ²⁹
 ²⁹
 ²⁹
 ²⁰
 ²⁰
 ²¹
 ²¹
 ²¹
 ²²
 ²⁵
 ²⁵
 ²⁶
 ²⁷
 ²⁸
 ²⁹
 ²⁷
 ²⁸
 ²⁹
 ²⁹
 ²⁹
 ²⁹
 ²⁹
 ²¹
 ²¹
 ²¹
 ²¹
 ²¹
 ²²
 ²³
 ²⁵
 ²⁵
 ²⁵
 ²⁶
 ²⁶
 ²⁷
 ²⁸
 ²⁹
 ²⁹
 ²⁹
 ²⁹
 ²⁹
 ²¹
 ²¹
 ²¹
 ²¹
 ²²
 ²⁵
 ²⁵
 ²⁵
 ²⁵
 ²⁶
 ²⁶
 ²⁷
 ²⁸
 ²⁹
 ²¹
 ²¹
 ²¹
 ²¹
 ²¹
 <li

- ³⁰ 579 Bêche, L. A., Mcelravy, E. P., & Resh, V. H. (2006). Long-term seasonal variation in
 ³¹ 580 the biological traits of benthic-macroinvertebrates in two Mediterranean-climate
 ³³ 581 streams in California, USA. *Freshwater Biology, 51,* 56–75. doi:10.1111/j.1365 ³⁴ 582 2427.2005.01473.x
- ³⁶ ³⁷ ³⁸
 ³⁶ ³⁷
 ³⁶ ³⁸
 ³⁸
 ³⁹ ⁴⁰
 ³⁹
 ³⁹
 ³⁵
 ³⁹
 ³⁰
 ³¹
 ³¹
 ³²
 ³³
 ³⁵
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁸
 ³⁹
 ³⁹
 ³⁹
 ³⁰
 ³¹
 ³¹
 ³¹
 ³²
 ³⁵
 ³⁵
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁸
 ³⁹
 ³⁹
 ³⁹
 ³⁰
 ³¹
 ³¹
 ³¹
 ³²
 ³⁵
 ³⁵
 ³⁵
 ³⁶
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁶
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁷
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁶
 ³⁷
 ³⁶
- ⁴¹ 586 Bogan, M. T., Boersma, K. S., & Lytle, D. A. (2013). Flow intermittency alters
 ⁴² 587 longitudinal patterns of invertebrate diversity and assemblage composition in an
 ⁴⁴ 588 arid-land stream network. *Freshwater Biology*, *58*, 1016–1028. doi:10.1111/fwb.1210
- ⁴⁶ 589 Bogan, M.T., Boersma, K.S. & Lytle, D.A. (2015). Resistance and resilience of
 ⁴⁷ 590 invertebrate communities to seasonal and supraseasonal drought in arid-land
 ⁴⁹ 591 headwater streams. *Freshwater Biology*, *60*, 2547-2558.doi:-10.1111/fwb.12522
- ⁵¹ 592 Bogan, M. T., & Lytle, D. A. (2007). Seasonal flow variation allows 'time-sharing' by
 ⁵² 593 disparate aquatic insect communities in montane desert streams. *Freshwater* ⁵³ 594 *Biology*, *52*, 290–304. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2427.2006.01691.x
- ⁵⁵
 ⁵⁶
 ⁵⁷
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁷
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵¹
 ⁵¹
 ⁵²
 ⁵³
 ⁵³
 ⁵³
 ⁵⁴
 ⁵⁵
 ⁵⁵
 ⁵⁵
 ⁵⁶
 ⁵⁷
 ⁵⁸
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁰
 ⁵¹
 ⁵²
 ⁵³
 ⁵³
 ⁵⁴
 ⁵⁵
 ⁵⁵
 ⁵⁵
 ⁵⁶
 ⁵⁷
 ⁵⁶
 ⁵⁷
 ⁵⁷
 ⁵⁸
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁰
 ⁵¹
 ⁵¹
 ⁵²
 ⁵²
 ⁵³
 ⁵³
 ⁵⁴
 ⁵⁵
 ⁵⁵
 ⁵⁵
 ⁵⁶
 ⁵⁷
 ⁵⁷
 ⁵⁸
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁰
 ⁵⁰
 ⁵¹
 ⁵¹
 ⁵²
 ⁵³
 ⁵⁴
 ⁵⁴
 ⁵⁵
 ⁵⁵
 ⁵⁵
 ⁵⁶
 ⁵⁷
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁹
 ⁵⁰
 <li
 - 24

45

50

Page 25 of 45

1 2		
3 4 5 6 7 8	598 599 600 601	Bonada, N., Rieradevall, M., Prat, N., & Resh, V. H. (2006). Benthic macroinvertebrate assemblages and macrohabitat connectivity in Mediterranean- climate streams of northern California. <i>Journal of the North American Benthological</i> <i>Society, 25</i> , 32–43. doi:10.1899/0887-3593(2006)25[32:BMAAMC]2.0.CO;2
9 10 11 12 13 14	602 603 604 605	Cañedo-Argüelles, M., Boersma, K. S., Bogan, M. T., Olden, J. D., Phillipsen, I., Schriever, T. A. & Lytle, D. A. (2015). Dispersal strength determines meta-community structure in a dendritic riverine network. <i>Journal of Biogeography</i> , <i>42</i> , 778–790. doi:10.1111/jbi.12457
15 16 17 18 19	606 607 608	Cañedo-Argüelles, M., Bogan, M. T., Lytle, D. A., & Prat, N. (2016). Are Chironomidae (Diptera) good indicators of water scarcity? Dryland streams as a case study. <i>Ecological Indicators, 71</i> , 155–162. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.07.002
20 21 22 23 24 25	609 610 611 612	Chakona, A., Phiri, C., Magadza, C. H., & Brendonck, L. (2008). The influence of habitat structure and flow permanence on macroinvertebrate assemblages in temporary rivers in northwestern Zimbabwe. <i>Hydrobiologia</i> , <i>607</i> , 199–209. doi:10.1007/s10750-008-9391-3
26 27 28 29 30	613 614 615	Chessman, B. C. (2015). Relationships between lotic macroinvertebrate traits and responses to extreme drought. <i>Freshwater Biology, 60,</i> 50–63. doi:10.1111/fwb.12466
31 32 33 34 35	616 617 618	Chevene, F., Doléadec, S., & Chessel, D. (1994). A fuzzy coding approach for the analysis of long-term ecological data. <i>Freshwater Biology, 31</i> , 295–309. doi:1111/j.1365-2427.1994.tb01742.x
36 37 38 39	619 620 621	Cid, N., Bonada, N., Carlson, S. M., Grantham, T. E., Gasith, A., & Resh, V. H. (2017). High variability is a defining component of Mediterranean-climate rivers and their biota. <i>Water, 9,</i> 52. doi:10.3390/w9010052
40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	622 623 624 625	Cid, N., Verkaik, I., García-Roger, E. M., Rieradevall, M., Bonada, N., Sánchez- Montoya, M. M., Buffagni, A. (2016). A biological tool to assess flow connectivity in reference temporary streams from the Mediterranean Basin. <i>Science of the Total</i> <i>Environment, 540,</i> 178–190. doi:10.3390/w9010052
	626 627 628	Corti, D., Kohler, S. L., & Sparks, R. E. (1996). Effects of hydroperiod and predation on a Mississippi River floodplain invertebrate community. <i>Oecologia</i> , <i>109</i> , 154–165. doi:10.1007/s004420050070
5 1 52 53 54 55 56 57 58	629 630 631	Datry, T. (2012). Benthic and hyporheic invertebrate assemblages along a flow intermittence gradient: effects of duration of dry events. <i>Freshwater Biology</i> , <i>57</i> , 563-574. doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2011.02725.x
59 60		25

2		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	632 633 634	Datry, T., Boulton, A. J., Bonada, N., Fritz, K., Leigh, C., Sauquet, E., Dahm, C. N. (2017b). Flow intermittence and ecosystem services in rivers of the Anthropocene. <i>Journal of Applied Ecology, 55,</i> 353–364. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12941
	635 636 637 638	Datry, T., Larned, S., Fritz, K., Bogan, M., Wood, P. J., Meyer, E., & Santos, A. (2014a). Broad-scale patterns of invertebrate richness and community composition in temporary rivers: effects of flow intermittence. <i>Ecography, 37,</i> 94–104. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0587.2013.00287.x
	639 640	Datry, T., Larned, S. T., & Tockner, K. (2014b). Intermittent rivers: a challenge for freshwater ecology. <i>BioScience, 64,</i> 229–235. doi:10.1093/biosci/bit027
17 18 19 20 21 22	641 642 643 644	Datry, T., Vander Vorste, R., Goïtia, E., Moya, N., Campero, M., Rodriguez, F., Oberdorff, T. (2017a). Context-dependent resistance of freshwater invertebrate communities to drying. <i>Ecology and Evolution, 7,</i> 3201–3211. doi: 10.1002/ece3.2870. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12941
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 43 53 6 37 38 9 40 41 42 43 44 54 6 47 48 9 50 152 34 55 57 58 57 58	645 646 647	Datry, T., Boulton, AJ., Bonada, N., Fritz, K., Leigh, C., Sauquet, E., Tockner, K., Hugueny, B. & Dahm, C.N. (2018). Flow intermittence and ecosystem services in rivers of the Anthropocene. <i>Journal of Applied Ecology</i> , <i>55</i> , 353-364.
	648 649 650	De Cáceres, M., & Jansen, F. (2016). Indicspecies: Relationship between species and groups of sites. R package version 1.7.6. Available at https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/indicspecies/index.html
	651 652 653	De Cáceres, M., Legendre, P., Wiser, S. K., & Brotons, L. (2012). Using species combinations in indicator value analyses. <i>Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 3</i> , 973–982. doi:10.1111/j.2041-210X.2012.00246.x
	654 655 656	Delucchi, C. M. (1988). Comparison of community structure among streams with different temporal flow regimes. <i>Canadian Journal of Zoology, 66,</i> 579–586. doi:10.1139/z88-085
	657 658 659 660	Descloux, S., Datry, T., & Usseglio-Polatera, P. (2014). Trait-based structure of invertebrates along a gradient of sediment colmation: Benthos versus hyporheos responses. <i>Science of the Total Environment, 466,</i> 265–276. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.06.082
	661 662 663	Döll, P., & Schmied, H. M. (2012). How is the impact of climate change on river flow regimes related to the impact on mean annual runoff? A global-scale analysis. <i>Environmental Research Letters, 7,</i> p.014037. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/7/1/014037
	664 665 666 667	Dolédec, S., Tilbian, J., & Bonada, N. (2017). Temporal variability in taxonomic and trait composition of invertebrate assemblages in two climatic regimes with contrasting flow regimes. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> , <i>599–600</i> , 1912–1921. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.05.057
60		26

1 2		
2 3 4 5 6 7	668 669 670	Dufrêne, M., & Legendre, P. (1997). Species assemblages and indicator species: the need for a flexible asymmetrical approach. <i>Ecological Monographs, 67,</i> 345–366. doi:10.1890/0012-9615(1997)067[0345:SAAIST]2.0.CO;2
, 9 10 11 12 13	671 672 673 674	Dunbar, M. J., Pederson, M. L., Cadman, D., Extence, C. A., Waddingham, J., Chadd, R. P., & Larsen, S. E. (2010a). River discharge and local-scale physical habitat influence macroinvertebrate LIFE scores. <i>Freshwater Biology</i> , <i>55</i> , 226–242. doi.org/10.1111/j.1365 - 2427.2009.02306.x
14 15 16 17 18 19	675 676 677 678	Dunbar, M. J., Warren, M., Extence, C. A., Baker, L., Cadman, D., Mould, D. J., Chadd, R. P. (2010b). Interaction between macroinvertebrates, discharge and physical habitat in upland rivers. <i>Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater</i> <i>Ecosystems</i> , <i>20</i> , 31–44. doi: 10.1002/aqc.1089
20 21 22 23 24	679 680 681	Durance, I., & Ormerod, S. J. (2009). Trends in water quality and discharge confound long-term warming effects on river macroinvertebrates. <i>Freshwater Biology</i> , <i>54</i> , 388–405. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2427.2008.02112.x
25 26 27	682 683	Fox, J & Weisberg, S. (2011). An {R} Companion to Applied Regression, Second Edition. Thousand Oaks CA: Sage.
28 29 30 31 32	684 685 686	García-Roger, E. M. (2017). Benthic invertebrate community structure and biological traits along a flow intermittence gradient in a Mediterranean stream. <i>Fundamental and Applied Limnology</i> , <i>190</i> , 117–132. doi:10.1127/fal/2017/0984
33 34 35 36 37 38	687 688 689 690	García-Roger, E. M., Sánchez-Montoya, M. D. M., Cid, N., Erba, S., Karaouzas, I., Verkaik, I., DeMartini, D. (2013). Spatial scale effects on taxonomic and biological trait diversity of aquatic macroinvertebrates in Mediterranean streams. <i>Fundamental and Applied Limnology, 183,</i> 89–105. doi:10.1127/1863-9135/2013/0429
39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	691 692 693 694 695	Gallart, F., Prat, N., García-Roger, E.M., Latron, J., Rieradevall, M., Llorens, P., Barberá, G.G., Brito, D., De Girolamo, A.M., Porto, A.L. & Buffagni, A. (2012). A novel approach to analysing the regimes of temporary streams in relation to their controls on the composition and structure of aquatic biota. <i>Hydrology and Earth System Sciences</i> , <i>16</i> (9), 3165-3182. Doi: 10.5194/hess-16-3165-2012.
47 48 49 50 51 52	696 697 698 699	Gayraud, S., Statzner, B., Bady, P., Haybachp, A., Schöll, F., Usseglio-Polatera, P. and Bacchi, M., 2003. Invertebrate traits for the biomonitoring of large European rivers: an initial assessment of alternative metrics. <i>Freshwater Biology</i> , 48(11), 2045-2064. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2427.2003.01139.x
53 54 55 56 57 58	700 701 702	Gordon, N. D., McMahon, T. A., Finlayson, B. L., Gippel, C. J. & Nathan, R. J. (2004) Stream hydrology: An introduction for ecologists, (2nd ed.) Chichester, UK: Wiley. pp. 1-448.
59 60		27

Giam, X., Chen, W., Schriever, T. A., Van Driesche, R., Muneepeerakul, R., Lytle, D. A., & Olden, J. D. (2017). Hydrology drives seasonal variation in dryland stream macroinvertebrate communities. Aquatic Sciences, 79, 705–717. doi:10.1007/s00027-017-0530-7 Hill, M. J., & Milner, V. S. (2018). Ponding in intermittent streams: A refuge for lotic taxa and a habitat for newly colonising taxa? Science of the Total Environment, 628, 1308-1316. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.02.162 Holdgate, M. W. (1956). Transpiration through the cuticles of some aquatic insects. Journal of Experimental Biology, 33, 107–118. Jackson, D. J. (1952). Observations on the capacity for flight of water beetles. Proceedings of the Royal Entomological Society, 27, 57–70. Jass, J. & Klausmeier, B. (2003). Utilization of lotic, lentic, and temporary habitats by the amphipod Crangonyx gracilis. Journal of Freshwater Ecology, 18, 635-638. doi: 10.1080/02705060.2003.9664005 Lane, B. A., Pasternack, G. B., & Sandoval-Solis, S., 2018. Integrated analysis of flow, form, and function for river management and design testing. *Ecohydrology*, p.e1969. doi:10.1002/eco.1969 Larsen, S., & Ormerod, S. J. (2010a). Low-level effects of inert sediments on temperate stream invertebrates. Freshwater Biology, 55, 476–486. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2427.2009.02282 Larsen, S., & Ormerod, S. J. (2010b). Combined effects of habitat modification on trait composition and species nestedness in river invertebrates. *Biological* Conservation, 143, 2638-2646. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2010.07.006 Ledger, M. E., Edwards, F. K., Brown, L. E., Milner, A. M., & Woodward, G. (2011). Impact of simulated drought on ecosystem biomass production: an experimental test in stream mesocosms. Global Change Biology, 17, 2288-2297. doi:-10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02420.x Leigh, C., Bonada, N., Boulton, A. J., Hugueny, B., Larned, S. T., Vander Vorste, R., & Datry, T. (2016). Invertebrate assemblage responses and the dual roles of resistance and resilience to drying in intermittent rivers. Aquatic Sciences, 78, 291-301. doi:10.1007/s00027-015-0427-2 Leigh, C., Boulton, A. J., Courtwright, J. L., Fritz, K., May, C. L., Walker, R. H., & Datry, T. (2015). Ecological research and management of intermittent rivers: an historical review and future directions. Freshwater Biology, 61, 1181–1199. doi:10.1111/fwb.12646

1 2		
2 3 4 5 6 7	738 739 740	Leigh, C., & Datry, T. (2017). Drying as a primary hydrological determinant of biodiversity in river systems: A broad-scale analysis. <i>Ecography</i> , <i>40</i> , 487–499. doi:10.1111/ecog.02230
8 9 10 11	741 742 743	Malcolm, C. E. L., Young, A. R., Willmott, E. R., Holmes, M. G. R., & Gosling, R. D. modelled flows (2012a). <i>Proceedings of BHS 11th National Hydrology Symposium</i> , Dundee, UK, pp. 1–7. doi:10.7558/bhs.2012.ns31
12 13 14 15 16 17	744 745 746 747	Malcolm, I. A., Gibbins, C. N., Soulsby, C., Tetzlaff, D., & Moir, H. J. (2012b). The influence of hydrology and hydraulics on salmonids between spawning and emergence: implications for the management of flows in regulated rivers. <i>Fisheries Management and Ecology, 19</i> , 464–474. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2400.2011.00836.x
19 20 21	748 749	Marsh, T., Cole, G., & Wilby R. (2007). Major droughts in England and Wales, 1800 - 2006. <i>Weather</i> , 62, 87–93.
22 23 24 25 26	750 751 752	Marsh, T., Parry S., Kendon M. C., & Hannaford J. (2013). <i>The 2010-12 Drought and Subsequent Extensive Flooding</i> . Centre for Ecology & Hydrology: Wallingford, UK, pp. 1–64. Available at https://nora.nerc.ac.uk/id/eprint/503643/1/N503643CR.pdf
27 28 29 30 31 32	753 754 755 756	Mathers, K. L., Chadd, R. P., Dunbar, M. J., Extence, C. A., Reeds, J., Rice, S. P., & Wood, P. J. (2016). The long-term effects of invasive signal crayfish (<i>Pacifastacus leniusculus</i>) on instream macroinvertebrate communities. <i>Science of the Total Environment, 556,</i> 207–218. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.01.215
 33 34 35 36 37 38 	757 758 759 760	Menezes, S., Baird, D. J. & Soares, A. M. (2010). Beyond taxonomy: a review of macroinvertebrate trait-based community descriptors as tools for freshwater biomonitoring. <i>Journal of Applied Ecology</i> , <i>47</i> , 711–719. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2664.2010.01819.x
39 40 41 42 43 44	761 762 763 764	Monk, W.A., Compson, Z.G., Armanini, D.G., Orlofske, J.M., Curry, C.J., Peters, D.L., Crocker, J.B. and Baird, D.J., 2018. Flow velocity–ecology thresholds in Canadian rivers: A comparison of trait and taxonomy-based approaches. <i>Freshwater Biology</i> , <i>63</i> , 891-905. Doi: 10.1111/fwb.13030.
45 46 47 48 49 50	765 766 767 768	Monk, W. A., Wood, P. J., Hannah, D. M., Extence, C. A., Chadd, R. P., & Dunbar, M. J. (2012). How does macroinvertebrate taxonomic resolution influence ecohydrological relationships in riverine ecosystems. <i>Ecohydrology</i> , <i>5</i> , 36–45. doi:10.1002/eco.192
51 52 53 54 55 56 57	769 770 771 772	Monk, W. A., Compson, Z. G., Armanini, D. G., Orlofske, J. M., Curry, C. J., Peters, D. L., Baird, D. J. (2018). Flow velocity–ecology thresholds in Canadian rivers: A comparison of trait and taxonomy based approaches. <i>Freshwater Biology, 63</i> , 891–905. doi:10.1111/fwb.13030
58 59 60		29

3 4 5 6 7	773 774 775	Munné, A., & Prat, N. (2011). Effects of Mediterranean climate annual variability on stream biological quality assessment using macroinvertebrate communities. <i>Ecological Indicators</i> , <i>11</i> , 651–662. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2010.09.004
7 8 9 10	776 777	Murray-Bligh, J. (1999). <i>Procedures for Collecting and Analysing Macroinvertebrate Samples – BT0001</i> . Environment Agency: Bristol, UK, pp. 1–176.
11 12 13	778 779	NRFA (2017). National River Flow Archive. 39102 – Misbourne at Denham Lodge. Available at http://nrfa.ceh.ac.uk/data/station/info/39102 [accessed 26 April 2017]
14 15 16 17 18	780 781 782	Oksanen, J., F. G., Blanchet, M., Friendly, R., Kindt, P., Legendre, D., McGlinn, P. R., Wagner, H. (2015). Vegan: Community ecology package. R package version 2.3-1. Available at http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan
19 20 21 22	783 784	Perrow, M., Leeming, D. J., England, J. A. & Tomlinson, M. (2007). Life after low flow—ecological recovery of the River Misbourne. <i>British Wildlife, 18,</i> 335–347.
23 24 25	785 786	Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D, & R Core Team (2019). <i>nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models</i> . R package version 3.1-139
26 27 28 29 30 31	787 788 789 790	Poff, N. L., & Ward, J. V. (1989). Implications of streamflow variability and predictability for lotic community structure: a regional analysis of streamflow patterns. <i>Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences</i> , <i>46</i> , 1805–1818. doi:10.1139/f89-228
32 33 34	791	R Development Core Team. (2017) A language and environment for statistical
35	792	computing. Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna.
35 36 37 38 39	792 793 794 795	computing. Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. Reiss, J., Bridle, J. R., Montoya, J. M., & Woodward, G. (2009). Emerging horizons in biodiversity and ecosystem functioning research. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 24, 505–514. Doi:10.1016/j.tree.2009.03.018.
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	792 793 794 795 796 797 798	 computing. Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. Reiss, J., Bridle, J. R., Montoya, J. M., & Woodward, G. (2009). Emerging horizons in biodiversity and ecosystem functioning research. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 24, 505–514. Doi:10.1016/j.tree.2009.03.018. Rolls, R. J., Heino, J., & Chessman, B. C. (2016). Unravelling the joint effects of flow regime, climatic variability and dispersal mode on beta diversity of riverine communities. <i>Freshwater Biology</i>, <i>61</i>, 1350–1364. doi:10.1111/fwb.12793
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49	792 793 794 795 796 797 798 799 800 801	 computing. Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. Reiss, J., Bridle, J. R., Montoya, J. M., & Woodward, G. (2009). Emerging horizons in biodiversity and ecosystem functioning research. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 24, 505–514. Doi:10.1016/j.tree.2009.03.018. Rolls, R. J., Heino, J., & Chessman, B. C. (2016). Unravelling the joint effects of flow regime, climatic variability and dispersal mode on beta diversity of riverine communities. <i>Freshwater Biology</i>, <i>61</i>, 1350–1364. doi:10.1111/fwb.12793 Sarremejane, R., Cañedo-Argüelles, M., Prat, N., Mykrä, H., Muotka, T., & Bonada, N. (2017a). Do metacommunities vary through time? Intermittent rivers as model systems. <i>Journal of Biogeography</i>, <i>44</i>, 2752–2763. doi:10.1111/jbi.13077
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 50 51 52 53 54 55	 792 793 794 795 796 797 798 799 800 801 802 803 804 805 	 computing. Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. Reiss, J., Bridle, J. R., Montoya, J. M., & Woodward, G. (2009). Emerging horizons in biodiversity and ecosystem functioning research. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 24, 505–514. Doi:10.1016/j.tree.2009.03.018. Rolls, R. J., Heino, J., & Chessman, B. C. (2016). Unravelling the joint effects of flow regime, climatic variability and dispersal mode on beta diversity of riverine communities. <i>Freshwater Biology</i>, <i>61</i>, 1350–1364. doi:10.1111/fwb.12793 Sarremejane, R., Cañedo-Argüelles, M., Prat, N., Mykrä, H., Muotka, T., & Bonada, N. (2017a). Do metacommunities vary through time? Intermittent rivers as model systems. <i>Journal of Biogeography</i>, <i>44</i>, 2752–2763. doi:10.1111/jbi.13077 Sarremejane, R., Mykrä, H., Bonada, N., Aroviita, J., & Muotka, T. (2017b). Habitat connectivity and dispersal ability drive the assembly mechanisms of macroinvertebrate communities in river networks. <i>Freshwater Biology</i>, <i>62</i>, 1073–1082. doi:10.1111/fwb.12926
34 35 36 37 38 39 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 50 51 52 54 55 56 57 58 50 57 58 50 57 58 50 57 58 50 57 58 50 57 58 50 57 58 50 57 58 50 57 58 50 57 58 59 50 50 57 58 59	 792 793 794 795 796 797 798 799 800 801 802 803 804 805 806 807 	 computing. Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. Reiss, J., Bridle, J. R., Montoya, J. M., & Woodward, G. (2009). Emerging horizons in biodiversity and ecosystem functioning research. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 24, 505–514. Doi:10.1016/j.tree.2009.03.018. Rolls, R. J., Heino, J., & Chessman, B. C. (2016). Unravelling the joint effects of flow regime, climatic variability and dispersal mode on beta diversity of riverine communities. <i>Freshwater Biology</i>, <i>61</i>, 1350–1364. doi:10.1111/fwb.12793 Sarremejane, R., Cañedo-Argüelles, M., Prat, N., Mykrä, H., Muotka, T., & Bonada, N. (2017a). Do metacommunities vary through time? Intermittent rivers as model systems. <i>Journal of Biogeography</i>, <i>44</i>, 2752–2763. doi:10.1111/jbi.13077 Sarremejane, R., Mykrä, H., Bonada, N., Aroviita, J., & Muotka, T. (2017b). Habitat connectivity and dispersal ability drive the assembly mechanisms of macroinvertebrate communities in river networks. <i>Freshwater Biology</i>, <i>62</i>, 1073–1082. doi:10.1111/fwb.12926 Schriever, T. A., Bogan, M. T., Boersma, K. S., Cañedo-Argüelles, M., Jaeger, K. L., Olden, J. D., & Lytle, D. A. (2015). Hydrology shapes taxonomic and functional

2		
3 4 5	808 809	structure of desert stream invertebrate communities. <i>Freshwater Science</i> , <i>34,</i> 399–409. doi:10.1086/680518
6 7 8 9 10 11	810 811 812 813	Sheldon, F., Bunn, S. E., Hughes, J. M., Arthington, A. H., Balcombe, S. R., & Fellows, C. S. (2010). Ecological roles and threats to aquatic refugia in arid landscapes: dryland river waterholes. <i>Marine and Freshwater Research, 61,</i> 885–895. doi:10.1071/MF09239
12 13 14 15 16	814 815 816	Smith, H., & Wood, P. J. (2002). Flow permanence and macroinvertebrate community variability in limestone spring systems. <i>Hydrobiologia</i> , <i>487</i> , 45–58. doi:10.1023/A:1022932303652
17 18 19 20 21	817 818 819	Statzner, B., & Bêche, L. A. (2010). Can biological invertebrate traits resolve effects of multiple stressors on running water ecosystems? <i>Freshwater Biology</i> , <i>55</i> , 80–119. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2427.2009.02369.x
22 23 24 25 26 27	820 821 822 823	Stubbington, R., Greenwood, A.M., Wood, P.J., Armitage, P.D., Gunn, J. & Robertson, A.L. (2009). The response of perennial and temporary headwater stream invertebrate communities to hydrological extremes. <i>Hydrobiologia</i> , 630, 299-312. doi: 10.1007/s10750-009-9823-8
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	824 825 826	Stubbington, R., Wood, P.J., Reid, I. & Gunn, J. (2011). Benthic and hyporheic invertebrate community responses to seasonal flow recession in a groundwater-dominated stream. <i>Ecohydrology</i> , <i>4</i> , 500-511. Doi:10.1002/eco.168
	827 828 829	Stubbington, R. & Datry, T. (2013). The macroinvertebrate seedbank promotes community persistence in temporary rivers across climate zones. <i>Freshwater Biology, 58,</i> 1202-1220. doi: 10.1111/fwb.12121. doi: 10.1111/fwb.12121.
	830 831 832 833	Stubbington, R., Gunn, J., Little, S., Worrall, T. P., & Wood, P. J. (2016). Macroinvertebrate seedbank composition in relation to antecedent duration of drying and multiple wet-dry cycles in a temporary stream. <i>Freshwater Biology, 61,</i> 1293– 1307. doi:10.1111/fwb.12770
44 45 46 47	834 835 836	Stubbington, R., Wood, P. J., & Boulton, A. J. (2009). Low flow controls on benthic and hyporheic macroinvertebrate assemblages during supra-seasonal drought. <i>Hydrological Processes, 23,</i> 2252–2263. doi:10.1002/hyp.7290
48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59	837 838 839 840 841	Suárez, M. L., Sánchez-Montoya, M. M., Gómez, R., Arce, M. I., del Campo, R., & Vidal-Abarca, M. R. (2017). Functional response of aquatic invertebrate communities along two natural stress gradients (water salinity and flow intermittence) in Mediterranean streams. <i>Aquatic Sciences, 79,</i> 1–12. doi:10.1007/s00027-016-0475-2
	842 843	Tachet, H., Bournaud, M., Richoux, P., & Usseglio-Polatera, P. (2010). Invertébrés d'eau douce: Systématique, Biologie, Écologie. CNRS Editions, Paris.
60		31

Trivellone, V., Schoenenberger, N., Bellosi, B., Jermini, M., De Bello, F., Mitchell, E. A., & Moretti, M. (2014). Indicators for taxonomic and functional aspects of biodiversity in the vineyard agroecosystem of Southern Switzerland. Biological Conservation, 170, 103-109. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2013.12.008 Tonkin, J.D., Bogan, M.T., Bonada, N., Rios-Touma, B. and Lytle, D.A. (2017). Seasonality and predictability shape temporal species diversity. Ecology, 98, 1201-1216. doi: 10.1002/ecy.1761. UKTAG (2014). UKTAG river assessment method: benthic invertebrate fauna. Invertebrates (general degradation): Whalley, Hawkes, Paisley & Trigg (WHPT) metric in River Invertebrate Classification Tool (RICT). Stirling: UK Technical Advisory Group on the Water Framework Directive. Available at: https://www.wfduk.org/resources/rivers-invertebrates-general-degradation Vander Vorste, R. V., Corti, R., Sagouis, A., & Datry, T. (2016). Invertebrate communities in gravel-bed, braided rivers are highly resilient to flow intermittence. Freshwater Science, 35, 164-177. doi:10.1086/683274 Van de Meutter, F., Stoks, R., & De Meester, L. (2006). Lotic dispersal of lentic macroinvertebrates. Ecography, 29, 223-230. doi: 10.1111/j.2006.0906-7590.04483.x Watts G., Battarbee R. W., Bloomfield J. P., Crossman J., Daccache A., Durance I., ... Hannah D. M. (2015). Climate change and water in the UK – past changes and future prospects. Progress in Physical Geography, 39, 6–28. doi:10.1177/0309133314542957 Westwood, C. G., England, J., Dunbar, M. J., Holmes, N. T., Leeming, D. J. and Hammond, D. (2017), An approach to setting ecological flow thresholds for southern English chalk streams. Water and Environment Journal, 31, 528-536. doi:10.1111/wej.12275 White, J. C., Hannah, D. M., House, A., Beatson, S. J. V., Martin, A., & Wood, P. J. (2017a). Macroinvertebrate responses to flow and stream temperature variability across regulated and non-regulated rivers. Ecohydrology, 10, e1773. doi:10.1002/eco.1773 White, J. C., Hill, M. J., Bickerton, M. A., & Wood, P. J. (2017b). Macroinvertebrate taxonomic and functional trait compositions within lotic habitats affected by river restoration practices. Environmental Management, 60, 513-525. doi: 10.1007/s00267-017-0889-1 White, J. C., House, A., Punchard, N., Hannah, D. M., Wilding, N. A., & Wood, P. J. (2018). Macroinvertebrate community responses to hydrological controls and groundwater abstraction effects across intermittent and perennial headwater

2		
$\begin{array}{c} 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ 26\\ 27\\ 28\\ 29\\ 30\\ 31\\ 32\\ 33\\ 45\\ 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 39\\ 40\\ 41\\ 42\\ 43\\ 44\\ 45\\ 46\\ 47\\ 48\end{array}$	881 882	streams. <i>Science of the Total Environment</i> , <i>610–611,</i> 1514–1526. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.06.081
	883 884 885 886	White, J. C., Krajenbrink, H. J., Hill, M. J., Hannah, D. M., House, A. & Wood. P. J. (2019) Habitat-specific invertebrate responses to hydrological variability, anthropogenic flow alterations, and hydraulic conditions. <i>Freshwater Biology, 64,</i> 555–576. doi: 10.1111/fwb.13242
	887 888	Wiberg-Larsen, P. (2008). Overall distributional patterns of European Trichoptera. <i>Ferrantia, 55</i> , 143–155. doi: 10.1080/02705060.2003.9664005
	889 890 891 892	Wilding, N. A., White, J. C., Chadd, R. P., House, A. & Wood, P. J. (2018). The influence of flow permanence and drying pattern on macroinvertebrate biomonitoring tools used in the assessment of riverine ecosystems. <i>Ecological Indicators, 85,</i> 548–555. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.10.059
	893 894 895	Williams, D. D. (1996). Environmental constraints in temporary fresh waters and their consequences for the insect fauna. <i>Journal of the North American Benthological Society</i> , <i>15</i> , 634–650. doi:10.2307/1467813
	896 897 898	Wood, P. J., Gunn, J., Smith, H., & Abas-Kutty, A. (2005). Flow permanence and macroinvertebrate community diversity within groundwater dominated headwater streams and springs. <i>Hydrobiologia</i> , <i>545</i> , 55–64. doi:10.1007/s10750-005-2213
	899 900 901	Wood, P. J., & Petts, G. E. (1999). The influence of drought on chalk stream macroinvertebrates. <i>Hydrological Processes</i> , <i>13</i> , 387–399. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1099-1085(19990228)13:3<387::AID-HYP745>3.0.CO;2-R
	902 903	Wright, J. F. (1992). Spatial and temporal occurrence of invertebrates in a chalk stream, Berkshire, England. <i>Hydrobiologia, 248,</i> 11–30. doi:10.1007/BF00008882
	904 905 906	Zuur, A.F., Ieno, E. N., & Elphick, C. S. (2010). A protocol for data exploration to avoid common statistical problems. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 1(1), 3–14. doi:10.1111/j.2041-210X.2009.00001.x
	907	
	908	
48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58	909	
59 60		33

Tables

_

_

Table 1. Summary of abiotic characteristics (mean values) for each of the six River Misbourne sites. Values represent the mean values recorded from three seasons (spring, summer and autumn) during a representative year (2005) as undertaken by the Environment Agency.

Site	Latitude Longitude	Distance from source (km)	Altitude (m a.s.l.)	Local gradient (m km ⁻¹)	Width (m)	Depth (cm)	Discharge (m ³ s ⁻¹)	Flow permanence regime	Mean number of no flow days per year	Predictably of dry phase (Sd6)
1	51°40′59 N 00°40′12 W	4.9	107	3.6	9.0	50.0	0.06	Non-perennial	80.68	0.73
2	51°40′19 N 00°37′53 W	7.8	98	2.8	4.0	25.0	0.14	Perennial	44.84	1.00
3	51°38′54 N 00°34′49 W	12.7	78	3.1	2.4	11.0	0.08	Non-perennial	111.52	0.38
4	51°36′36 N 00°33′25 W	18.1	60	3.8	1.8	24.0	0.06	Non-perennial	201.88	0.41
5	51°35′05 N 00°32′21 W	21.6	49	4.5	3.9	20.7	0.18	Perennial	0.00	1.00
6	51°34′41 N 00°30′58 W	23.8	40	4.2	5.3	7.3	0.26	Perennial	0.00	1.00

Table 2. Summary of linear mixed-effects models associated with flow regime, season, site and the interaction of these factors. Significant results (p < 0.05) are emboldened.

Factor	Taxo rich	onomic iness	Functional diversity		
	F	р	F	р	
Regime	20.19	<0.001	17.65	<0.001	
Season	0.42	0.519	0.79	0.373	
Site	0.57	0.452	0.70	0.403	
Regime × season	0.50	0.478	0.42	0.519	
Regime × site	8.06	0.004	7.94	0.005	
Season × site	0.04	0.835	0.28	0.600	
Regime × season × site	0.21	0.645	0.21	0.645	
Marginal r ² Conditional r ²	20.73 23.04		14.16 17.90		

John Wiley & Sons, Ltd

Table 3. Summary of PERMANOVA output assessing the relative importance of the
different environmental controls on taxonomic and functional communities. Significant
results ($p < 0.05$) are emboldened.

	7	Taxonomic			Functional			
Environmental factor	F	r ²	p	F	r ²	р		
Regime	9.04	0.043	0.001	11.96	0.057	0.001		
Season	3.96	0.019	0.001	3.98	0.019	0.001		
Site	13.26	0.063	0.001	3.42	0.016	0.002		
Regime × season	1.35	0.006	0.169	1.90	0.009	0.054		
Regime × site	8.79	0.042	0.001	13.86	0.066	0.001		
Season × site	0.83	0.004	0.620	0.58	0.002	0.805		
Regime × season × site	0.85	0.004	0.612	1.21	0.006	0.259		

for per peries

Table 4. Summary of the top ten indicator taxa and traits for non-perennial and perennial flow regimes on global (spring and autumn) dataset.

912	Та	axonomic		Functional		
012	Таха	Indicator value	<i>p</i> -value	Trait	Indicator value	<i>p</i> -value
913	Non-perennial *			Non-perennial		
	Lymnaeidae	0.773	0.001	Resistance form: cocoons	0.780	0.001
914	Lumbricidae	0.621	0.011	Resistance form: housing against desiccation	0.780	0.001
	Physidae	0.534	0.049	Locomotion: surface swimmer	0.770	0.001
	Dytiscidae	0.505	0.049	Respiration: tegument	0.737	0.001
915	Hydroptilidae	0.505	0.003	Locomotion: temporarily attached	0.731	0.006
	Crangonyctidae	0.474	0.004	Feeding group: scraper	0.729	0.001
				Reproduction strategy: clutches, cemented eggs	0.729	0.001
916	Perennial			Aquatic stage: adult	0.729	0.003
	Elmidae	0.811	0.001	Food consumed: living microphytes	0.728	0.004
047	Gammaridae	0.772	0.001	Voltinism: 1	0.721	0.002
917	Sericostomatidae	0.728	0.001			
	Baetidae	0.697	0.039	Perennial		
018	Ephemeridae	0.690	0.001	Voltinism: <1	0.776	0.001
910	Erpobdellidae	0.684	0.001	Maximal potential size: >8cm	0.775	0.001
	Hydrobiidae	0.658	0.001	Respiration: plastron	0.768	0.001
919	Hydropsychidae	0.653	0.002	Locomotion: burrower	0.761	0.001
010	Goeridae	0.615	0.001	Reproduction strategy: isolated eggs, cemented	0.759	0.001
	Glossiphoniidae	0.609	0.030	Feeding group: predator	0.751	0.001
920				Locomotion: permanently attached	0.736	0.001
				Reproduction strategy: clutches, free	0.736	0.032
				Respiration mode: gill	0.735	0.001
921				Dispersal strategy: aerial passive	0.730	0.001
	* N.D. and a site factor was	a islandifiash fan thia flar				

* N.B. only six taxa were identified for this flow regime

Table 5. Summary of multivariate dispersion distance by flow regime for taxonomic and functional communities on all (global), spring (March – May) and autumn (September – November) samples.

	Taxonomic			Functional		
	Global	Spring	Autumn	Global	Spring	Autumn
Perennial	0.340	0.330	0.340	0.075	0.074	0.074
Non-perennial	0.378	0.378	0.364	0.105	0.111	0.096

Table 6. Summary of ANOVA permutation dispersion tests between perennial and non-perennial sites for the global, spring and autumn datasets. Significant (p < 0.05) results are emboldened.

	df	F	р
Taxonomic			
Global	1,178	14.447	<0.001
Spring	1,88	10.368	0.002
Autumn	1,88	2.749	0.101
Functional			
Global	1,178	34.07	<0.001
Spring	1,88	19.07	<0.001
Autumn	1,88	14.771	<0.001

Table 7. Summary of significant variables influencing macroinvertebrate community composition as determined by redundancy analyses. % W1 zero-flow days = % of winter zero-flow days; % S1 zero-flow days and % S2 zero-flow days = % of summer zero-flow days; mean W1= mean winter discharge; mean S1 and S2 = mean summer discharge. Two years of antecedent summer (April–September) and one year of winter (October–March) data were used respectively.

Variable	F	р	Variable	F	р
Taxonomic spring			Functional spring		
% S1 zero-flow days	7.36	0.005	% S1 zero-flow days	23.6	0.005
Depth	2.63	0.005	Mean W1	2.30	0.040
Width	3.65	0.005	Width	2.08	0.040
Mean W1	2.72	0.005			
Gravel	2.25	0.010			
Mean S1	1.59	0.040			
Taxonomic autumn			Functional autumn		
Silt	6.09	0.005	Gravel	7.18	0.005
Pebble	4.87	0.005	% S2 zero-flow days	4.50	0.005
% W1 zero-flow days	2.50	0.005	Cobble	2.85	0.010
Depth	2.27	0.010	Width	2.13	0.040
Width	2.50	0.005	Depth	3.32	0.005
Mean S1	1.89	0.010	·		



Figure 1.

 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd





Supporting information for: Structural and functional responses of macroinvertebrate assemblages to long-term flow variability and drying at perennial and non-perennial sites

Kate L. Mathers, Rachel Stubbington, Judy England, David Leeming, Christian G. Westwood

Table S1. Trait categories and modalities used to characterise functional responses of River Misbourne
macroinvertebrate communities (adapted from Tachet et al., 2010)

Category	Modalities	Category	Trait
Maximal potential size	< 0.25 cm	Respiration	Gill
	> 0.25 - 0.5 cm		Plastron
	> 0.5 - 1 cm		Spiracle
	> 1 - 2 cm		Hydrostatic vesicle
	> 2 - 4 cm		Tegument
	> 4 - 8 cm	Locomotion	Flier
	> 8 cm		Surface swimmer
Life cycle duration	≤ 1 year		Full water swimmer
-	> 1 year		Crawler
Voltinism	<1		Burrower
	1		Interstitial
	>1		Temporarily attached
Aquatic stages	Egg		Permanently attached
	Larva	Feeding group	Absorber
	Nymph		Deposit feeder
	Adult		Shredder
Reproduction strategy	Ovoviviparity		Scraper
	lsolated, free eggs		Filter-feeder
	Isolated eggs, cemented		Piercer
	Clutches, cemented eggs		Predator
	Clutches, free		Parasite
	Clutches, in vegetation	Food consumed	Microorganisms
	Asexual		Detritus < 1mm
Dispersal strategy	Aquatic passive		Dead plant ≥ 1 mm
	Aquatic active		Living microphytes
	Aerial passive		Living macrophytes
	Aerial active		Dead animal ≥ 1 mm
Resistance form	Eggs / statoblasts		Living microinvertebrates
	Cocoons		Living macroinvertebrates
	Housings against desiccation		Vertebrates
	Diapause / dormancy		
	None		

> Table S2. Summary of the River Misbourne linear regression analyses. y = flow at the spot gauge site and x = flow at the fixed gauging station.

	Number of data points	Time period	R ² value
Site 1 – No regression applie	d. Direct gauge data used	l	
Site 2: y = 1.199x – 0.040	224	October 1993 - February 2013	0.887
Site 3: y = 1.229x – 0.583	230	October 1993 – October 2010	0.583
Site 3: y = 1.033x – 0.050	49	November 2010- January 2016	0.901
Site 4: y = 0.672x – 0.092	33	October 1993 - May 2010	0.665
Site 4: y = 2.455x – 0.247	64	November 2000 - January 2008	0.949
Site 4: y = 1.156x – 0.163	14	February 2011 - June 2015	0.789
Site 4: y = 1.652x – 0.144	78	December 2000 - June 2016	0.700
Site 5: y = 0.740x – 0.026	179	February 1993 - April 2008	0.886
Site 6 – No regression applie	d. Direct gauge data used	1	
N.B. Sites 3 and 4 have multiple	le regressions reflecting cha	anges in hydrological conditions; site	s 1 and 6 are
derived directly from the upper	and lower gauging stations	respectively.	

Та	axonomic		Functional				
Таха	Indicator value	<i>p</i> -value	Trait Indic	ator value	<i>p</i> -value		
Non-perennial *			Non-perennial				
Lymnaeidae	0.725	0.001	Resistance form: cocoons).792	0.001		
Lumbricidae	0.685	0.006	Resistance form: housing against desiccation ().792	0.001		
Dytiscidae	0.604	0.002	Locomotion: surface swimmer).766	0.011		
			Locomotion: temporarily attached).748	0.003		
Perennial			Respiration: tegument).740	0.001		
Elmidae	0.796	0.001	Reproduction strategy: clutches, cemented eggs).736	0.002		
Gammaridae	0.781	0.001	Aquatic stage: nymph).734	0.045		
Sericostomatidae	0.738	0.001	Feeding group: scraper ().732	0.001		
Hydropsychidae	0.714	0.002	Food consumed: living microphytes ().726	0.009		
Erpobdellidae	0.697	0.002 🧹	Voltinism: 1).717	0.009		
Hydracarina	0.692	0.006					
Ephemeridae	0.689	0.002	Perennial				
Hydrobiidae	0.669	0.001	Maximal potential size: > 8cm).776	0.001		
Caenidae	0.657	0.043	Reproduction strategy: isolated eggs, cemented).775	0.001		
Leptoceridae	0.651	0.022	Voltinism: < 1).768	0.001		
			Food consumed: microorganisms ().761	0.001		
			Locomotion: permanently attached).759	0.001		
			Locomotion: burrower).751	0.001		
			Feeding group: predator).736	0.001		
			Respiration: plastron).736	0.032		
			Respiration mode: gill ().735	0.001		
			Maximal potential size: > 2-4cm).730	0.001		

Table S3. Summary of the top ten indicator taxa and traits for each flow regime for spring samples (March – May).

* N.B. only three taxa were identified for this flow permanence regime

Та	axonomic		Functional			
Таха	Indicator value	<i>p</i> -value	Trait Indicator valu	e <i>p</i> -value		
Non-perennial *			Non-perennial			
Lymnaeidae	0.820	0.001	Locomotion: surface swimmer 0.773	0.009		
Hydroptilidae	0.557	0.005	Resistance form: cocoons 0.764	0.001		
Corixidae	0.482	0.039	Resistance form: housing against desiccation 0.764	0.001		
Crangonyctidae	0.479	0.034	Aquatic stage: adult 0.735	0.004		
			Respiration: tegument 0.734	0.001		
Perennial			Feeding group: scraper 0.726	0.015		
Elmidae	0.822	0.001	Maximal potential size: > 1-2cm 0.726	0.008		
Gammaridae	0.762	0.002	Dispersal strategy: aquatic passive 0.722	0.001		
Sericostomatidae	0.718	0.001	Voltinism: 1 0.722	0.05		
Ephemeridae	0.687	0.007	Maximal potential size: < 0.25cm 0.641	0.006		
Erpobdellidae	0.669	0.001				
Simuliidae	0.648	0.016	Perennial			
Hydrobiidae	0.647	0.002	Respiration: plastron 0.776	0.001		
Goeridae	0.632	0.002	Voltinism: < 1 0.775	0.001		
Odontoceridae	0.582	0.002	Maximal potential size: > 8cm 0.768	0.001		
Psychomyiidae	0.537	0.005	Locomotion: burrower 0.761	0.001		
			Reproduction strategy: clutches, free 0.759	0.001		
			Feeding group: predator 0.751	0.001		
			Maximal potential size: > 0.25 - 0.5cm 0.736	0.001		
			Locomotion: flier 0.736	0.032		
			Dispersal strategy: aerial passive 0.735	0.001		
			Reproduction strategy: isolated eggs, cemented 0.730	0.001		

Table S4. Summary of the top ten indicator taxa and traits for each flow regime for autumn samples (September – November).

* N.B. only four taxa were identified for this flow regime

Table S5. Summary of the model outputs from redundancy analyses

Dataset	Principa varia	al compor nce explai	F	р	
	1	2	Total		
Taxonomic spring	9.08	5.05	14.13	2.28	0.001
Taxonomic autumn	8.20	5.66	13.86	2.46	0.001
Functional spring	23.12	4.65	27.77	3.34	0.001
Functional autumn	12.1	6.82	18.92	2.82	0.001

to per per per external