© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press. All rights reserved.

Protecting Ghana's intellectual property rights in kente textiles: the case for Geographical Indications

Michelle Okyere and Janice Denoncourt*

1. Introduction

The Republic of Ghana recognizes the importance of the development of a system of Geographical Indications (GI) protection, a type of intellectual property right (IPR), for its food and non-food products.A benefit of developing GI protection in Ghana would be the promotion of sustainable rural development and trade in its traditional products on the local and international markets. One Ghanaian non-food traditional product that requires IPR protection is the kente textile. Kenteconsists of colourful, handwoven strips of fabric, combined by Ghanaian weavers in certain ethnic groups and communities.¹ Its colours and unique designs have made it the best known of all Ghanaian, and perhaps

The authors

• Michelle Okyere is a Ghanaian-qualified lawyer with the Energy and Infrastructure team at Bentsi-Enchill, Letsa and Ankomah specializing in the commercial, energy, natural resources, infrastructure and intellectual property law. She was awarded her LLM from Nottingham Trent University in 2020 and her LLM dissertation was supervised by Associate Professor Dr Denoncourt. Dr Janice Denoncourt (BA McGill, LLB West. Aust., LLM Murdoch, LLM Bournemouth, PhD Nottingham, SFHEA, Solicitor England and Wales, Australian Trade Mark Attorney) is an Associate Professor at Nottingham Law School in the UK.

This article

The commercial diversification of Ghana's traditional and unique kente cloth has resulted in its economic misappropriation in recent times. Lower quality kente textiles are being marketed

and sold in other countries as originals. Further, kente cloth is in danger of being viewed as a generic African fabric, instead of being revered as the embodiment of the cultural history of the Ghanaian Ashanti and Ewe communities.

- Increasing threats to Ghana's kente textile have intensified the need for its urgent defensive intellectual property (IP) protection. While Ghana's Copyright Act, 2005 (Act 690) protects the kente styles and designs from being copied, it can neither address the problem of third parties producing kente imitations for sale, nor the cultural misappropriation of Ghana's textile tradition. Additionally, the world's largest free trade agreement, the comprehensive African Continental Free Trade Agreement (AfCFTA), has the potential to further damage Ghana's kente trade where it has no extensive IP protection. This article makes a case for why Geographical Indication (GI) protection should be an important part of a comprehensive IP strategy to protect Ghana's kente.
- From a global perspective, the African Union (AU) and European Union (EU) agreed to enhance cooperation through commitment to an AU-EU Continental strategy for Geographical Indications in Africa with an action plan to improve Africa's GI systems. Notably, the action plan identifies Ghana's kente as having GI potential. This article argues that supportive pan-African, regional and national GI policies are needed to link people, places and products, and calls for the enforcement of Ghana's Geographical Indications Act to preserve Ghana's traditional kente from wider misappropriation.

Conservation of Traditional Woven Textiles' (2008) Project Code-24225106ACR (Safeguarding Ghana's Heritage) 9.

ARTICLE

Emails: menokyere@gmail.com and janice.denoncourt@ntu.ac.uk UNESCO Accra Cluster Office (Ghana Traditional Textiles Project) 'Safeguarding Ghana's Cultural Heritage Protection, Preservation and

even all African textiles.² Although the origin and meaning of *kente* is the subject of an ongoing debate in Ghana, it is widely accepted that *kente* is the characteristic of the Akan and Ewe communities. ³ This article studies how *kente* could be registered as a Ghanaian GI to support its economic importance and protection from third-party misappropriation in view of the AU–EU Continental Strategy for Geographical Indications in Africa.⁴

2. Ghana's kente and GI legislation

What is *kente*? For those unfamiliar with this textile, it is a literal and figurative fabric of Ghana's cultural heritage dating back over 300 years, which embodies the history of specific Ghanaian communities.⁵ Every design and colour has a distinct name and a meaning that is characteristic of the community in which it is produced.⁶ Set out below are images which depict examples of the Ghanaian *kente* cloth.

Asante *kente* is locally produced by generations of the Asante community located in the Ashanti region of Ghana. The design depicted in Fig. 1 is known as '*Fa hia kotwere Agyeman*', which means 'seek help from Agyeman'. It is a symbol of hope, faith, sharing and benevolence, because it alludes to the importance of friendship and community.⁷

Kente from another culturally significant Ghanaian group is depicted in Fig. 2.

This type of *kente* is produced by the Ewe community located in the South-Easternpart of Ghana and is referred to as *Adanuvo*. The figurative designs in the form of animals, human figures, flowers, trees and household chattels differentiate it from the Asante *kente* and represent the way of life of the Ewe people. 24 small squares surround each symbol in the cloth. These squares depict the number of days it took the Ewe ancestors to elude King Agorkoli at Notsie in Togo and settle in Ketu in the Volta Region of Ghana.⁸ Both the Asante and Ewe *kente* cloth are deeply connected to Ghana's people and land.

Kente has attained a reputation as a quality woven textile cloth, for which consumers are willing to pay a premium price, and this premium must be preserved.⁹ Kente's quality is attributed to the techniques used in its production. For example, the double-weave technique used by the Ewe communities creates a thicker and heavier quality *kente* cloth peculiar to the Ewe community.¹⁰

In 1994, exports of kente and other Ghanaian traditional textiles contributed approximately USD 179.7 million to Ghana's gross domestic product (GDP).¹¹ However, according to the Ghana Investment Promotion Centre (GIPC), the upsurge in mass production and copying of *kente* and other traditional textiles by countries such as the People's Republic of China (PRC) has resulted in a consistent annual decline of the contribution of the textile industry to Ghana's GDP.¹² By 2011, the revenue from Ghana's textile industry had reduced to about USD 53.5 million, which is approximately 30 per cent less as compared to 1994.¹³ Due to the constant exploitation and imitation of kente both in Africa and beyond, Ghana and the local kente producers have not been able to achieve the maximum economic benefit from the sale of the textile. Also, on the international market, kente is not being granted due recognition as a product of uniquely Ghanaian origin and quality.¹⁴

- 2 Ghana Review, 'Kente-Ghana National Cloth' (*Black Economics*) http://blackeconomics.co.uk/wp/kente-ghana-national-cloth/> accessed 5 September 2019.
- 3 Malika Kraamer, 'Origin Disputed. The Making Use and Evaluation of Ghanaian Textiles' (2006) 4 Afrique: Archeologie & Arts 53, 68.
- 4 African Union (AU) Commission—Department of Rural Economy and Agriculture,' Continental Strategy for Geographical Indications in Africa 2018-2023' (2017) https://au.int/sites/default/files/documents/36127doc-au_gis_continental_strategy_enng_with-cover-1.pdf> accessed 13 October 2020; (AU–EU Continental Strategy).
- 5 Munyaradzi Mawere and Tapuwa R Mubaya, Colonial Heritage, Memory and Sustainability in Africa (Langaa RPCIG 2015) 295.
- 6 Julie Halls and Allison Martino, 'Cloth, Copyright, and Cultural Exchange: Textile Designs for Export to Africa at the National Archives of the UK' (2018) 31 Journal of Design History 236, 237.
- 7 Michael Osei Asibey, Kwasi Osei Agyeman, Vivian Yeboah, 'The Impact of Cultural Values on the Development of the Cultural Industry: Case of the Kente Textile Industry in Adanwomase of the Kwabre East District' (2017) 23 Journal of Human Values 200, 210.
- 8 Bob Dennis Ahiagble, *The Pride of Ewe Kente* (Sub-Saharan Publishers 2004) 52.

- 9 UNCTAD, 'Why Geographical Indications for Least Developed Countries?' (2015) UNCTAD/ALDC/2015/4,12.
- 10 Bob Dennis Ahiagble, *The Pride of Ewe Kente*. (Sub-Saharan Publishers 2004) 41.
- Peter Quartey, 'The Textiles and Clothing Industry in Ghana' in Herbert Jauch and Rudolf Traub-Merz (eds.), *The Future of the Textile and Clothing Industry in Sub-Saharan Africa* (Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung 2006) 139.
- 12 Ghana Web, 'Ghana's Textiles and Garments Industry to be Attractive Global Market' (*Ghanaweb*, 5 November 2020) < https://www.ghanaweb. com/GhanaHomePage/business/Ghana-s-textiles-and-garments-indus try-to-be-attractive-global-market-GIPC-1100953> accessed 6 November 2020.
- 13 Ghana Investment Promotion Centre (GIPC), 'Textiles and Garments-The Market' (gipcghana, 2020) <https://www.gipcghana.com/invest-inghana/sectors/textiles-garment/the-market.html> accessed 9 November 2020.
- Gertrude Torkornoo, 'Creating Capital from Culture- Rethinking the Provisions on Expressions of Folklore in Ghana's Copyright Law' (2012) 18 Annual Survey of International and Comparative Law 1, 39.



Figure 1. Asante kente. Credit: ejisubesease.org

The downgrading of kente, amongst other Traditional Cultural Expressions (TCEs), also known as 'expressions of folklore',¹⁵ such as Adinkra symbols and Kweku Ananse stories, has encouraged Ghanaian appeals for its preservation and protection on national, regional and international levels.¹⁶ At the national level, the National Folklore Board of Ghana¹⁷ has been raising awareness for the promotion of legal protection for Ghana's folklore, including kente.¹⁸ The government of Ghana has also instituted plans to establish a Kente Museum in the Ashanti Region for the preservation of the Asante kente.¹⁹ According to the immediate past Minister of Tourism, Arts and Culture, Mrs Barbara Oteng-Gyasi, 'the government would invest heavily in the promotion of the kente textile just like other local products to prop up the tourism industry'.²⁰

At the regional and international levels, the AU in collaboration with the EU, the African Intellectual Property Organisation (OAPI), the African Regional Intellectual Property Organisation (ARIPO) and other regional economic communities (RECs) have recognized the need for the development of IPRs, specifically, GIs for food and non-food products to promote sustainable rural development and promote traditional products on local and international markets.²¹ Such recognition has led to the establishment of a policy

- 15 WIPO, 'Intellectual Property and Traditional Cultural Expressions/ Folklore' (2005) Booklet No.1 WIPO Publication No. 913(E) (IP and TCE/Folklore) 5.
- 16 WIPO, 'Traditional Knowledge and Indigenous Peoples' (2010) WIPO Publication No. 1014E/R 40.
- 17 National Folklore Board (*folklore.gov*, 2019) <https://folklore.gov.gh/> accessed 29 July 2019.
- 18 Kwame Dadzie, 'National Folklore Board Launches 'Know Your Folklore' Campaign' (*Citi News Room*, 14 January 2019) <https://citi newsroom.com/2019/01/national-folklore-board-launches-know-yourfolklore-campaign/> accessed 29 July 2019.
- 19 Daniel Kenu, 'Vice-President cuts sod for Bonwire Kente Museum' (graphic.com, 15 October 2020) <https://www.graphic.com.gh/news/gen</p>



Figure 2. Ewe kente. Credit: IamFate, Opera News Ghana

framework for a continental strategy for GIs in Africa (the 'AU–EU Continental Strategy') and an action plan to be implemented from 2018 to 2023. The main aim of the continental strategy is to

develop sound GI promotion and protection strategies through institutional capacity building, public policies, support programmes, strengthened legal and institutional GI frameworks, efficient coordination and partnerships and demonstrative pilots in Africa, by 2023.²²

Significantly, the AU–EU Continental Strategy identifies Ghana's *kente* as a GI or potential GI.²³ Though there have been attempts in Ghana to rely on Ghana's Copyright Act, 2005 (Act 690) (Copyright Act) to protect the IPR in *kente*, it has been universally agreed that, because of the unique nature of *kente* as a TCE, copyright does not, nor is it able to, comprehensively protect it.²⁴ One of the main features of IPRs is that more than one type of IPR protection could be vested in a single product.²⁵ The challenges confronting the copyright protection of *kente* indicatethat it will be prudent to have additional protection to supplement and fill gaps created by the Copyright Act.

GIs have been popularly suggested as the best available legal means for protecting *kente* as a TCE. As a member of the World Trade Organization (WTO), Ghana enacted a GI legislation known as the Geographical Indications Act, 2003 (Act 659) (GIA) in accordance with the Trade-Related Aspects of

eral-news/ghana-news-vice-president-cuts-sod-for-bonwire-kente-mu seum.html> accessed 9 November 2020.

- 21 AU-EU Continental Strategy (n 4).
- 22 ibid.
- 23 ibid, Annex 1.
- 24 WIPO, 'Intergovernmental Committee on Intellectual Property and Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Folklore: Fifth Session' (July 2003) WIPO/GRTKF/IC/5/3 (IGC Fifth Session) para 10.
- 25 UK Government, 'Intellectual Property and Your Work' (Gov.UK) <https://www.gov.uk/intellectual-property-an-overview/protect-your-in tellectual-property> accessed 29 July 2019.

²⁰ ibid.

Intellectual Property Rights Agreement (TRIPS).²⁶ The GIA sets out the procedure for the registration of an indication as a Ghanaian GI and precludes unauthorized persons from its misuse. It provides that an application for the registration of a GI must be made at the Industrial Property Office of the Registrar-General's Department in Ghana.²⁷ Although the Act states that prior registration is not a requirement for a GI to be protected in Ghana, registration creates the prima facie presumption that the indication is protected under the GIA.²⁸ Thus, where any dispute concerning a GI arises, the registered GI provides documentary evidence about the quality or other characteristic of the good that links it to its place of origin. The GIA explicitly names kente as an eligible GI to be protected under the Act.²⁹ Nonetheless, 17 years later, there is little or no effort being made to implement the GIA to pursue a comprehensive IPR protection of Ghana's kente. This is evidenced from the authors' research conducted at the WIPO Law library in Geneva, as well as interactions with WIPO staff and officials at the Ghana Folklore Board. Research is required on the subject of the viability of using GIs to protect the IPR in kente for economic, social and cultural development. This article, therefore, seeks to study how kente could be registered as a GI to promote its economic importance and protect it from third-party misappropriation in light of the AU-EU Continental Strategy.

3. The AU–EU Continental Strategy and African GIs

The AU–EU Continental Strategy was developed by the AU's Department of Rural Economy and Agriculture in collaboration with the EU and other RECs and was adopted in October 2017 as a specific deliverable of the fifth AU–EU Summit in November 2017.³⁰ It is meant to contribute to the new African–European Alliance for sustainable investments and rural development.³¹ The continental strategy also forms part of the AU's commitment to implement the United Nations 2030 Sustainable Development Agenda as well as the

- 26 Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS Agreement) (15 April 1994) 1869 UNTS 299.
- 27 Ghana's Geographical Indications Act 2003 (Act 659) (GIA), s 6.
- 28 ibid, s 2.
- 29 GIA (n 27), s 22.
- 30 AU-EU Continental Strategy (n 4).
- 31 African Union, 'Political Declaration of the 3rd African Union-European Union Agriculture Ministerial Conference, Rome (Italy)' (21 June 2019) (Political Declaration).
- 32 ibid.
- 33 European Commission, 'A Step Closer to the Establishment of African Geographical Indications' (*ec.europa.eu*, 3 April 2019) https://ec.eu

2063 AU Agenda.³² In order to facilitate the implementation of the AU-EU Continental Strategy, the Consultative Committee, which includes members from the AU, OAPI, ARIPO, European Commission and WIPO, sets up an action plan for 2020 on 29 March 2019 (the Action Plan).³³ The Action Plan established three initiatives for implementing the continental strategy. Specifically, Heading 4 of the Action Plan provides that the continental strategy will be promoted via cooperation on inclusive and sustainable value chains through development of an Africa GI Training Programme, an Africa GI web platform and support for concrete GI pilot projects.³⁴ Currently, an online Pan African GI Information Hub has been established to encourage GI training in Africa.³⁵ Additionally, in February 2020, an African GI web platform known as AFRIPI was also directed, co-funded and implemented by the EU and the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO), for an initial duration of 4 years.³⁶ The aim of this project was to 'create, protect, utilize, administer and enforce Intellectual Property Rights across Africa, in line with international and European best practices and in support of the African Continental Free Trade Area ("AfCFTA") and the African Union's Agenda 2063.³⁷ The AU–EU Continental Strategy provides specific guidelines and recommendations for implementing African GI projects such as kente. It specifically names the 'kente cloth from Bonwire and Kpetoe' as a non-food product, which can be protected by GIs.³⁸ The next section considers the rationale for GI registration of kente by presenting the dangers confronting kente as well as the inadequacy of the Copyright Act 2003 in protecting the IPR in kente.

4. Elaborating the case: GI protection for Ghana's *kente*

GIs have the potential to be used to protect TCEs to ensure that the authentic producers and communities of the TCEs obtain higher economic gains for their products by helping to combat misappropriation and improving rural development of the communities. In

 $\label{eq:constraint} ropa.eu/info/news/step-closer-establishment-african-geographical-indications-2019-apr-03_en> accessed 13 \ October \ 2020.$

- 34 Political Declaration (n 31), Annex to Declaration.
- 35 EUIPO, 'The Pan African Geographical Indications Information Hub' (afri-gi.com, 2020) < https://africa-gi.com/en/pan-african-gi/learning> accessed 13 October 2020.
- 36 AfrIPI, 'About AfrIPI' (*euipoeuf.eu*, 2020) <https://euipoeuf.eu/en/afripi/ about-afripi> accessed 13 October 2020.
- 37 ibid.
- 38 AU-EU Continental Strategy (n 4), Annex 1.

effect, GI-type protection should impact positively on the economic growth of the countries in which these communities are located.³⁹ As a TCE, over the years *kente* has faced the threat of cultural and commercial misappropriation. To overcome same, registration as a Ghanaian GI is the next stage of IPR system protection and will be discussed in more detail in the ensuing paragraphs.

4.1Dangers confronting kente

The main danger affecting kente today is the economic misappropriation of the cloth by third parties. The widespread commercialization of Ghana's kente cloth to meet the demands of kente lovers all over the world has culminated in kente-type cloths and products being manufactured in other countries such as the PRC and being sold on international markets as authentic kente.⁴⁰ These imitation lower-quality cloths have been notoriously branded as being machine woven with cheap thread fibres instead of traditionally handwoven with silk and cotton. They do not possess the quality and sophistication of the authentic kente cloth produced by the Asante and Ewe communities.⁴¹ The value placed on authentic kente is attributed to the quality texture of the cloth, as well its nature as a unifying national and cultural symbol for Ghanaians.⁴² Kente is worn by Ghanaians on special occasions, such as weddings as depicted in Fig. 3(a) and (b).

4.1.1 Original Asante *kente* designed and worn for a traditional wedding ceremony

Examples of vendors of inauthentic *kente*-type products include Culture Royals and Pirongs Store, amongst others, whose kente-type products are offered for sale on Amazon.⁴³ The sale of these *kente* imitations has reduced the actual value and quality of the authentic Ghanaian *kente* textile.⁴⁴These products are offered for sale more cheaply than the authentic *kente*, leading to lower economic returns for the local *kente* weavers. Ultimately, Ghana's GDP and trade exports are

- 40 Nuruddeen M Abdallah, 'Inside Ghana's Collapsing Textile Industry' (Daily Trust, 20 June 2010) https://www.dailytrust.com.ng/inside-gha nas-collapsing-textile-industry.html> accessed 5 September 2019.
- 41 Safeguarding Ghana's Heritage (n 1) 12.
- 42 Bob Dennis Ahiagble, *The Pride of Ewe Kente* (Sub-Saharan Publishers 2004) 41.
- 43 Amazon, 'Kente' (*amazon.co.uk*) <https://www.amazon.co.uk/s?k=kente&ref=nb_sb_noss> accessed 18 November 2020

adversely affected.⁴⁵ Gradually, *kente* is no longer being revered as the unifying symbol and embodiment of the history of the Ghanaian communities, but rather as a money-making venture. The loss of traditional and historical branding of the cloth in recent times has led to the acknowledgement of *kente* as a general type of African (Ankara) textile in lieu of the Ghanaian traditional textile cloth that it is.⁴⁶ Thus, the factors that make the *kente* unique that is, its correlation with the history and culture of Ghana's Asante and Ewe communities, are being eroded and its cultural heritage abused by third-party manufacturers.

The mass commercialization and quality deterioration of Ghanaian *kente* show the need for its protection and preservation from third-party cultural and commercial misappropriation. Protection of the cloth is also necessary to prevent *kente* imitations from flooding the market, thus improving on the trade of traditional textiles in Ghana. Increased textile trade will have a positive effect on Ghana's GDP and trade exports, particularly given the implementation of the AfCFTA.

4.2Why Ghana's copyright legislation is not enough to protect kente

Although in Ghana the IPRs in kente have been protected using copyright, GIs are being advocated by WIPO and other international and regional bodies as a more suitable and comprehensive form of protection for TCEs.⁴⁷ For an IPR system to be considered comprehensive, it must provide a complete 'defensive' and ^{*}positive' protection to the creations.⁴⁸ Positive protection ensures that the creator has the right to profit from his creations.⁴⁹ Conversely, defensive protection refers to the ways of 'ensuring that third parties do not gain illegitimate or unfounded IP rights' in the TCEs. In Ghana, the Copyright Act used to protect the IPR in TCEs was fashioned according to the WIPO/UNESCO Model Provisions for the Protection of Folklore (Model Provisions).⁵⁰ It allows the author to control the use of his or her works by third parties, by protecting the

- 44 Safeguarding Ghana's Heritage (n 1)11.
- 45 Quartey (n 11) 139.
- 46 Doran H Ross, *Wrapped in Pride: Ghanaian Kente and African American Identity* (Fowler Museum of Cultural History 2001).
- 47 David Vivas-Eugui and Christoph Spennemann, 'The Treatment of Geographical Indications in Recent WTO Discussions and in Regional and Bilateral Agreements' in Meir Perez Pugatch (ed.), *The Intellectual Property Debate* (Edward Elgar Publishing, 2006), 7.
- 48 WIPO (n 16) 41.
- 49 ibid.
- 50 Kathleen Ludewig, 'The Nationalization and Commercialization of Ghanaian Folklore' (2009) 6 Michigan Journal of Public Affairs 1.

³⁹ Cerkia Bramley, Estelle Bienabe and Johann Kirsten, 'The Economics of Geographical Indications: Towards a Conceptual Framework for Geographical Indication Research in Developing Countries' in WIPO (ed.), The Economics of Intellectual Property. Suggestions for Further Research in Developing Countries and Countries with Economies in Transition (WIPO, 2009), 109.



Figure 3. Original Asante *kente* designed and worn for a traditional wedding ceremony. (a) Credit: Kingkwekuananse photography. (b) Credit: Efuastanzz designs and The Memory Lane Crew photography.

exact style of the creation from being copied.⁵¹ Thus, copyright generally protects the expression of an idea and not the idea itself.⁵² By not protecting the underlying idea of a work, copyright was considered an appropriate form of protection for *kente* and the other TCEs because it allowed for further creation of new and modern designs.⁵³

Although the Copyright Act protects *kente* designs from being copied, which provides a positive protection to *kente*, there are still gaps in its protection. The Act has not prevented third parties from producing *kente* imitations for sale and as such does not offer a complete defensive IPR protection. In other words, the right allows specific authors to profit from their *kente* designs, but the authors are not entirely protected from the expropriation of their works by third parties.

Furthermore, copyright generally offers exclusive rights to the creators of original works only.⁵⁴ Originality has been interpreted in common law countries to mean work that contains a minimal level of creativity, involves the author's effort, intellectual ability, skill and time and has not been copied from another person's work.⁵⁵ In civil law countries, it refers to work which contains the creator's personality.⁵⁶ It has been contended that TCEs, like *kente*, may not always be considered as original reproductions because they are traditional in nature and are handed down across generations.⁵⁷ Additionally, work will only qualify for copyright protection, where an individual creator or

52 WIPO, 'WIPO Intellectual Property Handbook: Policy, Law and Use' (2004) WIPO Publication No. 489(E), 40.

53 ibid, 24.

- 54 WIPO (n 51) 24; Ghana Copyright Act 2005 (Act 690) (Copyright Act) s 1(2).
- 55 Sawkins v Hyperion Records Ltd [2005] EWCA Civ 565, [2005] 1 WLR 3281.
- 56 WIPO (n 51) 24.
- 57 ibid 27; Bridgeman Art Library Ltd v Corel Corp., 36 F Supp 2d 191 (SDNY 1999).

⁵¹ WIPO, 'Intellectual Property and the Safeguarding of Traditional Cultures' (2012) WIPO Publication No. 1023E, (IP and Safeguarding) 22.

creators can be identified.⁵⁸ A significant feature of *kente* is that the individual creator/author cannot be traced due to the fact that *kente* is a communal product that reflects the characteristics of an entire community or because it may have been created in the ancient past, and the author is unknown.

4.3Kente and other forms of IPR protection

Like copyright, other traditional IPRs, such as patents and industrial designs, are also not considered suitable to protect *kente* because of their novelty, inventive step and originality requirements.⁵⁹ Trade marks are inadequate to protect *kente* because trade mark rights are typically owned by an individual or company, instead of collectively by a community. ⁶⁰ A collective mark is a type of trade mark. Although the collective mark can be owned collectively by members of a society, these marks are still inadequate to protect a TCE like *kente*. This is because, despite the fact that a collective mark informs the consumer of the structure and quality standards of the product protected by the mark, it does not provide any information on the territorial origin of the product, unless that mark is registered as a GI.

The challenges and gaps presented in using copyright and the other IPRs to protect *kente* reveals the need for a more comprehensive system of protection for the *kente* cloth. Megan Rae Blakely, in her article on Scottish Tartans, notes that the existing copyright protection for Tartan seems,

insufficient to ubiquitously protect tartans. Thus, two options may be better suited: Geographical Indication or a type of sui generis protection through legislation.⁶¹

There has been a consensus at the WIPO Inter-Governmental Committee (IGC) meetings that GIs may be the best suited to protect textile TCEs such as Scotland's Tartan or Ghana's *kente*.⁶² The AU–EU Continental Strategy advises that registering the '*kente* cloth from Bonwire and Kpetoe' specifically as a GI will provide it with better protection and preservation.⁶³

- 58 WIPO, 'Intergovernmental Committee on Intellectual Property and Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Folklore: Third Session-Expressions of Folklore' (June 2002) WIPO/GRTKF/IC/3/11, (IGC Third Session) Annex 3.
- 59 WIPO (n 52) 17; WIPO, 'Protect and Promote Your Culture' (2017) WIPO Publication No. 1048E (Protect and Promote), 52.
- 60 ibid 68.

61 Megan Rae Blakely, 'Pattern Recognition: Governmental Regulation of Tartan and Commodification of Culture' (2015) 22 International Journal of Cultural Property 487, 495.

- 62 WIPO (n 24) 52.
- 63 AU-EU Continental Strategy (n 4) Annex 1

4.4The real potential for GI protection of kente

A GI is distinguishable from all the other traditional IPRs because of its 'shared character'.⁶⁴ It is a sign or symbol whose rights are collectively owned by all the people in the community in which the product originated.⁶⁵ GIs and their 'shared characteristic' ensure that the reputation belongs to all the producers of the geographical area.⁶⁶ This makes them better suited to protect *kente* mainly because the traditional *kente* designs reflect the characteristics of an entire community over generations and, as such, the rights in its reputation should be shared by all the producers in that community.

Furthermore, a GI is a certification tag which speaks to the quality of a product and has the potential of acting as a product differentiator, distinguishing between authentic original products from a particular location and unauthorised products of low quality.⁶⁷ GI protection could help support positive market branding and goodwill, which will result in trade promotion of the product.⁶⁸

Some may argue that, instead of providing GI protection for *kente*, Ghanaian copyright law could be modified to cater for the adequate protection of the cloth. However, it is the authors' opinion that copyright is not sufficient to protect TCEs such as *kente*, because the underlying nature of copyright inadequately protects the historical and cultural aspects inherent in TCEs. This view is supported by the USA in its comments on the National Experiences with The Implementation of the Model Provisions, which contemplates the TCEs of native American people: ⁶⁹

It is *virtually* impossible to provide 'full' protection for TCEs simply by amending copyright laws, as copyright law by its nature is not appropriate to protect TCEs. Copyright law protects only original expressions, leaving works that have become an intrinsic part of our history and culture to the public domain.

As noted in Section 2, Ghana has enacted a GI legislation known as the GIA which, since 2003, has regulated

- 64 Vivas-Eugui and Spennemann (n 47) 7.
- 65 WIPO, 'What is Intellectual Property' (2004) WIPO Publication No 450(E), 19.
- 66 Vivas-Eugui and Spennemann (n 47) 7.
- 67 WIPO 'Geographical Indications: An Introduction' (2017) WIPO Publication No 952E, 7.
- 68 ibid.
- 69 TCE WIPO. 'Consolidated Analysis of the Legal Protection of Traditional Cultural Expressions/Expressions of Folklore' (2003) Background Paper No1 WIPO Publication No 785 (TCE Consolidated Analysis) 44.

the registration and protection of GIs in Ghana. The GIA lists *kente* as a non-food product or good which could potentially be afforded GI protection under the Act.⁷⁰ Nonetheless, the enactment of legislation identifying *kente* as a qualifying GI good is only the first step in successfully developing *kente* as a GI.⁷¹ Further capacity building and steps need to be taken for successful implementation. Since there is currently no action plan in place in Ghana for the implementations as to the steps that could be taken by *kente* stakeholders such as the Ghana government and the Asante and Ewe communities to implement *kente* as a GI.

4.5The WTO, Article 24 TRIPS and unfair competition

The GIA offers the minimum level of protection as provided under the TRIPS Agreement to kente.72 If registered as a GI, kente will only be protected from thirdparty misappropriation where such misappropriation would mislead the public as to the true geographical origin of the cloth or where the misappropriation will result in unfair competition.⁷³ Unfair competition has been defined under the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property ⁷⁴(Paris Convention) as 'an act of competition contrary to honest practices in industrial or commercial matters'.⁷⁵ The WTO panel in the Australia-Tobacco Plain Packaging dispute stated that the definition of unfair competition under the Paris Convention incorporated into the TRIPS Agreement,⁷⁶ is broad enough to include 'dishonest practices in industrial and commercial matters that relate to geographical indications'.⁷⁷ Such dishonest practices include acts which are likely to cause confusion with another product or service on the market as well as acts that create a false impression about a particular product which leads to the consumer acting on such false information to purchase the product.⁷⁸ It also includes acts which destroy the distinctive value and reputation associated with the product.⁷⁹

- 72 GIA (n 27) s 1a; TRIPS Agreement (n 26) art 22(2).
- 73 TRIPS Agreement (n 26) art 22(2).
- 74 Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property (adopted on 14 July 1967, entered into force on 26 April 1970) 828 UNTS 305 (Paris Convention).
- 75 ibi, art 10bis.
- 76 TRIPS Agreement (n 26) art 2 (1).
- 77 Australia—Certain Measures Concerning Trademarks, Geographical Indications and Other Plain Packaging Requirements Applicable to Tobacco Products and Packaging: Reports of the Panels WT/DS435/R, WT/DS441/R, WT/DS458/R, WT/DS467/R, 816.

Recently, WTO members have been engaged in debates and negotiations, pursuant to Article 24 of the TRIPS Agreement, to extend the higher level GI protection which exists for wines and spirits to other products, including handicrafts.⁸⁰ Here, a third party cannot use a GI without authorization, even though there is no consumer confusion as to the true origin of the good and will not lead to unfair competition.⁸¹ To date, no agreement has been reached. It would be beneficial for the protection of Ghana's *kente* and other international TCE textile products if the higher level of GI protection was extended to include handicrafts. However, until this is done the current level of GI protection should be adequate to protect *kente* from third-party misappropriation.

Registering *kente* as a new Ghanaian GI could play an important role in promoting the cultural awareness of the cloth as a uniquely Ghanaian textile. As noted in Section 2, *kente* has a market reputation as a quality woven textile cloth, for which consumers are willing to pay a premium price. Registration as a GI would also enable the original producer associations to prevent its unauthorized use by third parties.⁸² The next section explains in more detail the unique nature of *kente* which, the authors argue, make it eligible for GI protection.

5. A preliminary analysis of *kente's* eligibility for GI protection

A good qualifies for GI protection where there is a definite link between the good and its place of origin. Such a link may be qualitative or reputational or may be natural or human characteristic factors present in the good's place of origin.⁸³ A qualitative link is usually a measurable physical characteristic, which shows that the physical state of the good is attributable to certain unique features of its geographical origin. A reputational link, on the other hand, creates the sense that the relationship between the good and its geographical origin is built on the goodwill and consumer reputations associated with it.⁸⁴ Hitherto, GIs were mainly developed to protect agricultural products.⁸⁵ However,

- 80 Peter Van den Bossche and Werner Zdouc, The Law and Policy of the World Trade Organization Text, Cases and Materials (4th edn, CUP 2017) 1032; TRIPS Agreement (n 26) art 23.
- 81 TRIPS Agreement (n 26) art 23.
- 82 UNCTAD (n 9) 12.
- 83 Vivas-Eugui and Spennemann (n 47) 7.
- 84 ibid.
- 85 ibid 3.

⁷⁰ GIA (n 27) s 22.

⁷¹ UNCTAD (n 9), 52.

⁷⁸ European State Agency, 'Intellectual Property Rights: Protection Against Unfair Competition' (ESA, 22 November 2012) <https://www.esa.int/ About_Us/Law_at_ESA/Intellectual_Property_Rights/Protection_ against_unfair_competition> accessed 3 September 2019.

⁷⁹ ibid.

recently, GIs have been used to protect non-agricultural products, such as textiles and other TCEs. Kente qualifies as a TCE because it reflects the characteristics of a community's history, culture, social identity and values. However, kente does not belong to an individual author and is constantly being changed and developed.⁸⁶ Its techniques are also orally and physically transmitted across generations.⁸⁷ Kente consists of distinct designs and colours, which are peculiar to the Ghanaian communities that produce it. Although Nigerians also have hand-loomed cloth known as Oke, the distinctive motifs and patterns make kente unique to the people of Ghana.⁸⁸ Kente's importance to Ghanaians can be inferred from its function as a unifying symbol for the different ethnic groups and communities in Ghana (see Section 4.1).⁸⁹ It is a culturally significant traditional ceremonial cloth, which instils a sense of national pride in Ghanaians and evokes a sense of identity, culture and values even in Ghanaians who have no ethnic ties to them.⁹⁰ The characteristic link between kente and its originating communities is arguably what makes kente eligible for GI protection pursuant to Article 22(1) of the TRIPS Agreement. The characteristic links will be further explained in Section 5.1, illustrated by a preliminary analysis of Asante kente by way of example.

5.1Asante kente-the link between the product, community and place

The Asante kente is deeply intertwined with the history of the Asante community in the Ashanti region of Ghana. The Kentehene, the chief of all the kente weavers in the Asante community, defined kente as a 'handloom strip of woven cloth with a . . . history'.⁹¹ It is a reflection of the account of the Ashanti people, from the formation of the Asante kingdom, through to the development of the slave trade up to date.92 It is an Akan royal and sacred cloth which was hitherto worn only in times of extreme importance.93 Asante kente is characterized by long narrow strips of multi-coloured silk or cotton yarn woven on a loom locally known as Nsadua-Kofi and sewn together to create consistent, repetitive patterns as depicted in Fig. 4.94

- 88 Safeguarding Ghana's Heritage (n 1) 9.
- 89 ibid.
- Safeguarding Ghana's Heritage (n 1) 9. 90

The Asante kente cloth contains various colours, designs and motifs, which have special names, meanings and stories based on the warp arrangements. The design names are usually given and inspired by the weavers from several sources, including proverbs, historical events, important chiefs, queen mothers and plants.⁹⁵ Some of these designs and their meanings are explained in Figs 5 and 6.

Apart from the meanings attributed to the patterns in the Asante Kente, the colours used are also symbolic. Yellow or gold represents prosperity. It is a symbol of things that are holy and precious, while red stands for blood and strong political and spiritual feelings.96 White represents purity and healing. It correlates with the white of an egg as well as the white clay that is used in certain rituals. Alternatively, black stands for ageing, intense spiritual energy and the spirits of the ancestors.²

Kente qualifies as a TCE and is eligible for GI protection because of its association with the culture and tradition of the Asante and Ewe communities. The different, motifs, patterns, colours and designs reveal the history and way of life of the different communities. The skills and techniques used to produce the cloth form part of the tradition of the people such that it is passed down across generations. Thus, any threat to kente cloth intensely affects these communities and will be an erosion of their unique story as a people.

6. Implementing kente as a GI under the AU-EU continental strategy

This section provides policy recommendations for the GI registration of kente based on the AU-EU Continental Strategy and the GIA. The continental strategy highlights the importance of strong involvement of public actors at the national and regional levels for the successful registration of GIs.⁹⁸ An institutionalized support system will put producers in a position to execute the existing GI legislative framework. For instance in Tunisia, the Ministry of Agriculture, in collaboration with the EU and the French Agricultural

98 AU-EU Continental Strategy (n 4) 41.

WIPO (n 51) 15. 86

⁸⁷ ibid.

OB Sarfo Kantanka, 'Kente Origins, History, Development and Cultural 91 Significance' (National Commission on Culture, 8 April 2006) <http:// www.s158663955.websitehome.co.uk/ghanaculture/index1.php?linkid= 278&page=2§ionid=527> accessed 3 September 2019.

Jessica Achberger 'Kente: Not Just Any Old Cloth' (The Ultimate History 92 Project) <http://ultimatehistoryproject.com/Kente-cloth-and-the-his tory-of-the-ashanti-people.html#> accessed 3 September 2019.

⁹³ Kantanka (n 91).

William Badoe and Nana Afia Opoku-Asare, 'Structural Patterns in 94 Asante Kente: An Indigenous Instructional Resource for Design Education in Textiles' (2014) 5 Journal of Education and Practice 52, 52. 95

Achberger (n 92).

⁹⁶ ibid.

⁹⁷ ibid.



Figure 4. A Kente weaver at Bonwire on the traditional wooden loom, Nsadua Kofi. Credit: Kraftsmen Media Co.



Figure 5. 'Oyokoman na gya da mu' means 'crisis in the Oyoko nation'. It symbolizes internal conflicts, the need for unity in diversity, and reconciliation.⁹⁹

Research Centre for International Development controls the successful implementation of a project for the GI registration of Degler Nour dates, Gabès pomegranates and Teboursouk olive oil in the country. The Tunisian Ministry of Agriculture facilitated this by updating the regulations on quality labels relating to origin to back the GI certification scheme and obtaining international recognition of Tunisian GIs. In the same vein, it would be beneficial for the government of Ghana and other regional actors such as the AU, ARIPO, OAPI and the EU to be involved in the GI registration of *kente*. The main reasoning behind the advocacy of national and regional involvement is that GI registration is likely to be very costly and time-demanding.¹⁰⁰ The codification of the unique characteristics and designs of the kente in the different communities also requires some technical expertise which the individual kente communities may not be financially able to

99 ibid.

provide. For instance, it may be quite difficult for the Asante and Ewe communities to individually identify and organize themselves into associations, producer groups or collective actions to submit applications for the protection of their kente designs under the GIA. However, the involvement of a national or regional body directing and overseeing such registrations may provide a push for these communities to submit the GI applications for the protection of their kente designs. At the national level, there could be a committee or board to co-ordinate with the kente producer groups for the registration of the kente GI. Recently, in Ghana, there have been demands to set up a National Kente Board to aid in the management and protection of the increasingly globally recognised kente cloth.¹⁰¹ Once it is established, the new Board could also be used as a facilitator for the registration of the Asante kente GI. The assistance of the relevant state institutions and regional actors would facilitate the smooth registration and implementation of kente as a registered Ghanaian GI.

6.1Value creation at the producer level

The first level in the GI registration process, according to the AU–EU Continental Strategy, is the value creation of the product at the producer level.¹⁰² One of the aims of registering *kente* as a GI is to foster trade development in Ghana's textile industry. As said, research reveals that consumers are willing to pay premium prices for GI-related products because of its correlation with quality.¹⁰³ For instance, in the EU, consumers are willing to pay between 5 and 10 per cent premium for non-agricultural products. Quality, therefore, lies at the

news/set-up-a-national-kente-board-government-advised/> accessed 16 October 2020.

102 AU-EU Continental Strategy (n 4) 45

¹⁰⁰ UNCTAD (n 9) 54.

¹⁰¹ Joy Online, 'Set Up a National Kente Board- Government Advised' (myjoyonline.com, 15 October 2020) https://www.myjoyonline.com/

¹⁰³ Yogesh Pai and Tania Singla, 'Vanity GIs: India's Legislation on Geographical Indications and the Missing Regulatory Framework' in



Figure 6. Modern variations of the Asante Kente. Credit: Kraftsmen Media Co.

heart of the successful implementation of any GI. The successful achievement of a kente GI improving trade in Ghana is therefore highly dependent on consumers recognizing the value that the link between the product and the geographical region places on the product. Thus, consumers must understand that a GI in Asante or Ewe kente means that any product with that appellation or certification mark is a genuine product with specific qualities, which cannot be obtained in any other product. Consequently, there is the need to implement an effective quality control mechanism to monitor and ensure that the quality of kente as detailed in the GI application does not wane with time. The AU-EU Continental Strategy recommends that local producers draw up a Code of Practice (CoP) containing a marketing plan and other requirements for promoting the kente GI.¹⁰⁴ Also, the AU is being encouraged to focus on activities to disseminate information on the GIs and to train officials responsible for the GIs in the countries.

6.2Active protection at the international level

Like all other traditional IP rights, GI protection is territorial.¹⁰⁵ Thus, a GI may be protected in one jurisdiction but not in the others. It is, therefore, expedient to not only protect a GI in the country of origin but also

Irene Calboli and Ng-Loy Wee Loon (eds), Geographical Indications at the Crossroads of Trade, Development, and Culture: Focus on Asia-Pacific (CUP 2017) 337.

104 AU-EU Continental Strategy (n 4).

107 AU-EU Continental Strategy (n 4) 43.

internationally.¹⁰⁶ According to the AU-EU Continental Strategy, African countries are encouraged to provide international protection to their GIs.¹⁰⁷ International protection of a GI also contributes to the reduction of the risk of that indication becoming a generic term.¹⁰⁸ The TRIPS Agreement provides that a GI must be protected under national law before it can be protected internationally.¹⁰⁹ It, however, does not provide such an international system for GI protection and in the absence of this, there have been four proposed methods.

First, a GI can be protected internationally by obtaining corresponding GI protection directly in other countries. Such direct protection may be through any of the forms of protection of GIs available in that jurisdiction.¹¹⁰ Secondly, two trading countries may agree to protect each other's GIs under a bilateral agreement. An example is the agreement between the European Union and other countries such as Albania, Australia and USA.¹¹¹ Thirdly, some multilateral agreements address the international protection of GIs.¹¹² This may be through the Lisbon Agreement, which provides a method of protecting appellations of origin in all the other member territories through an international registration system.¹¹³ It may also be through the Madrid Agreement Concerning the International Registration

¹⁰⁵ WIPO (n 67) 35.

¹⁰⁶ Ludwig Baumer, 'Protection of Geographical Indications under WIPO Treaties and Questions Concerning the Relationship Between those Treaties and the TRIPS Agreement' (1999) WIPO publication No 760(E).

¹⁰⁸ WIPO (n 67) 24.

¹⁰⁹ TRIPS Agreement (n 26) art 22(2).

¹¹⁰ WIPO (n 52) 129.

¹¹¹ European Commission, 'Geographical-Indications' (Europa.eu, 14 February 2019) <https://ec.europa.eu/trade/policy/accessing-markets/in tellectual-property/geographical-indications/> accessed 20 July 2019. 112 WIPO (n 52) 125.

of Marks¹¹⁴ and the Protocol Relating to the Madrid Agreement¹¹⁵ (the 'Madrid System'). Here, a GI that has been protected as a collective or certification mark in the country of origin will be protected through the international system for trade marks by filing an international application with the International Bureau in Geneva.¹¹⁶ All OAPI member states, who have registered their GIs on the national level, are automatically registered at the international level through the Madrid System.

Ghana is not a member of the Lisbon Agreement and is not an OAPI member state but is a member of the Madrid System.¹¹⁷ Thus, *kente* as a GI can be registered internationally through the Madrid System where it is first registered on the national level as a certification or collection mark. Furthermore, *kente* can still be registered as a GI abroad through direct protection in the country in which the GI protection is sought or through bilateral agreements with other countries.

7. Conclusions and recommendations

In this article, we have illustrated how the commercial diversification of Ghana's traditional and unique *kente* cloth has resulted in its economic misappropriation whereby lower quality *kente* textiles are being marketed and sold by people in countries other than Ghana as authentic *kente*. In particular, we asserted that *kente* cloth risks being viewed as a general African fabric, rather than revered as the embodiment of the history of the Ashanti and Ewe communities of Ghana. Further, reduced income for the local *kente* weaving industry negatively affects Ghana's overall revenue from its local textile industry. Such threats to the *kente* textile have

resulted in the urgent need for the defensive protection of the kente to be intensified. In WIPO, the IGC has been spearheading discussions since 2001 to provide a sui generis system for the protection of TCEs such as kente because of their unique nature. Its main aim is to provide a system to complement the current traditional IP system and make it more suitable to protect such TCEs.¹¹⁸ Nonetheless, after 18 years, it is still unknown whether and when a new sui generis system of TCE protection will become law.¹¹⁹ In the absence of a new suigeneris system, this article recommends that the best system currently available to holistically protect kente is to implement the GIA, pursuant to the guidelines stated under the AU-EU Continental Strategy, in addition to the protection afforded by Ghana's Copyright Act. While Ghana's Copyright Act provides legal protection for certain original aspects of kente designs by protecting the underlying concept of kente, when combined with GI protection, a stronger degree of protection will better protect kente from all forms of third-party misappropriation. In addition, protecting kente as a GI will likely enhance kente's goodwill in trade in Africa and beyond, preserving its reputation for quality textile and further support the local kente textile industry. Despite the major financial and institutional challenges involved and hurdles to overcome, registering kente as a GI presents several developmental advantages for the communities and Ghana as a whole. Finally, as a key step in the process to facilitate the effective registration of kente as a GI, the authors support the establishment of the National Kente Board to lead the collective action by the kente producing communities.¹²⁰ The Board could spearhead the registration of kente as a Ghanaian GI as well as coordinate consultation with regional and international actors, such as the AU, EU and WIPO.

- 114 Madrid Agreement Concerning the International Registration of Marks (adopted on 14 April 1981, revised on 28 September 1970) 828 UNTS 389 (Madrid Agreement).
- Protocol Relating to the Madrid Agreement Concerning the International Registration of Marks (adopted on 27 June 1989) WIPO Doc MM/DC/27 Rev. (Madrid Protocol).
- 116 Madrid Agreement (n 113) art 1(2).
- 117 WIPO, 'WIPO-Administered Treaties: Notifications-Ghana' (WIPO) <https://www.wipo.int/treaties/en/ShowResults.jsp?search_what=N& country_id=65C> accessed 20 September 2019.
- 118 WIPO, 'Intergovernmental Committee on Intellectual Property and Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Folklore: Thirty-Ninth Session' (March 2019) WIPO/GRTKF/IC/39.
- 119 WIPO, 'Intergovernmental Committee on Intellectual Property and Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Folklore: Fortieth Session' (June 2019) WIPO/GRTKF/IC/40.

120 Kenu (n 19).