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Cathryn Vasseleu’s text is a philosopher’s philosophy book: a serious engagement with the three French thinkers within the phenomenological tradition. Extremely erudite, it is yet written in a lucid manner and patiently sets out arguments without labouring them. Vasseleu structures the team around the work of Merleau-Ponty and Levinas, but this is a presentation of their philosophy in the wake of Derrida’s interventions and in the context of Irigaray’s philosophy of sexual difference. Irigaray’s readings of Merleau-Ponty and Levinas are not determinant for Vasseleu’s understanding of the two men’s thought, but she situates Irigaray as an extremely nuanced thinker who makes a serious contribution to phenomenological debate.

Vasseleu’s subject is also fascinating in a beautifully esoteric way. She takes up the question of light in the Western philosophical tradition, a central question if we accept Derrida’s contention that light is the founding metaphor of a philosophy constituted by metaphoricity and its forgetting. In Greek thought light is related to visibility and truth: the truth of reality is visible as light, which is itself invisible and beyond the sensible and history. Against this tradition of photology, Vasseleu deploys the phenomenological discourses of body and alterity to propose a notion of light as texture. Light is thus no longer valued as an ideal or physical medium with a metaphoric or natural relation to the sun, linking sight and visibility. As texture, it is perhaps somewhat obscurely presented as a woven fabric, a combination of parts and qualities that is not simply given or fabricated. Going with the notion of texture, we are nevertheless persuaded that questions of visibility and invisibility, vision and touch, are themselves framed by the very tradition of photology and its privileging of sight. Through Irigaray’s work Vasseleu wishes to dispense with such binaries by presenting the texture of light as a fabric in which touch is always implicated in vision, and vice versa. In this way, Vasseleu both explains and yet significantly complicates the received understanding of Irigaray as a thinker who privileges touch over vision. The association made in feminist thought between oculoarcentrism and masculine identity has been extended to Irigaray, who is often presented as an antivisual theorist. Vasseleu argues that while this reading is more tenable in Irigaray’s earlier thought, her later phenomenological essays on Merleau-Ponty and Levinas reveal a tremendously nuanced position regarding the differentiations of vision and touch. Hence Irigaray is given to us as a thinker who calls for a different vision, as well as a touch of difference.

Textures of Light is a text that stays with the complexity and difficulty of its subject, without packaging any argument for ready consumption. That is probably why Vasseleu can state a truth of Irigaray’s work which contravenes many received readings: for her closeness to deconstruction, Irigaray’s thought as a philosophy of
sexual difference is irreducible to the terms of deconstruction. This enables Vasseleu
to touch upon a close reading of Irigaray, while at the same time making clear to us
why questions concerning light are important for our understanding.

UNIVERSITY OF NOTTINGHAM
Alison Martin

by William L. Chew III. (Studia Imagologica: Amsterdam Studies on Cultural
Identity, 9) Amsterdam: Rodopi. 2001. x + 433 pp. €82; $77.

Although originating in a conference which took place in Brussels in 1999, this vol-
ume consists of sixteen polished and fully developed essays which are, generally,
free from the informalities and discursive shortcuts that so frequently mark confer-
ence proceedings. Drawing on the diverse specialisms of its contributors, most of
whom are US-based historians, art historians, literary and cultural specialists and
linguists, National Stereotypes in Perspective offers a well-balanced range of com-
mentaries on the dynamics of Franco-American relations and, in particular, on the
national stereotypes constructed in the process of long-standing mutual observation.
Although arranged chronologically and weighted towards twentieth-century stud-
ies (seven essays are devoted to twentieth-century topics, while an eighth focuses
on the 1900 Paris exhibition), the collection achieves coherence partly through its
methodological grounding in imagology and partly through the various recurrent
thematic threads running throughout. Thus, the editor’s long introductory essay
establishes the theoretical and interdisciplinary framework for the very varied case
studies which follow, while each of the latter engages with the ‘auto-images’ (images
of the self) and/or ‘hetero-images’ (images of the other) generated in the course of
Franco-American interaction since the late eighteenth century. As one might expect,
issues relating to race, nationalism, and national identity dominate several essays
(Tracy N. Leavelle, Louis Kern, Jeannene Przyblyski, and Jennifer D. Keene) and
figure prominently in a number of others. These issues include American percep-
tion and treatment of the African, African-American, and American Indian Other,
French appraisal of America’s conduct towards slaves and towards the indigenous
peoples of the New World, European fascination with exoticism, France’s failure
to recognize the relevance of America’s racial problems to its own colonizing pro-
gramme, American-ness, and anti-Americanism. Several contributors—most notably
Bess Beatty, Keene, William Keylor, Seth Armus, and Patrick G. Gerster—explore the
relationship between sexual, cultural, racial, and ‘spiritual’ stereotypes and particular
historical, political, and social contexts (Paris during the period 1830–1900, the First
World War, the Paris Peace Conference of 1919, the Cold War, 1930s America). Social,
political, and judicial questions—in particular, the status of the liberal aristocracy in
post-Revolutionary France, the image of the republican hero, European evaluation of
American penal innovation—also dominate the contributions of Doina P. Harsanyi,
Sarah J. Purcell, and Carlo Cotrarella. Finally, the theme of popular culture—the
public spectacle, the press, and consumerism—links the contributions of Leavelle,
Dominique A. Laurent, Przyblyski, Beatty, and Barbara Zabel, while trans-Atlantic
exchange and influence in the plastic and architectural arts and in industry and tech-
nology are examined in the essays of Zabel, Isabelle Gourlay, and Stephen Harp. The
volume is well presented: the essays of Przyblyski, Zabel, and Gourlay include well-
chosen and telling illustrations; each contribution is preceded by a useful abstract.
Although the essays are accompanied by extensive footnotes, a bibliography detailing
the principal reference works in the very rich field of Franco-American studies would
have been useful. Give the recurrence of topics, the extensive archival work, and the