| 1  | Title: Hyporheic invertebrates as bioindicators of ecological health in temporary rivers:                                    |
|----|--|
| 2  | a meta-analysis  |
| 3  |  |
| 4  | Short title: Hyporheic bioindicators in temporary rivers   |
| 5  |  |
| 6  | Authors:   |
| 7  | Catherine Leigh <sup>a*</sup> , Rachel Stubbington <sup>b</sup> , Fran Sheldon <sup>a</sup> , Andrew J. Boulton <sup>c</sup> |
| 8  |  |
| 9  | Affiliations:  |
| 10 | <sup>a</sup> Australian Rivers Institute, Griffith University, 170 Kessels Road, Nathan, Queensland                          |
| 11 | 4111, Australia  |
| 12 | <sup>b</sup> School of Science and Technology, Nottingham Trent University, Clifton Campus,                                  |
| 13 | Nottingham, Nottinghamshire NG11 8NS, UK   |
| 14 | <sup>c</sup> School of Environmental and Rural Science, University of New England, Armidale,                                 |
| 15 | New South Wales 2350, Australia  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 | * Corresponding author, Fax: +61 3735 7615, E-mail address: c.leigh@griffith.edu.au  |

### 18 Abstract

19 Worldwide, many rivers cease flow and dry either naturally or owing to human activities such as water extraction. However, even when surface water is absent, diverse 20 assemblages of aquatic invertebrates inhabit the saturated sediments below the river bed 21 22 (hyporheic zone). In the absence of surface water or flow, biota of this zone may be sampled as an alternative to surface water-based ecological assessments. The potential 23 24 of hyporheic invertebrates as ecological indicators of river health, however, is largely 25 unexplored. We analysed hyporheic taxa lists from the international literature on temporary rivers to assess compositional similarity among broad-scale regions and 26 sampling conditions, including the presence or absence of surface waters and flow, and 27 the regional effect of hydrological phase (dry channel, non-flowing waters, surface 28 flow) on richness. We hypothesized that if consistent patterns were found, then effects 29 30 of human disturbances in temporary rivers may be assessable using hyporheic bioindicators. Assemblages differed geographically and by climate, but hydrological 31 phase did not have a strong effect at the global scale. However, hyporheic assemblage 32 33 composition within regions varied along a gradient of higher richness during wetter 34 phases. This indicates that within geographic regions, hyporheic responses to surface drying are predictable and, by extension, hyporheic invertebrates are potentially useful 35 36 ecological indicators of temporary river health. With many rivers now experiencing, or 37 predicted to experience, lower flows and longer dry phases owing to climate change, the development of ecological assessment methods specific to flow intermittency is a 38 39 priority. We advocate expanded monitoring of hyporheic zones in temporary rivers and 40 recommend hyporheic invertebrates as potential bioindicators to complement surface 41 water assessments.

| 4 | 2 |
|---|---|
|   |   |

## 43 Keywords

- 44 Ecological assessment; low river flows; aquatic invertebrates; river health; climate
- 45 change; flow intermittency

46

## 47 Abbreviations

- 48 ANOSIM, analysis of similarities; CAP, canonical analysis of principal coordinates;
- 49 EPT, Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera and Trichoptera; DC, dry channel; NMDS, non-metric
- 50 multi-dimensional scaling; NSF, no surface flow; SF, surface flow

## 52 **1. Introduction**

53 Temporary rivers experience varying periods of flow cessation and surface drying (Larned et al., 2010) and are the major inland water component of many regions, 54 55 including Australia (Kennard et al., 2010), southern Africa (Davies et al., 1995), North 56 America (Poff and Ward, 1989), South America and the Mediterranean basin (Bonada 57 et al., 2008). This widespread occurrence, along with the increase in flow intermittency 58 occurring through climate change across much of the world (Kundzewicz et al., 2008) 59 and the escalating human demand for water (Vörösmarty et al., 2010), makes understanding ecological consequences of intermittency in river systems increasingly 60 61 important (Datry et al., 2011). However, flow intermittency challenges our ability to monitor and assess the 62 ecological integrity of temporary rivers. First, variation in the presence and timing of 63 64 flow creates considerable spatial and temporal variation in these rivers' physical, 65 chemical and biological attributes, such that many conventional indicators of river health may not detect anthropogenic changes (Datry et al., 2011). For example, 66 67 taxonomic richness is expected to decline in temporary rivers as their waters decline and channels dry (Larned et al., 2010), but this response is not consistent among rivers or 68 through time (Rolls et al., 2012). Therefore, this variation must be incorporated into the 69 assessment process so that variation owing to natural wetting and drying can be 70 distinguished from that caused by human activities (Sheldon, 2005), such as a reduction 71 72 in taxonomic richness associated with land use change (Boulton et al., 1997). Second, the unpredictable spatio-temporal presence of surface waters means that monitoring 73 programs based on sampling surface waters at specific locations or times of year 74

produce incomplete datasets (Steward et al., 2012), complicating analyses and creating
gaps in reporting.

To avoid these problems, monitoring environments other than surface waters in 77 temporary rivers have been suggested, including dry riverbeds (Steward et al., 2011) 78 79 and the hyporheic zone, defined as the saturated sediments beneath the surface channel and adjacent banks. A major advantage of the hyporheic zone as a monitoring 80 environment in temporary rivers is its persistence. Streams with dry surface channels 81 82 can have substantial hyporheic zones (Valett et al., 1990; Claret and Boulton, 2003), and hyporheic invertebrates of temporary rivers have been collected from beneath both 83 dry and wet channels, and across multiple seasons (e.g. Boulton et al., 1992a; del 84 Rosario and Resh, 2000; Young et al., 2011). Although water can be lost from the 85 subsurface sediments of some rivers within days of flow cessation (Datry, 2012), 86 87 aquatic invertebrates often persist beneath surface channels in moist or dry sediments, even during long dry phases (Stubbington et al., 2009). These features suggest that 88 hyporheic fauna are a viable alternative for temporary river bioassessment. 89 90 The potential for hyporheic invertebrates to act as indicators of health in 91 temporary rivers has long been recognised (Boulton et al., 1992a), comparable to the use of macroinvertebrate richness and composition in permanent waters as indicators of 92 93 overall river health (e.g. Barbour et al., 1999 (USA); Davies, 2000 (Australia); Clarke et 94 al., 2003 (UK)). However, only a few attempts have been made to include hyporheic 95 invertebrates in river health assessments (e.g. Nelson and Roline, 2003; Moldovan et al., 96 2013). This may reflect the cryptic nature of hyporheic fauna ('out of sight, out of 97 mind'), a reluctance to accept new sampling methods, and a lack of appreciation of the

98 ecological interactions between surface and hyporheic ecosystems in most rivers.

99 Further, in the context of temporary rivers, there is a need to determine the extent of 100 hyporheic physical, chemical and biological variation attributable to surface flow 101 conditions (Stubbington et al., 2011a). Factors known to affect hyporheic invertebrate 102 distribution and composition, such as sediment characteristics and interstitial flow 103 patterns, and the selectivity of sampling techniques (Fraser and Williams, 1997), also 104 require consideration.

105 We aimed to assess the potential of hyporheic invertebrates of temporary rivers 106 as ecological indicators of river health. We analysed hyporheic invertebrate data from 107 temporary rivers across the world to determine whether assemblage composition and richness showed consistent patterns of variation that could be attributed to: (a) factors 108 109 that could be controlled in a survey program, such as geographical location, climate 110 zone and sampling techniques, and (b) factors that vary such as hydrological conditions at the time of sampling (hydrological phase). Our rationale was that if patterns of 111 variation were consistent, and therefore predictable and quantifiable, then hyporheic 112 113 invertebrates of temporary rivers could be used as bioindicators of variation owing to 114 anthropogenic disturbance. We hypothesized that the broad-scale factors of climate and 115 geographical region would have strong effects on hyporheic assemblage composition and, within these factors, surface water and surface flow conditions would also be 116 important drivers (Fig. 1). In addition, we hypothesized that hypothesic invertebrate 117 118 richness would be lower when the surface channel was dry or there was no surface water flow, and lower still when the system was also affected by anthropogenic 119 120 disturbance (Fig. 1).

121

### 122 **2. Methods**

### 123 *2.1. Literature search*

We searched for relevant studies using the electronic databases Science Citation 124 Index Expanded and Conference Proceedings Citation Index-Science within ISI Web of 125 126 Science (Thomson Reuters), and the Boolean search statement: Topic = (invertebrate\* OR macroinvertebrate\*) AND (dry\* OR temporar\* or ephemeral\* or intermitten\* or 127 episodic\*) AND (stream\* OR river\*) AND (hyporhe\* OR intersti\* OR vertical\*), 128 where \* indicates all possible word endings. This yielded 75 studies, which we 129 130 examined individually to confirm suitability. Studies were excluded if they were not field-based (i.e. experimental microcosm studies or review papers), were from 131 132 perennially flowing rivers, did not collect hyporheic invertebrates, only examined certain taxa, and/or taxonomic resolution was coarser than family level for the Insecta. 133 Where taxa lists or detail on collection methods or hydrological conditions were not 134 given, we contacted the authors to access the data. This refined the 75 studies to 14, 135 which we expanded to 21 by including data from two independent, unpublished studies 136 137 (Leigh, Stubbington) and from five other published studies cited within those from the 138 original search. Four of the 21 studies included rivers within primarily agricultural landscapes (Table 1). All other studies were conducted in areas with minimal 139 140 anthropogenic impact, confirmed by the studies' authors (pers. comm.) or as inferred from the study-region descriptions (e.g. nature reserves, national parks). 141

We standardised the invertebrate records to presence-absence data using the lowest levels of within-group taxonomic resolution consistent across studies. Separate taxa lists were created for samples collected during different hydrological phases, classed as: dry channel (DC), flowing (surface flow, SF) and non-flowing waters (no

146 surface flow but surface water present, NSF). When a study's taxa list was drawn from samples taken during multiple hydrological phases including SF, it was allocated to the 147 148 category 'mix'. Broad-scale geographical region (Antarctica, Australia, Europe, New Zealand and North America), climate zone (arid, mediterranean, polar, subarctic, 149 150 temperate and tropical), collection method and depth were also used to categorise the data. Collection methods were classed as wells (invertebrates pumped from pipes sunk 151 into the subsurface sediments), cages (invertebrates collected from buried colonisation 152 153 pots), pits (invertebrates collected from pits in the hyporheic zone) and dug 154 (invertebrates picked from sediments dug from the beneath the channel). Depth was categorised as either  $\leq 30$  cm or > 30 cm. This yielded 24 taxa lists (termed 'cases') for 155 156 our meta-analysis (Table 1). We also compiled accompanying information on direction of surface-subsurface flow during sampling (upwelling, downwelling or neutral), mesh 157 158 size used to screen the invertebrate samples, and substrate composition.

159

160 2.2. Meta-analysis

To examine patterns in assemblage composition, we calculated Bray-Curtis similarities between all pairs of cases from the presence-absence data. The resultant similarity matrix formed the basis of all subsequent analyses involving assemblage composition (performed in PRIMER v6 with the PERMANOVA+ add-on; Clarke and Gorley, 2006; Anderson et al., 2008).

We tested the hypotheses that assemblage composition would be significantly associated with climate zone, geographical region, collection method, collection depth and hydrological phase (e.g. Fig. 1A), using separate one-way ANOSIM (analyses of similarities). Data collected from agricultural landscapes were not included in these 170 analyses as these particular hypotheses did not concern the potential effects of anthropogenic impacts. Differences were evaluated based on the ANOSIM R statistic 171 (with R > 0.25 and, when there were > 1000 possible permutations of cases, P-values < 172 0.05 indicative of substantial differences between groups (Clarke and Warwick, 2001)). 173 174 Although multi-factor models and interactions were not analysed owing to limited degrees of freedom, we created a joint climate and geographical region factor to test for 175 differences in composition that were associated with their combination. Patterns of 176 variation in assemblage composition among the cases, as indicated by the ANOSIM 177 178 analyses, were visualised using non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination, based on 100 random starts. The two-dimensional solution was displayed if 179 180 stress (goodness of fit) was < 0.2 (Clarke and Warwick, 2001).

Canonical analysis of principal coordinates (CAP) was used to explore the 181 182 relationship between assemblage richness and variation in composition (based on the Bray-Cutis similarity matrix) among cases, excluding those from agricultural 183 landscapes. CAP is a constrained ordination technique designed to visualise multivariate 184 185 patterns pertaining to specific hypotheses, and can be used as tool for prediction to place 186 new data in ordination space (Anderson and Willis, 2003; Anderson et al., 2008). We used CAP to analyse how well the assemblage composition data could predict the 187 positions of cases along a gradient of richness (as a proxy for river health) and the 188 189 model's predictive capacity was tested using new cases (the cases from agricultural 190 landscapes).

Under our hypothesis that hyporheic invertebrate richness, if acting as a good
indicator of river health, would be lower under dry compared with wet conditions, and
lower still under conditions of anthropogenic impact (Fig. 1B), the position of cases

194 from low-impact study regions along the gradient should indicate where 'healthy' rivers lie given the hydrological phase at the time of sampling. A decline in these rivers' 195 196 health should lower their position, and 'unhealthy' rivers disturbed by human activities should be lower on the gradient than 'healthy' (relatively undisturbed) rivers with 197 198 comparable features (e.g. similar flow regimes and matched hydrological phases) (Fig. 1C). CAP model performance was evaluated based on the percentage of variation in the 199 200 similarity matrix explained by the model, the trace statistic to test the null hypothesis of 201 no difference in composition along the richness gradient, and a 'leave-one-out' 202 procedure to check for overparameterisation by choosing the number (m) of principal coordinate axes for the analysis that minimises the 'leave-one-out' residual sums of 203 204 squares (Anderson and Robinson, 2003; Anderson et al., 2008).

Patterns in richness data were also examined graphically to evaluate 205 206 consistencies in the relationship between hydrological phase and richness metrics within 207 climate and geographical regions (Fig. 1B), and to assess overall differences among 208 those regions and among collection methods. Metrics comprised overall (raw absolute) 209 richness and the mean richness and relative richness (proportion of total richness) of the 210 cases' most taxonomically rich groups (Mollusca, Crustacea, Insecta), including the EPT group (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera and Trichoptera) within the Insecta. We 211 212 included EPT metrics because EPT taxa are routinely used as bioindicators in river 213 health assessment (e.g. Barbour et al., 1999) owing to their sensitivity to pollutants and 214 changes in water quality.

All comparisons and analyses involving richness were based on taxa lists as reported by each study. Although sampling effort and taxonomic abundance may affect richness measures (Gotelli and Colwell, 2001), it was not possible to use standardisation

218 techniques (e.g. taxon sampling curves) prior to our analyses because many of the lists on which the richness (presence-absence) data were based were aggregations of taxa 219 220 identified across samples (i.e. one list of taxa per case rather than separate lists for each sample collected per case) and abundance data were not consistently available. 221 222 However, when there were enough cases within regions to compare sampling effort and richness, no clear trend was observed (Fig. 2). Therefore, although we acknowledge this 223 224 limitation of the data, we consider raw taxon richness the best measure available for the 225 purposes of our study. 226 3. Results 227 228 Assemblage composition was significantly associated with climate, both individually (ANOSIM R = 0.464, P = 0.0003) and in combination with broad 229 geographical region (R = 0.641, P = 0.0001). Pairwise comparisons between cases 230 grouped by the joint factor of climate and geographical region indicated that differences 231 232 were present between all groups (pairwise *R* range: 0.333-1), except temperate New 233 Zealand and Australian groups, Australian arid and temperate zone groups, arid 234 Australian and North American groups, and tropical Australian and arid North American groups (pairwise R all < 0.2; P-values not informative owing to low numbers 235 236 of possible permutations). In NMDS ordination space, cases from temperate climates tended to align positively along the first axis (Fig. 3A). Cases from the high and low 237 238 latitudes (tropical, subarctic and polar regions) tended to have lower representation of 239 taxonomic groups than those from elsewhere (Fig. 4A,B). Cases from temperate

climates generally had greater richness and/or relative richness of EPT and Insecta than

those from other climates (Fig. 4A,B).

242 Depth of collection and the hydrological phase during sampling were not significantly associated with assemblage composition (ANOSIM P = 0.4680 and 243 244 0.3940, respectively) at the global scale (i.e. among rather than within climate and 245 geographical regions). However, there was a significant relationship between the 246 method used to collect hyporheic invertebrates (wells, pits, cages, dug) and assemblage composition (R = 0.351, P = 0.0030). Pairwise comparisons indicated differences 247 between all methods except for pits and cages (for which R < 0.05), which could be 248 249 visualised on the NMDS ordination (Fig. 3B). Pit- and cage-collected cases had lower 250 richness and relative richness of crustacean taxa compared with those collected from wells (Fig. 4C,D). The one 'dug' case was from Antarctica and was taxonomically 251 252 distinct from all other cases, containing only Rotifera, Nematoda and Tardigrada. Therefore, we repeated the above analyses without this case; results did not change 253 (climate: R = 0.390, P = 0.0009; climate-geographical region: R = 0.599, P = 0.0003; 254 method: R = 0.255, P = 0.0015), and both depth and hydrological phase were non-255 256 significant. Further, pairwise R statistics indicated that assemblage compositions were 257 similar (R < 0.25) between the same pairs of regions and collection methods listed 258 above.

There was a strong and statistically significant relationship between assemblage richness and variation in composition (CAP, Fig. 5), with the canonical correlation explaining 98.3% of the variation in the similarity matrix of cases from systems classed as undisturbed by agricultural land use (m = 10, CAP trace statistic = 0.97, P = 0.0001). Assemblages from Europe and from temperate climates tended to have higher richness than those from higher latitudes or from mediterranean or arid climates (Fig. 5A). Within climate and geographical regions, richness was usually higher when flow or

surface water was present during sample collection (Figs. 5A, 6). The greatest deviation
from this trend involved the 'mix' case from arid North America, collected under
conditions that included some surface flow. Richness of this case was low compared
with the other 'mix' and 'surface-flowing' cases from the same climate and
geographical region (Fig. 5A, 6). However, the invertebrates in this case had been
collected from among the deepest hyporheic zones (mean collection depth = 93 cm;
Boulton et al., 1992a) of all cases included in the analysis.

273 Within climate and geographical regions, a similar trend of lower richness in 274 'dry-channel' or 'non-flowing' cases (DC or NSF) compared with 'mix' or 'surfaceflowing' cases (mix or SF) was observed for EPT taxa (Fig. 7A). However, when these 275 276 comparisons were based on relative rather than absolute EPT richness, the differences between DC/NSF and mix/SF cases within regions were generally smaller (Fig. 7B). 277 This suggested that relative EPT richness in the hyporheic zone may, in some instances, 278 vary less in response to changes in surface hydrology than absolute EPT richness. 279 280 However, comparison of EPT absolute and relative richness between the two 281 anthropogenically disturbed and the two undisturbed cases from temperate Europe (Fig. 282 7) showed that while absolute richness of the disturbed cases was always lower than the undisturbed cases, relative richness was only lower for one of the disturbed cases. 283 284 Total richness for all four of the anthropogenically disturbed cases was predicted

successfully by the CAP model. Based on their composition data, the richness of these 'new' cases from agricultural landscapes was predicted within  $\pm$  5 taxa of the observed values (Figs. 5B, 6; Table 1). The positions of these cases along the gradient were also consistent with patterns among the other cases; European temperate zone cases had higher richness than other cases, and SF cases had higher richness than NSF and DC

290 cases. Further, in support of our hypotheses and consistent with observed values, the

291 new cases were successfully predicted to have lower richness than those from

undisturbed locations within the same climate zone (mediterranean) or climate-

293 geographical region (temperate Europe) (Figs. 5B, 6; Table 1).

294

## 295 **4. Discussion**

4.1. The potential of hyporheic invertebrates as bioindicators of ecological health intemporary rivers

298 Our meta-analysis of trends in the composition and richness of hyporheic assemblages from across the world suggests that there may be sufficient predictability in 299 300 the responses of hyporheic invertebrates to surface drying and anthropogenic disturbance to support their use as ecological indicators in temporary rivers. Although 301 302 assemblages differed between broad-scale climate and geographical regions, there was consistency in the trends observed between richness, hydrological phase and level of 303 304 anthropogenic disturbance (as indicated by agricultural land use). Within regions, higher 305 richness of hyporheic invertebrates was associated with surface flow presence than 306 absence of surface flow or water, and the richness of cases from agricultural landscapes relative to this pattern was always lower. 307

Human activities have long been known to affect ecological processes and biotic communities in the hyporheic zone (e.g. Boulton et al., 1997; Trayler and Davis, 1998), and the mechanisms by which these effects occur are manifold. Agriculture, land clearing, urban development and river regulation can all modify sediment transport, promote colmation (clogging of interstices) and interfere with hydrological exchange

between the surface and subsurface (Boulton et al., 1998). These processes in turn affect

| 314 | hyporheic metabolism, water quality and invertebrate assemblages (Brunke and Gonser,      |
|-----|---|
| 315 | 1997; Hancock, 2002). However, natural alternation between wet and dry phases in          |
| 316 | surface waters can also affect the composition of hyporheic assemblages (e.g. Boulton     |
| 317 | et al., 1992b; Mori et al., 2012). Our meta-analysis has shown that ecological effects of |
| 318 | agriculture on temporary rivers, as indicated by changes in hyporheic invertebrate        |
| 319 | assemblages, can be distinguished from natural wetting and drying cycles, suggesting      |
| 320 | that this biota is a potential ecological indicator of river health for these systems.    |
| 321 |   |
| 322 | 4.2. Hyporheic invertebrate richness and EPT metrics as potential bioindicators           |
| 323 | The success of any monitoring or assessment program lies in its ability to detect         |
| 324 | changes in river health, diagnose the causes of poor health and instigate action to       |
| 325 | improve health. The choice of indicator(s) plays a major role in determining this success |
| 326 | (Bunn et al., 2010). Indicators should be easy to measure, pertinent to the               |
| 327 | spatiotemporal scale of the assessment, and respond to anthropogenic impacts in a         |
| 328 | predictable and interpretable way (Boulton, 1999; Boulton et al., 2010).                  |
| 329 | While our study showed that total invertebrate richness and the richness and              |
| 330 | relative richness of EPT responded consistently to hydrological phase within broad-       |
| 331 | scale climate and geographical regions, there was less difference between wet and dry     |
| 332 | phases in relative than absolute EPT richness. Therefore, the proportion of EPT taxa in a |
| 333 | hyporheic assemblage may be more stable as surface hydrology varies than the absolute     |
| 334 | number of EPT taxa. If this property of proportional richness is found to exist in any    |
| 335 | one site, system or group of systems targeted for bioassessment, the metric may provide   |
| 336 | a relatively reliable indication of health in temporary rivers.                           |

337 However, while absolute EPT richness of anthropogenically-disturbed cases was lower than that of undisturbed cases from the same broad-scale region (temperate 338 339 Europe), relative EPT richness of one of the disturbed cases was comparable with that of the undisturbed cases. This may reflect a relationship between the ability of 340 341 hyporheic bioindicators, such as EPT richness, to detect anthropogenic disturbances and the type, severity or combination of the disturbances involved. In a Colorado stream 342 affected by multiple human impacts, hyporheic EPT richness was a poor indicator and 343 344 could not distinguish between impact types (Nelson and Roline, 2003). Taxonomic 345 composition, however, was indicative of flow regulation effects, and high abundances of one particular taxon (a stonefly) were specifically indicative of mining effects 346 347 (Nelson and Roline, 2003). Our findings and studies such as Nelson and Roline (2003) highlight the need for further investigation into the potential use of EPT metrics in 348 349 hyporheic bioassessments, and into the development of hyporheic bioindicators more 350 generally.

351

4.3 Caveats to and recommendations on the use of hyporheic invertebrates asbioindicators

Hyporheic sampling methods can be selective (Fraser and Williams, 1997; Boulton et al., 1998) and the general influence of sampling methods on ecological assessment outcomes is a well-known caveat of bioassessment (Cao and Hawkins, 2011). Our study indicated that sampling method and assemblage composition were associated. Crustacea, for example, were better represented in cases for which samples had been collected from wells rather than pits or cages. Differences in sampling methods among the cases may even have played a role in structuring the differences

361 observed between regions. First, we included all reported taxa in our analysis, although 362 some studies were primarily interested in macroinvertebrates and the collection and identification of meiofauna was therefore unlikely to be consistent across regions. 363 Second, the mesh size used to screen invertebrates probably influenced sample 364 365 composition and richness. The absence of Crustacea from temperate North American 366 cases (Fig. 4), for example, may have partially resulted from the relatively large mesh 367 size used (250 µm; Table 1), potentially precluding collection of small invertebrates 368 such as microcrustaceans.

369 Therefore, while the technical capacity and funding level of any assessment program will dictate the collection methods, sampling effort, taxonomic resolution and 370 371 other identification protocols implemented (Lindenmayer et al., 2012), the potential effects of these factors on assessment outcomes must be acknowledged. Based on the 372 373 techniques commonly used by most studies (Table 1) and from our own experiences of 374 sampling hyporheic fauna, we recommend standardized protocols such as sampling 375 from wells inserted 30-60 cm in the streambed and using self-priming hand-pumps to 376 collect 5-6 L, filtered through a maximum mesh size of 125 µm. Consideration of 377 factors beyond the control of the operator that influence the composition and distribution of hyporheic fauna, such as sediment characteristics and direction of 378 379 vertical hydrological exchange (Brunke and Gonser, 1997; Boulton et al., 1998), will 380 also help to discriminate anthropogenically induced changes in hyporheic bioindicators. Pilot studies and the strategic development of sampling and analytical methods (e.g. 381 382 Buss et al., 2009; Downes 2010) will be essential to ensure success. 383 Finally, we suggest that temporary river assessment programs incorporating

384 hyporheic bioindicators will benefit during developmental stages from a conceptual

385 understanding of how surface flow variation mediates changes in those indicators (e.g. Fig. 8), both in disturbed and undisturbed locations. We suggest that in many rivers, 386 particularly 'losing' systems where downwelling water predominates, the loss of surface 387 water may be followed by a gradual reduction in the volume of the saturated hyporheic 388 389 zone (Fig. 8A, B). As surface-subsurface flow exchange uncouples and the size of the 390 saturated subsurface continues to decrease, changes in hyporheic water quality occur (e.g. reduction in dissolved oxygen; Fig. 8C), followed by potentially substantial change 391 392 in invertebrate assemblage composition, distribution and diversity (Boulton and Stanley, 393 1995; Stanley and Boulton, 1995). Our study suggests that this process may manifest as a marked but gradual decline in richness along the drying gradient, with anthropogenic 394 395 disturbance compounding the ecological response (Fig. 8D). Therefore, initial assessment data must be collected over adequate spatial and temporal scales that span 396 397 wet, dry and transitional phases in flow intermittency so that the full range of 398 invertebrate responses to surface flow variation can be described, tested against the 399 conceptual understanding and, if possible, modelled for use in future assessments.

400

### 401 **5.** Conclusion

Our global analysis provides evidence that invertebrate assemblage
characteristics within hyporheic zones have the potential to act as ecological health
indicators of temporary rivers. While this supports the broader suggestion that patterns
and processes within hyporheic zones are important indicators of the health of
connected surface- and groundwater ecosystems (Boulton and Stanley, 1996; Boulton,
2000), a lack of baseline data and uptake of protocols to develop, test and use hyporheic
indicators will continue to hinder their routine use (Boulton et al., 2010). Increased

efforts to compile knowledge and gather data on hyporheic fauna will help to resolve
this issue and improve our understanding of hyporheic responses to surface system
disturbances (Marmonier et al., 2012; Wood et al., 2012). We advocate expanded
monitoring of hyporheic zones in temporary rivers and recommend hyporheic
invertebrates as potential bioindicators to complement surface water assessments.

## 415 Acknowledgements

416 We thank Thibault Datry, Belinda Young and Paul Wood for generously 417 providing data and information about sampling procedures and conditions; Robert Rolls for discussions on the CAP analysis and conceptual hypotheses; and the reviewers for 418 419 their comments. The unpublished data from Australian rivers was collected by CL as part of a research project associated with the 'Quantifying Health in Ephemeral Rivers' 420 project, undertaken by the Cooperative Research Centres for Freshwater Ecology and 421 Catchment Hydrology, funded by Land & Water Australia (Project UOC24) in 422 423 collaboration with the South Australian Catchment Water Management Boards. 424 425 References Anderson, M.J., Robinson, J., 2003. Generalised discriminant analysis based on 426 distances. Aust. N. Z. J. Stat. 45, 301-318. 427 428 Anderson, M.J., Willis, T.J., 2003. Canonical analysis of principal coordinates: a useful 429 method of constrained ordination for ecology. Ecology 84, 511-525. 430 Anderson, M.J., Gorley, R.N., Clarke, R.K., 2008. PERMANOVA+ for PRIMER: Guide to Software and Statistical Methods. PRIMER-E, Plymouth, UK. 431

| 432 | Barbour, M.T., Gerritsen, J., Snyder, B.D., Stribling, J.B., 1999. Rapid Bioassessment     |
|-----|--|
| 433 | Protocols for Use in Streams and Wadeable Rivers: Periphyton, Benthic                      |
| 434 | Macroinvertebrates, and Fish. Second ed. EPA 841-B-99-002. US EPA, Office                  |
| 435 | of Water, Washington, DC.  |
| 436 | Bonada, N., Rieradevall, M., Dallas, H., Davis, J., Day, J., Figueroa, R., Resh, V.H.,     |
| 437 | Prat, N., 2008. Multi-scale assessment of macroinvertebrate richness and                   |
| 438 | composition in Mediterranean-climate rivers. Freshw. Biol. 53, 772–788.                    |
| 439 | Boulton, A.J., 1999. An overview of river health assessment: Philosophies, practice,       |
| 440 | problems and prognosis. Freshw. Biol. 41, 469-479.   |
| 441 | Boulton, A.J., 2000. River ecosystem health down under: assessing ecological condition     |
| 442 | in riverine groundwater zones in Australia. Ecosystem Health 6, 108-118.                   |
| 443 | Boulton, A.J., Stanley, E.H., 1995. Hyporheic processes during flooding and drying in a    |
| 444 | Sonoran Desert stream. II. Faunal dynamics. Arch. Hydrobiol. 134, 27-52.                   |
| 445 | Boulton, A.J., Stanley, E.H., 1996. But the story gets better: subsurface invertebrates in |
| 446 | stream ecosystems. Trends Ecol. Evol. 11, 430.   |
| 447 | Boulton, A.J., Stibbe, S.E., Grimm, N.B., Fisher, S.G., 1991. Invertebrate recolonization  |
| 448 | of small patches of defaunated hyporheic sediments in a Sonoran Desert stream.             |
| 449 | Freshw. Biol. 26, 267-278.   |
| 450 | Boulton, A.J., Valett, H.M., Fisher, S.G., 1992a. Spatial distribution and taxonomic       |
| 451 | composition of the hyporheos of several Sonoran Desert streams. Arch.                      |
| 452 | Hydrobiol. 125, 37-61.   |
| 453 | Boulton, A.J., Stanley, E.H., Fisher, S.G., Lake, P.S., 1992b. Over-summering strategies   |
| 454 | of macroinvertebrates in intermittent streams in Australia and Arizona, in:                |
| 455 | Robarts, R.D., Bothwell, M.L. (Eds.), Aquatic Ecosystems in Semi-arid                      |

456 Regions: Implications for Resource Management. Environment Canada, 457 Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, pp. 227-237. Boulton, A.J., Scarsbrook, M.R., Quinn, J.M., Burrell, G.P., 1997. Land-use effects on 458 the hyporheic ecology of five small streams near Hamilton, New Zealand. N. Z. 459 460 J. Mar. Freshw. Res. 31, 609-622. 461 Boulton, A.J., Findlay, S., Marmonier, P., Stanley, E.H., Valett, H.M., 1998. The functional significance of the hyporheic zone in streams and rivers. Annu. Rev. 462 463 Ecol. Syst. 29, 59-81. 464 Boulton, A.J., Datry, T., Kasahara, T., Mutz, M., Stanford, J.A., 2010. Ecology and management of the hyporheic zone: Stream-groundwater interactions of running 465 466 waters and their floodplains. J. N. Am. Benthol. Soc. 29, 26-40. Brunke, M., Gonser, T., 1997. The ecological significance of exchange processes 467 468 between rivers and groundwater. Freshw. Biol. 37, 1-33. Bunn, S.E., Abal, E.G., Smith, M.J., Choy, S.C., Fellows, C.S., Harch, B.D., Kennard, 469 470 M.J., Sheldon, F., 2010. Integration of science and monitoring of river 471 ecosystem health to guide investments in catchment protection and 472 rehabilitation. Freshw. Biol. 55, 223-240. Buss, S., Cai, Z., Cardenas, B., Fleckenstein, J., Hannah, D., Heppell, K., Hulme, P., 473 474 Ibrahim, T., Kaeser, D., Krause, S., Lawler, D., Lerner, D., Mant, J., Malcolm, I., Old, G., Parkin, G., Pickup, R., Pinay, G., Porter, J., Rhodes, G., Ritchie, A., 475 Riley, J., Robertson, A., Sear, D., Shields, B., Smith, J., Tellam, J., Wood, P., 476 477 2009. The Hyporheic Handbook: A handbook on the groundwater-surface water 478 interface and hyporheic zone for environmental managers. Science Report, SC0500. Environment Agency, Bristol, UK. 479

| 480 | Cao, Y., Hawkins, C.P., 2011. The comparability of bioassessments: a review of        |
|-----|---|
| 481 | conceptual and methodological issues. J. N. Am. Benthol. Soc. 30, 680-701.            |
| 482 | Claret, C., Boulton, A.J., 2003. Diel variation in surface and subsurface microbial   |
| 483 | activity along a gradient of drying in an Australian sand-bed stream. Freshw.         |
| 484 | Biol. 48, 1739-1755.  |
| 485 | Clarke, K.R., Gorley, R.N., 2006. PRIMER v6: User Manual/Tutorial. PRIMER-E,          |
| 486 | Plymouth, UK.   |
| 487 | Clarke, K.R., Warwick, R.M., 2001. Change in marine communities: An approach to       |
| 488 | statistical analysis and interpretation, fourth ed. PRIMER-E, Plymouth, UK.           |
| 489 | Clarke, R.T., Wright, J.F., Furse, M.T., 2003. RIVPACS models for predicting the      |
| 490 | expected macroinvertebrate fauna and assessing the ecological quality of rivers.      |
| 491 | Ecol. Model. 160, 219-233.  |
| 492 | Clinton, S.M., Grimm, N.B., Fisher, S.G., 1996. Response of a hyporheic invertebrate  |
| 493 | assemblage to drying disturbance in a desert stream. J. N. American Benthol.          |
| 494 | Soc. 15, 700-712.   |
| 495 | Collins, B.M., Sobczak, W.V., Colburn, E.A., 2007. Subsurface flowpaths in a forested |
| 496 | headwater stream harbor a diverse macroinvertebrate community. Wetlands 27,           |
| 497 | 319-325.  |
| 498 | Cooling, M.P., Boulton, A.J., 1993. Aspects of the hyporheic zone below the terminus  |
| 499 | of a South Australian arid-zone stream. Aust. J. Mar. Freshw. Res. 44, 411-426.       |
| 500 | Crossman, J., Bradley, C., Milner, A., Pinay, G., 2012. Influence of environmental    |
| 501 | instability of groundwater-fed streams on hyporheic fauna, on a glacial               |
| 502 | floodplain, Denali National Park, Alaska. River Res. Appl. doi:                       |
| 503 | 10.1002/rra.1619  |

| 504 | Datry, T., 2012. Benthic and hyporheic invertebrate assemblages along a flow              |
|-----|---|
| 505 | intermittence gradient: effects of duration of dry events. Freshw. Biol. 57, 563-         |
| 506 | 574.  |
| 507 | Datry, T., Larned, S.T., Scarsbrook, M.R., 2007. Responses of hyporheic invertebrate      |
| 508 | assemblages to large-scale variation in flow permanence and surface-subsurface            |
| 509 | exchange. Freshw. Biol. 52, 1452-1462.  |
| 510 | Datry, T., Arscott, D., Sabater, S., 2011. Recent perspectives on temporary river         |
| 511 | ecology. Aquat. Sci. 73, 453-457.   |
| 512 | Davies, B.R., Thoms, M.C., Walker, K.F., O'Keefe, J.H., Gore, J.A., 1995. Dryland         |
| 513 | rivers: Their ecology, conservation and management, in: Calow, P., Petts, G.E.            |
| 514 | (Eds.), The Rivers Handbook: Hydrological and Ecological Principles.                      |
| 515 | Blackwell Scientific Publishing, Oxford, pp. 484-511.                                     |
| 516 | Davies, P.E., 2000. Development of a national river bioassessment system                  |
| 517 | (AUSRIVAS), in: Wright, J.F., Sutcliffe, D.W., Furse, M.T. (Eds.), Assessing              |
| 518 | the Biological Quality of Freshwaters: RIVPACS and Other Techniques.                      |
| 519 | Freshwater Biological Association, Ambleside, U.K., pp. 113-124.                          |
| 520 | del Rosario, R.B., Resh, V.H., 2000. Invertebrates in intermittent and perennial streams: |
| 521 | is the hyporheic zone a refuge from drying? J. N. Am. Benthol. Soc. 19, 680-              |
| 522 | 696.  |
| 523 | del Rosario, R.B., Resh, V.H., 2001. Interstitial invertebrate assemblages associated     |
| 524 | with small-scale subsurface flowpaths in perennial and intermittent California            |
| 525 | streams. Arch. Hydrobiol. 150, 629-640.   |

| 526 | Downes, B.J., 2010. Back to the future: little-used tools and principles of scientific   |
|-----|--|
| 527 | inference can help disentangle effects of multiple stressors on freshwater               |
| 528 | ecosystems. Freshw. Biol. 55, 60-79.   |
| 529 | Fraser, B.G., Williams, D.D., 1997. Accuracy and precision in sampling hyporheic         |
| 530 | fauna. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 54, 1135-1141.                 |
| 531 | Gotelli, N.J., Colwell, R.K., 2001. Quantifying biodiversity: Procedures and pitfalls in |
| 532 | the measurement and comparison of species richness. Ecol. Lett. 4, 379-391.              |
| 533 | Griffith, M.B., Perry, S.A., 1993. The distribution of macroinvertebrates in the         |
| 534 | hyporheic zone of two small Appalachian headwater streams. Arch. Hydrobiol.              |
| 535 | 126, 373-384.  |
| 536 | Hancock, P., 2002. Human impacts on the stream-groundwater exchange zone. Environ.       |
| 537 | Manag. 29, 761-781.  |
| 538 | Kennard, M.J., Pusey, B.J., Olden, J.D., Mackay, S.J., Stein, J.L., Marsh, N., 2010.     |
| 539 | Classification of natural flow regimes in Australia to support environmental flow        |
| 540 | management. Freshw. Biol. 55, 171–193.   |
| 541 | Kundzewicz, Z.W., Mata, L.J., Arnell, N.W., Döll, P., Jimenez, B., Miller, K., Oki, T.,  |
| 542 | Şen, Z., Shiklomanov, I., 2008. The implications of projected climate change for         |
| 543 | freshwater resources and their management. Hydrol. Sci. J. 53, 3-10.                     |
| 544 | Larned, S.T., Datry, T., Arscott, D.B., Tockner, K., 2010. Emerging concepts in          |
| 545 | temporary-river ecology. Freshw. Biol. 55, 717-738.                                      |
| 546 | Lindenmayer, D.B., Gibbons, P., Bourke, M., Burgman, M., Dickman, C.R., Ferrier, S.,     |
| 547 | Fitzsimons, J., Freudenberger, D., Garnett, S.T., Groves, C., Hobbs, R.J.,               |
| 548 | Kingsford, R.T., Krebs, C., Legge, S., Lowe, A.J., McLean, R., Montambault, J.,          |
| 549 | Possingham, H., Radford, J., Robinson, D., Smallbone, L., Thomas, D., Varcoe,            |
|     |  |

| 550 | T., Vardon, M., Wardle, G., Woinarski, J., Zerger, A., 2012. Improving                    |
|-----|---|
| 551 | biodiversity monitoring. Austral Ecol. 37, 285-294.                                       |
| 552 | Marmonier, P., Archambaud, G., Belaidi, N., Bougon, N., Breil, P., Chauvet, E., Claret,   |
| 553 | C., Cornut, J., Datry, T., Dole-Olivier, MJ., Dumont, B., Flipo, N., Foulquier,           |
| 554 | A., Gérino, M., Guilpart, A., Julien, F., Maazouzi, C., Martin, D., Mermillod-            |
| 555 | Blondin, F., Montuelle, B., Namour, P., Navel, S., Ombredane, D., Pelte, T.,              |
| 556 | Piscart, C., Pusch, M., Stroffek, S., Robertson, A., Sanchez-Pérez, JM.,                  |
| 557 | Sauvage, S., Taleb, A., Wantzen, M., Vervier, P., 2012. The role of organisms in          |
| 558 | hyporheic processes: Gaps in current knowledge, needs for future research and             |
| 559 | applications. Ann. Limnol. Int. J. Limnol. 48, 253-266.                                   |
| 560 | Moldovan, O.T., Meleg, I.N., Levei, E., Terente, M., 2013. A simple method for            |
| 561 | assessing biotic indicators and predicting biodiversity in the hyporheic zone of a        |
| 562 | river polluted with metals. Ecol. Indic. 24, 412-420.                                     |
| 563 | Mori, N., Simčič, T., Žibrat, U., Brancelj, A., 2012. The role of river flow dynamics and |
| 564 | food availability in structuring hyporheic microcrustacean assemblages: A reach           |
| 565 | scale study. Fundam. Appl. Limnol. 180, 335-349.  |
| 566 | Nelson, S.M., Roline, R.A., 2003. Effects of multiple stressors on hyporheic              |
| 567 | invertebrates in a lotic system. Ecol. Indic. 3, 65-7.                                    |
| 568 | Paltridge, R.M., Dostine, P.L., Humphrey, C.L., Boulton, A.J., 1997. Macroinvertebrate    |
| 569 | recolonization after re-wetting of a tropical seasonally-flowing stream (Magela           |
| 570 | Creek, Northern Territory, Australia). Mar. Freshw. Res. 48, 633-645.                     |
| 571 | Poff, N.L., Ward, J.V., 1989. Implications of streamflow variability and predictability   |
| 572 | for lotic community structure: A regional analysis of streamflow patterns. Can.           |
| 573 | J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 46, 1805-1818.   |

| 574 | Rolls, R.J., Leigh, C., Sheldon, F., 2012. Mechanistic effects of low-flow hydrology on   |
|-----|---|
| 575 | riverine ecosystems: Ecological principles and consequences of alteration.                |
| 576 | Freshw. Sci. 31, 1163-1186.   |
| 577 | Sheldon, F., 2005. Incorporating natural variability into the assessment of ecological    |
| 578 | health in Australian dryland rivers. Hydrobiologia 552, 45-56.                            |
| 579 | Stanley, E.H., Boulton, A.J., 1995. Hyporheic processes during flooding and drying in a   |
| 580 | Sonoran Desert stream. I. Hydrologic and chemical dynamics. Arch. Hydrobiol.              |
| 581 | 134, 1-26.  |
| 582 | Steward, A., Marshall, J., Sheldon, F., Harch, B., Choy, S., Bunn, S., Tockner, K., 2011. |
| 583 | Terrestrial invertebrates of dry river beds are not simply subsets of riparian            |
| 584 | assemblages. Aquat. Sci. 73, 551-566.   |
| 585 | Steward, A.L., von Schiller, D., Tockner, K., Marshall, J.C., Bunn, S.E., 2012. When      |
| 586 | the river runs dry: human and ecological values of dry riverbeds. Frontiers in            |
| 587 | Ecology and the Environment 10, 202-209.  |
| 588 | Stubbington, R., 2011. The hyporheic zone as a refugium for benthic invertebrates in      |
| 589 | groundwater-dominated streams. PhD thesis, Loughborough University,                       |
| 590 | Loughborough, UK.   |
| 591 | Stubbington, R., Wood, P.J., Boulton, A.J., 2009. Low flow controls on benthic and        |
| 592 | hyporheic macroinvertebrate assemblages during supra-seasonal drought.                    |
| 593 | Hydrol. Process. 23, 2252-2263.   |
| 594 | Stubbington, R., Wood, P., Reid, I., 2011a. Spatial variability in the hyporheic zone     |
| 595 | refugium of temporary streams. Aquat. Sci. 73, 499-511.                                   |

| 596 | Stubbington, R., Wood, P.J., Reid, I., Gunn, J., 2011b. Benthic and hyporheic             |
|-----|---|
| 597 | invertebrate community responses to seasonal flow recession in a groundwater-             |
| 598 | dominated stream. Ecohydrology 4, 500-511.  |
| 599 | Trayler, K.M., Davis, J.A., 1998. Forestry impacts and the vertical distribution of       |
| 600 | stream invertebrates in south-western Australia. Freshw. Biol. 40, 331-342.               |
| 601 | Treonis, A.M., H.Wall, D., Virginia, R.A., 1999. Invertebrate biodiversity in Antarctic   |
| 602 | dry valley soils and sediments. Ecosystems 2, 482-492.                                    |
| 603 | Valett, H.M., Fisher, S.G., Stanley, E.H., 1990. Physical and chemical characteristics of |
| 604 | the hyporheic zone of a Sonoran Desert stream. J. N. Am. Benthol. Soc. 9, 201-            |
| 605 | 215.  |
| 606 | Vörösmarty, C.J., McIntyre, P.B., Gessner, M.O., Dudgeon, D., Prusevich, A., Green,       |
| 607 | P., Glidden, S., Bunn, S.E., Sullivan, C.A., Liermann, C.R., Davies, P.M., 2010.          |
| 608 | Global threats to human water security and river biodiversity. Nature 467, 555-           |
| 609 | 561.  |
| 610 | Wood, P.J., Boulton, A.J., Little, S., Stubbington, R., 2010. Is the hyporheic zone a     |
| 611 | refugium for aquatic macroinvertebrates during severe low flow conditions?                |
| 612 | Fundam. Appl. Limnol. 176, 377-390.   |
| 613 | Wood, P.J., Gilvear, D.J., Willby, N., Robertson, A.L., Gledhill, T., Boon, P.J., 2012.   |
| 614 | Improvements in understanding the contribution of hyporheic zones to                      |
| 615 | biodiversity and ecological functioning of UK rivers, in: Boon, P.J., Raven, P.J.         |
| 616 | (Eds.), River Conservation and Management. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd,                        |
| 617 | Chichester, UK, pp. 159-173.  |
|     |   |

- Young, B.A., Norris, R.H., Sheldon, F., 2011. Is the hyporheic zone a refuge for
- 619 macroinvertebrates in drying perennial streams? Mar. Freshw. Res. 62, 1373-

620 1382.

Table 1: Characteristics of systems used in the meta-analysis of hyporheic invertebrate assemblage composition and richness, separated into twenty-four cases based on climate, geographical location, anthropogenic disturbance<sup>a</sup>, hydrological phase during sampling and 

collection particulars. 

| Climate   | Broad<br>geographical<br>location                      | River   | Anthropogenic<br>disturbance <sup>a</sup> | Maximum<br>flow<br>cessation<br>period (mo<br>y <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>b</sup> | Hydrological<br>phase | Collection<br>method | Collection<br>depth<br>(cm) | Mesh<br>size<br>(µm) | Vertical<br>hydrological<br>exchange<br>direction | Stream bed<br>composition <sup>c</sup>   | Number<br>of<br>samples | Total<br>richness <sup>d</sup> | Source <sup>e</sup>                                   |
|-----------|--|---|---|--|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Temperate | Europe<br>(France)                                     | Albarine<br>River                               | low                                       | 6  | SF                    | wells                | ≤30                         | 90                   | ?   | coarse<br>alluvium                       | 100                     | 45                             | Datry, 2012   |
| Temperate | Europe (UK)  | River<br>Lathkill                               | low                                       | 5  | SF                    | wells                | ≤30                         | 90                   | D,N   | cobble,<br>gravel, sand                  | 167                     | 36                             | Stubbington<br>et al., 2011a,<br>b                    |
| Temperate | Europe (UK)  | River Glen                                      | other                                     | 5  | SF                    | wells                | ≤30                         | 90                   | D   | cobble,<br>gravel, sand                  | 120                     | 35 (32)                        | Stubbington,<br>2011;<br>Stubbington<br>et al., 2011a |
| Temperate | Europe (UK)  | Little Stour<br>River                           | other                                     | only dries<br>during<br>supra-<br>seasonal<br>droughts                       | SF                    | wells                | ≤30                         | 90                   | ?   | coarse<br>alluvium                       | 99                      | 27 (32)                        | Stubbington<br>et al., 2009;<br>Wood et al.,<br>2010  |
| Temperate | New Zealand  | Selwyn<br>River                                 | low                                       | 11   | SF                    | wells                | ≤30                         | 90                   | ?   | coarse<br>alluvium                       | 82                      | 33                             | Datry et al.,<br>2007                                 |
| Temperate | Australia<br>(Australian<br>Capital<br>Territory, ACT) | Burke and<br>Condor<br>Creeks                   | low                                       | 1  | SF                    | cages                | ≤30                         | n/a                  | ?   | ?  | 6                       | 25                             | Young et<br>al., 2011                                 |
| Temperate | Australia<br>(ACT)                                     | Burke and<br>Condor<br>Creeks                   | low                                       | 1  | DC                    | cages                | ≤30                         | n/a                  | ?   | cobble,<br>boulder,<br>gravel, sand      | 6                       | 11                             | Young et al., 2011                                    |
| Temperate | Australia<br>(Victoria)                                | Lerderderg<br>and<br>Werribee<br>Rivers         | low                                       | 2  | DC                    | pits                 | ≤30                         | 50                   | D   | gravel,<br>pebble,<br>cobble,<br>boulder | 5                       | 8                              | Boulton et<br>al., 1992b                              |
| Temperate | North America<br>(West Virginia)                       | Two<br>unnamed<br>tributaries of<br>Elklick Run | low                                       | 3  | SF                    | cages                | ≤30                         | 250                  | ?   | cobble,<br>boulder,<br>sand              | 15                      | 22                             | Griffith and<br>Perry, 1993                           |

| Temperate     | North America<br>(Massachusetts)  | Bigelow<br>Brook<br>tributary   | low   | 12 | DC     | pits  | ≤30 | n/a | ?   | cobble,<br>gravel, sand,<br>silt         | 6  | 15      | Collins et al., 2007             |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------|----|--------|-------|-----|-----|-----|--|----|---------|----------------------------------|
| Mediterranean | North America<br>(California)     | Cronin<br>Creek   | low   | 5  | NSF+DC | wells | >30 | 63  | D   | cobble,<br>gravel                        | 82 | 28      | del Rosario<br>and Resh,<br>2000 |
| Mediterranean | North America<br>(California)     | Cronin<br>Creek   | low   | 5  | DC     | wells | >30 | 63  | Ν   | cobble,<br>gravel                        | 10 | 18      | del Rosario<br>and Resh,<br>2001 |
| Mediterranean | Australia<br>(South<br>Australia) | Finniss,<br>Light,<br>Marne,<br>Onkaparinga<br>and<br>Wakefield<br>Rivers | other | 9  | SF     | wells | >30 | 75  | mix | sand, silt,<br>gravel,<br>cobble         | 9  | 16 (17) | C. Leigh,<br>unpubl. data        |
| Mediterranean | Australia<br>(South<br>Australia) | Angas,<br>Marne and<br>Wakefield<br>Rivers                                | other | 9  | NSF    | wells | >30 | 75  | mix | sand, silt,<br>gravel,<br>cobble         | 7  | 10 (13) | C. Leigh,<br>unpubl. data        |
| Arid          | Australia<br>(South<br>Australia) | Brachina<br>Creek   | low   | 9  | NSF+DC | wells | >30 | 50  | D,N | cobble,<br>gravel                        | 88 | 18      | Cooling and<br>Boulton,<br>1993  |
| Arid          | North America<br>(Arizona)        | Sycamore<br>and Bridle<br>Creeks  | low   | 9  | SF     | cages | ≤30 | 63  | D,U | gravel                                   | 80 | 12      | Boulton et al., 1991             |
| Arid          | North America<br>(Arizona)        | Sycamore<br>and Bridle<br>Creeks  | low   | 9  | mix    | wells | ≤30 | 50  | mix | gravel, sand                             | 17 | 20      | Boulton et al., 1992a            |
| Arid          | North America<br>(Arizona)        | Sycamore<br>and Bridle<br>Creeks  | low   | 9  | mix    | wells | >30 | 50  | mix | gravel, sand                             | 17 | 7       | Boulton et al., 1992a            |
| Arid          | North America<br>(Arizona)        | Sycamore<br>and Bridle<br>Creeks  | low   | 9  | DC     | wells | >30 | 50  | mix | gravel, sand                             | 17 | 7       | Boulton et al. 1992a             |
| Arid          | North America<br>(Arizona)        | Sycamore<br>Creek   | low   | 9  | DC     | pits  | ?   | 50  | D,U | gravel,<br>pebble,<br>cobble,<br>boulder | 10 | 9       | Boulton et al., 1992b            |

| Arid      | North America<br>(Arizona)           | Rock Creek                                       | low | 8  | NSF+DC | wells | >30 | 63  | ? | sand               | 209 | 16 | Clinton et al., 1996    |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|--|-----|----|--------|-------|-----|-----|---|--------------------|-----|----|-------------------------|
| Tropical  | Australia<br>(Northern<br>Territory) | Magela<br>Creek                                  | low | 6  | DC     | pits  | >30 | 63  | D | sand               | 3   | 7  | Paltridge et al., 1997  |
| Subarctic | North America<br>(Alaska)            | Toklat River                                     | low | ?  | SF     | cages | ≤30 | 65  | U | cobble,<br>gravel  | 4   | 8  | Crossman et al., 2012   |
| Polar     | Antarctica                           | Von<br>Guerard<br>Stream and<br>Harnish<br>Creek | low | 11 | SF     | dug   | ≤30 | n/a | ? | coarse<br>alluvium | 18  | 3  | Treonis et<br>al., 1999 |

<sup>a</sup> 'low' indicates study areas in nature reserves, national parks, native woodlands, protected national recreation areas, or in areas that have

been defined by the studies' authors as under low influence of anthropogenic impact (pers. comm. T. Datry). 'Other' indicates study

regions in primarily agricultural landscapes. However, flow losses can be exacerbated in some reaches of the River Lathkill owing to

627 disused mine-drainage soughs, and on the Glen by extractions for human use

<sup>b</sup> approximate, based on information provided in the studies, and applicable only to the study sites used in this study

629 <sup>c</sup> as defined in each publication or by the studies' authors

<sup>d</sup> based on the taxonomic resolution used in this study. Values in parentheses are the predicted values from canonical analysis of principal

631 coordinates (see Results)

<sup>e</sup> unpublished data by Stubbington (2011; PhD thesis) were consolidated with data from Stubbington et al. (2011a) collected from the same

river, sites and sampling period (River Glen); as were data from the River Lathkill (Stubbington et al., 2011a, b) and data from the Little

634 Stour River (Stubbington et al., 2009; Wood et al., 2010)

- 635 NSF, no surface flow but surface water present
- 636 SF, surface flow
- 637 DC, dry surface channel

mix, mixture of hydrological phases that includes surface flow, or an unspecified mix of vertical hydrological exchange directions

- 639 D, downwelling
- 640 N, neutral
- 641 U, upwelling
- 642 ?, data not available
- 643 n/a, not applicable

#### 644 Figure captions

645 Figure 1: Conceptual diagrams of hypotheses on hyporheic invertebrate assemblages of 646 temporary rivers. A: relationships, illustrated as if in two-dimensional ordination space, 647 between assemblages of taxa from different climate and geographical regions (encircled 648 diamonds, triangles and squares) collected under different hydrological phases (open 649 symbols indicate assemblages beneath dry surface channels). B: relationships between 650 taxonomic richness and these same factors. C: hypothetical gradient of taxonomic richness 651 of assemblages from different climates and regions, showing how dry phases and 652 disturbance by human activities deflect samples down the gradient. Climate 'A' is drier 653 than 'B'. 'Undisturbed' and 'Disturbed' reflect river systems subject to different levels of 654 anthropogenic impact. 'Wet' vs 'Dry' refers to surface water flow vs no surface water flow, 655 surface water presence vs absence, or surface water flow vs surface water absence. 656

Figure 2: Relationship between taxonomic richness versus sampling effort within climate
and geographical regions examined in this study that had > 3 taxa lists ('cases'): temperate
Europe and arid North America.

660

661 Figure 3: Two-dimensional non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination

(stress = 0.158) of hyporheic invertebrate assemblages ('cases') collected using different

663 methods and from different climate and geographical regions, not including those from

agricultural landscapes. A: encircled symbols show climate and geographical regions with

at least two cases, including at least one dry channel (DC) case. **B**: dashed line encircles

666 cases for which samples were collected from wells, solid line from pits and cages.

| 668 | Figure 4: Richness and relative richness (mean $\pm 1$ standard deviation) of taxonomic groups |
|-----|--|
| 669 | identified to higher levels of taxonomic resolution (Mollusca: family, Crustacea: order and    |
| 670 | family, EPT: family and Insecta: family) by climate and geographical region (A, B) and by      |
| 671 | collection method (C, D). EPT refers to Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera and Trichoptera;             |
| 672 | relative richness is a unitless measure showing Mollusca, Crustacea and EPT richness           |
| 673 | proportional to the richness of all invertebrate taxa.   |
| 674 |  |
| 675 | Figure 5: Canonical analysis of principal coordinates (CAP) ordination relating hyporheic      |
| 676 | assemblages ('cases') to a taxonomic richness gradient. A: CAP model based on sampling         |
| 677 | locations with low anthropogenic disturbance. Ellipses show trend of higher richness for       |
| 678 | cases sampled during wet phases (solid line) and lower richness during dry phases (dashed      |
| 679 | line), exceptions include the two high-latitude, low richness cases (subarctic and polar       |
| 680 | cases) and the deep-zone case from arid North America. B: predicted placement of cases         |
| 681 | from agricultural landscapes ('disturbed' cases) onto the gradient, in comparison with         |
| 682 | 'undisturbed' cases from similar regions or climates. Hydrological phase during sampling       |
| 683 | (SF, mix, NSF, DC): see Table 1.   |
| 684 |  |
| 685 | Figure 6: Total richness of invertebrates in hyporheic zones sampled in different climate      |

686 and geographical regions, and in 'undisturbed' and 'disturbed' (primarily agricultural)

687 landscapes. Hydrological phase during sampling: dry channels (DC), non-flowing surface

688 waters (NSF), surface flow (SF and mix): *see* Table 1. Closed, black bars show SF data,

689 unless indicated as mix.

| 691 | Figure 7: Richness of Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera and Trichoptera (EPT) in hyporheic zones    |
|-----|---|
| 692 | sampled in different climate and geographical regions, and in 'undisturbed' and 'disturbed' |
| 693 | (primarily agricultural) landscapes. A: raw EPT richness; B: relative richness, a unitless  |
| 694 | measure of EPT richness proportional to the richness of all invertebrate taxa. Hydrological |
| 695 | phase during sampling: dry channels (DC), non-flowing surface waters (NSF), surface flow    |
| 696 | (SF and mix): see Table 1. Closed, black bars show SF data, unless indicated as mix.        |
| 697 |   |
| 698 | Figure 8: Conceptual model of different conditions (A, B, C, D) in the hyporheic zone of a  |
| 699 | temporary river, unimpacted or impacted by human activities, during a complete surface-     |
| 700 | flow cycle through time. Consistent subsurface flow is assumed, and variations on this      |
| 701 | general model will occur in association with differences in climate, geographical location, |
| 702 | and both small- and large-scale river characteristics (units of measure are therefore not   |
| 703 | provided). A: surface flow magnitude; B: hyporheic saturation (depth to water table); C:    |
| 704 | hyporheic water quality (e.g. dissolved oxygen concentration); D: invertebrate richness in  |
| 705 | the hyporheic zone.   |
|     |   |





708 Figure 1

























# Figure 6







Figure 8