Immigration within Crime and Justice Statistics

Andromachi Tseloni

Professor of Quantitative Criminology, Nottingham Trent University

Chair, Crime and Justice Statistics Network

The topic of immigration has been at the fore-front of public and political debate throughout the ages. The only exception to this perhaps being the free movement of people across regions during the periods of the great empires. In classic Athens, for example, immigrants despite being very welcome were themselves refused citizen status, and their offspring from 'mixed' marriages with Athenians were deprived from holding public offices. Not even the first man of the first city state in the 'Non-Barbarian' world at the time, the powerful Pericles, could change this - and as a result his only son could not succeed him in politics. Classic Sparta accepted immigrants only as slaves, and these could be killed by any Spartan during training. Aided and abetted by the authorities, immigrants have throughout time within popular culture and opinion been made the scapegoats for anything unpleasant from epidemics and extreme physical phenomena in the middle-ages (or for earlier examples please see the Old Testament). In more modern times, they have been held accountable for economic woes, poor health and social services, and, pertinent to our interests, crime.

In the current context of a rather heated debate on immigration, crime and justice statistics (along with Immigration Statistics from the Office for National Statistics and Home Office) have definitely a role to play in informing both the public and politicians on the relationship, if any, between crime and immigration.

Our only currently approved national crime statistics data source, the **Crime Survey for England and Wales** (formerly known as the British Crime Survey) includes information on respondents' self-reported ethnic background and whether they were born in the UK alongside other demographic and socio-economic attributes of respondents and their areas of residence (Flatley 2014; ONS 2015; TNS-BRMB 2012). This information can be used to gauge how non-white British and current immigrants feature compared to British and native respondents, respectively, with regards to a wide array of criminological topics covered by the survey:

- victimisation risk by specific crime types, including hate crime, domestic violence, sexual victimisation and stalking;
- fear of crime and perceived incivilities;
- experience of anti-social behaviour;
- experiences of the police and attitudes to the criminal justice system;

- self-reported offending, alcohol consumption and drug abuse;
- experiences with the criminal justice system and victim support services;
- crime prevention and security, including online security;
- media consumption, and many more topics of criminological interest.

In addition, the Home Office collates information from both police recorded crime statistics and CSEW data to produce an annual publication on hate crime (for the latest issue see Corcoran et al. 2015).

The annual Crime Surveys Users Conference regularly features talks on the topic of immigration drawn from (statistical modelling) analyses of the Crime Survey for England and Wales (CSEW). One such study, for example, from the 2012 conference evidenced that immigrants (defined as those survey respondents who had been born outside the UK) were similarly victimised by property crime but experienced less violence than respondents born in the country owning to adopting crime avoidance behaviours (Papadopoulos 2012). Another study presented at the same conference, dealt with victimisation divides across respondents of different religions, arguably encompassing historic immigration to the UK. It evidenced that Muslims were less victimised and had higher confidence in the police than Christians (Hargreaves 2012). Immigration and crime is revisited by *Ignatans and Zielinski (2015)* within this year's Crime Surveys Users Conference, on 11th December.

A number of studies, drawn from CSEW data, on victimisation risk, victimisation incidence, encompassing repeat victimisation, crime perceptions, including fear of crime (and other topics) control for respondents' ethnic background rather than immigration status. The former arguably denotes current and historic immigration. It has been evidenced, for example, that ethnic minority respondents experience fewer personal crimes but experience higher fear of crime than Whites, especially in less ethnically diverse communities in England and Wales (Brunton-Smith and Sturgis 2011; Tseloni and Pease 2015). Similar findings have been evidenced internationally (for example, Estrada and Nilsson 2004; Pauwels and Hardyns 2010; Rountree et al. 1994).

It should be highlighted that all the above studies examine ethnic minority, religion or immigration status with regards to victimisation or fear of crime comparing identical individuals (save for their immigration status, religion or ethnicity) residing in the same area. In statistical terms this is called 'allowing for group composition'. The aforementioned study by Hargreaves (2012) nicely clarifies why this is important when examining ethnicity and immigration: Immigrants usually reside in undesirable neighbourhoods and/ or earn less than natives. As a result, immigration status effects are confounded with area of residence deprivation and income level effects when analyses do not readily incorporate all relevant factors.

From a public debate or policy perspective this makes all the difference in obtaining and communicating accurate information about threats, if any, and benefits of immigration. Research from the US has evidenced that immigration has actually positively contributed to the crime drop (Sampson 2008; Stowell et al. 2009). In the UK, despite concomitant opposite trends in crime rates and immigrants' share in the population, there is no evidence yet suggesting that immigration has caused the crime drop because of a lack of empirical studies focusing upon this issue (Bell and Machin 2013).

Despite the fact that household property crime is actually less in areas with a higher percentage of Afro-Caribbean residents in England and Wales, higher percentages of ethnic minority residents within an area appears to increase fear of crime levels (Brunton-Smith and Sturgis 2011; Tseloni 2007). Is the perception amongst the public of an association between immigration and criminality the product of the over-representation of certain ethnic minority groups within the offender population? The Ministry of Justice Statistics includes the immigration status of individuals who have been in contact with the criminal justice system. This information can therefore be used to gauge whether immigrants are over- or under- represented in offending statistics compared to their population share. Such data, however, presumes that the relative presence of immigrants within these offending statistics is a reflection of their level and type of criminality as opposed to the disproportionate level of attention afforded to them by the police through operational activities such as stop and search. For example, in relation to the over-representation of immigrants within the Greek prison population, Antonopoulou and Pitsela (2014) suggest that this is the product of the targeted use of stop and search by the police, and as a response to the economic crisis, rather than because of immigrants' higher disposition to commit crime. The contrast between ancient Athenians' attitudes towards immigrants and those of certain modern elements within Greece, reminds us how changing contexts can radically alter both responses to immigration – and debates surrounding the link with crime.

References

Antonopoulou, A. and Pitsela, A. 2014. Foreign Nationals as a Minority Group in the Criminal Justice System of Greece: From Offending to Victimization of non-Greek Population. *Special issue on 'Crime, Ethnic Minorities and Procedural Justice in the Balkans' European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research, 20(2): 277-294.*

Bell, B. and Machin, S. 2013. *Immigration and Crime: Evidence for the UK and Other Countries. The Migration Observatory at the University of Oxford.* Retrieved 1 December 2015. (http://www.migrationobservatory.ox.ac.uk/briefings/immigration-and-crime-evidence-uk-and-other-countries).

Brunton-Smith, I. and Sturgis, P. 2011. Do neighbourhoods generate fear of crime? An empirical test using the British Crime Survey. *Criminology* 49(2): 331-369.

Corcoran, H., Lader, D. and Smith, K. 2015. *Hate Crime, England and Wales, 2014/15*. Statistical Bulletin 05/15. Home Office. 13th October 2015. Retrieved 1 December 2015. (https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/467366 /hosb0515.pdf)

Estrada, F. and Nilsson, A. 2004. Exposure to threatening and violent behaviour among single mothers: The significance of lifestyle, neighbourhood and welfare situation. *British Journal of Criminology* 44(2): 168–187.

Flatley, J. 2014. British Crime Survey. In G Bruinsma & D Weisburd (Eds.) *Encyclopaedia of criminology and criminal justice (ECCJ)* (pp.194-203). New York: Springer-Verlag.

Hargreaves, J. 2012. 'Muslim respondents within the British Crime Survey 2006 – 2010.' Crime Surveys Users Conference. Royal Statistical Society, Errol Street, London. 13 December. Retrieved 1 December 2015. (https://www.ukdataservice.ac.uk/media/290796/hargreaves.pdf)

Ignatans, D. and Zielinski, K. 2015. 'The 'before, after and in between' of immigration. Criminal interactions of foreign born in England and Wales.' Crime Surveys Users Conference. Jisc, Brettenham House, London. 11 December.

Office for National Statistics (ONS). 2015. Crime in England and Wales, Year Ending June 2015. Statistical Bulletin.

Papadopoulos, G. 2012. 'Immigration Status and Victimisation Evidence from the British Crime Survey.' Crime Surveys Users Conference. Royal Statistical Society, Errol Street, London. 13 December. Retrieved 1 December 2015. (https://www.ukdataservice.ac.uk/media/290760/papadopoulos.pdf)

Pauwels, L. and Hardyns, W. 2010. Does it really have to be 'an urban village'? A multilevel analysis of fear of crime. In: Pauwels L, Hardyns W and Van de Velde M (eds) *Social Disorganisation, Offending, Fear and Victimisation. Findings from Belgian Studies on the Urban Context of Crime*. Den Haag: Boom Juridische Uitgevers.

Rountree, P.W., Land, K.C. and Miethe, T.D. 1994. Macro-micro integration in the study of victimisation: A hierarchical logistic model analysis across Seattle neighbourhoods. *Criminology* 32: 387–414.

Sampson, R.J. 2008. Rethinking crime and immigration. *Contexts* (American Sociological Association) 7(1): 28–33.

Stowell, J.I., Messner, S.F., McGeever, K.F. and Raffalovich, L.E. 2009. Immigration and the recent violent crime drop in the United States: A pooled, cross-sectional time-series analysis of metropolitan areas. *Criminology* 47(3): 889–929.

TNS-BMRB. 2012. The 2012/13 Crime Survey for England and Wales Technical Report Volume One. Retrieved 25 June, 2015 (<u>http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/guide-method/method-guality/specific/crime-statistics-methodology/2012-13-crime-survey-for-england-and-wales-technical-report---volume-one.pdf</u>).

Tseloni, A. 2007. Fear of Crime, Perceived Disorders and Property Crime: A Multivariate Analysis at the Area Level. In Farrell, G., K. Bowers, S.D. Johnson and M. Townsley (Eds.) *Imagination for Crime Prevention: Essays in Honour of Ken Pease. Crime Prevention Studies*, vol. 21. Monsey, NY: Criminal Justice Press, 163-185.

Tseloni, A. and Pease, K. 2015. Area and individual differences in personal crime victimisation incidence: The role of individual, lifestyle /routine activities and contextual predictors. *International Review of Victimology* 21(1): 3-29.