Research Article

An examination of jump kinematics in dogs over increasing hurdle heights.

E. Birch ^{a*}, A. Carter ^{a,}, J. Boyd ^a

- ^a School of Animal, Rural and Environmental Sciences, Nottingham Trent University,
- Southwell, NG25 OQF, UK
- * Corresponding author. Tel.:+44 115 848 5218.
- *E-mail address:* <u>emily.birch@ntu.ac.uk</u> (E. Birch)

12 Abstract

- 13 Research examining kinematic parameters of the canine athlete is markedly behind
- 14 equivalent human and equine research. With increasing participation and popularity, canine
- 15 sports science needs to bridge this gap with comparable equine research. The aim of this
- 16 study was to examine changes to specific kinematic parameters as hurdle height increases.
- 17 Twenty border collies and border collie crosses were analysed jumping over a single hurdle at
- increasing heights, starting with a pole on the floor and increasing to a maximum height of
- 19 65cm. Length of trajectory and jump speed were analysed, alongside apparent (without the
- 20 use of markers) neck, lumbar spine and shoulder angles using Dartfish software. For each
- dog, the percentage of the hurdle height in relation to their height at the dorsal aspect of the
- scapula (withers) was used to normalise the dogs evenly.
- 23
- Overall jump speed decreased as percentage height increased (P < 0.001), with a strong
- negative correlation between the two (r = -0.815). Length of trajectory significantly increased
- with percentage height (P < 0.001) with a strong positive correlation between the two (r =
- 27 0.740). However, length of trajectory decreased when a dog jumped $\geq 126\%$ of its height to
- the withers. This is supported by a significantly more flexed apparent neck angle upon
- landing at this percentage height (P < 0.001). Apparent lumbar spine angles showed greater
- dorsal extension upon landing as percentage height increased (P < 0.001). Apparent shoulder
- angles become significantly more flexed as percentage height increased during the
- suspension phase of the jump (P < 0.001). These results suggest that dogs significantly alter
- their jump kinematics as hurdle height increases.
- 34
- 35 Keywords: Canine, Biomechanics, Jumping, Dartfish

36 Introduction

- 37 Despite the paucity of canine biomechanics research being identified almost a decade ago,
- there continues to be a distinct lack of research examining the canine athlete, particularly
- 39 when compared to equivalent equine research (Colborne, 2007). Historically, equines have
- 40 been the traditional sporting animal with research examining optimisation of athletic ability
- 41 (Vogel, 1996) alongside identifying kinematic parameters that may be indicative of future
- success (Santamaria *et al.*, 2002). This, in part, could be due to both the financial and time
 constraints attributed to producing a successful sporting horse, thus research examining ways
- 43 constraints attributed to producing a successful sporting horse, thus research44 to increase their competitive success is highly desirable.
- 45
- 46 Research in equine jump kinematics has determined that both fence height and fence type
- 47 alters limb placement and joint angles during the take-off, suspension and landing phase of
- the jump (Clayton and Barlow, 1989; Powers and Harrison, 1999; Hole *et al.*, 2002). An
- 49 optimum take-off point has also been determined in horses, with 'good' show jumpers being
- 50 better able to judge this optimum distance when compared to 'bad' show jumpers (Powers
- and Harrison, 2000). During a puissance competition, successful horses took off significantly
- 52 further away from the hurdle, with take-off distance increasing with fence height (Powers,
- 53 2002). Furthermore, 'successful' horses also adopted a more vertical take-off position than
- 54 unsuccessful horses (Powers, 2002).
- 55
- 56 Early studies examining jump characteristics in foals aged 6 months, found similar patterns to
- 57 successful adult horses, suggesting these parameters may be useful for early selection
- 58 (Santamaria *et al.*, 2002). Training also impacts upon jump kinematics (Wejer *et al.*, 2013),
- 59 with one study finding that four months of training can significantly impact upon take-off
- 60 distance, whilst further studies have indicated that jumping efficiency decreases when the
- 61 number of hurdles traversed increases (Rodrigues *et al.*, 2014). However, one consideration
- 62 when comparing equine research to canine research is the impact of a rider upon the jump
- 63 kinematics of adult horses (Lewczuk *et al.*, 2006), hence research examining jump kinematics
- 64 in loose schooled horses is useful. Whilst anatomically equines and canines differ it is
- reasonable to postulate that similarities and differences will occur when examining jumpkinematics.
- 66 kir 67
- 68 Research examining jump kinematics in canines, whilst still limited in comparison to equines,
- 69 is beginning to expand (Birch *et al.*, 2015a, b; Cullen *et al.*, 2013a, b; Pfau *et al.*, 2011). This
- could be due, in part, to participation in canine activities increasing annually, thus the need to
- 71 understand the sports impact upon the health, welfare and active longevity of the dogs is
- 72 paramount. Within the field of canine rehabilitation, range of motion in the joints of healthy
- 73 dogs has been established, allowing for abnormal range of motion to be used as a diagnosis
- tool (Millis *et al.*, 2004). This has also been replicated in equines (Johnston *et al.*, 2004),
- 75 demonstrating the need to establish the kinematics in healthy individuals before focussing on
- 76 injuries.
- 77
- 78 Canine jump kinematic research to date has focused on agility dogs (Birch *et al.*, 2015 a, b;
- 79 Cullen *et al.*, 2013a, b; Pfau *et al.*, 2011; Levy *et al.*, 2009). Canine agility consists of a set
- 80 course primarily made up of upright hurdles, set at a predetermined height in relation to the
- dogs height, with the set height varying under different regulating bodies (Table I; The
- 82 Kennel Club, 2013a; United Kingdom Agility, 2016). This is in stark contrast to equine show
- iumping and cross country whereby horses are classified by ability, not height. Competitive

success in agility is largely determined by a dog's speed and accuracy and with this comes an increasing need to understand canine jump kinematics in relation to both competitive success and potential injury risk. Recently, The Kennel Club has amended their regulations with regards to jump heights, allowing all dogs to jump 10cm lower than their current measured height category from July, 2016 (The Kennel Club, 2016).

89

90 Table I

91

93

92 Jump height categories under Kennel Club and UK Agility regulations.

Height at withers	UK Agility	Kennel Club
≤ 350 mm	Mini - 300mm	Small - 350mm
351-430 mm	Midi – 400mm	Medium – 450mm
431-500 mm	Standard – 550mm	Lance (50mm
> 500 mm	Full – 650mm	— Large – 650mm

94

Research examining injuries in agility dogs determined that hurdles, specifically landing over
hurdles, tight turns upon landing and repetitive contractions of the shoulder joint, were the
most common cause of injuries, with 58% of these injuries occurring during competition.
Shoulders, lumbar spine and neck were the most common injury locations (Cullen *et al.*,
2013a, b; Canapp, 2010; Levy *et al.*, 2009). Cullen *et al.*, (2013a, b) further determined
previous injuries increased the risk of an agility injury whilst increasing experience decreased
the risk.

101 102

When examining jump kinematics, specifically in relation to canine agility, a number of 103 factors have been determined. Pfau et al., (2011) demonstrated that dogs experienced vertical 104 forces of up to 4.5 times their body weight in their forelimbs, when jumping a hurdle 105 compared to a long jump. Similarly, Birch and Lesniak (2012) determined an increased 106 flexion of the shoulder and increased extension in the lumbar spine when dogs jumped a 107 hurdle set at 51% higher than themselves compared to 7% lower than themselves. In addition, 108 the distance between hurdles alters the kinematics of agility dogs. Dogs take-off and land 109 closer to the hurdle and jump slower when subsequent hurdles are nearer together (Birch et 110 al., 2015a, b). Furthermore, less experienced dogs take-off and land closer to the hurdle and 111 jump slower than more experienced dogs (Birch et al., 2015a). Alcock et al., (2015) further 112 determined that border collies jump faster and have a larger topline angle, than non-collie 113 breeds, with these differences being reflected in both medium and large KC height categories 114 (Table I). Hurdle distance and experience further impacts upon apparent neck, lumbar spine 115 and shoulder angles. These results are of particular interest due to injuries commonly 116 occurring in these locations. Indeed, specialised rehabilitation veterinary clinics are being set 117 up to accommodate for an increasing demand from agility competitors (Pet Rehab, 2013; The 118 SMART clinic, 2014). Furthermore, injury risk decreases as experience increases (Cullen et 119 al., 2013a), supporting the notion that significant changes in apparent joint angles may be 120 indicative of injury. These results explain, in part, why injuries commonly occur in these 121 locations and why injury risk may decrease as experience increases. 122 123

- By determining typical jump kinematics in fit, healthy dogs, factors potentially indicative of
- injury could be utilised as a tool for early diagnosis (Faber et al., 2004; Millis et al., 2004).
- The aim of this study was to examine how certain jump kinematics altered in experienced
- agility dogs as hurdle height increased gradually. Length of trajectory, jumping speed (in this
- instance the time taken to clear the hurdle) and apparent neck, lumbar spine and shoulder
- angles were examined over the gradually increasing hurdle heights.

Materials and methods

- The study gained ethical approval from Nottingham Trent University's School of ARES
- Ethical Review Group (ARES100 22/07/2014) prior to data collection. The study sample
- consisted of 20 border collies and border collie crosses (See table II for demographics)
- recruited on a voluntary basis. All of the study dogs competed and trained regularly in agility
- on a weekly basis and were considered fit, healthy and injury free.

Table II

Details of dogs used in the study

Breed Height to Weight Age Grade (KC Grade)¹ withers (cm) (kg)(years) Border Collie 18.5 Border Collie Border Collie Border Collie Border Collie Border Collie Border Collie Cross Border Collie Border Collie 14.5 Border Collie Border Collie Border Collie Border Collie Border Collie Border Collie 18.5 Border Collie Border Collie Border Collie Border Collie Border Collie Mean $(\pm S.D)$ 51 ± 3.6 16.5 ± 3.3 4 ± 3.2 4 ± 2

- measuring techniques for agility dogs, with age, grade and weight of the dog also recorded.
- The study consisted of three hurdles set at 5 m apart (Birch et al., 2015a. b), with a high
- definition video camera (JVC GC-PX10 HD, 300fps) sited 5 m away from the second jump
- (Figure 1. Layout of the jumps used in the study. Dashed line indicates direction of travel).
- The second hurdle was analysed for each dog, with the field of view ensuring take-off and

Each dog was measured to the dorsal aspect of the scapula (withers), in line with current

¹ Kennel Club Grading System. (2016). Available at <u>https://www.thekennelclub.org.uk/media/271056/aggradingstructure13.pdf</u>

149 landing was recorded. Each dog ran the three hurdles in the same order each time, initially

- over a pole placed on the floor. The second repetition was set at 15 cm, with hurdle height 150
- subsequently increasing by 10 cm each repetition up to 65 cm. Each dog jumped a total of 21 151
- hurdles during the study with this being well within normal training and competition 152
- parameters. Handlers ran their dogs as they would normally, with two dogs being withdrawn 153 from subsequent analysis due to failing to complete one or more of the three hurdles. All
- 154 dogs were tested outside on grass at their usual training venue, adding to the ecological 155
- validity of the study. 156
- 157
- Video data were subject to downstream analysis using Dartfish software (Schmitz et al., 158
- 2014; Khadilkar et al., 2104; Eltoukhy et al., 2012; Borel et al., 2011) with the foot of the 159 hurdle wing used to calibrate distances (52 cm). Take-off and landing distances were 160
- recorded, alongside duration of jump trajectory, allowing for jump speed to be determined. 161
- Apparent neck, lumbar spine and shoulder angles were analysed for the take-off, suspension 162
- and landing phase of the jump. Take-off distance was defined as the frame immediately prior 163 to the dog leaving the ground and measured from the tip of the trailing hind limb to the hurdle
- 164 wing (Birch et al., 2015a, b; Clayton, 1989). The suspension phase was determined as the 165
- midpoint of the jump in line with equine terminology (Clayton, 1989). The landing phase was 166
- determined as the first frame when the dog made contact with the ground and landing 167
- distance was measured from the back of the leading limb carpus to the hurdle wing (Birch et 168
- al., 2015a, b; Clayton, 1989). The jump duration was recorded between take-off and landing 169 points. Apparent neck angle was measured from that which formed between C3, the top of
- 170 171 the scapula and the top of the skull; lumbar spine angle was measured from that which
- formed between the top of the top of the ilium, base of tail and T13, whilst shoulder angle 172
- was measured from that which formed from top of humerus, the elbow and the top of scapula. 173
- 174

Due to agility dogs being categorised by wither height, for each dog, the percentage of the 175 hurdle height in relation to their height at the withers was determined and used for subsequent 176 analysis. The percentages were further categorised as 0-25%, 26-50%, 51%-75%, 76-100%, 177 101-125% and 126-150%. This ensured that dogs were grouped evenly (i.e. a dog of 44 cm 178

jumping a hurdle of 55 cm would be in the same category as a dog of 53 cm jumping a hurdle 179

of 65 cm). Results are identified as 'percentage height' throughout the results and discussion. 180

181

Kogomorov-Smirnov tests were used to asses normality followed by a principal component 182

- analysis (PCA) to asses which component was of most importance. A repeated measures 183
- analysis of variance assessed differences between percentage heights with Tukey post hoc 184
- tests used to extrapolate where these differences lay. Cohen's d effect size was calculated to 185
- examine the magnitude of the differences. Pearson's correlations were used to assess 186
- correlations and inter-observer reliability in the data with Dancy and Reidy's (2014) 187
- categorisations being used to ascribe the strength of the correlation. The alpha level was set at 188
- 189 0.001 with means (\pm standard error) used to report the differences. All statistical tests were
- 190 carried out in SPSS 22.
- 191

Results 192

- Data showed strong levels of inter-observer reliability (distances r[56] = 0.995, P < 0.001; 193
- apparent joint angles r[117] = 0.843, P < 0.001) between two independent researchers. PCA 194
- revealed height to the withers and weight (3.57 and 1.4 respectively) as the most important 195
- components in the data explaining 84% of the variability in the data. The two components 196
- 197 showed significantly strong levels of correlation (r=0.886, P < 0.05).

198

199 Jump speed and distance

As percentage height increased, there was a significant decrease in jump speed (F[5,134] =200 42.503, P < 0.001; Figure 2. Mean jump speed of dogs for each percentage height. 201 Differences lie between 0-75% and 76-150%). Tukey post hoc tests revealed dogs were 202 significantly slower when the hurdle reached > 76% of their height to the withers. When 203 204 examining length of trajectory, there was a significant difference in length of trajectory as percentage height increased (F[5,134] = 51.585, P < 0.001; Figure 3. Mean length of 205 trajectory of dogs for each percentage height. Differences lie between 0-50%, 51-125% and 206 207 126-150%). Tukey post hoc tests revealed percentages 51-125% had a significantly longer length of trajectory compared to percentages 0-50% and 126-150%. An effect size of 0.91 208 and 0.94 respectively, was found, suggesting an important difference between the conditions. 209 210 Furthermore, the data showed a significantly strong negative correlation between percentage height and jump speed (r= -0.830, n = 120, P < 0.001) and a strong positive correlation 211 between percentage height and length of trajectory (r = 0.740, n = 120, P < 0.001). The results 212 demonstrate that dogs significantly decrease in speed once the hurdle reaches > 76% of their 213 height to the withers, whilst length of trajectory significantly increased between 51% - 125% 214 of their height to the withers before decreasing significantly when jumping > 126% of their 215

- height to the withers. 216
- 217

Apparent joint angles 218

During the suspension phase of the jump, there was a significant flexion of the shoulder joint 219 as percentage height increased (F[5,134] = 11.880, P < 0.001. Figure 4. Mean apparent 220 shoulder angle during the suspension phase of the jump. Differences lie between 0-75% and 221

76-150%). Tukey post hoc test revealed a shoulders were significantly more flexed when the 222

percentage height was 76-150% compared to 0-75%. An effect size of 0.94 was found, 223

suggesting an important difference between the conditions. The data also showed a moderate 224

- negative correlation between percentage height and shoulder angle (r= -0.564, n = 140, P < 225
- 0.001). The results demonstrated that shoulder angle was significantly more flexed when 226
- dogs jumped > 76% of their height to the withers 227

228

229 During the landing phase of the jump, neck angles showed a significant increase in extension when percentage height increased (F[5,134] = 16.811, P < 0.001, Figure 5. Mean apparent 230 neck angles during the landing phase of the jump. Differences lie between 0-75%, 76-125% 231 and 126-150%). Tukey post hoc tests revealed percentages 126-150% had a significantly 232 more acute neck angle upon landing, with 76-125% being less acute than 126-150% but more 233 extended than 0-75%. Lumbar spine angles became significantly more extended dorsally as 234 235 percentage height increased (F[5,134] = 6.806, P < 0.001, Figure 5. Mean apparent lumbar spine angles. Differences lie between 0-100% and 101-150%). Tukey post hoc tests revealed 236 the differences to be between percentages 0-100% and 101-150%. An effect size of 0.86 and 237 0.85 respectively, was found, suggesting an important difference between the conditions. 238 Furthermore, both neck and back angle showed a moderate negative correlation to percentage 239 height (r= -0.589, n = 140, P < 0.001; r= -0.433, n = 140, P < 0.001) respectively, during the 240 landing phase of the jump. Neck angles became more acute as percentage height increased 241 with neck angle becoming significantly more acute when jumping > 76% of their height to 242

the withers and then again when jumping > 126%. Lumbar spine angles became significantly 243

more extended dorsally when jumping > 101% of their height to the withers. 244

246

247 Discussion

This study sought to examine how the relationship between dog height at the withers and 248 hurdle height affected jump kinematics. The findings indicate that dogs significantly alter 249 their jump kinematics as hurdle height increases. Previous research demonstrated a difference 250 in kinematics over two heights of hurdles (Birch and Lesniak, 2012). This study examines 251 252 these differences further by increasing jump height gradually. Theoretically, by increasing the hurdle height gradually, jump kinematics should also alter gradually. However, this was not 253 seen with jump kinematics altering significantly when the hurdle reached 75% of their height 254 255 to the withers and then again when the hurdle reached in excess of 125% of their height. These findings indicate that when a hurdle reaches these two heights specifically dogs have to 256 significantly adapt their jump kinematics to successfully complete the hurdle. The study 257 sample consisted of trained agility dogs, within a training environment over typical agility 258 equipment increasing the ecological validity of the study. 259

260

PCA data revealed height to the withers and weight were the most important components as 261 well as showing a very strong correlation. Dogs are categorised using height to the withers in 262 agility. Consequently, this study focused on height to the withers to allow for easier end user 263 application. Similarly, there was a strong correlation between wither height and weight (r =264 265 0.831, n = 40, P < 0.001). Dogs were allocated into the categories to ensure that individual differences in height were accounted for. The smallest dog analysed was 43.5 cm at the 266 withers whilst the tallest dog was 58 cm, thus the percentage height of the hurdle compared to 267 268 their height to the withers was different. There was no effect of age or experience on the length of trajectory, jump speed or apparent joint angles as has been previously seen (Birch et 269 al., 2015a, b; Cullen et al., 2013a, b). 270

271

272 Overall, jump speed decreased as hurdle height increased, whilst length of trajectory increased up to 125% before decreasing. The strong negative correlation indicates how jump 273 speed continually decreases thus, theoretically, dogs jumping $\geq 151\%$ of their height, as is 274 commonly seen in working trials and gundog trials, will jump slower over these heights. 275 However, within working trials the jump is commonly a solid object and within gundog trials 276 they are often carrying game, therefore the jump kinematics may alter again further. 277

278

When considering length of trajectory, dogs had a significantly greater length of trajectory 279 when the percentage height increased, with there also being a strong negative correlation 280 between the two. Dogs jumped significantly further when jumping 51-125% of their height 281 compared to 0-50%. However, this length of trajectory then decreased significantly when 282 dogs were jumping $\geq 126\%$ of their height. This is of particular interest as, unlike jump 283 speed, length of trajectory alters significantly at this percentage height illustrating how dogs 284 jumping \geq 126% of their height have to significantly alter their jump kinematics to allow for 285

hurdle clearance. This is in contrast to what is commonly seen in equines whereby take-off 286 distance continues to increase with hurdle height (Powers, 2002). This decrease may 287

potentially indicate that dogs are nearing their limits when clearing hurdles of this height. 288

This decrease in length of trajectory, coupled with apparent neck angles becoming 289

significantly more extended upon landing demonstrates a steeper jumping bascule when dogs 290

jump \geq 126% of their height. Similar findings are seen in equines during a Puissance 291

competition; however, whilst the jumping arc became steeper, the take-off distance increased 292

as opposed to decreased (Powers, 2002). This difference could be due to the use of three 293

- consecutive hurdles in this study as opposed to one single fence as is seen in a Puissance
 competition. This increased extension may potentially indicate why neck injuries are
 commonly seen in agility dogs due to concussive forces experienced when landing over a
 hurdle (Cullen *et al.*, 2013a, b; Pfau *et al.*, 2011; Levy *et al.*, 2009). Future studies could
- indeed examine if any correlations occur between incidences of neck injuries and height of
- the dog. Pfau *et al.*, (2011) demonstrated that dogs experienced vertical forces of up to 4.5 times their hody weight when landing even a longitude three set.
- times their body weight when landing over a hurdle, thus a significantly more acute neckangle could be detrimental to the health and welfare of these dogs due to the concussive
- forces they may experience (Zink, 2008). Interestingly, the use of Rollkur (whereby the
- 303 horse's neck is forced into hyperflexion) in equines has been banned within *Fédération*
- 304 Équestre Internationale (FEI) competitions on welfare grounds (von Borstel *et al.*, 2009).
- 305 Whilst this is flexion as opposed to extension, it illustrates the welfare implications of forced
- movement outside the normal range (Millis *et al.*, 2004).
- Apparent lumbar spine angles also differed during the landing phase of the jump, with them becoming significantly more extended dorsally when the hurdle was $\geq 101\%$ of itself. This
- again is demonstrative of a steeper landing angle when percentage height increases. It could
- also be in order to prepare for the next hurdle. For example, a more extended neck angle
- 311 could be due to the head needing to be lifted to focus on the third jump and the increased
- extension in the lumber spine could be aiding take off for the next hurdle (Zink, 2008).
- However, Birch *et al.*, (2015a) demonstrated that some large dogs added a stride when
- hurdles were spaced at 5 m apart enabling them to decipher a more optimum take-off distance
- 315 (Zink, 2008). Indeed, it is for this very reason that the hurdles in this study were spaced at 5m
- apart so that length of trajectory was not confounding on their take-off distances.
- 317 During the suspension phase of the jump (Clayton, 1989), shoulder angles became
- significantly more flexed as the percentage height increased. This supports previous
- 319 kinematic studies (Birch and Lesniak, 2012) and is likely due to dogs having to tuck their
- 320 forelimbs in closer to their bodies to allow hurdle clearance. Due to the lack of a clavicle,
- 321 shoulder muscles are important not only for active movement but also passive movement
- (Budras *et al.*, 2007). Thus increased, repetitive extension and flexion of the shoulder joint
 could explain why shoulder injuries commonly occur in agility dogs (Canapp, 2010). In
- courte explain why shoulder injuries commonly occur in aginty dogs (Canapp, 2010). In 324 contrast, the repeated extension and flexion of the shoulder joint could instead strengthen the
- 325 muscles resulting in a decreased injury risk. However, strengthening of shoulder muscles is
- advised to be conducted in a controlled manner (Millis *et al.*, 2004). Future studies examining
- 327 shoulder injuries in dogs should record the height of the dog also to allow this to be examined
- 328 further.
- Overall, the results suggest that canine jump kinematics alter significantly at particular 329 percentage relationships of dog height to hurdle height. This generally was between 0 - 75%, 330 76 - 125% and > 126%. When a hurdle reaches \geq 76% of their height to the withers, dogs 331 begin to significantly alter their kinematics. When the hurdle reaches $\geq 126\%$ of their height 332 to the withers, kinematics alter again resulting in a significantly more acute neck angle and 333 shorter length of trajectory. The height at which a hurdle should be set at as test of athletic 334 ability compared to the height at which a hurdle becomes a welfare concern is not yet fully 335 understood requiring further investigation. However, due to current understanding of 336 common injury locations and significant differences in these apparent joint angles observed 337 when hurdle height increases, caution should be aired when categorising dogs by height to 338

- the withers. Future studies could examine heavier, short legged breeds to determine if
- 340 weight, length and height had a different impact on jump kinematics. Indeed, Zink and
- 341 Daniels (2011), suggest body height to weight ratios are most important when determining
- the height a dog should jump.

343 The results from this study have implications for sporting dogs required to jump, with it being

- the first to examine how kinematics alter over gradually increasing hurdle heights. With
- regards to agility specifically, for dogs measuring just into the large height category, the
 significant increase in neck extension for dogs falling in this category is a potential welfare
- concern. On the contrary, the decreased length of trajectory and jump speed could be a
- 348 preventative factor in reducing injuries. However, agility is a competitive sport with this
- paper illustrating these dogs are unable to jump at the same speed as taller dogs, ultimately
- reducing the competitive nature of the sport. The recent amendments to Kennel Club jumpheight regulations illustrates both; the need for scientific research to be used to inform future
- rule changes, alongside the public support for change with regard to the health and welfare of
- 353 sporting dogs.
- 354

355 Conclusion

- 356 This study illustrates how canines alter their jump kinematics as percentage height increases.
- 357 As percentage height increases, jump speed decreases whilst length of trajectory increases.
- The study indicates that once a dog reaches a hurdle $\geq 76\%$ of their height, their kinematics
- alter, with this then altering further when the hurdle reached $\geq 126\%$ of their height. This study adds to our current understanding of canine jump kinematics and should be used to
- 360 study adds to our current understanding of canine jump kinematics and should be used to 361 inform training plans for agility dogs particularly when dogs are jumping in excess of 126%
- 362 of their height to the withers.
- 363

364 **Conflict of interest**

- J. Boyd is a member of The Kennel Club's Activities Health and Welfare Subgroup. None of
 the other authors of this paper has a financial or personal relationship with other people or
 organisations that could inappropriately influence or bias the content of the paper.
- 368

369 Acknowledgements

- The authors would like to acknowledge the help of all the handlers and their dogs during data
 collection. The authors would also like to sincerely thank the reviewers for their comments
 and feedback.
- 373374 References
- Alcock, J., Birch. E., Boyd, J., 2015. Effect of jumping style on the performance of large and
 medium elite agility dogs. Journal of Comparative Exercise Physiology 11(3), 145-150.
- 377
- Birch, E., Boyd, J., Doyle, G., Pullen. A., 2015a. The effects of altered distances between
 hurdles on the jump kinematics and apparent joint angulations of large agility dogs. The
- 380 Veterinary Journal 204, 174-178.
- 381
- Birch, E., Boyd, J., Doyle, G., Pullen. A., 2015b. Small and medium agility dogs alter their
 kinematics when the distance between hurdles differs. Comparative Exercise Physiology
 11(2), 75-78.
- 385
- Birch, E., Lesniak, K., 2012. Effect of fence height on joint angles of agility dogs. The
 Veterinary Journal 198: e99-e102.

388 389 Borel, S., Schneider, P., and Newman, C. J., 2011. Video analysis software increases the 390 interrater reliability of video gait assessments in children with cerebral palsy. Gait and Posture 33, 727-729 391 392 393 Budras, K. D., McCarthy, P. H., Frike, W., Richter, R. (Eds), 2007. In: Anatomy of the Dog. 394 Fifth Edn. Schlütersche Verlagsgesellschaft, Hannover, Germany, pp. 16-27. 395 Canapp, S., 2007. Shoulder conditions in agility dogs. Focus on Canine Sports Medicine. 396 http://www.akcchf.org/assets/files/canine-athlete/Biceps-injury.pdf. (accessed 17 June 2015) 397 398 Clayton, H. M., 1989. Terminology for the description of equine jumping kinematics. Journal 399 of Equine Veterinary Science 9, 341-348. 400 401 Clayton, H. M., Barlow, D. A., 1989. The effect of fence height and width on the limb 402 placements of show jumping horses. Journal of Equine Veterinary Science 9, 179-185. 403 404 Colborne, G., R., 2007. Bringing canine biomechanics out of the dark ages. The Veterinary 405 Journal 173, 469-470. 406 407 Cullen, K. A., Dickey, J. P., Bent, L. R., Thomason, J. J., Moens, N. M. M., 2013a. Internet-408 based survey of the nature and perceived causes of injury to dogs participating in agility 409 training and competition events. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association 410 243, 1010-1018. 411 412 Cullen, K. A., Dickey, J. P., Bent, L. R., Thomason, J. J., Moens, N. M. M., 2013b. Survey-413 based analysis of risk factors for injury among dogs participating in agility training and 414 415 competition events. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association 243, 101-1024. 416 Dancy, C., Reidy, J., 2014. Statistics without maths for Psychology. 6th Edition. Pearson 417 418 Education Limited: Essex. 419 Eltoukhy, M., Asfour, S., Thompson, C., and Latta, L., 2012. Evaluation of the Performance 420 of Digital Video Analysis of Human Motion: Dartfish Tracking System. International Journal 421 of Scientific and Engineering Research 3(3), 1-6. 422 423 Faber, M., Johnston, C., van Weeren, P. R., and Barneveld, A., 2002. Repeatability of back 424 kinematics in horses during treadmill locomotion. Equine Veterinary Journal 34(3), 235-241. 425 426 427 Gilette, R., Angle, T., 2008. Recent developments in canine locomotor analysis: A review. The veterinary Journal 178, 165-176. 428 429 430 Hole, S. L., Clayton, H. M., Lanovaz, J. L., 2002. A note on the linear and temporal stride 431 kinematics of Olympic show jumping horses between two fences. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 75, 317-323. 432 433 Khadilkar, L., MacDermid, J. C., Sinden, K. E., Jenkyn, T. R., Birmingham, T. B., and 434 435 Athwal, G. S., 2014. An analysis of functional shoulder movements during task performance using Dartfish movement analysis software. International Journal of Shoulder Surgery 8(1), 436 437 1-9.

Levy, M., Hall, C., Trentacosta, N., Percival, M., 2009. A preliminary retrospective survey of 439 injuries occurring in dogs participating in canine agility. Veterinary and Comparative 440 441 Orthopaedics and Traumatology 22, 321-324. 442 443 Lewczuk, D., Sloniewski, K., Reklewski, Z., 2006. Repeatability of the horse's jumping 444 parameters with and without the rider. Livestock Science 99, 125-130. 445 Millis, D. L., Levine, D., Taylor, R. A., 2004. Canine Rehabilitation and Physical Therapy. 446 447 Saunders, USA. 448 449 Pet Rehab, 2013. Pet rehab fitness training. http://pet-rehab.co.uk/fitness-training/ (accessed 450 17 June 2015) 451 Pfau, T., Garland de Rivaz, A., Brighton, S., Weller, R., 2011. Kinetics of jump landing in 452 agility dogs. The Veterinary Journal 190, 278-283. 453 454 455 Powers, P., 2002. The take off kinematics of jumping horses in a *puissance* competition. 20th International Symposium on Biomechanics in Sport, Extremadure, Spain, 1-5 July 2002, 456 https://ojs.ub.uni-konstanz.de/cpa/article/view/667/589 (accessed 3 February 2015). 457 458 Powers, P. N. R., Harrison, A. J., 2000. A study on the techniques used by untrained horses 459 460 during loose jumping. Journal of Equine Veterinary Science 20, 845-850. 461 Powers, P. N. R., Harrison, A. J., 1999. Models for biomechanical analysis of jumping 462 463 horses. Journal of Equine Veterinary Science 19, 799-806. 464 Rodrigues, T. N., Godoi, F. N., Ramos, M. T., Andrede, A. M., Almeida, F. Q., 2014. 465 466 Changes in kinematics during repeated jumping. Equine Veterinary Journal 46, 47-48. 467 Santamaria, S., Back, W., van Weeren, P. R., Knaap, J., Barneveld, A., 2002. Jumping 468 characteristics of naïve foals: lead changes and description of temporal and linear parameters. 469 Equine Veterinary Journal 34, 302-307. 470 471 Schmitz, A., Ye, M., Shapiro, R., Yang, R., and Noehren, B., 2014. Accuracy and 472 473 repeatability of joint angles measured using a single camera markerless motion caption system. Journal of Biomechanics 47, 587-591. 474 475 476 Smart Clinic, 2014. Welcome to SMART vet Wales. http://www.smartvetwales.co.uk./ 477 (accessed 17 June 2015) 478 The Kennel Club, 2013. Agility. http://www.thekennelclub.org.uk/activities/agility/ (accessed 479 17 June 2015) 480 481 482 The Kennel Club, 2013. Activities. http://www.thekennelclub.org.uk/activities/ (accessed 17 483 June 2015) 484 485 The Kennel Club, 2016. Lower jump height option in agility introduced by the kennel club. 486 http://www.thekennelclub.org.uk/media/743020/press release 2016 agility the kennel club _announce_the_introduction_lower_height_option_jumps_v8.pdf (accessed 01/02/2016) 487

488

491

493

- 489 United Kingdom Agility, 2016. Rules and Regulations 2016.
- 490 <u>http://www.ukagility.com/Downloads/UKARules2016.pdf</u> (accessed 01/02/2016)
- 492 Vogel, C., 1996. The Complete Performance Horse. David and Charlis Lts, Ohio
- Von Borstel, U. U., Duncan, H, J, I., Shoveller, A. K., Merkies, K., Keeling, L. J., Millman,
 S. T., 2009. Impact of riding in a coercively obtained Rollkur posture on welfare and fear of
 performance horses. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 116(2-4), 228-236.
- Wejer, J., Lendo, I., Lewczuk, D., 2013. The effect of training on the jumping parameters of
 inexperienced Warmblood horses in free jumping. Journal of Equine Veterinary Science 33,
 483-486.
- 501
- Zink, C. 2008. The agility advantage; Health and Fitness for the Canine Athlete) Clean RunPublishing; USA.
- 504
- Zink, C., Daniels, J., 2011. Jumping from A to Z: Teach your dog to soar. Dogwise
- 506 Publishing; Wenatchee, WA.