

1 **Submission is in reply to the Letter to the Editor by Dr. Broxterman, manuscript number**  
2 **JAPPL-00759-2015.**

3

4 **Title:** Reply to Broxterman, Richardson and Amann

5

6 **Authors:** Johnson MA<sup>1</sup>, Sharpe GR<sup>1</sup>, Williams NC<sup>1</sup>, Hannah R<sup>2</sup>

7

8 **Affiliations:** <sup>1</sup>Sport, Health and Performance Enhancement (SHAPE) Research Group,  
9 Department of Sports Science, School of Science and Technology, Nottingham Trent  
10 University, Nottingham, UK; <sup>2</sup>Sobell Department of Motor Neuroscience and Movement  
11 Disorders, Institute of Neurology, University College London, London, UK.

12

13

14 **Corresponding Author:**

15 Dr Michael A Johnson, School of Science and Technology, Nottingham Trent University,  
16 Nottingham, NG11 8NS, UK

17 Telephone: +44 (0)115 8483362

18 Fax: +44 (0)115 8486680

19 E-mail: Michael.johnson@ntu.ac.uk

20

21 TO THE EDITOR: We thank Broxterman and colleagues for their comments regarding our  
22 recent work on the effects of prior upper body exercise on subsequent cycling exercise  
23 tolerance and associated changes in neuromuscular function and perceptual responses (3).

24 Previous studies suggested that prior upper body exercise reduces subsequent knee  
25 extensor and cycling exercise tolerance by accelerating the development of quadriceps muscle  
26 fatigue. The stated aim of our study was to test the hypothesis that prior upper body exercise  
27 reduces subsequent cycling exercise tolerance and that this is associated with less peripheral  
28 locomotor muscle fatigue incurred but a greater/accelerated perceptual response. Using prior  
29 arm-cranking exercise followed by leg cycling exercise performed to the limit of tolerance  
30 ensured that our study was appropriately designed to address this research question and our  
31 data confirmed our hypothesis. Thus, it is important to clarify that (contrary to the critique of  
32 Broxterman and colleagues) our aim was not to evaluate the validity of the critical threshold of  
33 peripheral fatigue concept. Furthermore, we do not conclude that our data “disprove” this  
34 concept.

35 A key finding of our study was that individuals experience less peripheral locomotor  
36 muscle fatigue after cycling exercise to the limit of tolerance preceded by upper body exercise.  
37 Our study therefore extends the observations of single-leg knee extension exercise studies (1)  
38 by demonstrating that peripheral fatigue *per se* is not a variable that is *independently* regulated  
39 during whole-body exercise. Rather, our findings indicate an important limiting role for the  
40 ensemble group III/IV muscle afferent feedback in mediating exercise tolerance, since it can  
41 be assumed that prior upper body exercise results in an elevated ensemble group III/IV muscle  
42 afferent feedback at the start of subsequent cycling exercise (2). Our data suggest that the limit  
43 of exercise tolerance is largely determined by the attainment of a critical sensory tolerance limit  
44 that is primarily mediated by the ensemble group III/IV muscle afferent feedback and which  
45 coincides with, but is not exclusively mediated by or reflective of, a certain degree of peripheral

46 locomotor muscle fatigue. This notion is supported by three observations from our study: (1)  
47 ratings of leg discomfort during cycling were higher despite quadriceps muscle activation being  
48 unaffected by prior upper body exercise; (2) ratings of leg discomfort during cycling were  
49 higher despite less quadriceps muscle fatigue incurred when cycling was preceded by upper  
50 body exercise; and (3) the reduced cycling exercise tolerance after prior upper body exercise  
51 was correlated with accelerated increases in ratings of leg discomfort and dyspnea. These  
52 findings are important because they indicate that potentially limiting perceptions of leg  
53 discomfort during cycling exercise may be potentiated by group III/IV afferent projections  
54 originating at remote sites.

55         To conclude, our data shed light on the determinants of whole-body exercise tolerance  
56 and the mechanisms by which prior upper body exercise reduces subsequent cycling exercise  
57 tolerance. The notion that individuals cannot surpass a task-specific maximum level of  
58 peripheral fatigue was not the focus of our study and, therefore, remains an exciting avenue for  
59 future investigation.

60

61 **Disclosures:** The authors report no conflicts of interest.

62

63 **Author contributions:** M.A.J. drafted manuscript; M.A.J., G.R.S., N.C.W., and R.H. edited  
64 and revised manuscript; M.A.J., G.R.S., N.C.W., and R.H approved final version of  
65 manuscript.

66

67

68

69 **References**

- 70 1. Amann M, Venturelli M, Ives SJ, McDaniel J, Layec G, Rossman MJ, Richardson RS.  
71 Peripheral fatigue limits endurance exercise via a sensory feedback-mediated reduction in  
72 spinal motoneuronal output. *Journal of Applied Physiology*: 115, 355-364, 2013.
- 73 2. Jammes Y, Balzamo E. Changes in afferent and efferent phrenic activities with electrically  
74 induced diaphragmatic fatigue. *Journal of Applied Physiology*: 73, 894-902, 1992.
- 75 3. Johnson MA, Sharpe GR, Williams NC, Hannah R. Locomotor muscle fatigue is not  
76 critically regulated after prior upper body exercise. *Journal of Applied Physiology*: 119, 840-  
77 850, 2015.