

1 **Final draft:**

2

3 **Development of a method to identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing**

4 Hobbs, SJ; Orlande, O; Edmundson, CJ; Northrop, AJ; Martin, JH.

5

6 **Abstract**

7 Foot strike can be difficult to determine using kinematics alone, particularly when studying equine  
8 activities on more compliant surfaces, so this study was done with the aim of developing and  
9 validating a method to determine foot strike on an arena surface that can be used in conjunction  
10 with kinematics alone, and of applying the method in the context of measuring foot strike during  
11 jump landing on an arena surface. A low-cost contact mat was developed. The timing of the contact  
12 mat switching 'on' was compared to the timing of a force platform onset of 20 N, load and loading  
13 rate at foot strike. Two groups of 25 participants were used in two separate studies to validate the  
14 contact mat: the first measured the difference in timing with respect to two different activities  
15 (running and stepping down from a box), and the second measured the difference in timing with  
16 respect to 1- and 2-cm depths of an arena surface during running. In a third study, the mat was used  
17 to measure leading limb foot strike of six horses during jump landing, and these data were compared  
18 to kinematics from a palmar marker on the hoof wall. All data were recorded at 500 Hz. A consistent  
19 difference in delay was found between the mat and force platform onset, and as a result, no  
20 significant differences ( $P>0.05$ ) in timing delay between different loading rates or depths were  
21 found. During jump landing, foot strike (determined from the mat) occurred after the vertical  
22 velocity minima and the acceleration maxima for the hoof marker, but it occurred before the point  
23 where the rate of vertical displacement began to reduce. In conclusion, further work is needed to  
24 enhance these techniques, but these preliminary results indicate that this method may be effective  
25 in determining foot strike for field-based applications. [PUBLICATION ABSTRACT]

26

27 **Introduction**

28

29 The study of the interaction between horses and surfaces during different activities is essential to  
30 understand the sport-specific risks associated with the material properties of the surface. Recent  
31 research in the equine industry has been focused on the hoof surface interaction and how different  
32 types of surfaces can affect aspects of equine locomotion 1. Material characteristics of surfaces can  
33 have a profound effect on the limb loading rates<sup>2-4</sup>, shock and vibration characteristics<sup>4-6</sup>, tendon  
34 loads<sup>3</sup>, hoof landing velocity<sup>7</sup>, hoof deceleration and braking forces<sup>4,6,8</sup>. From these results,  
35 surface-induced changes have been implicated in relation to the incidence of musculoskeletal  
36 injuries, although the specific demands on the horse will also influence the level of risk.

37

38 One such demand relates to leading limb hoof slide during jump landing, as mechanical stress has  
39 been reported to increase with increases in horizontal shockwaves and vibration through the distal  
40 limb<sup>4-6,8</sup>. Hoof slide has been measured using kinematics and force platforms<sup>9-11</sup>, with force  
41 platforms considered to be the 'gold standard' when detecting the initial hoof contact<sup>12</sup>. As force

42 platforms are often embedded into a concrete runway and covered by rubber matting, the majority  
43 of studies that have reported hoof slide from force platforms are restricted by the number of  
44 different surfaces that can be investigated and by the types of activities that can be performed upon  
45 them 12-14. In addition, the stiffness characteristics of the force plate will alter the overall hardness  
46 characteristics of a surface.

47

48 Jumping mechanics have mainly been studied using kinematic analyses<sup>15</sup>, but difficulties in  
49 determining foot strike and hoof slide from kinematic data remain. Accurate knowledge of the  
50 timing of the initial ground contact is necessary to determine hoof slide, which is difficult to pinpoint  
51 accurately from kinematic analysis alone <sup>16</sup>. The use of fetlock angle to detect limb impact from  
52 kinematics was investigated<sup>12</sup>, and it was reported that the angle of the fetlock joint does not show  
53 a recognisable peak that can be used as an indicator for ground contact. Another study<sup>13</sup> developed  
54 a kinematic method based on speed distribution analysis to detect the stance phase of horses  
55 walking and trotting on a treadmill and over ground and human walking, and found results  
56 comparable to those obtained for a force platform. For a study investigating the surface effects from  
57 kinematic data at trot, the start of the stance phase was determined when the base of the hoof first  
58 went below the level of the track surface <sup>7</sup>. Horizontal velocity of a hoof marker has also been used  
59 to determine foot contact during walking and trotting on a treadmill<sup>17</sup>, and vertical displacement  
60 and velocity of a marker positioned on the first phalanx (PI) were previously used to determine foot  
61 contact during jump landing on an arena surface<sup>18</sup>. Similar methods have also been reported to  
62 define human gait events<sup>19</sup>.

63

64 For field-based kinematic studies on more compliant surfaces, detection of foot contact is still  
65 somewhat problematic, and therefore the overall aims of this study were (1) to develop and validate  
66 a simple device capable of determining foot strike on an arena surface using a force platform; and  
67 (2) to apply the method in the context of measuring foot strike during jump landing on an arena  
68 surface, and compare the results with kinematic results.

69

70 Two separate studies were designed to validate the device developed to determine foot strike on an  
71 arena surface against a 'gold standard' (force platform) under laboratory conditions. For both the  
72 studies, the time the device switched 'on' was compared with the timing of force platform onset,  
73 and to the applied load and loading rate at the time the device switched 'on'. The first study aimed  
74 to explore the difference in timing with respect to load and loading rate by using two different  
75 activities that are known to produce different loading rates at foot strike. The second study aimed to  
76 explore the difference in timing with respect to a difference in depth of an arena surface.  
77 Consistency in timing from the device (that is, no significant differences in timing between activities  
78 or depths) was required if precision in foot strike determination on an arena surface was to be  
79 achieved. A field-based study was then used to test the device in the context of measuring foot  
80 strike during jump landing on an arena surface.

81

82 **Ethical approval**

83 Ethical approval was obtained for this project from the School of Psychology Ethics Committee,  
84 University of Central Lancashire (UCLan), the Animal Projects Committee, UCLan and Myerscough  
85 College Ethics Committee.

86

## 87 **Methods**

88

### 89 **Loading rate study**

90

### 91 **Participants**

92

93 Fifteen healthy participants having a height of  $1.78 \pm 0.1$  m and weight of  $75.4 \pm 15.5$  kg (mean  $\pm$  SD)  
94 were used in the study.

95

### 96 **Equipment**

97

98 Eight infrared cameras (Qualysis Medical AB, Goteburg, Sweden) were positioned around a force  
99 platform (Kistler Instruments Ltd, Alton, UK; Model 9281CA) and calibrated. The onset threshold of  
100 the force platform was set to 20 N. A large groundsheet was placed over the force platform and  
101 surrounding area and secured [12 mm of a medium-density fibreboard was bolted to the force  
102 platform, which was then covered with 6.5 mm sports flooring (Altro Mondosport HP20, Altro Ltd,  
103 Letchworth Garden City, UK)]. A bespoke contact mat (the device developed) was then positioned on  
104 the groundsheet over the force platform. The mat consisted of two layers of 600 x 400 mm  
105 aluminium foil glued to Fablon sticky-back plastic (to produce two electrodes), and separated by  
106 high-density 3 mm laminate floor underlay with 30 x 30 mm 2 cut-outs. A commercial high-strength,  
107 fabric-backed 50 mm-width tape was then wrapped around the two electrodes to form the mat. To  
108 each sheet of the aluminium foil a single-core 1 mm wire was wired in series to an adjustable output  
109 AC/DC convertor (Farnell Instruments Ltd, Leeds, UK) set at 13 V DC and 13 V 250 mA DC infrared  
110 emitter with 20° viewing angle (Honeywell Sensing and Control, North Shields, UK) in a 'normally  
111 open' circuit design. When pressure was applied to the mat the two electrodes contacted, which  
112 completed the circuit and illuminated the infrared emitter, indicating that the mat had switched 'on'.  
113 Due to the elasticity of the foam, automatic recoil occurred once the pressure was released, and the  
114 electrodes separated, thus breaking the circuit and consequently the light was extinguished.

115

116 Once in place, a retaining rubber matting was arranged around the area of the force plate and  
117 contact mat, coarse sand was then used to fill the internal volume and arena surface was used to  
118 cover the contact mat to a depth of 2 cm. The offset of the centre of pressure was then adjusted to  
119 include the total floor surface to force platform centre, a distance of 65 mm. A 360 mm-high  
120 platform was positioned to the side of the force platform during step-down trials, and was moved  
121 away from the path of participants during running trials.

122

123 **Procedure**

124

125 The height and weight of each participant was recorded, retroreflective markers were placed on the  
126 heel of each shoe for reference and then each participant completed a suitable warm-up.  
127 Participants completed three successful running trials at their preferred speed and three step-down  
128 trials from the platform. A successful trial was defined by a strike of either foot on the contact mat.  
129 Data were discounted when only the edge of the mat was contacted (which was determined from a  
130 three-dimensional reconstruction in the laboratory) or when the mat became badly deformed.  
131 Kinematic data, contact mat data and force data were all recorded at 500 Hz. The frame difference  
132 between force platform onset and the contact mat switching 'on', the force recorded when the mat  
133 switched 'on' and one frame prior to switching 'on' were extracted from Qualisys Track Manager  
134 (Qualisys Medical AB, Goteburg, Sweden), and were tabulated in Excel (Microsoft Corp., Redmond,  
135 WA, USA).

136

137 **Data analysis**

138

139 For each trial, the time delay (ms) between the onset of the force platform and the contact mat  
140 switching 'on' was calculated. Instantaneous loading rate was then calculated using the difference  
141 between the load when the mat switched 'on' and the load recorded for the frame before the mat  
142 switched 'on' divided by time. The mean, standard deviation (SD), variance and confidence intervals  
143 for each trial for the delay in timing between the force platform onset and the mat switching 'on'  
144 were calculated. The consistency of the mat was evaluated using a repeated measures general linear  
145 model to test for significant differences (  $P < 0.05$ ) between the two activities and the three trials for  
146 delay, vertical force, anterior-posterior force and loading rate and their interactions. Relationships  
147 between delay and vertical force, delay and anterior-posterior force, and delay and instantaneous  
148 loading rate were evaluated for the two activities using Pearson's correlations with significance set  
149 at  $P < 0.05$ . All statistical analyses were carried out in SPSS (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

150

151 **Surface depth study**

152

153 **Participants**

154

155 Twenty-five healthy participants (a sample different from that of the activity study) having a height  
156 of  $1.75 \pm 0.07$  m and weight of  $72.6 \pm 11.7$  kg (mean  $\pm$  SD) were used in the study.

157

158 **Equipment**

159

160 Equipment was arranged as described previously, but on this occasion, an arena surface was used to  
161 fill the internal volume and to cover the contact mat. Two depths were used to cover the contact  
162 mat, 1 and 2 cm. In order to maintain consistency of depth, the difference in mass (1.6 kg) of the  
163 surface removed was recorded and checked following each removal to the 1 cm depth.

164

## 165 **Procedure**

166

167 The height and weight of each participant was recorded, retroreflective markers were placed on the  
168 heel of each shoe for reference and then the participant completed a suitable warm-up. Participants  
169 completed three successful running trials (as defined previously) at their preferred speed at the 1 cm  
170 depth, and three successful running trials at their preferred speed at the 2 cm depth. Depths were  
171 alternated between participants. Kinematic data, contact mat data and force data were all recorded  
172 at 500 Hz. Data were extracted as described previously.

173

## 174 **Data analysis**

175

176 Data analysis was carried out as described previously, but for this study, consistency in delay  
177 between the two depths of the surface and relationships between delay and force and  
178 instantaneous loading rate for depth of surface were evaluated, with significance set at  $P < 0.05$ .

179

## 180 **Field-based study**

181

### 182 **Participants**

183

184 Six shod and clinically sound riding horses ( $162 \pm 5$  cm and  $499 \pm 25$  kg) were used for this study. All  
185 horses were used for jumping lessons on average 4 h per week, and were capable of jumping  $>1$  m.  
186 The horses were ridden by an experienced rider (international-level showjumper).

187

### 188 **Equipment**

189

190 The study was conducted in two indoor arenas with artificial surfaces of sand, rubber, fibre and wax  
191 composition. A two-striding double was set up along the long side of each arena, which was jumped  
192 from left to right and consisted of a cross-pole followed by a 1 m vertical. A high-speed camera  
193 (Redlake, Integrated Design Tools Inc., Tallahassee, FL, USA; Model M1) was positioned  
194 perpendicular to the landing side of the second element, and was calibrated using a 50 x 50 x 50 cm  
195 3 cube placed parallel to the direction of motion of the horse and in the centre of the leading limb  
196 landing area. A 3 m jump pole was placed perpendicular to the furthest jump wing of the second  
197 element to act as a horizontal reference in the field of view of the camera. On this occasion, a 6 V

198 bicycle LED front light (Hugo Brennenstuhl GMBH & Co., Tübingen, Germany) was connected to the  
199 contact mat and positioned on a tripod in the right-hand corner of the field of view of the camera.

200

## 201 **Procedure**

202

203 Self-adhesive circular markers were attached to the proximal third metacarpal bone, the centre of  
204 rotation of the metacarpophalangeal joint and the distal first PI of the right forelimb. In addition,  
205 two horizontal, spherical markers were attached to a polymer frame that was secured to the lateral  
206 side of the shoe of the right forelimb in a horizontal orientation (dorsal and palmar hoof markers).  
207 Five jumping trials of right lead landing were recorded before the contact mat was placed under the  
208 surface, to measure hoof slip for another study. The contact mat was then placed according to the  
209 right lead hoof print of the horse at a depth of 2 cm. When the right forelimb made contact with the  
210 mat, it switched the torch 'on' and the light was recorded together with the kinematics. One  
211 successful jumping trial was recorded where the right forelimb landed on the embedded contact  
212 mat. The jump landings were recorded at 500 Hz and later digitized in Hu-m-an (HMA Technology  
213 Inc., King City, ON, Canada) from the latter part of the flight phase to mid-stance phase. Vertical and  
214 horizontal displacement of PI and the two hoof markers was calculated and smoothed with a  
215 second-order Butterworth filter with a 25 Hz cut-off frequency. Vertical displacement, velocity and  
216 acceleration and horizontal velocity were then derived, and the frame when the light switched 'on'  
217 was also recorded. These data were then exported to Excel (Microsoft Corp.).

218

## 219 **Data analysis**

220

221 To evaluate foot strike events, timing of the contact mat light 'on' was compared with the timing of  
222 the first vertical velocity minimum and vertical acceleration maximum found at the end of the flight  
223 phase of the leading limb, the highest maximum of speed distribution using both vertical and  
224 horizontal velocity frequencies 13, the first point where the horizontal velocity crossed 0 at the end  
225 of the flight phase and the point where the rate of vertical displacement began to reduce. Mean and  
226 standard deviation of these data was plotted and compared in Excel (Microsoft Corp.).

227

## 228 **Results**

229

### 230 **Loading rate study**

231

232 Table 1 shows the mean, SD, variance and confidence intervals for delay, forces and instantaneous  
233 loading rate for each trial for the two activities. No significant differences ( $F(15) = 0.29$ ,  $P = 0.866$ ) in  
234 delay between the force platform and the contact mat for running and stepping down were found.  
235 This was despite significant differences between activity for vertical force ( $F(15) = 19.93$ ,  $P = 0.001$ )  
236 and instantaneous loading rate ( $F(15) = 27.302$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) being measured by the force platform. No  
237 significant relationships were found for this study.

238

239 Table 1

240

241 Mean, standard deviation (SD), confidence intervals and variance of the delay between the force  
242 platform onset and the contact mat switching 'on' (ms)

243

244 Mean and SD of load in the vertical (V) and anterior-posterior (A-P) directions (N) at the frame where  
245 the mat switched 'on' and mean instantaneous loading rate (LR) (kN s<sup>-1</sup>) for the loading rate test  
246 results. n, total number of observations. \* Significant difference (P < 0.05) between run and step-  
247 down activities.

248

#### 249 **Surface depth study**

250

251 Table 2 shows the mean, SD, variance and confidence intervals for delay, forces and instantaneous  
252 loading rate for each trial for the two depths. No significant differences (F(25) = 1.922, P = 0.178) in  
253 delay between the force platform and the contact mat for the 1 and 2 cm depths were found. In  
254 addition, no significant differences (P < 0.05) between depths were found for vertical force, anterior-  
255 posterior force or instantaneous loading rate (see Table 2). Significant relationships were found for  
256 delay and vertical force (r = 0.505, P = 0.010 and r = .439, P = .028) for the 2 and 1 cm depths,  
257 respectively, for delay and anterior-posterior force for the 1 cm depth (r = 0.635, P = 0.001) and for  
258 delay and instantaneous loading rate for the 2 cm depth (r = 0.424, P = 0.034).

259

#### 260 **Table 2**

261

262 Mean, standard deviation (SD), confidence intervals and variance of the delay between the force  
263 platform onset and the contact mat switching 'on' (ms)

264

265 Mean and SD of load in the vertical (V) and anterior-posterior (A-P) directions (N) at the frame where  
266 the mat switched 'on' and instantaneous loading rate (LR) (kN s<sup>-1</sup>) for the surface depth test results.  
267 n, total number of observations.

268

#### 269 **Field-based study**

270

271 Two trials were not recorded: one horse pulled off a shoe and one horse was considered fatigued  
272 prior to data collection from the mat. Plots of vertical displacement, velocity and acceleration and  
273 horizontal velocity of the palmar hoof marker, together with their corresponding events, are shown  
274 in Fig. 1, together with the position of foot strike determined using the contact mat. The mean

275 difference in time to foot strike determined by the mat and time to events detected using the  
276 kinematic data for all the successful trials are shown in Fig. 2. Corresponding frames from the video  
277 data are shown in Fig. 3.

278

### 279 **Fig. 1**

280

281 Plots of vertical displacement (mm), velocity (cm s<sup>-1</sup>) and acceleration (m s<sup>-2</sup>) and horizontal  
282 velocity (cm s<sup>-1</sup>) of the palmar hoof marker, together with their corresponding events (vertical lines)  
283 and the position of foot strike, determined using the contact mat for one jumping trial (dashed  
284 vertical line)

285

### 286 **Fig. 2**

287

288 The mean difference in time (s) to foot strike determined by the mat and time (s) to events detected  
289 using the kinematic data for all the successful trials. Abbreviations: Vvmin, vertical velocity minima;  
290 Mat, contact mat 'on'; Vamax, vertical acceleration maxima; Vdisp, vertical displacement; Vfreq,  
291 highest maximum vertical speed distribution; Hfreq, highest maximum horizontal speed distribution;  
292 Hvzero, point where the horizontal velocity first crosses 0

293

### 294 **Fig. 3**

295

296 Corresponding frames for one jumping trial to the events depicted in Fig. 2; (a) vertical velocity  
297 minima, (b) vertical acceleration maxima, (c) contact mat 'on', (d) change in vertical displacement  
298 rate, (e) highest maximum vertical speed distribution and highest maximum horizontal speed  
299 distribution and (f) point where the horizontal velocity first crosses 0

300

### 301 **Discussion**

302

303 A bespoke contact mat was designed to determine foot strike, validated using a force platform and  
304 tested during jump landing. For both loading rate and surface depth studies, the mean delay  
305 between a force platform onset of 20 N and the contact mat was consistent, despite differences in  
306 load, loading rate and depth. The mat was then tested in the field during jump landing, and was  
307 found to consistently record foot strike after the vertical velocity minima and acceleration maxima,  
308 but before the vertical displacement event. All these events were found earlier in the landing phase  
309 than the horizontal velocity and speed distribution events.

310

311 The laboratory-based studies were designed to test the consistency of the mat under different  
312 loading and surface conditions, as variability in the surface depth and foot strike kinetics were

313 expected to vary between horses, surfaces and trials in the field-based studies. Instantaneous load  
314 and loading rate were recorded to assess the variability in load and loading rate at the point at which  
315 the contact mat switched 'on'. Peak vertical loads and loading rates were found in the region of 5  
316 and 500 kN s<sup>-1</sup> for the step-down activity. Vertical ground reaction force magnitudes have been  
317 reported in the leading limb to range from approximately 1.5 to 9.0 kN<sup>20</sup>, which are of a similar  
318 order of magnitude. However, comparison of instantaneous load and loading rate is not possible as  
319 the stance phase onset chosen for this study was 1000 N. Detailed force-time curves at the initial  
320 foot contact have been published at trot 6,21, which show a low loading rate initially that increases  
321 in the first 10 ms following foot contact to approximately 1000 N, producing an approximate loading  
322 rate of 100 kN s<sup>-1</sup>. This value is also comparable to the loading rates found in our study, so it was  
323 considered that the laboratory-based studies were a sufficiently robust validation for the mat.

324

325 The depth below the arena surface chosen to test the mat was determined by the composition of  
326 the arenas. The top layer of the two surfaces was composed of a mixture of silica sand, synthetic  
327 fibres, rubber chips and wax. Below this, at a depth of 2 cm was a harder substrate surface made up  
328 of silica sand, polypropylene and rubber fibres. For the field test, the mat was laid on the substrate,  
329 and then the top 2 cm of the surface were replaced and levelled. However, it was felt that some of  
330 the material may be displaced during contact with the surface, so a comparison between depths was  
331 considered important. The delay from the contact mat was found to occur slightly earlier for the 1  
332 cm depth compared with the force platform onset, which resulted in a lower vertical force  
333 magnitude but with a similar loading rate. Although no significant differences were found, the  
334 reduction in delay suggests that less time was required for the 1 cm depth of the surface above the  
335 mat to deform, resulting in an earlier contact of the electrodes, as there was less material to deform.  
336 For this study, relationships were found between delay and all loading variables, which may relate to  
337 the increased number of observations for each variable used in the analysis. In addition, a higher  
338 force and loading rate were expected from a longer delay.

339

340 Comparison of kinematic data with the contact mat during jump landing suggests that the foot strike  
341 determined from the mat occurs close to the vertical acceleration maxima. If the delay between the  
342 mat and the force platform onset is taken into account, then the event would occur between the  
343 vertical velocity minima and acceleration maxima. For kinematic studies where the onset of the  
344 stance phase is defined from a higher force value, speed distribution analysis and horizontal velocity  
345 may better define these events. However, for kinematic studies requiring data from the initial  
346 contact, the mat or kinematic data from the vertically derived curves may be more appropriate.

347

348 Studies of equine locomotion often present real challenges when attempting to replicate true field-  
349 based conditions. The contact mat helped to determine foot strike without altering the properties of  
350 the substrate during jump landing, but it created a new substrate layer which undoubtedly  
351 influenced the overall surface properties. The surface composition helped to hold the 2 cm top  
352 surface in place over the mat, but the coefficient of friction between the mat and the top surface  
353 and between the mat and the substrate was inevitably reduced. Surfaces with a lower coefficient of  
354 friction are known to allow the hoof to slide further, which increases hoof deceleration time and  
355 distance 22. In this case, the lower coefficient of friction between the top surface and the mat could  
356 have caused a shearing effect between these layers. For horses that land with a higher horizontal

357 braking force, which have been identified as poorer jumpers<sup>23</sup>, this is more likely to be evident. A  
358 rougher covering attached to the outer surface of the mat to match the coefficient of friction  
359 between the substrate and top surface may improve the mat design for this type of application.

360

361 Several mats of identical design were constructed and tested prior to carrying out the studies, to  
362 ensure that repeatable results were produced. Performance was only found to deteriorate during a  
363 study if the electrode surfaces became badly deformed. This occurred during the loading rate test  
364 (stepping down) with a participant of larger mass that landed with high braking forces on the toes,  
365 which produced higher pressure spots and greater shearing forces. This also occurred during jump  
366 landing when contact was made at the edge of the mat. In both cases the mat was replaced, but  
367 would have continued to function successfully if only elastic deformation had occurred. Reliability  
368 deteriorated under three conditions: following plastic deformation of the foam (as elastic recoil no  
369 longer occurred), when landing on the edge of the mat or when internal tearing of the foil making up  
370 the electrodes occurred.

371

## 372 **Conclusion**

373

374 A bespoke contact mat designed using cost-effective methods and materials was successfully used to  
375 estimate foot strike during jump landing on an arena surface. Further work is needed to enhance the  
376 design, but initial results indicate that the contact mat may provide an effective method of  
377 determining foot strike for a number of field-based applications.

378

## 379 **References**

380

381 1. 1 JJ Thomason and ML Peterson (2008). Development of a method to identify foot strike on an  
382 arena surface: application to jump landing. *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Equine Practice*  
383 24(1): 53-77.

384

385 2. 2 RF Reiser, ML Peterson, CW McIlwraith and B Woodward (2000). Development of a method to  
386 identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Sports Engineering* 3: 1-11.

387

388 3. 3 N Crevier-Denoix, P Pourcelot, B Ravary, D Robin, S Falala, S Uzel (2009). Development of a  
389 method to identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Equine Veterinary*  
390 *Journal* 41: 257-261.

391

392 4. 4 D Robin, H Chateau, L Pacquet, S Falala, J.-P. Vallette, P Pourcelot (2009). Development of a  
393 method to identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Equine Veterinary*  
394 *Journal* 41(3): 253-256.

395

396 5. 5 E Barrey, B Landjerit and R Wolter (1991). Development of a method to identify foot strike on an  
397 arena surface: application to jump landing. *Equine Exercise Physiology* 3: 97-106.

398

399 6. 6 P Gustas, C Johnston and S Drevemo (2006). Development of a method to identify foot strike on  
400 an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Equine and Comparative Exercise Physiology* 3: 209-  
401 216.

402

403 7. 7 JF Burn and SJ Usmar (2005). Development of a method to identify foot strike on an arena  
404 surface: application to jump landing. *Equine and Comparative Exercise Physiology* 2(1): 37-41.

405

406 8. 8 H Chateau, D Robin, S Falala, P Pourcelot, J.-P. Valette, B Ravary (2009). Development of a  
407 method to identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Equine Veterinary*  
408 *Journal* 41(3): 247-251.

409

410 9. 9 HW Merkens, HC Schmhhardt and GJVM Van Osche (1993). Development of a method to identify  
411 foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Equine Veterinary Journal* 25: 134-137.

412

413 10. 10 CH Pardoe, MP McGuigan and AM Wilson (2001). Development of a method to identify foot  
414 strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Equine Veterinary Journal Supplement* 33:  
415 70-71.

416

417 11. 11 AM Wilson and CH Pardoe (2001). Development of a method to identify foot strike on an  
418 arena surface: application to jump landing. *Equine Veterinary Journal Supplement* 33: 67-69.

419

420 12. 12 HC Schamhardt and HW Merkens (1994). Development of a method to identify foot strike on  
421 an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Equine Veterinary Journal Supplement* 17: 75-79.

422

423 13. 13 C Peham, M Scheidl and T Licka (1999). Development of a method to identify foot strike on an  
424 arena surface: application to jump landing. *Journal of Biomechanics* 32: 1119-1124.

425

426 14. 14 T Ramon, M Prades, L Armengou, JL Lanovaz, DR Mullineaux and HM Clayton (2004).  
427 Development of a method to identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing.  
428 *Equine Veterinary Journal* 36(8): 764-768.

429

- 430 15. 15 SL Hole, HM Clayton and JL Lanovaz (2002). Development of a method to identify foot strike  
431 on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 75: 317-323.  
432
- 433 16. 16 PR van Weeren, AJ van den Bogert, W Bruin and A Barneweld (1993). Development of a  
434 method to identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Acta Anatomica* 124:  
435 154-161.  
436
- 437 17. 17 MF Bobbert, CB Gomez Alvarez, PR van Weeren, L Roepstorff and MA Weishaupt (2007).  
438 Development of a method to identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing.  
439 *Journal of Experimental Biology* 210: 1885-1896.  
440
- 441 18. 18 SJ Hobbs, C Brigden, A Northrop and J Richards (2006). Fetlock landing kinematics on two  
442 different arena surfaces. *Proceedings of 7th International Conference on Equine Exercise Physiology*,  
443 Fontainebleau, France, 26-31 August, pp. 120.  
444
- 445 19. 19 J Mickleborough, ML van der Linden, J Richards and AR Ennos (2000). Development of a  
446 method to identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Gait and Posture* 11:  
447 32-37.  
448
- 449 20. 20 LS Meershoek, L Roepstorff, HC Schamhardt, C Johnston and MF Bobbert (2001).  
450 Development of a method to identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing.  
451 *Equine Veterinary Journal* 33(4): 410-415.  
452
- 453 21. 21 P Gustas, C Johnston, L Roepstorff, S Drevemo and H Lanshammar (2004). Development of a  
454 method to identify foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Equine Veterinary*  
455 *Journal* 36(8): 737-742.  
456
- 457 22. 22 HL McClinchey, JJ Thomason and RJ Runciman (2004). Development of a method to identify  
458 foot strike on an arena surface: application to jump landing. *Biosystems Engineering* 89(4): 485-494.  
459
- 460 23. 23 P Powers and A Harrison (2002). Development of a method to identify foot strike on an arena  
461 surface: application to jump landing. *Sports Biomechanics* 1(2): 135-147.  
462
- 463 AuthorAffiliation  
464 1  
465

466 Centre for Applied Sport and Exercise Sciences, University of Central Lancashire, Preston PR1 2HE,  
467 UK[dagger]  
468  
469 2  
470  
471 Myerscough College, Bilsborrow, UK