Teaching reforms: not a boxing match

Christopher R. Matthews argues that a consequence of the higher education reform is positive in that it improves teaching and plays to sociology’s strengths.

Stories of inspirational teachers are plentiful. These stories help us to explore pedagogical strategies that can switch on students to their extraordinary potential. It was lecturers with a background in sociology and anthropology, perhaps unsurprisingly, who fostered my own liminal learning experiences. It is such teachers, who seemingly have the ability to make their subject matter dazzle, that are largely responsible for the continuing success of our discipline.

However, what is sometimes absent from the romanticised retellings of such stories is that sociologists get a head start, a leg up, if you will, over many of their colleagues at university. The passionate pedagogues who taught me had visceral, taboo, political, subversive and, dare I say it, ‘cool’ empirical case studies. I needed little encouragement to sink my academic milk teeth into these sociological debates.

Now, clearly, for some students a social scientific world-view might be challenging, but it is my experience that even the most dedicated Popperian can be brought round to the sociological imagination if one can find the correct hook with which to catch them. And it is precisely such hooks that sociologists, I would argue, have in abundance.

The nature of our science is tied up in varying ways and to varying depths with day-to-day lived realities. Indeed, these connections are an essential element of even the most theoretical of degree modules. Sure, all sciences have the same quality, but can they all boast the areas of research interest that we sociologists explore?

Furthermore, it is the inherent connection between our students’ backgrounds and lives that can help to lock down fantastic sociological case studies within an embodied experience of learning. My own research with boxing has provided an empirical tool kit which I return to time and time again (the Rocky films, for example, are wrt large with structural and post-structural accounts of identity, amongst other things). This plethora of empirical themes, which are stitched into students’ personal narratives, can give those of us teaching sociology our most powerful pedagogical instruments. With this position in mind, I would like to consider the place of sociology within the new market place of education.

As the sands of the education industry shift further towards a neo-liberal, market-driven model, inspirational teaching is of increasing importance. While we might not agree with the fundamental political and economic principles that are driving such change, it is a reality that many of us, especially those in the formative stages of our careers, are pragmatically accepting them as a means of obtaining and maintaining employment.

With an ever-increasing number of PhD graduates applying for what appears to be a stagnating or even declining number of jobs, recent graduates are increasingly aware of the demand to demonstrate experience and skill in teaching. The increased attention focused on ‘student satisfaction’, ‘adding value’ and the ‘service of teaching’ (I can feel you cringe) can draw us towards a default position of uncritically accepting that what the students want is more important than what they need to gain a well-rounded education.
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Clearly there is an interplay here between listening to students and our understanding of what is necessary and appropriate in higher education. Maintaining a critical dialogue between the two is important if the trap of student satisfaction leading our teaching, rather than academically rigorous and passionate teaching leading to student satisfaction, is to be avoided.

Notwithstanding these precautionary comments, this shift has also helped to centralise the student experience within the development, delivery and evaluation of degree programmes. For me, this is a positive outcome which has increased the importance placed on one’s teaching credentials within the job market.

So while the focus for many is rightly on Gove, wages and working conditions in academia, there are a number of early career academics on temporary contracts diligently (desperately?) working to enhance their skills to switch on young minds.

This is not to say that this enhancement is dependent on market forces – clearly not – but rather to say that a by-product of the intensification of economic imperatives driving academia is an increased attention paid to academics’ capabilities in the classroom.

This leads me to my broader point: we should rightly be at the forefront of challenging the continued encroachment of economic forces into educational policy decisions. But it is to the detriment of the discipline if we are not aware of the positive educational outcomes which have accompanied this shift. Indeed, we should not ignore the fact that in the marketplace of education, sociology and sociologists have a unique product to sell (I can feel you cringe again).

My call here is for a more thorough realisation of the pedagogical opportunities that are embedded within our discipline as we move into a brave new commodified world of education.

A connected call is for sociologists to be at the forefront of their universities’ digital transformations, whether this is in the form of using new media as teaching and communication devices, innovative assessment and learning strategies or simply embracing the clear sociological potentials of online social networking.

Perhaps this partial acceptance of the current trajectory of academia will not resonate with some readers’ own political stances. And although I would certainly agree that we should challenge the prevailing trend of an increasing alignment with neo-liberal narratives, it would be remiss of us to not realise the potential that our subject matter has to switch on the minds of the next generation who might continue on such a fight.

*Dr Christopher R. Matthews is a lecturer in sport sociology at the University of Brighton.*
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