"Riding madly off in all directions": consistency and convergence in professional legal education

It is possible to see, in professional legal education systems, waves sweeping from continent to continent. The JD continues (arguably) to conquer. Competence statements for the point of qualification are developed in Australia, then appear in Canada and in the UK. CPD systems start to move, albeit slowly, from hours-based to outcomes-based models.

In many cases, however, those waves are of individual components of professional legal education being, in turn, adopted and rejected. The solicitors’ professions in England and Wales and in Hong Kong are considering “bar examinations” in the shape of the proposed SQE and CEE. At much the same time, however, the USA problematizes bar examinations and begins to focus on the learning outcomes and experiential learning that is (only too) familiar to those of us from the UK, Australasia and Hong Kong. Something similar can be seen in legal regulation, where professions emerge, merge and disappear over time in different configurations. In England and Wales, legal executives gain the right to practise independently; at roughly the same time, Canada, Scotland and some US states develop regulated paralegal professions.

This presentation will consider the implications of these trends, often apparently taking place in isolation, without reference to other professions or, more specifically, to the experience and expertise of legal colleagues in other jurisdictions. There is, however, a limited set of professional legal education components such as the bar examination; undergraduate or postgraduate law degree; CPE/GDL course; PCLL or LPC type vocational courses; QLTS or OLQE mechanism; training contract or articles, and, it will be suggested, we can do more to examine these components by reference to the global experience. Before we ride madly off in any direction with our legal education structures, it behoves us to examine closely who has been there before, where they went and what they did. And, if they turned back, why.
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