INTERNET GAMBLING AND CRIME

Introduction
It is often remarked that crime always follows money. With this in mind it should be obvious that the Internet is not immune to this generally held belief. However, new technology and virtual money brings with it new problems. According to recent reports (BBC News Online, 1998), the British Police are increasingly concerned about virtual casinos. The Computer Crime division of the police has expressed concerns that there are no guarantees with online gambling games that they are played fairly, and that gamblers giving credit card details could be putting themselves at financial risk. Such casinos offering on-screen games such as roulette and blackjack are actually illegal in the UK. However, most virtual casinos are based offshore which is problematic in terms of policing and regulation.

More recently, one woman in the US amassed $70,000 of gambling debt through online gambling but she is now exploiting the illegality issue by counter-suing the Internet betting companies, saying that the transactions between them were technically unlawful, thus repayment of her debts is unenforceable. This is likely to become a test case over the use of credit card transactions by online gambling sites – a method of payment which is ultimately the key to the survival of these virtual gaming sites. Just from these opening comments, it is clear that Internet gambling provides new challenges for police and regulators.

A Brief Overview
The field of gambling is not immune to the technological revolution taking place elsewhere in other fields. Further to this, technology has always played a role in the development of gambling practices and will continue to do so, particularly with the growth of Internet gambling. No one is really sure how the Internet will develop over the next five to 10 years but Internet gambling as a commercial activity has the potential for large financial rewards for the operators. Some observers predict that Internet casinos and the online gaming community could become a $10-25 billion industry by 2001 yet adequate regulation is not in place (Dwek, 1997; GamCare News, 1998). However, the most recent detailed analysis suggests that by the year 2001, the Internet gambling industry will be a $2.86 billion industry (Datamonitor, 1998). It has to be said that to be said that Internet gambling is still in its infancy but things are changing fast.

It is estimated that by 2001, lotteries will account for 58% of Internet gambling with the rest of the market being taken up by horse racing/event betting (28%), casinos (8%), electronic scratch cards/gaming machines (4%) and bingo (3%) (Datamonitor, 1998). The success of gambling depends on many factors including diversity, accessibility and advertising. Internet gambling is provided by a
network of networks that span geographical borders and are not
discrete. Internet gambling is therefore global, accessible and has
24-hour availability.

Gambling is undergoing mass expansion all over the world. The
global growth of gambling is particularly noteworthy in the area of
Internet gambling. In many countries there appears to be a slow shift
from gambling being taken out of gambling environments and into the
home and the workplace (and in the case of Internet gambling it has
gone from being very site specific to being in cyberspace). This trend
has been noted by a number of authors (e.g., Eadington, 1998; Griffiths,

Social Issues
The rise of Internet gambling will provide both marketing opportunities
and marketing threats. This will have implications for other forms of
gambling and existing licence-holders. Some parts of the gaming
industry will almost certainly lose market share. Many may start to set
up their own Internet gambling sites because the initial set-up costs will
be minimal in comparison to (say) a casino. This will have implications
for the social impact of Internet gambling.

Today's gambler can gamble in a variety of places including
casinos, betting shops, amusement arcades and bingo halls. Most of
these types of gambling are currently available in some form on the
Internet so why - when people eventually go online - will they want to
move from the privacy and comfort of their own home?

Some observers (e.g., O'Neill, 1998) have argued that Internet
gambling provides “a natural fit for compulsive gamblers”. However,
there are some problems. According to Tottenham (1996), these
problems include those of a technical, management and regulatory
nature. However, over time, the Internet will become technologically
more sophisticated allowing faster speeds and better graphics etc. and
issues surrounding security and marketing will be tightened up. There
are also issues such as:

Underage gambling - How can you be sure that adolescents do not have
access to Internet gambling by using a parent's credit card?
Gambling while intoxicated - How can you be sure that a person does
not have access to Internet gambling while they are under the influence
of alcohol and/or other drugs?
Internet gambling in the workplace - Internet gambling is one of the
newer opportunities for gambling in the workplace. An increasing
number of organizations have unlimited Internet access for all
employees and many employees have their own computer terminal in
their own office (e.g., higher education) which allows such activity to
take place without arousing suspicion. Like telephone betting, Internet
gambling is a somewhat solitary activity that can happen without the
knowledge of both management and the employee's co-workers.
Opening hours - The Internet never closes so it is theoretically possible
to gamble all day every day!

Trust – How can a gambler be sure that operators in other countries will
honour wagers made? How can the gambler be sure that the virtual
casino will not close down suddenly and take all the customer’s money?

All these social concerns raise questions. Is it now time to draw the line?
Have we gone too far? What is the community benefit of Internet
gambling? The issue of Internet gambling (particularly in places like
Australia which have already legalized Internet gambling) has received
very little in the way of public debate. It is quite obvious that the driving
force behind Internet gambling is not consumer demand but market
supply. The gaming industry is itself setting the pace.

An International Perspective
There appears to be different attitudes in different countries with respect
to the threat of Internet gambling. In some countries (eg, US) there
appears to be the beginnings of a backlash bordering on prohibition. On
the other end of the spectrum we have Australia, New Zealand and
Canada who appear to be very liberal in their attitude and who are all
considering legalization or have done so. Then there are those countries
who are liberal without doing anything proactive (eg, UK). However,
the Gaming Board of Great Britain has at least acknowledged that
because the Internet can cross any border it can be exploited by
organized crime. The European Commission has only just begun to look
into the issue.

But how many gambling sites are there? Estimates put the number
of online gaming sites at about 600 with about 300 concentrating on
lotteries (Dwek, 1997). There are, however, disagreements about the
actual number. For instance, Laiho (1998) estimates there are between
250-1000 websites although he does admit that some (and perhaps even
most) are not involved with actual gambling. O’Neill (1998) estimated
that there were about 160 actual cash gambling sites as of June 1998 and
according to MacMillen (1998) illegal gambling on the Internet in the
US has increased ten-fold.

Internet Gambling and Policy Options
Internet gambling provides a challenge for the police and regulators. It
perhaps should be remembered that legislation will not control the
technology which is getting better all the time. Legislation is not just
about the Internet. For instance, interactive television gambling (using
the remote control to make bets) is going to be impossible to regulate
and police. This could be a family activity! According to McMillen
(1998), there are three basic policy approaches:

1. "Laissez-faire" approach: This involves a self-regulatory liberal
approach and should not be left to the market.
2. Prohibitive and restrictive approach: For some (eg, US,
Singapore) this appears to be a knee-jerk reaction but how can
this be enforced? This is not a realistic option particularly because it involves cross-border (state and country) gambling. Such a situation represents a fundamentally different kind of gambling to regulate. Prohibition has traditionally led to increases in organized crime. What’s more, as we highlighted at the beginning of this article, crime follows money. The reality is that advancement in computer technology generally, and the increased availability of the Internet in particular, has provided for new innovations in, and an expansion of, the field of criminality.

(3) Pragmatic approach: This is the most realistic approach and has been adopted in Australia (and will be examined in the next section). It could be argued that this is just opportunistic with various parties capitalizing on market advantage. (There is no doubt that entrepreneurs will certainly try to cash in such a market.)

Case Study: The Australian Response
The Australians have a had what could be called a head start in the area of Internet gambling and recently legalized it. They are working under a Draft Regulatory Control Model, which has some principle objectives and components that work within their gaming legislation. Because Australia has a number of cross-jurisdictional boundaries they have tried to take a common stance but allowing each member state to be flexible thus allowing diversity to occur. This they see as the best kind of federalist working practice. Some of the key elements of the Australian approach are set out below:

- working within the gaming legislation
- each state to licence the operations
- each state to approve premises and games
- games to be specific to the operator
- games to adhere to specific sets of rules (set in advance)
- hardware and software to be certified
- regulators can withdraw games that are against the public interest based on research
- all malfunctions will lead to bets being refunded
- operator will be prosecuted for using defective equipment
- regulators can enforce operator’s intentions of the game
- all transaction records are kept for seven years
- tax is paid in the state of the player
- it is up to the operator to protect against underage gambling

These guidelines are to help prevent “shady” practices (eg, operators can easily manipulate their games to exploit the players). The Australian response can be compared with responses elsewhere. For instance, in
Finland, Internet gambling sites are restricted to Finnish adult residents. Anyone wanting to play has to provide their social security number. Although this may stop Finnish adolescent gambling, there is little to stop the Finnish gambling on non-Finnish sites! In Austria, the approach has been to try and control the service providers to stop unwanted material coming in in the first place. This suffers from all the problems of prohibition.

Internet Gambling and Regulatory Issues

The obvious question to begin with is whether any legal jurisdiction can control Internet gambling? MacMillen (1998) argues there has to be a national approach, as a federal approach will undermine the process. Also, is it a case of controlling gambling or controlling the technology? Here is a list of some of the major problems:

- The industry is setting the pace (the industry understands technology better than governments)
- Non-specific sites cannot be controlled
- Product integrity and consumer protection (lessons to be learned from e-commerce)
- Who sets the standards? Are they enforceable?
- Cross-jurisdictional financial transactions
- Consumers lack confidence in e-commerce. How do you control against illegal operators because it is difficult to identify and detect them?
- Liability and privacy issues
- Social impacts (underage gambling, problem gambling)
- Impact on other forms of gambling. Cannibalization or complimentarity? As yet there is no market analysis.
- Constitutional and political constraints

Conclusions

From a policing and regulatory standpoint, there has to be a pragmatic approach to sorting out these issues. This needs to involve the industry. There is at present a policy shortfall with more questions than answers. There is the problem of federalism in which nations are divided and competitive. There is little doubt that nations will have to grapple with this proactively. Prohibition is not a realistic option unless it is coordinated nationally (or possible globally). There is the prospect of international conflict as it will be a case of nation versus nation competing for market share and tax revenue. For governmental cooperation there should be (i) agreements with common standards, (ii) collaboration with common laws, (iii) integrity testing and shared knowledge, and (iv) a central authority (global government) -- although this may in the final analysis be unworkable.
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