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Abstract

Objective: Although team resilience research has identified the characteristics of elite sport teams that positively adapt to adversity, further research is required to understand how resilient teams function. The objective of this study, therefore, was to explore the psychosocial processes underpinning team resilience in elite sport.

Design and Method: Narrative inquiry was employed to better understand team resilience. The sample consisted of eight members of the 2003 England rugby union World Cup winning team. The autobiographies of these team members were analysed using three types of narrative analyses: holistic-content analysis, holistic-form analysis, and categorical-form analysis.

Results: Findings revealed five main psychosocial processes underpinning team resilience: transformational leadership, shared team leadership, team learning, social identity, and positive emotions. An examination of narrative structure within the autobiographies revealed a progressive narrative form characterized by a collective positive evaluation of setbacks.

Conclusions: This study extends previous team resilience research by going beyond the identification of resilient characteristics to explaining underpinning psychosocial processes. The team resilience processes are discussed in relation to previous research findings and in terms of their implications for practising sport psychologists. It is anticipated that this study will provide practitioners with a framework to develop team resilience at the highest levels of sport.
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Understanding Team Resilience in the World’s Best Athletes:

A Case Study of a Rugby Union World Cup Winning Team

Psychological resilience represents an important phenomenon that explains the development of people who positively adapt to adverse events. Fletcher and Sarkar recently defined psychological resilience as “the role of mental processes and behavior in promoting personal assets and protecting an individual from the potential negative effect of stressors” (2012, p 675; 2013, p. 16). In the context of the present study, resilience is required in response to both adversity, defined as “. . . negative life circumstances that are known to be statistically associated with adjustment difficulties” (Luthar & Cicchetti, 2000, p. 858), and stressors, defined as “the environmental demands (i.e., stimuli) encountered by an individual” (Fletcher, Hanton, & Mellalieu, 2006, p. 359).

The ability of individuals and teams to withstand stressors is a prerequisite for sporting excellence (Hardy, Jones, & Gould, 1996) and for this reason sport psychology researchers have begun to investigate resilience in competitive athletes (see e.g., Fletcher & Sarkar, 2012; Galli & Vealey, 2008; Gucciardi, Jackson, Coulter, & Mallett, 2011). In 2008, Galli and Vealey explored individual athletes’ perceptions and experiences of resilience. The athletes reported that positive adaptation occurred gradually and often required numerous shifts of thought. Moreover, the findings indicated that the resilience process (described as ‘agitation’ by the authors) operated over time and involved a variety of factors including personal resources and sociocultural influences. More recently, Fletcher and Sarkar (2012) interviewed twelve Olympic champions to explore and explain the relationship between psychological resilience and optimal sport performance. The findings revealed that numerous psychological factors (relating to a positive personality, motivation, confidence, focus, and perceived social support) protected the world’s best athletes from the potential negative effect of stressors by influencing their challenge appraisal and meta-cognitions. These processes
promoted facilitative responses that preceded optimal sport performance. Resilience studies in sport have tended to focus on individual resilience and, therefore, further research is needed to better understand resilience at a group level.

Resilience researchers, in various subdisciplines of psychology, have lately turned their focus to the group level (e.g., Carmeli, Friedman, & Tischler, 2013; Stephens, Heaphy, Carmeli, Spreitzer, & Dutton, 2013). Within the sport psychology literature, Morgan, Fletcher, and Sarkar (2013) conducted the first study of team resilience in sport. Employing focus groups with members of five elite sport teams, a definition of team resilience was developed and the resilient characteristics of elite sport teams were identified. Specifically, team resilience was defined as a “dynamic, psychosocial process which protects a group of individuals from the potential negative effect of the stressors they collectively encounter. It comprises of processes whereby team members use their individual and collective resources to positively adapt when experiencing adversity” (p. 552). Team resilience was described as a dynamic phenomenon with participants stating that it was “dependent upon what time of season it is” or “whether there is an injury in the team”. In terms of its protective function, the participants described team resilience as akin to “having a barrier round you” and “having a thick skin”. Furthermore, the participants emphasized that team resilience involved a shared experience of stressors (e.g., team disruptions, low team morale) and this was revealed through comments such as “we have been through so many setbacks together”. Four resilient characteristics of elite sport teams emerged from this study: group structure (i.e., conventions that shape group norms and values), mastery approaches (i.e., shared attitudes and behaviors that promote an emphasis on team improvement), social capital (i.e., the existence of high quality interactions and caring relationships within the team), and collective efficacy (i.e., the team’s shared beliefs in its ability to perform a task).

Within the organizational psychology literature, group resilience has been conceived
as a dynamic process involving continuous anticipation and adjustment during challenging conditions (see, e.g., Gittell, Cameron, Lim, & Rivas, 2006; Lengnick-Hall, Beck, & Lengnick-Hall, 2011). Key psychosocial processes facilitate the ability of groups to positively adapt to adversity. To illustrate, leadership processes have been found to enable pioneering non-profit organizations to survive and thrive over time (see Kimberlin, Schwartz, & Austin, 2011). Specifically, these processes consisted of effective individual leadership, entrepreneurial flexibility, and calculated risk-taking. Furthermore, connectivity to group members has been identified as an important relational mechanism that allows top management teams (TMTs) to respond innovatively to continuously changing environmental demands (see Carmeli et al., 2013). Relational features of resilience have also been explored in TMTs through a focus on emotional carrying capacity (ECC), a relationship’s capacity to express emotions constructively (cf. Dutton & Heaphy, 2003). For example, Stephens et al. (2013) found that ECC mediated the relationship between trust and team resilience.

The recent developments in resilience research have advanced psychologists’ knowledge of the nature, meaning, and scope of team resilience. In the sport psychology literature, Morgan et al.’s (2013) study extended resilience research by providing greater definitional clarity of resilience at the team level (i.e., what team resilience is) and proposing a framework to profile the resilient characteristics of elite sport teams (i.e., what resilient teams ‘look’ like). Although such knowledge provides descriptive information about the factors that enable teams to withstand stressors, these characteristics do not explain how resilient teams function. Importantly, Morgan et al. described team resilience as a “dynamic, psychosocial process” (p. 552), which points to operational aspects of this construct and how it changes over time. They went on to argue that “due to the contextual and temporal nature of team resilience, future studies should aim to identify the processes that underpin the resilience characteristics” (p. 558). The objective of this study was to address this gap in our
understanding of team resilience. It is hoped that this research will advance knowledge in this area by developing the conceptual scaffold required to build this important team-level phenomenon (cf. Chan, 1998; Morgeson & Hofmann, 1999). It is also anticipated that this investigation will advance practitioners’ knowledge and understanding of developing excellence in elite sport teams. Specifically, practitioners will be provided with a framework to enhance team resilience processes at the highest levels of sport and will be offered practical ideas for working with team members and staff seeking to develop excellence. Indeed, Yukelson and Rose (2014) argued that better knowledge and understanding of team resilience is important for developing a culture of ongoing excellence in elite sport.

Method

Research Design

This study was an exploratory investigation of team resilience that aimed to elicit rich information and hence, qualitative methods were adopted (Silverman, 2006). Ungar (2003) proposed the use of such methods to extend the knowledge base of resilience as a scientific construct. Specifically, he suggested that qualitative methods offer the potential to discover “unnamed protective [resilience] processes” (p. 85). To better understand team resilience processes in elite sport, the present study specifically employed narrative inquiry. The premise of this approach is that individuals and groups structure their experience through a “constructed form or template which people rely on to tell stories” (Smith & Sparkes, 2009, p. 2). This “constructed form or template” refers to the patterns contained within stories that make it possible to understand the way people create meaning in their lives. Proponents of narrative inquiry also assert that examining a person’s stories can help explain human cognitions and behavior (Lieblich, Tuval-Mashiach, & Zilber, 1998). Within the context of elite sport, narrative inquiry has been used to examine the lives of athletes through their own stories to understand the psychosocial factors that form their experiences (see, e.g., Carless &
Douglas, 2013). Smith and Sparkes (2009) proposed that narrative research encourages “a focus on the ways relations between people shape, enable, and constrain lives, and the manner in which such sporting practices like team development . . . arise through a storied process of social interaction” (p. 6). Therefore, to further our understanding of team resilience processes in elite sport, narrative inquiry is an appropriate method to explore team members’ interpretations of meaning during challenging situations that they collectively encounter (cf. Morgan et al., 2013).

**Sample**

The 2003 England rugby union World Cup winning team was selected as an appropriate case for a study of team resilience since the team positively adapted to numerous adversities on their journey to success. Rugby union is an interactive, dynamic collision sport comprising of 15 players in a team. Competing in rugby requires the coordination of various positions and roles. The sport also involves players having to change frequently between offensive and defensive situations and these structural and strategic aspects place numerous demands on a team’s resilience. The England side was a professional team of full-time athletes with the team being re-selected every year and often re-selected during each season. The players were selected from club teams that competed in the professional English league. The team competed in the 1999 and 2003 Rugby Union World Cups, and participated annually in a competition called the Six Nations (previously the Five Nations) involving six European sides: England, France, Ireland, Italy, Scotland, and Wales. During the period in question, England won the Six Nations in 2000, 2001, and 2003.


**Data Collection**

Team resilience was explored through the examination of eight published autobiographies, one autobiography for each member of the sample (viz. Dallaglio, 2008; Dawson, 2004; Greenwood, 2005; Hill, 2006; Johnson, 2004; Leonard, 2004; Wilkinson, 2006; Woodward, 2004). Autobiographies are documents that constitute descriptions of an individual’s life and typically provide rich information about psychosocial-related phenomena (Plummer, 2001; Smith & Watson, 2001). As naturalistic life stories, autobiographies provide insights into deep expressions of experience that offer researchers and social scientists “a wonderful source for analysis” (Plummer, 2001, p. 28). To date, only three studies within the sport literature have used autobiographies as a resource for analysis (see Butryn & Masucci, 2003; Sparkes, 2004; Stewart, Smith, & Sparkes, 2011). Indeed, Stewart et al. (2011) observed that “despite providing a potentially rich source of data within the field of sports-related studies, published autobiographies have, to date, been a neglected
resource” (p. 582). Since autobiographies are intrinsically social by nature (Bjorkland, 1998), they were considered to be an appropriate resource to shed light on the personal and shared understandings of team resilience processes.

**Data Analysis**

Data from the autobiographies were analyzed using three types of narrative analyses: holistic-content analysis, holistic-form (structural) analysis, and categorical-form analysis (Lieblich et al., 1998; Riessman, 2008). Holistic-content analysis focused on the content of the autobiographies to reveal the psychosocial processes underlying team resilience during the course of the team’s journey. In accordance with Lieblich et al. (1998), each autobiography was read several times to initially form a general impression of the text and to subsequently identify patterns and to enable the generation of central themes that captured team resilience processes. These central themes were identified throughout the story, and notes were made about when and where the themes appeared to provide an insight into interpretations of the story (Lieblich et al., 1998).

Holistic-form analysis involved assessing the formal structure of the narrative contained within each autobiography to reveal participants’ perceptions and meaning of team resilience processes (cf. Lieblich et al., 1998). Riessman (2008) proposed that this type of analysis “allows topics and voices to be included in qualitative research that might be missing otherwise” (p. 80). The holistic-form analysis employed in the present study involved analyzing the temporal order of the stories (i.e., the sequence and progression of events) to reveal the critical “turning points” (Coffey & Atkinson, 1996, p.71) and mechanisms that enabled the team to positively adapt during challenging situations. Interestingly, Coffey and Atkinson (1996) suggested that “how the chronicle is told and how it is structured can . . . provide information about the perspectives of the individual in relation to the wider social grouping . . . to which that individual belongs” (p. 68). This analysis specifically involved an
assessment of progression narratives by plotting team resilience processes over time. Gergen
and Gergen (1986) described progression narratives as either progressive (i.e., the story
advances steadily), regressive (i.e., there is a course of decline), or stable (i.e., the plot is
steady).

Finally, categorical-form analysis involved assessing the metaphorical imagery that
supported the interpretation of the psychosocial context of team resilience (cf. Lieblich et al.,
1998). The meaning of resilience revealed through metaphor was examined through a
consideration of what the storyteller was trying to convey through the use of metaphor (cf.
Stewart et al., 2011). For all three types of analysis, a systematic approach was achieved
using Crossley’s (2000) narrative research protocols. To illustrate, the first author carried out
the analysis using a structured framework comprising key sections such as the temporal phase
(e.g., life chapters), key events, progression narrative, significant people, and metaphorical
imagery.

**Methodological Quality**

The quality of the methodological approach was evaluated using four main criteria.

Firstly, purposive sampling was used in this study (cf. Ritchie, Lewis, & Elam, 2003) which
is often employed in narrative research to yield rich, relevant sources of information and
insights about a particular phenomenon (Riessman, 2008). Since this investigation involved a
case study of team resilience, a team was selected based on their ability to positively adapt to
adversity. In terms of positive adaptation, the team achieved the highest accolade in
professional rugby union, namely winning the Rugby World Cup. The team also consisted of
some of the world’s best athletes in the sport. Importantly for resilience research, all of the
participants had also experienced (individual and collective) adversity during the period
under investigation. Examples of individual adversity included the death of close relatives
(e.g., Woodward, Greenwood), the death of a club team-mate (e.g., Leonard), and career
threatening injuries (e.g., Dawson, Wilkinson, Hill). Challenging situations that the team
collectively encountered included a player-led strike, significant defeats in major
international competitions, and various controversies resulting in Lawrence Dallaglio
resigning as captain. Secondly, the quality of the study was enhanced through the use of
consensual validation which is an important aspect of assessing narrative inquiry (Lieblich et
al., 1998). During the analysis, regular meetings were held with two ‘critical friends’, namely
the co-authors of this study, who were familiar with resilience research and narrative
analysis. Emerging findings were regularly presented to these peers to assist with
interpretations and to encourage a reflective approach (Sparkes & Partington, 2003). Thirdly,
the quality of this study was achieved through the development of “width and comprehensive
evidence” (Lieblich et al., 1998, p. 173). Specifically, this investigation formed a relevant
case-study of resilience within the context of elite team sport with numerous quotations and
thick description provided for the reader’s judgment. Fourthly, narrative research was partly
employed to offer readers a “pragmatic use” (Riessmann, 2008, p. 193). Indeed, the present
study is considered to be practically significant (cf. Tracy, 2010) for athletes, coaches and
support staff operating in elite sport.

Results

The results, representing the findings from the narrative analysis of the eight
autobiographies, present the psychosocial processes underlying team resilience in the 2003
England rugby union World Cup winning team. To illustrate the dynamic and temporal
nature of team resilience, the results are presented across three phases of the team’s seven-
and later phase (2002/2003 to 2003/2004). The holistic content analysis yielded 40 initial
themes and five main team resilience processes: transformational leadership, shared team
leadership, team learning, social identity, and positive emotions. The holistic-form analysis
revealed a progressive narrative structure (cf. Gergen & Gergen, 1986). Specifically, the findings illustrated collective positive evaluations from team members of setbacks, and a desire to make forward progress to achieve the team’s goals despite encountering numerous stressors.

**Early Team Resilience Phase**

During the early phase, the Head Coach used a variety of inspirational methods to positively influence the motivation, morale, and performance of team members. These transformational leadership processes were specifically revealed through Clive Woodward’s strategic planning, by being “brave enough to think longer term” (Dallaglio, 2008, p. 209) when faced with significant team disruption while preparing for a challenging overseas tour in 1998, developing a collective vision and philosophy, and managing change effectively. Illustrating the transformational aspects of Woodward’s leadership, Matt Dawson highlighted the “ambition Clive had for England . . . Here, I thought, was a man unafraid to speak his mind” (p. 89). Woodward’s development of the team’s vision “challenged the norm” (Hill, 2006, p. 129) and, despite the team’s 1999 Rugby World Cup failure, players recognized the importance of Woodward’s vision and the “much-needed changes being made” since they believed that “before long, they would start to bear fruit” (Johnson, 2003, p. 156). Indeed, his transformational leadership ensured that “everyone’s noses pointed in the right direction” (Woodward, 2004, p. 256). During challenging situations, such as the loss of a ‘Grand Slam’ decider (i.e., playing the last game of the Six Nations tournament to finish undefeated), the Head Coach helped players to make sense of setbacks by encouraging them to reflect on the “bigger picture” (Dallaglio, 2008, p. 249) of what they were trying to achieve. The following quote by Lawrence Dallaglio (2008) illustrates the impact of Clive Woodward’s aspirations and vision despite the team failing to win during the team’s first series of matches in this early phase:
After my first four matches as England captain [in 1997/1998] there was nothing in the win column. I’d grown tired of congratulating the opposition . . . The reason why things were not as bleak as the results suggested was Clive Woodward. His vision for England was more ambitious than anything I’d known and he knew what was needed to make us consistently competitive against every opposition. (p. 204)

Team resilience was also strengthened during the early phase by learning from the shared experiences of team members. During setbacks, team members referred to the importance of being able to “learn from it and move on” (Leonard, 2004, p. 182). Team learning processes facilitated a collective mindset that enabled players to “thrive on all the criticism” (Woodward, 2004, p. 251), “confront their failures head-on” (p. 252), and “learn from experiences” (Dallaglio, 2004, p. 244). The following quote by Martin Johnson (2004) shows how the team learnt from their failure at the 1999 Rugby World Cup by changing their approach:

The [1999] World Cup was undeniably a failure from England’s point of view. We should have done better than reaching the quarter-final. However, it did mark an important turning point for us. The tournament became too heavy, too big in our minds. We were talking about it from five or six months out. Any mistake you made in training seemed to be greeted with, ‘If this was the World Cup final . . .’ The pressure on us became so great . . . that it had an effect on our rugby. Clive and the management learned from that and they have tended to back off a little since [the 1999 World Cup thereby] creating a new England team in the process. (p. 157)

During the latter part of the early phase, the formation of close group attachments enhanced team resilience as “the team bonding process was taken a stage further . . . [and] this kind of coming together happened throughout the squad (Dallaglio, 2008, p. 255).

Individual members of the team aligned their thoughts and actions to those of the group with
the team’s emerging social identity illustrated in this phase through the recognition that “Club
England was born” (Dallaglio, 2008, p. 255). A salient social identity was constructed
through the use of mottos, imagery, and symbolic linguistic references such as, “Teamship”
(Woodward, 2004, p 210), “War room” (p. 242), and codes of conduct created within the
team’s “Black Book” (p. 215). The following quote by Clive Woodward (2004) reveals the
importance given to the team’s social identity following a series of setbacks (e.g., losing the
final match of the Five Nations Grand Slam against Wales in the last minute) and how this
process was underpinned by a collective attachment to the team:

So when in my darkest moment the players started voicing their support for me, as a
coach, it was one of the proudest moments of my life . . . Most of the top players had
newspaper columns, and they were speaking openly about what the new England set-
up meant to them. They weighed in with their full support, and I think that tipped the
balance in my favour. Even if I had been removed, with their comments I would have
considered my time in the England set-up a success. The player’s support was positive
proof that we were finally coming together as one. (p. 255)

Holistic-form analysis revealed team members’ anticipation of change and the notion
of a long journey ahead: “as we strapped in, gripped the armrests and braced ourselves”
(Greenwood, 2005, p. 133). The use of imagery in this phase included references to “a
voyage of discovery” (Dawson, 2004, p. 91), “a breath of fresh air” (Leonard, 2004, p. 176),
and “the start of something special” (Hill, 2006, p. 164). A progressive narrative form
captured team resilience during this early phase with a recurring theme of “getting
somewhere” (Woodward, 2004, p. 180), “moving forward” (Dallaglio, 2008, p. 261), and
where “the line on the graph pointed steadily up” (Hill, 2006, p. 139) regardless of
adversities. This narrative tone of forward progress despite setbacks was captured by
Lawrence Dallaglio (2008) in the following quote:
After our exit in the 1999 World Cup quarter-final, Clive Woodward talked about the team moving on and progressing from good to great. Teams change slowly though, and it can be hard to pinpoint any one moment and say, ‘There, that’s when it happened.’ But there was one such moment for me, when it was obvious that something happened and that we had moved up a notch. I was standing in the South African changing room [June, 2000] . . . We had lost a tight test match and yet what I saw in that Springbok changing room convinced me that everything was shifting . . . With that thought came the certainty that we were no longer the old England. (p. 245)

Middle Team Resilience Phase

During the middle phase, the team lost several key matches and also decided to strike over their pay. Clive Woodward (2004) commented on how the media portrayed this latter episode as “England’s darkest day” where “the wheels nearly fell off” (p. 292). Although he recognized that the strike “was a disaster waiting to happen” where “nobody would win” (Woodward, 2004, p. 292), Woodward worked with his players, despite his own frustrations, to enable the team to continue its progress. Holistic content analysis showed that social identity processes further underpinned the development of team resilience in this middle phase. For example, within the different areas of the team, Richard Hill (2006) began to recognize that some members were “taking on the identity of a trio” and “thinking as a unit” (p. 162). Social identity processes were further illustrated by the team’s collective decision to strike. During this event, the team “were all of one mind, . . . were all friends, [and] all believed in one another” (Dawson, 2004, p. 126). Moreover, the team “were brave and stood firm in the face of all the pressure” (Johnson, 2004, p. 184) which “pulled us together even tighter as a squad” (Hill, 2006, p. 170). The team’s strengthening social identity in this middle phase was characterized by caring relationships and a realization that team members were becoming emotionally bound to each other through their shared difficult experiences.
For example, after the death of his first child, Will Greenwood (2005) returned to training and the following quote by him highlights the importance of the team’s emotional attachment after the experience of this traumatic event:

On Monday I was back in training with England doing fitness tests [following the death of his first child] . . . It was good to be distracted, to do something physical as well as something reassuring in its familiarity . . . Although not much was said, I felt comfortable back in the company of my team-mates . . . The odd shake of a hand, a pat on the back and the occasional look here and there was all that was needed to let me know people were looking out for me. Others in the England squad had suffered tragedy too. (p. 239)

Team resilience was facilitated in the middle phase by individual members of the team leading each other. Shared team leadership ensured that the aim was “not just to have one leader in a team of followers, but to have an exceptional leader in a team of great leaders” (Woodward, 2004, p. 308). This was further reinforced by the players repeated use of the phrase “teamship” (p. 360) to symbolize how team members collectively set their own high standards in difficult times. The role of shared team leadership was evident through the way players took individual and collective responsibility in challenging situations as shown in the following quote by Clive Woodward (2004):

Perhaps the most significant example of leadership in relation to the England One Team may be seen when things go wrong – and clearly in the course of our development they have gone wrong in major ways on several occasions . . . when things go right, that’s the time to look through the window and praise those around you. But when things go wrong, then it’s the time to look in the mirror, shoulder the responsibility and not blame others. When the England team experience setbacks, all the people in the organization now hold themselves accountable. (p. 309)
Team learning processes continued to facilitate team resilience during the middle phase. Specifically, it helped the team to “pull back from the abyss” (Woodward, 2004, p. 296) by adopting an approach whereby the team agreed to move on following setbacks (e.g., harsh criticism in the media after losing another Grand Slam decider in 2001, a significant defeat to France in 2002, and the player strike controversy). Learning from adversity was regarded as “the making of England” (Wilkinson, 2006, p. 208) where “setbacks played a significant part in making the team strong” (Dallaglio, 2008, p. 262). Team resilience in this phase involved the squad understanding the perceived benefits of engaging in continuous learning during setbacks and applying this knowledge in the future as described by Richard Hill (2006):

Personally, I never doubted myself or the team. On top of that, we always felt we learnt something from each of our losses. It may sound strange, but I really think each one [loss] helped us. There are always little details that you picked up on and stored in the memory bank. That Lansdowne defeat [the final match against Ireland at the Dublin-based stadium to win the Six Nations title] definitely influenced our preparations for the next time we played there. (p. 176)

Holistic-form analysis revealed an underlying progressive narrative illustrated by frequent positive evaluations of critical incidents which enabled the team to “go from strength to strength” (Greenwood, 2005, p. 222). The following quote by Clive Woodward (2004) shows how team members recognized the fluctuating process of team resilience during a period of improvement towards their eventual goal despite the experience of setbacks:

The team were gathering momentum and then, once again, due to influences for which I wasn’t prepared, we suffered a crushing defeat. England were going well, sometimes very well, but not in a straight line and the huge highs and lows were like a
roller-coaster. We would fight our way back, but the difference for England by the
time of the Ireland match [in 2001] was that our setbacks were infrequent enough to
make huge news. It was as if the ceiling had fallen in. We got piled by everyone. The
lessons over the loss to Ireland were many, and building success from this major
setback would be the final springboard to the glory everyone deserved. (p. 303)

Later Team Resilience Phase

During the later phase, the England team became the top ranked side in the world
(International Rugby Board, 2014) and won the Rugby World Cup in 2003. Social identity
processes remained a pivotal aspect of team resilience. Players reflected on how their
individual and collective adversities “pulled us closer together . . . [and] we owed it to each
other to win” (Hill, 2006, p. 257). For example, having consistently underperformed in the
initial stages of the World Cup, Will Greenwood (2005) remarked that “we may not have
been the most talented rugby team at the World Cup, but nobody could touch us for
camaraderie and looking around us, I just knew that was going to carry us over the finishing
line” (p. 241). Furthermore, despite the adversities he had experienced (e.g., frequent injuries,
fatigue, performance slumps) Jonny Wilkinson (2004) stated that “I had such faith in the men
around me that I felt like I could face my fear [of failure in the 2003 World Cup]” (p. 40).

The importance of social identity during setbacks was highlighted by team members who
commented on the team’s “character and grit” (Hill, 2006, p. 269) and a group bound
together with a “band of brothers” (Greenwood, 2005, p. 320) mentality. Metaphor further
reinforced this close bond through phrases such as “all-for-one, one for all” (Greenwood,
2005, p. 304) and “I’ll put my head in where it hurts for him” (p. 320). Towards the later
phase of the team’s journey, the presence of salient social identity processes – characterized
by shared experiences of adversity and a deep emotional attachment to each other – was best
illustrated in the following quote by Will Greenwood (2005):
It’s almost time to head out [to the World Cup final] and Johnno [Martin Johnson, the captain] calls us together into the center of the dressing room for his team talk. There is nothing tactical and technical in what he says – the coaches have done all that. ‘We’ve taken some shit, but there is no other band of lads I’d rather walk out into a World Cup final than you lot . . .’ I know he isn’t just talking about throwing away Grand Slam matches or tough tours or criticism in the press – he is talking about all the shit which we have been through as human beings. For me it means Freddie [his child who died at birth], for Lawrence it may have meant the death of his sister, for Ben Cohen it may have been his dad who was murdered in 2000, for Mike Catt, it may have been his daughter who was very ill at birth, for all of us it was Nicky Duncombe [a playing colleague who died playing rugby]. There is a great electric charge in Johnno’s words . . . it speaks directly to all of us. We are bonding very tightly at this moment. (p. 314)

During the later phase, positive emotions were particularly important in underpinning team resilience. For example, Wilkinson (2004) remarked that “playing international rugby is a serious business but the tension which surrounds it needs a release and often laughter is the answer” (p. 201). Metaphorical imagery highlighted the importance of humor in the build-up to the 2003 World Cup, with players reflecting that, during their social outings on their summer tour of 2003, they behaved like “naughty schoolboys [who] needed to let off steam” (Greenwood, 2005, p. 261). Richard Hill commented that players engaged in “non-stop chatter” (p. 141) where there was “plenty of sledging [verbal abuse with other players]” (p. 141). The expression of banter amongst team members is highlighted in the following quote by Richard Hill (2006) on his return to training after a serious injury:

Bizarrely and as if by magic, the leg felt 100 per cent the next day. They held the daily management meeting and Barney [the team’s physio] reported that I seemed to
have turned the corner. Shortly after the Wales game, I rejoined the squad training and
was greeted with universal abuse. ‘What are you doing here? ‘Who are you, who’s the
new kid?’ ‘Holiday’s over is it?’ Got bored of smoking cigars? [The banter] was great
to hear. (p. 246)

In this later phase, holistic-form analysis highlighted how team resilience was
facilitated through a progressive narrative form. This particular narrative structure revealed
comments about how the team was succeeding despite frequently performing below their
expectations. This was illustrated through phrases such as “it hadn’t been a brilliant
performance but a job well done”, “we just did what we had to do” (Dallaglio, 2008, p. 299 &
312), the team often managed to “edge home” (Hill, 2006, p. 241) and, “perhaps the ability to
win when not playing well said something about the side?” (Dallaglio, 2008, p. 299). The
progressive nature of team resilience was illustrated through references to learning processes.
For example, Jonny Wilkinson (2004) remarked that “all the time we were learning, filing
away the knowledge gained from disappointment and setbacks” (p. 23). The progressive
narrative form highlighted how team resilience was evident through players feeling that their
journey was destined towards a successful outcome despite setbacks as the following quote
by Jonny Wilkinson (2004) illustrates:

. . . the way in which we reacted in the quarter-final underlined to me that we had
within us what it would take to win the [2003] World Cup . . . The matches to come
and everything which surrounded them would be colossal but I just felt that we had
been through too much at the tournament to fail. The game in Brisbane against the
Welsh added another coating of steel around us and I don’t think we looked back after
that. When the critics judged another narrow squeak as a sign of fallibility, they
misread the tealeaves. The more important fact was this: we had come through the
examination – our third towering challenge of the tournament – intact and were still
afloat, heading for the semi-finals. (p. 36)

Shared team leadership processes were a recurring feature of team resilience in this later phase with players reflecting on their growing ability to take collective responsibility. Metaphorical imagery reinforced the presence of shared leadership since players were “able to cut the umbilical cord” (Dallaglio, 2008, p. 324) from relying on coaches. This was also highlighted by players describing how their ability to share responsibility enabled the team to withstand stressors through “a triumph of self-discipline, which is something we had been working on for years” (Greenwood, 2005, p. 247). The following quote by Richard Hill (2006) shows that effective shared team leadership meant that the players were able to take complete responsibility prior to extra-time in the 2003 World Cup final:

As the whistle went for full time, it dawned on me that we hadn’t scored a single point in the second half. I honestly think that a lot of teams might have panicked. Instead, Johnno called us around in a huddle. Eddie Jones [the opposing Head Coach] had come down to address his team, but when Clive [Woodward] arrived Jonno told him to leave everything to him and the players. ‘Clive, no problem, we know what we are doing’ he said. Clive was an organizer, a facilitator and an original thinker . . . by putting together a side full of key players and leaders . . . Clive had made himself redundant as a leader. (p. 260)

Discussion

Using narrative analyses of autobiographies, we explored the underlying psychosocial processes of team resilience in the 2003 England rugby union World Cup winning team. Extending previous research that presented a definition of team resilience and identified the resilient characteristics of elite sport teams (Morgan et al., 2013), the findings of the present study provide an insight into the mechanisms that explain how a resilient team functions particularly illustrating how resilience processes were essential for the development of
excellence at the highest level of sport. Specifically, the findings revealed five main psychosocial processes that underpinned team resilience in the England team between 1997/8 and 2003/4: transformational leadership, shared team leadership, team learning, social identity, and positive emotions. The results indicated that these processes enabled the England rugby team to effectively utilize their cognitive, affective, and relational resources to act as leverage points for team resilience when facing stressors. Furthermore, the findings of this study revealed that team resilience was illuminated through a progressive narrative form. This was portrayed by team members evaluating stressors in a positive fashion and focusing on moving forward as a team despite setbacks.

**Transformational Leadership**

Transformational leadership involves the building of relationships between leaders and followers based on personal, emotional, and inspirational exchanges, with the aim of creating an environment in which followers can achieve their optimal potential (Bass, 1985). The findings showed that transformational leadership emerged as a pivotal team resilience process. Specifically, transformational leadership enabled the England team to withstand the setbacks that they collectively encountered, particularly during the early phase, through collective vision development and inspiring players to “start thinking differently and play differently” (Leonard, 2004, p. 179). A possible explanation for this finding is that transformational leaders enable teams to be “more confident in their ability to deal with failure . . . [and] encourage [them] to take risks and to pursue innovative and creative activities” (Peterson, O’Walumbwa, Byron, & Myrowitz, 2009 p. 353). Transformational leadership may have also underpinned the team’s resilience through the construction of a facilitative collective climate (cf. Kozlowski & Ilgen, 2006). This refers to a shared understanding of the group environment and perceptions about the strategic direction of the team (e.g., the England rugby team recognized that they needed to be innovative and creative
to be the top-ranked team in the world). Specifically, the results of our study suggested that transformational leadership influences team resilience through the leader’s frequent reinforcement of the team’s strategic priorities. Kozlowski and Ilgen (2006) suggested that this process operates through “perceptual filtering” (p. 84) whereby people take in new information and interpret it, according to prior experiences, to reduce uncertainty about new experiences. In the present study, this process positively influenced team members’ collective interpretations of adverse events (e.g., after losing a Six Nations Championship, Clive Woodward reminded the team that their mission was to become the best team in the world, not the best team in Europe). Collectively, these findings appear to resonate with Hodge, Henry, and Smith’s (2014) study that found that transformational leadership was important for the 2011 Rugby World Cup champion team (see also Hodge & Smith, in press).

Specifically, after two critical turning points (i.e., negative incidents) in 2004 and 2007, the New Zealand All Blacks rugby team used several transformational leadership approaches including the employment of a dual-management model, the development of a leadership group, the transference of responsibility to players, and the expectation of excellence.

Shared Team Leadership

The salience of the theme of leadership was further evident through the identification of shared team leadership as an underlying team resilience process. Contrasting with more conventional “vertical” forms of leadership (Ensley, Hmieleski, & Pearce, 2006), shared team leadership refers to the spread of leadership amongst numerous team members (Carson, Tesluck, & Marrone, 2007). The findings of this study suggest that shared team leadership leveraged the team’s resilience by influencing group members to positively adjust their efforts to achieve team tasks during challenging situations. Moreover, shared leadership appeared to improve coordination when encountering stressors by promoting greater implementation of roles and responsibilities for team members’ performance (cf. Burke,
Stagl, Salas, Pierce, & Kendall, 2006; Yeatts & Hyten, 1998). To illustrate, the phrase “teamship” was used to reinforce the team’s commitment to collective accountability and action. The results also highlighted the team’s enhanced coordination through the progressive narrative form when evaluating setbacks (e.g., “we just did what we had to do”, “able to cut the umbilical cord). Subsequently, shared leadership appeared to facilitate the team’s resilience through members positively influencing each other to perform for the benefit of the wider team (cf. Fransen et al., in press; Fransen, Vanbeselaere, De Cuyper, B., Vande Broek, & Boen, in press; Van der Kleij, Molenaar, & Schraagen, 2011). Interestingly, the findings of the present study illustrate that two specific approaches to leadership (i.e., transformational and shared team leadership) underpinned the team’s resilience. The role of both these leadership processes should be evaluated in relation to the stage of a team’s development. For example, the role of transformational leadership seemed to be particularly important during the team’s early phase due to the significant organizational changes which occurred. Thereafter, team resilience appeared to develop through “a continuous ebb and flow” (Ensley et al., 2006, p. 227) between transformational and shared leadership.

**Team Learning**

The findings of this study also revealed that team learning processes underpinned the team’s resilience. Team members referred to their ability to “file away the knowledge” (Wilkinson, 2004, p. 23) following setbacks and to apply their learning to future challenges. An explanation for this finding is that team mental models may have influenced the team’s resilience through harnessing collective sense making during adverse situations (cf. Weick, 1993). Team mental models refer to shared knowledge structures relevant to the team’s task environment (Kozlowski & Ilgen, 2006). According to Lim and Klein (2006), they improve team performance by enabling team members to anticipate one another’s actions and to coordinate their behaviors especially under demanding circumstances. Our results suggested
that the team’s resilience involved members of the team organizing their knowledge about how to act during challenging situations (e.g., identifying specific information from significant defeats, such as the 2001 Grand Slam decider, to positively influence their future preparations). The findings reported in this study also suggested that team learning underpinned the team’s resilience through the psychological phenomenon of transactive memory (cf. Wegner, 1995). At the team-level, this refers to networked information processing comprising individual memory systems and combined knowledge with a common awareness of such knowledge (Kozlowski & Ilgen, 2006). To illustrate, during ‘extra-time’ in the 2003 Rugby World Cup final, players described knowing what to do in particular challenging situations, such as the last two minutes of play, where they “used every last bit of that combined knowledge” (Wilkinson, 2006, p. 35). Transactive memory appears to explain how both individual and collective knowledge facilitates team members’ understanding of how and when to apply this knowledge in adverse situations. Overall, therefore, team learning appears to be an important team-level resilience process that yields the specific cognitive resources and networked knowledge required during difficult circumstances.

Social Identity

Social identity was an important underlying process of team resilience for the England rugby union team. Social identity is a process where groups engage in collective action to develop a picture of what the group represents (Hogg & Abrams, 1988; Hogg, Abrams, Otten, & Hinkle, 2004). To illustrate, social identity was characterized in this team through the presence of deep collective emotional bonds. Moreover, the use of imagery such as “Club England” and “Teamship” symbolized the importance of their shared and distinct team identity which was often recalled by team members during setbacks. In this group setting personal identity (“I”) appeared to give way to social identity (“we”) where team members adjusted their self-concept, thoughts, and behavior to be aligned with those defined by the
group (cf. Turner, 1991). In the present study, an example of this can be seen when the team collectively decided to strike over pay despite several individual athletes disagreeing. An explanation for social identity processes facilitating the team’s resilience is the role of strong emotional attachments during adversity (Gittell et al., 2006). Specifically, this may operate through affective commitment (cf. Dimmock, Grove, & Eklund, 2005) whereby high-quality relations and emotional intensity positively influence the ability of a team to take effective action during adversity. This might explain why the participants in the present study felt that their individual and shared experiences of adversity created such strong affective attachments and a “band of brothers” mentality. Another possible explanation is that the team’s distinctive social identity provided a psychological basis for receiving – and gaining benefits from – the social support of team members (Haslam, O’Brien, Jetten, Vormedal, & Penna, 2005).

Interestingly, Rees et al. (2013) recently proposed that a salient group identity has the potential to act as a psychosocial process which alleviates “performance downward spirals” (p. 400). Collectively, the results of the present study appear to indicate the importance of social identity processes to harness affective and relational psychosocial resources to promote team resilience.

**Positive Emotions**

This study identified positive emotions as an important team resilience process for the England rugby team. Resilience researchers have proposed that positive emotions are associated with individual resilience (see, e.g., Tugade & Fredrickson, 2004). The findings of the present study suggested that team resilience is harnessed by group-level positive emotions which are salient during challenging situations. For example, Kaplan, Laport, and Waller (2013) proposed that positive emotions, such as vigor and joy, may be pivotal for team effectiveness during crises. The results in the present study also showed that the England team often used humor (e.g., sledging, banter) following setbacks. For example, on
returning to the 2003 World Cup team following serious injury, Richard Hill was greeted by
team members directing putdown humor at him. This was paradoxically a sign of the team’s
appreciation of their colleague’s return following a challenging period of the 2003
tournament. Putdown humor may have influenced team resilience by stimulating positive
mutual exchanges and interpersonal bonds (cf. Terrien & Ashforth, 2002). Furthermore, the
experience of positivity during adversity has been found to build a durable psychosocial
emotional space conducive for team resilience (cf. Losada & Heaphy, 2004). Another
possible explanation is that positive emotions produce amplifying and buffering effects which
enhances a team’s ability to withstand stressors (cf. Cameron, Mora, Leutscher, & Carlarco,
2011). Positive team practices (e.g., “behaving like naughty schoolboys”) amplified and
reinforced the effects of positive emotions by strengthening social capital and high quality
relations during setbacks. Positive emotions also seemed to buffer the team from the potential
negative effects of stressors by enabling the team to absorb threat and possible harm
(Cameron et al., 2011), and by facilitating the expression of latent tensions (Hatch, 1997).

Strengths and Limitations

When employing qualitative methods it is important to consider some of the strengths
and limitations of the approach adopted. A notable strength of this investigation was the
appropriateness of the selected team for a study on team resilience. Firstly, the participants
were part of a team which remain the only England side to win the Rugby World Cup.
Secondly, the participants’ experiences of both individual and collective adversity provided
an authentic representation of team resilience. Another strength of this investigation was that
the data gleaned from the analysis of the autobiographies contained a wealth of narratives.
Douglas and Carless (2009) suggested that narratives can “illuminate psychological processes
in socio-cultural contexts” (p. 213). In this study, a key approach for elucidating the team
resilience processes was the collection of tacit knowledge that “transcends the immediate
surface of speech, texts, or discursive materials” (Tracy, 2010, p. 843). We feel that the
narrative analysis strategies employed in this study enabled the researchers to go beneath the
‘surface’ of the stories contained within the autobiographies to reveal a profound insight into
team resilience processes. Notwithstanding these strengths, it is important to acknowledge
that although autobiographies contain expressions of personal lives, researchers should
recognize that the recall of past experiences will likely be reinterpreted through memory and
language. Taking this point into consideration, we analyzed the autobiographies of eight
members from the same team which helped to identify any differences in their recall of
shared critical incidents. It should also be acknowledged that the findings in this study
represent just one interpretation of team resilience and that narrative researchers should
recognize that ‘truth’ is constructed through the researcher’s values and beliefs (Smith, 2010).
By using narrative analysis strategies that paid particular attention to the chronology of
incidents, we attempted to be faithful to the stories and the subsequent interpretation of the
events (King, 2008).

Future Research

The findings of this study suggest that team resilience processes are likely to be a
promising area for future research. Although this study provided an initial insight into the
dynamic and temporal aspects of a team’s resilience in elite sport, longitudinal research is
required to further explore the resilience processes identified in this study. To illustrate,
Kimberlin et al. (2011) analyzed organizational histories spanning several decades to portray
organizational resilience processes. An important issue to consider when conducting this type
of research is the sociocultural context in which a team operates (cf. Xenikou & Furnham,
2012). More specifically, scholars need to be sensitive to the sociocultural factors that
contextualise how team resilience is manifested in different practices (cf. Ungar, 2008).
Future research efforts should explore the link between stress and group processes and how

Subsequently, researchers could investigate the specific role of each process and how each team mechanism can be developed and maintained. For example, the results of the present study highlight the need to more fully understand the ebb and flow of transformational and shared leadership within teams (Carson et al., 2007) in facilitating and sustaining resilience. Furthermore, creative qualitative approaches such as ethnography offer intriguing possibilities to study ‘first-hand’ the underlying team resilience mechanisms reported in this study and how they are developed. Prolonged immersion in a team setting, using participant observation and a range of interviewing methods, could pave the path for a pre-intervention evaluation of team resilience as a precursor for the development of a team resilience training program (cf. Wagstaff, Fletcher, & Hanton, 2012; Wagstaff, Hanton, & Fletcher, 2013).

Finally, this research suggests that a resilient team is likely to be more than a collection of resilient individuals (see also Morgan et al., 2013). For team resilience research and measurement in sport, this indicates that team resilience should be operationalized and assessed differently at different levels of analysis. Specifically, researchers should use multilevel modelling to disaggregate individuals’ perceptions of the team’s resilience from team-level resilience. Chan’s (1998) typology of composition models provides an excellent framework for organizing, evaluating, and developing constructs in multilevel research (e.g., to extend the assessment of individual-level resilience to the team-level).

**Practical Implications**

The findings of this study revealed five main psychosocial processes, underpinning the resilience of a world champion team, that offer practitioners a framework to build team resilience during the course of a team’s journey. When developing team resilience, practitioners should consider these processes in relation to the stressors that the team is encountering. Moreover, due to the dynamic nature of team resilience, it is likely that the
relative emphasis and salience of these processes will vary over time due to changes in the
team environment (e.g., injury, selection decisions) and in accordance with the stage of a
team’s existence. Indeed, teams are not static entities and the temporal nature of demands and
psychosocial processes is an important consideration when developing a culture of
sustainable excellence (cf. Yukelson & Rose, 2014). Although the results of this study are
based on one elite sport team which may limit the generalizability of the findings, the
knowledge generated through the qualitative methods can still transfer and be useful to
practitioners working with other populations (cf. Tracy, 2010; Ungar, 2003). During the early
years of team formation, our results suggest that transformational leadership strategies, such
as articulating and reinforcing a compelling team vision, are important to focus on to protect
groups of individuals from the potential negative consequences of stressors. During the
middle phase, it appears that the creation of a leadership group (i.e., shared team leadership)
becomes more of a priority to boost team resilience since it promotes connectivity, ensures
that players are ‘on the same wavelength’ during setbacks, and enforces accountability by
taking positive action. The facilitation of team learning also seems to be beneficial during this
phase. To expedite team learning, practitioners could hold meetings that require players to
reflect on the new knowledge and benefits gained from experiences of adversity (e.g.,
evaluate stressors as an opportunity for group development and mastery) and consider how
this information can be used in the future. During the latter period of a team’s development,
our findings suggest that displays of positive emotions are important to emphasize. Coaches
should closely observe the behavior of athletes during training for signals that may indicate
lack of vitality. To stimulate humor, players could create a platform for banter through team
rituals (e.g., celebrating moments of resilience) and ‘storytelling’ to explain successes and
failures.

When developing excellence in teams, practitioners should consider utilizing the
framework proposed by Kleinert et al. (2012). Specifically, they suggest that three situational components determine the procedure, approach, and trust building when working with teams: the sport psychologist himself or herself, the given tasks and demands, and the social and organizational structure. First, sport psychologists should reflect on the personal resources they possess to intervene and perhaps most importantly, they should take time to develop contextual intelligence so as to understand “what works with which persons in which situations” (Brown, Gould, & Foster, 2005, p. 51). Second, the situational tasks and demands are likely to determine how practitioners work with teams (cf. Kleinert et al., 2012; Paradis & Martin, 2012). In the context of the present study, developing team resilience should be seen as a proactive approach to managing stressors and as part of a team’s long-term development rather than a short-term plan to address a crisis. Third, the social and organizational structure will influence the intervention approach, the method of gaining credibility and trust, and the decisions made (cf. Fletcher & Wagstaff, 2009). Importantly, when developing team resilience, it may be easier for a sport psychologist to be accepted when, in team philosophy and style, the practitioner has a recognized place in the team (e.g., because he or she has already worked with the team during the season).

**Concluding Remarks**

This study’s findings revealed five main team resilience processes based on a case-study of a rugby union World Cup winning team. The psychosocial processes consisted of: transformational leadership, shared team leadership, team learning, social identity, and positive emotions. The underlying processes identified in this study explained how team resilience involves the active mobilization of a team’s individual and group resources to withstand stressors in the pursuit of optimal sport performance. The importance of sport teams being able to manage adversity over time is portrayed through the following quote by England’s former rugby union Head Coach, Clive Woodward: “Our success has not been a
continual series of victories. We have had a number of devastating setbacks; how these are handled is the mark of a great team . . . It has been against all odds, but winning does not happen in a straight line” (Woodward, 2004, xiii). To achieve success at the highest levels of elite sport, the cultivation of team resilience processes appear to be pivotal over time in protecting teams from negative consequences that may be encountered along the pathway to sporting excellence.
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