

1 **Final draft:**

2

3 **Development of a method to identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing**

4 Hobbs, SJ; Orlande, O; Edmundson, CJ; Northrop, AJ; Martin, JH.

5

6 **Abstract**

7 Foot strike can be difficult to determine using kinematics alone, particularly when studying equine
8 activities on more compliant surfaces, so this study was done with the aim of developing and
9 validating a method to determine foot strike on an arena surface that can be used in conjunction
10 with kinematics alone, and of applying the method in the context of measuring foot strike during
11 jump landing on an arena surface. A low-cost contact mat was developed. The timing of the contact
12 mat switching 'on' was compared to the timing of a force platform onset of 20 N, load and loading
13 rate at foot strike. Two groups of 25 participants were used in two separate studies to validate the
14 contact mat: the first measured the difference in timing with respect to two different activities
15 (running and stepping down from a box), and the second measured the difference in timing with
16 respect to 1- and 2-cm depths of an arena surface during running. In a third study, the mat was used
17 to measure leading limb foot strike of six horses during jump landing, and these data were compared
18 to kinematics from a palmar marker on the hoof wall. All data were recorded at 500 Hz. A consistent
19 difference in delay was found between the mat and force platform onset, and as a result, no
20 significant differences ($P>0.05$) in timing delay between different loading rates or depths were
21 found. During jump landing, foot strike (determined from the mat) occurred after the vertical
22 velocity minima and the acceleration maxima for the hoof marker, but it occurred before the point
23 where the rate of vertical displacement began to reduce. In conclusion, further work is needed to
24 enhance these techniques, but these preliminary results indicate that this method may be effective
25 in determining foot strike for field-based applications. [PUBLICATION ABSTRACT]

26

27 **Introduction**

28

29 The study of the interaction between horses and surfaces during different activities is essential to
30 understand the sport-specific risks associated with the material properties of the surface. Recent
31 research in the equine industry has been focused on the hoof surface interaction and how different
32 types of surfaces can affect aspects of equine locomotion¹. Material characteristics of surfaces can
33 have a profound effect on the limb loading rates²⁻⁴, shock and vibration characteristics⁴⁻⁶, tendon
34 loads³, hoof landing velocity⁷, hoof deceleration and braking forces^{4,6,8}. From these results,
35 surface-induced changes have been implicated in relation to the incidence of musculoskeletal
36 injuries, although the specific demands on the horse will also influence the level of risk.

37

38 One such demand relates to leading limb hoof slide during jump landing, as mechanical stress has
39 been reported to increase with increases in horizontal shockwaves and vibration through the distal
40 limb^{4-6,8}. Hoof slide has been measured using kinematics and force platforms⁹⁻¹¹, with force
41 platforms considered to be the 'gold standard' when detecting the initial hoof contact¹². As force

42 platforms are often embedded into a concrete runway and covered by rubber matting, the majority
43 of studies that have reported hoof slide from force platforms are restricted by the number of
44 different surfaces that can be investigated and by the types of activities that can be performed upon
45 them 12-14. In addition, the stiffness characteristics of the force plate will alter the overall hardness
46 characteristics of a surface.

47

48 Jumping mechanics have mainly been studied using kinematic analyses¹⁵, but difficulties in
49 determining foot strike and hoof slide from kinematic data remain. Accurate knowledge of the
50 timing of the initial ground contact is necessary to determine hoof slide, which is difficult to pinpoint
51 accurately from kinematic analysis alone ¹⁶. The use of fetlock angle to detect limb impact from
52 kinematics was investigated¹², and it was reported that the angle of the fetlock joint does not show
53 a recognisable peak that can be used as an indicator for ground contact. Another study¹³ developed
54 a kinematic method based on speed distribution analysis to detect the stance phase of horses
55 walking and trotting on a treadmill and over ground and human walking, and found results
56 comparable to those obtained for a force platform. For a study investigating the surface effects from
57 kinematic data at trot, the start of the stance phase was determined when the base of the hoof first
58 went below the level of the track surface ⁷. Horizontal velocity of a hoof marker has also been used
59 to determine foot contact during walking and trotting on a treadmill¹⁷, and vertical displacement
60 and velocity of a marker positioned on the first phalanx (PI) were previously used to determine foot
61 contact during jump landing on an arena surface¹⁸. Similar methods have also been reported to
62 define human gait events¹⁹.

63

64 For field-based kinematic studies on more compliant surfaces, detection of foot contact is still
65 somewhat problematic, and therefore the overall aims of this study were (1) to develop and validate
66 a simple device capable of determining foot strike on an arena surface using a force platform; and
67 (2) to apply the method in the context of measuring foot strike during jump landing on an arena
68 surface, and compare the results with kinematic results.

69

70 Two separate studies were designed to validate the device developed to determine foot strike on an
71 arena surface against a 'gold standard' (force platform) under laboratory conditions. For both the
72 studies, the time the device switched 'on' was compared with the timing of force platform onset,
73 and to the applied load and loading rate at the time the device switched 'on'. The first study aimed
74 to explore the difference in timing with respect to load and loading rate by using two different
75 activities that are known to produce different loading rates at foot strike. The second study aimed to
76 explore the difference in timing with respect to a difference in depth of an arena surface.
77 Consistency in timing from the device (that is, no significant differences in timing between activities
78 or depths) was required if precision in foot strike determination on an arena surface was to be
79 achieved. A field-based study was then used to test the device in the context of measuring foot
80 strike during jump landing on an arena surface.

81

82 **Ethical approval**

83 Ethical approval was obtained for this project from the School of Psychology Ethics Committee,
84 University of Central Lancashire (UCLan), the Animal Projects Committee, UCLan and Myerscough
85 College Ethics Committee.

86

87 **Methods**

88

89 **Loading rate study**

90

91 **Participants**

92

93 Fifteen healthy participants having a height of 1.78 ± 0.1 m and weight of 75.4 ± 15.5 kg (mean \pm SD)
94 were used in the study.

95

96 **Equipment**

97

98 Eight infrared cameras (Qualysis Medical AB, Goteburg, Sweden) were positioned around a force
99 platform (Kistler Instruments Ltd, Alton, UK; Model 9281CA) and calibrated. The onset threshold of
100 the force platform was set to 20 N. A large groundsheet was placed over the force platform and
101 surrounding area and secured [12 mm of a medium-density fibreboard was bolted to the force
102 platform, which was then covered with 6.5 mm sports flooring (Altro Mondosport HP20, Altro Ltd,
103 Letchworth Garden City, UK)]. A bespoke contact mat (the device developed) was then positioned on
104 the groundsheet over the force platform. The mat consisted of two layers of 600 x 400 mm
105 aluminium foil glued to Fablon sticky-back plastic (to produce two electrodes), and separated by
106 high-density 3 mm laminate floor underlay with 30 x 30 mm 2 cut-outs. A commercial high-strength,
107 fabric-backed 50 mm-width tape was then wrapped around the two electrodes to form the mat. To
108 each sheet of the aluminium foil a single-core 1 mm wire was wired in series to an adjustable output
109 AC/DC convertor (Farnell Instruments Ltd, Leeds, UK) set at 13 V DC and 13 V 250 mA DC infrared
110 emitter with 20° viewing angle (Honeywell Sensing and Control, North Shields, UK) in a 'normally
111 open' circuit design. When pressure was applied to the mat the two electrodes contacted, which
112 completed the circuit and illuminated the infrared emitter, indicating that the mat had switched 'on'.
113 Due to the elasticity of the foam, automatic recoil occurred once the pressure was released, and the
114 electrodes separated, thus breaking the circuit and consequently the light was extinguished.

115

116 Once in place, a retaining rubber matting was arranged around the area of the force plate and
117 contact mat, coarse sand was then used to fill the internal volume and arena surface was used to
118 cover the contact mat to a depth of 2 cm. The offset of the centre of pressure was then adjusted to
119 include the total floor surface to force platform centre, a distance of 65 mm. A 360 mm-high
120 platform was positioned to the side of the force platform during step-down trials, and was moved
121 away from the path of participants during running trials.

122

123 **Procedure**

124

125 The height and weight of each participant was recorded, retroreflective markers were placed on the
126 heel of each shoe for reference and then each participant completed a suitable warm-up.
127 Participants completed three successful running trials at their preferred speed and three step-down
128 trials from the platform. A successful trial was defined by a strike of either foot on the contact mat.
129 Data were discounted when only the edge of the mat was contacted (which was determined from a
130 three-dimensional reconstruction in the laboratory) or when the mat became badly deformed.
131 Kinematic data, contact mat data and force data were all recorded at 500 Hz. The frame difference
132 between force platform onset and the contact mat switching 'on', the force recorded when the mat
133 switched 'on' and one frame prior to switching 'on' were extracted from Qualisys Track Manager
134 (Qualisys Medical AB, Goteburg, Sweden), and were tabulated in Excel (Microsoft Corp., Redmond,
135 WA, USA).

136

137 **Data analysis**

138

139 For each trial, the time delay (ms) between the onset of the force platform and the contact mat
140 switching 'on' was calculated. Instantaneous loading rate was then calculated using the difference
141 between the load when the mat switched 'on' and the load recorded for the frame before the mat
142 switched 'on' divided by time. The mean, standard deviation (SD), variance and confidence intervals
143 for each trial for the delay in timing between the force platform onset and the mat switching 'on'
144 were calculated. The consistency of the mat was evaluated using a repeated measures general linear
145 model to test for significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between the two activities and the three trials for
146 delay, vertical force, anterior-posterior force and loading rate and their interactions. Relationships
147 between delay and vertical force, delay and anterior-posterior force, and delay and instantaneous
148 loading rate were evaluated for the two activities using Pearson's correlations with significance set
149 at $P < 0.05$. All statistical analyses were carried out in SPSS (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

150

151 **Surface depth study**

152

153 **Participants**

154

155 Twenty-five healthy participants (a sample different from that of the activity study) having a height
156 of 1.75 ± 0.07 m and weight of 72.6 ± 11.7 kg (mean \pm SD) were used in the study.

157

158 **Equipment**

159

160 Equipment was arranged as described previously, but on this occasion, an arena surface was used to
161 fill the internal volume and to cover the contact mat. Two depths were used to cover the contact
162 mat, 1 and 2 cm. In order to maintain consistency of depth, the difference in mass (1.6 kg) of the
163 surface removed was recorded and checked following each removal to the 1 cm depth.

164

165 **Procedure**

166

167 The height and weight of each participant was recorded, retroreflective markers were placed on the
168 heel of each shoe for reference and then the participant completed a suitable warm-up. Participants
169 completed three successful running trials (as defined previously) at their preferred speed at the 1 cm
170 depth, and three successful running trials at their preferred speed at the 2 cm depth. Depths were
171 alternated between participants. Kinematic data, contact mat data and force data were all recorded
172 at 500 Hz. Data were extracted as described previously.

173

174 **Data analysis**

175

176 Data analysis was carried out as described previously, but for this study, consistency in delay
177 between the two depths of the surface and relationships between delay and force and
178 instantaneous loading rate for depth of surface were evaluated, with significance set at $P < 0.05$.

179

180 **Field-based study**

181

182 **Participants**

183

184 Six shod and clinically sound riding horses (162 ± 5 cm and 499 ± 25 kg) were used for this study. All
185 horses were used for jumping lessons on average 4 h per week, and were capable of jumping >1 m.
186 The horses were ridden by an experienced rider (international-level showjumper).

187

188 **Equipment**

189

190 The study was conducted in two indoor arenas with artificial surfaces of sand, rubber, fibre and wax
191 composition. A two-striding double was set up along the long side of each arena, which was jumped
192 from left to right and consisted of a cross-pole followed by a 1 m vertical. A high-speed camera
193 (Redlake, Integrated Design Tools Inc., Tallahassee, FL, USA; Model M1) was positioned
194 perpendicular to the landing side of the second element, and was calibrated using a 50 x 50 x 50 cm
195 3 cube placed parallel to the direction of motion of the horse and in the centre of the leading limb
196 landing area. A 3 m jump pole was placed perpendicular to the furthest jump wing of the second
197 element to act as a horizontal reference in the field of view of the camera. On this occasion, a 6 V

198 bicycle LED front light (Hugo Brennenstuhl GMBH & Co., Tübingen, Germany) was connected to the
199 contact mat and positioned on a tripod in the right-hand corner of the field of view of the camera.

200

201 **Procedure**

202

203 Self-adhesive circular markers were attached to the proximal third metacarpal bone, the centre of
204 rotation of the metacarpophalangeal joint and the distal first PI of the right forelimb. In addition,
205 two horizontal, spherical markers were attached to a polymer frame that was secured to the lateral
206 side of the shoe of the right forelimb in a horizontal orientation (dorsal and palmar hoof markers).
207 Five jumping trials of right lead landing were recorded before the contact mat was placed under the
208 surface, to measure hoof slip for another study. The contact mat was then placed according to the
209 right lead hoof print of the horse at a depth of 2 cm. When the right forelimb made contact with the
210 mat, it switched the torch 'on' and the light was recorded together with the kinematics. One
211 successful jumping trial was recorded where the right forelimb landed on the embedded contact
212 mat. The jump landings were recorded at 500 Hz and later digitized in Hu-m-an (HMA Technology
213 Inc., King City, ON, Canada) from the latter part of the flight phase to mid-stance phase. Vertical and
214 horizontal displacement of PI and the two hoof markers was calculated and smoothed with a
215 second-order Butterworth filter with a 25 Hz cut-off frequency. Vertical displacement, velocity and
216 acceleration and horizontal velocity were then derived, and the frame when the light switched 'on'
217 was also recorded. These data were then exported to Excel (Microsoft Corp.).

218

219 **Data analysis**

220

221 To evaluate foot strike events, timing of the contact mat light 'on' was compared with the timing of
222 the first vertical velocity minimum and vertical acceleration maximum found at the end of the flight
223 phase of the leading limb, the highest maximum of speed distribution using both vertical and
224 horizontal velocity frequencies 13, the first point where the horizontal velocity crossed 0 at the end
225 of the flight phase and the point where the rate of vertical displacement began to reduce. Mean and
226 standard deviation of these data was plotted and compared in Excel (Microsoft Corp.).

227

228 **Results**

229

230 **Loading rate study**

231

232 Table 1 shows the mean, SD, variance and confidence intervals for delay, forces and instantaneous
233 loading rate for each trial for the two activities. No significant differences ($F(15) = 0.29$, $P = 0.866$) in
234 delay between the force platform and the contact mat for running and stepping down were found.
235 This was despite significant differences between activity for vertical force ($F(15) = 19.93$, $P = 0.001$)
236 and instantaneous loading rate ($F(15) = 27.302$, $P < 0.001$) being measured by the force platform. No
237 significant relationships were found for this study.

238

239 Table 1

240

241 Mean, standard deviation (SD), confidence intervals and variance of the delay between the force
242 platform onset and the contact mat switching 'on' (ms)

243

244 Mean and SD of load in the vertical (V) and anterior-posterior (A-P) directions (N) at the frame where
245 the mat switched 'on' and mean instantaneous loading rate (LR) (kN s⁻¹) for the loading rate test
246 results. n, total number of observations. * Significant difference (P < 0.05) between run and step-
247 down activities.

248

249 **Surface depth study**

250

251 Table 2 shows the mean, SD, variance and confidence intervals for delay, forces and instantaneous
252 loading rate for each trial for the two depths. No significant differences (F(25) = 1.922, P = 0.178) in
253 delay between the force platform and the contact mat for the 1 and 2 cm depths were found. In
254 addition, no significant differences (P < 0.05) between depths were found for vertical force, anterior-
255 posterior force or instantaneous loading rate (see Table 2). Significant relationships were found for
256 delay and vertical force (r = 0.505, P = 0.010 and r = .439, P = .028) for the 2 and 1 cm depths,
257 respectively, for delay and anterior-posterior force for the 1 cm depth (r = 0.635, P = 0.001) and for
258 delay and instantaneous loading rate for the 2 cm depth (r = 0.424, P = 0.034).

259

260 **Table 2**

261

262 Mean, standard deviation (SD), confidence intervals and variance of the delay between the force
263 platform onset and the contact mat switching 'on' (ms)

264

265 Mean and SD of load in the vertical (V) and anterior-posterior (A-P) directions (N) at the frame where
266 the mat switched 'on' and instantaneous loading rate (LR) (kN s⁻¹) for the surface depth test results.
267 n, total number of observations.

268

269 **Field-based study**

270

271 Two trials were not recorded: one horse pulled off a shoe and one horse was considered fatigued
272 prior to data collection from the mat. Plots of vertical displacement, velocity and acceleration and
273 horizontal velocity of the palmar hoof marker, together with their corresponding events, are shown
274 in Fig. 1, together with the position of foot strike determined using the contact mat. The mean

275 difference in time to foot strike determined by the mat and time to events detected using the
276 kinematic data for all the successful trials are shown in Fig. 2. Corresponding frames from the video
277 data are shown in Fig. 3.

278

279 **Fig. 1**

280

281 Plots of vertical displacement (mm), velocity (cm s⁻¹) and acceleration (m s⁻²) and horizontal
282 velocity (cm s⁻¹) of the palmar hoof marker, together with their corresponding events (vertical lines)
283 and the position of foot strike, determined using the contact mat for one jumping trial (dashed
284 vertical line)

285

286 **Fig. 2**

287

288 The mean difference in time (s) to foot strike determined by the mat and time (s) to events detected
289 using the kinematic data for all the successful trials. Abbreviations: Vvmin, vertical velocity minima;
290 Mat, contact mat 'on'; Vamax, vertical acceleration maxima; Vdisp, vertical displacement; Vfreq,
291 highest maximum vertical speed distribution; Hfreq, highest maximum horizontal speed distribution;
292 Hvzero, point where the horizontal velocity first crosses 0

293

294 **Fig. 3**

295

296 Corresponding frames for one jumping trial to the events depicted in Fig. 2; (a) vertical velocity
297 minima, (b) vertical acceleration maxima, (c) contact mat 'on', (d) change in vertical displacement
298 rate, (e) highest maximum vertical speed distribution and highest maximum horizontal speed
299 distribution and (f) point where the horizontal velocity first crosses 0

300

301 **Discussion**

302

303 A bespoke contact mat was designed to determine foot strike, validated using a force platform and
304 tested during jump landing. For both loading rate and surface depth studies, the mean delay
305 between a force platform onset of 20 N and the contact mat was consistent, despite differences in
306 load, loading rate and depth. The mat was then tested in the field during jump landing, and was
307 found to consistently record foot strike after the vertical velocity minima and acceleration maxima,
308 but before the vertical displacement event. All these events were found earlier in the landing phase
309 than the horizontal velocity and speed distribution events.

310

311 The laboratory-based studies were designed to test the consistency of the mat under different
312 loading and surface conditions, as variability in the surface depth and foot strike kinetics were

313 expected to vary between horses, surfaces and trials in the field-based studies. Instantaneous load
314 and loading rate were recorded to assess the variability in load and loading rate at the point at which
315 the contact mat switched 'on'. Peak vertical loads and loading rates were found in the region of 5
316 and 500 kN s⁻¹ for the step-down activity. Vertical ground reaction force magnitudes have been
317 reported in the leading limb to range from approximately 1.5 to 9.0 kN²⁰, which are of a similar
318 order of magnitude. However, comparison of instantaneous load and loading rate is not possible as
319 the stance phase onset chosen for this study was 1000 N. Detailed force-time curves at the initial
320 foot contact have been published at trot ^{6,21}, which show a low loading rate initially that increases
321 in the first 10 ms following foot contact to approximately 1000 N, producing an approximate loading
322 rate of 100 kN s⁻¹. This value is also comparable to the loading rates found in our study, so it was
323 considered that the laboratory-based studies were a sufficiently robust validation for the mat.

324

325 The depth below the arena surface chosen to test the mat was determined by the composition of
326 the arenas. The top layer of the two surfaces was composed of a mixture of silica sand, synthetic
327 fibres, rubber chips and wax. Below this, at a depth of 2 cm was a harder substrate surface made up
328 of silica sand, polypropylene and rubber fibres. For the field test, the mat was laid on the substrate,
329 and then the top 2 cm of the surface were replaced and levelled. However, it was felt that some of
330 the material may be displaced during contact with the surface, so a comparison between depths was
331 considered important. The delay from the contact mat was found to occur slightly earlier for the 1
332 cm depth compared with the force platform onset, which resulted in a lower vertical force
333 magnitude but with a similar loading rate. Although no significant differences were found, the
334 reduction in delay suggests that less time was required for the 1 cm depth of the surface above the
335 mat to deform, resulting in an earlier contact of the electrodes, as there was less material to deform.
336 For this study, relationships were found between delay and all loading variables, which may relate to
337 the increased number of observations for each variable used in the analysis. In addition, a higher
338 force and loading rate were expected from a longer delay.

339

340 Comparison of kinematic data with the contact mat during jump landing suggests that the foot strike
341 determined from the mat occurs close to the vertical acceleration maxima. If the delay between the
342 mat and the force platform onset is taken into account, then the event would occur between the
343 vertical velocity minima and acceleration maxima. For kinematic studies where the onset of the
344 stance phase is defined from a higher force value, speed distribution analysis and horizontal velocity
345 may better define these events. However, for kinematic studies requiring data from the initial
346 contact, the mat or kinematic data from the vertically derived curves may be more appropriate.

347

348 Studies of equine locomotion often present real challenges when attempting to replicate true field-
349 based conditions. The contact mat helped to determine foot strike without altering the properties of
350 the substrate during jump landing, but it created a new substrate layer which undoubtedly
351 influenced the overall surface properties. The surface composition helped to hold the 2 cm top
352 surface in place over the mat, but the coefficient of friction between the mat and the top surface
353 and between the mat and the substrate was inevitably reduced. Surfaces with a lower coefficient of
354 friction are known to allow the hoof to slide further, which increases hoof deceleration time and
355 distance ²². In this case, the lower coefficient of friction between the top surface and the mat could
356 have caused a shearing effect between these layers. For horses that land with a higher horizontal

357 braking force, which have been identified as poorer jumpers²³, this is more likely to be evident. A
358 rougher covering attached to the outer surface of the mat to match the coefficient of friction
359 between the substrate and top surface may improve the mat design for this type of application.

360

361 Several mats of identical design were constructed and tested prior to carrying out the studies, to
362 ensure that repeatable results were produced. Performance was only found to deteriorate during a
363 study if the electrode surfaces became badly deformed. This occurred during the loading rate test
364 (stepping down) with a participant of larger mass that landed with high braking forces on the toes,
365 which produced higher pressure spots and greater shearing forces. This also occurred during jump
366 landing when contact was made at the edge of the mat. In both cases the mat was replaced, but
367 would have continued to function successfully if only elastic deformation had occurred. Reliability
368 deteriorated under three conditions: following plastic deformation of the foam (as elastic recoil no
369 longer occurred), when landing on the edge of the mat or when internal tearing of the foil making up
370 the electrodes occurred.

371

372 **Conclusion**

373

374 A bespoke contact mat designed using cost-effective methods and materials was successfully used to
375 estimate foot strike during jump landing on an arena surface. Further work is needed to enhance the
376 design, but initial results indicate that the contact mat may provide an effective method of
377 determining foot strike for a number of field-based applications.

378

379 **References**

380

381 1. 1 JJ Thomason and ML Peterson (2008). Development of a method to identify foot strike on an
382 arena surface: application to jump landing. *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Equine Practice*
383 24(1): 53-77.

384

385 2. 2 RF Reiser, ML Peterson, CW McIlwraith and B Woodward (2000). Development of a method to
386 identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Sports Engineering* 3: 1-11.

387

388 3. 3 N Crevier-Denoix, P Pourcelot, B Ravary, D Robin, S Falala, S Uzel (2009). Development of a
389 method to identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Equine Veterinary*
390 *Journal* 41: 257-261.

391

392 4. 4 D Robin, H Chateau, L Pacquet, S Falala, J.-P. Vallette, P Pourcelot (2009). Development of a
393 method to identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Equine Veterinary*
394 *Journal* 41(3): 253-256.

395

396 5. 5 E Barrey, B Landjerit and R Wolter (1991). Development of a method to identify foot strike on an
397 arena surface: application to jump landing. *Equine Exercise Physiology* 3: 97-106.

398

399 6. 6 P Gustas, C Johnston and S Drevemo (2006). Development of a method to identify foot strike on
400 an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Equine and Comparative Exercise Physiology* 3: 209-
401 216.

402

403 7. 7 JF Burn and SJ Usmar (2005). Development of a method to identify foot strike on an arena
404 surface: application to jump landing. *Equine and Comparative Exercise Physiology* 2(1): 37-41.

405

406 8. 8 H Chateau, D Robin, S Falala, P Pourcelot, J.-P. Valette, B Ravary (2009). Development of a
407 method to identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Equine Veterinary*
408 *Journal* 41(3): 247-251.

409

410 9. 9 HW Merkens, HC Schmhhardt and GJVM Van Osche (1993). Development of a method to identify
411 foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Equine Veterinary Journal* 25: 134-137.

412

413 10. 10 CH Pardoe, MP McGuigan and AM Wilson (2001). Development of a method to identify foot
414 strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Equine Veterinary Journal Supplement* 33:
415 70-71.

416

417 11. 11 AM Wilson and CH Pardoe (2001). Development of a method to identify foot strike on an
418 arena surface: application to jump landing. *Equine Veterinary Journal Supplement* 33: 67-69.

419

420 12. 12 HC Schamhardt and HW Merkens (1994). Development of a method to identify foot strike on
421 an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Equine Veterinary Journal Supplement* 17: 75-79.

422

423 13. 13 C Peham, M Scheidl and T Licka (1999). Development of a method to identify foot strike on an
424 arena surface: application to jump landing. *Journal of Biomechanics* 32: 1119-1124.

425

426 14. 14 T Ramon, M Prades, L Armengou, JL Lanovaz, DR Mullineaux and HM Clayton (2004).
427 Development of a method to identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing.
428 *Equine Veterinary Journal* 36(8): 764-768.

429

430 15. 15 SL Hole, HM Clayton and JL Lanovaz (2002). Development of a method to identify foot strike
431 on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 75: 317-323.
432

433 16. 16 PR van Weeren, AJ van den Bogert, W Bruin and A Barneweld (1993). Development of a
434 method to identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Acta Anatomica* 124:
435 154-161.
436

437 17. 17 MF Bobbert, CB Gomez Alvarez, PR van Weeren, L Roepstorff and MA Weishaupt (2007).
438 Development of a method to identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing.
439 *Journal of Experimental Biology* 210: 1885-1896.
440

441 18. 18 SJ Hobbs, C Brigden, A Northrop and J Richards (2006). Fetlock landing kinematics on two
442 different arena surfaces. *Proceedings of 7th International Conference on Equine Exercise Physiology*,
443 Fontainebleau, France, 26-31 August, pp. 120.
444

445 19. 19 J Mickleborough, ML van der Linden, J Richards and AR Ennos (2000). Development of a
446 method to identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Gait and Posture* 11:
447 32-37.
448

449 20. 20 LS Meershoek, L Roepstorff, HC Schamhardt, C Johnston and MF Bobbert (2001).
450 Development of a method to identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing.
451 *Equine Veterinary Journal* 33(4): 410-415.
452

453 21. 21 P Gustas, C Johnston, L Roepstorff, S Drevemo and H Lanshammar (2004). Development of a
454 method to identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Equine Veterinary*
455 *Journal* 36(8): 737-742.
456

457 22. 22 HL McClinchey, JJ Thomason and RJ Runciman (2004). Development of a method to identify
458 foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Biosystems Engineering* 89(4): 485-494.
459

460 23. 23 P Powers and A Harrison (2002). Development of a method to identify foot strike on an arena
461 surface: application to jump landing. *Sports Biomechanics* 1(2): 135-147.
462

463 AuthorAffiliation
464 1
465

466 Centre for Applied Sport and Exercise Sciences, University of Central Lancashire, Preston PR1 2HE,
467 UK[dagger]
468
469 2
470
471 Myerscough College, Bilsborrow, UK