Lyotropic ‘hairy’ TiO₂ nanorods
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We report the synthesis of the first stable, solution-processable and photocrosslinkable hybrid organic/inorganic titanium dioxide nanorods as ‘hairy rods’ coated with phosphonate ligands with photoreactive coumarin groups located in a terminal position. The relationships between the chemical structure of the diethyl-ω-(7-oxycoumaryl)-n-alkylphosphonate ligands on the ligand exchange rate (LER) and the solubility of the resultant ligand-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods in organic solvents are elucidated. These TiO₂ nanorods, with an organic ligand coating, are short enough (aspect ratio = 5–8) to be dissolved in chlorobenzene at high concentrations, but long enough to form lyotropic nematic liquid crystals. These colloidal solutions are used to deposit a thin, uniform layer of hybrid organic/inorganic TiO₂ nanorods with their long axes in the plane of a flat, smooth substrate through a self-organization process. Standard photolithographic patterning creates an insoluble dielectric layer of the desired thickness, smoothness and uniformity and with a dielectric constant of sufficient magnitude, k = 8, suitable for the fabrication of multilayer, plastic electronic devices using solution-based fabrication techniques, such as ink-jet printing, used in roll-to-roll manufacturing.

Introduction

Plastic electronics, based on solution-processable and printable electronic devices, are entering the semiconductor market place and are developing into a significant disruptive technology. Indeed, they may not just replace current commercial electronic devices, but also open completely new markets. These plastic electronic devices, such as flat panel displays, Organic Light-Emitting Diodes (OLEDs), Organic Field Effect Transistors (OFETs), Organic Photovoltaics (OPVs), RFID tags, etc., are based on flexible, low-weight and mechanically robust substrates. Their low-cost, roll-to-roll manufacturing processes require the sequential formation of multi-layered uniform thin films of organic semiconductors, metal oxide semiconductors and/or dielectrics, conducting polymers or metallic colloids.1–4 However, the intermixing of adjacent layers deposited in sequence from solution by processes, such as inkjet printing, drop casting, spin coating, doctor blade techniques, etc., is a significant problem with respect to the successful fabrication and subsequent performance of plastic electronic devices. Orthogonal solvents can be used to deposit a series of layers,5,6 but this approach becomes problematic as the number of layers increases as this process limits the choice of materials that can be deposited in sequential steps. Alternatively, multi-layered structures have been achieved by thermally crosslinking semiconducting polymers7 or by thermal annealing to remove solubilizing groups from nanoparticles of metal oxide semiconductors. For example, thermally crosslinked layers of titanium dioxide (TiO₂) and surface-modified barium titanium dioxide (BaTiO₃) nanoparticles, dispersed in polyvinylphenol for deposition and crosslinking, have been fabricated as dielectric layers for pentacene OFETs.8 Unfortunately, high annealing temperatures are incompatible with flexible substrates and low-cost, high-throughput, roll-to-roll manufacturing processes.

Insoluble thin films can also be formed by ultraviolet irradiation of photopolymersizable materials to form insoluble polymer networks. An example of this approach involves the attachment of a photoactive moiety, such as an acrylate, methacrylate or oxetane group, via an aliphatic spacer to a small molecule organic semiconductor.9–11 A significant advantage of this approach is the capability to spatially pattern device features with sub-micron resolution using photolithographic techniques, e.g., organic semiconductors for organic light-emitting diodes and transistors.12,13 Unfortunately, the
photopolymerisation reactions proceed via free radical or ionic reactions and require photoinitiators to form a network of polymer chains. These factors can lead to the formation of an inhomogeneous layer, containing radicals or ions that disrupts the electrical performance and stability of electronic devices incorporating such polymer network films.

Solution-processable materials with a high dielectric constant, $k$, exhibit the potential to replace silicon dioxide as a gate dielectric in plastic electronic devices. Nanoscale forms of titanium dioxide ($\text{TiO}_2$) are very important in this context.\textsuperscript{10-21} The high dielectric constants of its anatase phase ($k = 31$) and rutile phase ($k = \sim 114$)\textsuperscript{22} render titanium dioxide a very promising material for application in hybrid organic/inorganic photovoltaic devices\textsuperscript{23} and non-volatile memory devices with resistive switching.\textsuperscript{24}

The surface of titanium dioxide nanoparticles, including nanorods, must be functionalized with an organic ligand or surfactant in order to overcome the strong adhesion forces between the nanoparticles in order to render them soluble in common organic solvents and, hence, processable from solution.\textsuperscript{25} The presence of organic, flexible ligands, consisting of long aliphatic chains, stabilizes the surface of inorganic nanoparticles and simultaneously inhibits their aggregation and agglomeration.\textsuperscript{26} Such ligands offer the potential for additional functionality by the incorporation of photo-dimerisable and crosslinkable, rather than photopolymerisable, groups, for example. This approach is potentially a much more sophisticated approach and offers a higher degree of spatial control and resolution than, for example, the photopolymerisation of the acrylic host of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles dispersed in an organic matrix containing a photoinitiator.\textsuperscript{27}

A range of methods have been used to prepare TiO$_2$ nanorods, such as hydrothermal and solvothermal processing, templating, electrospinning and solution-phase reactions.\textsuperscript{28-35} Ligand-stabilized TiO$_2$ nanorods have been synthesized using a range of non-hydrolytic methods.\textsuperscript{35} A one-step, low-temperature method has been used to synthesise oleic acid-capped TiO$_2$ nanorods in the anatase phase using the hydrolysis of titanium tetraisopropoxide ([Ti(OiPr)$_4$]) and oleic acid as the surfactant with tertiary amines or quaternary ammonium hydroxides as catalysts.\textsuperscript{34} Solution-processable anatase TiO$_2$ nanorods have been synthesised in a two-step, non-hydrolytic condensation reaction of TiCl$_4$ and Ti(OiPr)$_4$ in the presence of oleic acid.\textsuperscript{35} Similar non-hydrolytic condensation reactions in the presence of trioctylphosphine oxide and dodecylamine yield anatase titanium dioxide nanorods.\textsuperscript{28} Oleic acid-capped titanium dioxide nanorods have also been prepared from Ti(OiPr)$_4$ at elevated reaction temperatures.\textsuperscript{36,37} Uniform anatase TiO$_2$ nanorods were prepared using the phase transformation of a Ti(OH)$_4$ gel matrix in the presence of shape-controllers.\textsuperscript{38} Non-hydrolytic solvothermal reactions have also been used to synthesise oleic acid-capped, solution-processable TiO$_2$ nanorods.\textsuperscript{39,40} The authors are not aware of any photodimerisable and crosslinkable ligands used to prepare such solution-processable TiO$_2$ nanorods.

We report here the synthesis and processing of the first stable, solution-processable and photo-dimerisable and crosslinkable, mixed ligand-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods and their use as patterned, insoluble and intractable thin films with a high dielectric constant suitable as dielectric layers in multilayer electronic devices, such as organic field effect transistors (OFETs). New diethyl-ω-[7-oxycomayrly]-n-alkyl] phosphonate ligands with photodimerisable coumarin side chains are synthesized and undergo ligand exchange with oleic acid-capped titanium dioxide nanorods. We chose coumarin as the photoactive groups, since light irradiation of thin films of polymethacrylates with coumarin side chains leads to photodimerisation and crosslinking without any free radical or ionic side reactions.\textsuperscript{12-15,43} The lyotropic liquid crystalline properties of solutions of these TiO$_2$ nanorods, short enough to be soluble and long enough to self-assemble, should facilitate the formation of uniform thin layers with the long axes of the nanorods in the plane of the device substrate. These nanorod domains would provide a uniform flat surface for subsequent layer deposition, after being rendered insoluble by photochemical crosslinking with UV irradiation.

**Experimental**

**Synthesis of the photocrosslinkable TiO$_2$ nanorods 4a & 4b ($n = 6$) and 4c-f ($n = 11$)**

The reaction scheme for the preparation of the hybrid titanium dioxide nanorods 4a & 4b ($n = 6$) and 4c-f ($n = 11$) is shown in Scheme 1 and Fig. 1. The oleic acid-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 1 ([TiO$_2$-OA]) were prepared using hydrolysis of titanium(n) isopropoxide (TTIP) in the presence of aqueous trimethylamine N-oxide (TMAO) and oleic acid (OA) according to a modified literature procedure.\textsuperscript{33,34,42} New phosphonate ligands 3 ($n = 6$ and $n = 11$) with coumarin side chains were synthesized from the corresponding ω-bromoalkyl-substituted intermediates 2 ($n = 6$ and $n = 11$) by means of Michaelis–Arbuzov rearrangement.\textsuperscript{42,44} The ligands incorporate a phosphonate moiety to bind strongly to TiO$_2$ surfaces.\textsuperscript{45-47} The ligands 3 ($n = 6$ and $n = 11$) possess a coumarin functional group in a terminal position suitable for further photocrosslinking reactions after their attachment to the titanium dioxide nanoparticle surfaces.

**Scheme 1  Synthesis of the photoreactive diethyl-ω-[7-oxycomaryl] alkylphosphonate ligands 3 ($n = 6$ and $n = 11$).**
The resolution was 2 cm⁻¹.

Absorptions due to atmospheric water vapor and carbon dioxide.

The sample compartment was continuously purged with anhydrous air to reduce the gas temperature 300 °C and the gas flow voltage was 3.5 kV, the gas temperature 300 °C.

The concentration of titanium dioxide based on titanium was determined using an inductively coupled Perkin Elmer plasma 40 emission (ICP) instrument. The concentration of carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen was analyzed using a Fisons EA 1108 CHN apparatus.

Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were performed on a Netzsch TGA TG209 thermal balance. UV crosslinking was carried out inside a glovebox at 1 ppm O₂, −86 °C dew point using a UV curing lamp (OmniCure 2000, 250−450 nm) with power density of 1.5 W cm⁻². Impedance measurements were carried out using a Solartron SI260 with dielectric interface SI296 attached to a probe station and interfaced to a PC using Labview.

An Olympus BX51 polarizing optical microscope (POM) with a Linkam LTS 350 temperature-controlled stage were utilized to observe the images of the oleic acid-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 1 and the ligand-exchanged titanium dioxide nanorods 4d between crossed polarizers.

**Synthesis**

Oleic acid-stabilized, anatase titanium dioxide nanorods 1.

Oleic acid (420.0 g) was dried under vacuum by heating to 120 °C for 1 h and allowed to cool to 85 °C. Titanium(iv) isopropoxide (17.7 cm³, 60 mmol) was then added under stirring whereby the reaction solution changed from colorless to yellow. After completion of this addition, an aqueous solution of 2 M trimethyamine-N-oxide (60 cm³) was injected quickly into the reaction mixture, which was then heated at 100 °C for 72 h. The cooled reaction mixture solution was dried under vacuum to remove water and isopropanol (1.2 L) was added to the resultant reaction mixture. The resultant precipitate was removed by centrifugation, washed twice with aliquots of isopropanol, dissolved in toluene and then re-precipitated with acetone. The resultant precipitate was separated off by centrifugation. This purification step was repeated twice to produce a precipitate, which was dried overnight under vacuum to yield the desired oleic acid-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 1 (6.0 g) as a light yellow powder in the anatase phase. The concentration of carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen was analyzed using a Fisons EA 1108 CHN apparatus. The concentration of carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen was analyzed using a Fisons EA 1108 CHN apparatus.

**Characterization methods**

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of the synthesized materials in the form of powders were recorded on a Nicolet Magna-500 FTIR spectrometer. A Bruker IFS 66/S FTIR spectrometer equipped with a water-cooled Glower source, a potassium bromide (KBr) beam splitter and a deuterated triglycerine sulphate detector was used to measure the transmittance of the thin films on a KBr substrate at room temperature. The KBr substrate spectrum was taken under the same conditions as each sample measurement in order to eliminate any inherent artifacts. The resolution was 2 cm⁻¹ and 1000 scans were combined and averaged to produce each FTIR spectrum. The sample compartment was continuously purged with anhydrous air to reduce absorptions due to atmospheric water vapor and carbon dioxide.

1H NMR and 31P NMR spectroscopy were carried out using a JEOL Eclipse 400 MHz spectrometer. Deuterated chloroform with an internal standard of tetramethylsilane (TMS) was typically used as the solvent.

Mass spectra were obtained using an Agilent 1100 series HPLC coupled with a Bruker HCT Ultra ion trap MS/MS instrument with an electrospray ion source. The capillary voltage was 3.5 kV, the gas temperature 300 °C and the gas flow 10 L min⁻¹. A acetonitrile/water mixture was used as solvent flowing at 0.3 mL min⁻¹.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was carried out using a Jeol 2010 TEM running at 200 kV and a Gatan Ultrascan 4000 digital camera. The liquid sample was mixed in a vial, a 5 μL aliquot is placed on a hydrophilic carbon coated copper grid and allowed to air dry.

X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) analyses were performed using a SIEMENS D5000 instrument.

The concentration of the titanium and phosphorus present in the samples was determined using an inductively coupled Perkin Elmer plasma 40 emission (ICP) instrument. The concentration of carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen was analyzed using a Fisons EA 1108 CHN apparatus.

Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were performed on a Netzsch TGA TG209 thermal balance. UV crosslinking was carried out inside a glovebox at 1 ppm O₂, −86 °C dew point using a UV curing lamp (OmniCure 2000, 250−450 nm) with power density of 1.5 W cm⁻². Impedance measurements were carried out using a Solartron SI260 with dielectric interface SI296 attached to a probe station and interfaced to a PC using Labview.

An Olympus BX51 polarizing optical microscope (POM) with a Linkam LTS 350 temperature-controlled stage were utilized to observe the images of the oleic acid-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 1 and the ligand-exchanged titanium dioxide nanorods 4d between crossed polarizers.
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Oleic acid (420.0 g) was dried under vacuum by heating to 120 °C for 1 h and allowed to cool to 85 °C. Titanium(iv) isopropoxide (17.7 cm³, 60 mmol) was then added under stirring whereby the reaction solution changed from colorless to yellow. After completion of this addition, an aqueous solution of 2 M trimethyamine-N-oxide (60 cm³) was injected quickly into the reaction mixture, which was then heated at 100 °C for 72 h. The cooled reaction mixture solution was dried under vacuum to remove water and isopropanol (1.2 L) was added to the resultant reaction mixture. The resultant precipitate was removed by centrifugation, washed twice with aliquots of isopropanol, dissolved in toluene and then re-precipitated with acetone. The resultant precipitate was separated off by centrifugation. This purification step was repeated twice to produce a precipitate, which was dried overnight under vacuum to yield the desired oleic acid-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 1 (6.0 g) as a light yellow powder in the anatase phase. The concentration of carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen was analyzed using a Fisons EA 1108 CHN apparatus. The concentration of carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen was analyzed using a Fisons EA 1108 CHN apparatus.
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Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of the synthesized materials in the form of powders were recorded on a Nicolet Magna-500 FTIR spectrometer. A Bruker IFS 66/S FTIR spectrometer equipped with a water-cooled Glower source, a potassium bromide (KBr) beam splitter and a deuterated triglycerine sulphate detector was used to measure the transmittance of the thin films on a KBr substrate at room temperature. The KBr substrate spectrum was taken under the same conditions as each sample measurement in order to eliminate any inherent artifacts. The resolution was 2 cm⁻¹ and 1000 scans were combined and averaged to produce each FTIR spectrum. The sample compartment was continuously purged with anhydrous air to reduce absorptions due to atmospheric water vapor and carbon dioxide.

1H NMR and 31P NMR spectroscopy were carried out using a JEOL Eclipse 400 MHz spectrometer. Deuterated chloroform with an internal standard of tetramethylsilane (TMS) was typically used as the solvent.

Mass spectra were obtained using an Agilent 1100 series HPLC coupled with a Bruker HCT Ultra ion trap MS/MS instrument with an electrospray ion source. The capillary voltage was 3.5 kV, the gas temperature 300 °C and the gas flow 10 L min⁻¹. A acetonitrile/water mixture was used as solvent flowing at 0.3 mL min⁻¹.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was carried out using a Jeol 2010 TEM running at 200 kV and a Gatan Ultrascan 4000 digital camera. The liquid sample was mixed in a vial, a 5 μL aliquot is placed on a hydrophilic carbon coated copper grid and allowed to air dry.

X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) analyses were performed using a SIEMENS D5000 instrument.

The concentration of the titanium and phosphorus present in the samples was determined using an inductively coupled Perkin Elmer plasma 40 emission (ICP) instrument. The concentration of carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen was analyzed using a Fisons EA 1108 CHN apparatus.

Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were performed on a Netzsch TGA TG209 thermal balance. UV crosslinking was carried out inside a glovebox at 1 ppm O₂, −86 °C dew point using a UV curing lamp (OmniCure 2000, 250−450 nm) with power density of 1.5 W cm⁻². Impedance measurements were carried out using a Solartron SI260 with dielectric interface SI296 attached to a probe station and interfaced to a PC using Labview.

An Olympus BX51 polarizing optical microscope (POM) with a Linkam LTS 350 temperature-controlled stage were utilized to observe the images of the oleic acid-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 1 and the ligand-exchanged titanium dioxide nanorods 4d between crossed polarizers.
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Oleic acid (420.0 g) was dried under vacuum by heating to 120 °C for 1 h and allowed to cool to 85 °C. Titanium(iv) isopropoxide (17.7 cm³, 60 mmol) was then added under stirring whereby the reaction solution changed from colorless to yellow. After completion of this addition, an aqueous solution of 2 M trimethyamine-N-oxide (60 cm³) was injected quickly into the reaction mixture, which was then heated at 100 °C for 72 h. The cooled reaction mixture solution was dried under vacuum to remove water and isopropanol (1.2 L) was added to the resultant reaction mixture. The resultant precipitate was removed by centrifugation, washed twice with aliquots of isopropanol, dissolved in toluene and then re-precipitated with acetone. The resultant precipitate was separated off by centrifugation. This purification step was repeated twice to produce a precipitate, which was dried overnight under vacuum to yield the desired oleic acid-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 1 (6.0 g) as a light yellow powder in the anatase phase. The concentration of carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen was analyzed using a Fisons EA 1108 CHN apparatus. The concentration of carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen was analyzed using a Fisons EA 1108 CHN apparatus.
allowed to cool to room temperature, filtered to remove inorganic material and then evaporated down to give a yellow solution. The white precipitate formed from this solution on standing overnight at room temperature was filtered under vacuum, washed with hexane (120 cm$^3$), cold hexane and 1 : 1 mixture of hexane and diethyl acetate (120 cm$^3$). A white precipitate, formed from the combined washings on standing overnight at −18 °C overnight was filtered off, washed with hexane (120 cm$^3$) and a 1 : 1 mixture of hexane and diethyl acetate (120 cm$^3$) and then dried under vacuum to yield a white solid (12.5 g, 62.6%).

$^3$H NMR (CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.64 (d, 1H), 7.37 (d, 1H), 6.84 (m, 2H), 6.26 (d, 1H), 4.03 (t, 2H), 3.44 (t, 2H), 1.83–1.90 (m, 4H), 1.54–1.58 (m, 4H) ppm. IR (KBr pellet, cm$^{-1}$): 2944, 2862, 1722, 1616, 1556, 1508, 1400, 1304, 1038, 824, 642. Anal. calc’d for C$_{16}$H$_{25}$O$_2$Br (%): C, 55.40; H, 5.27. Found: C, 55.68; H, 5.50. MS (m/z): 325, 327 [M + H$^+$].

7-(11-Bromoundecyloxy)coumarin 2b. A mixture of potassium carbonate (3.3 g, 24.2 mmol), 7-hydroxycoumarin (2.6 g, 17.2 mmol) and acetonitrile (205 cm$^3$) was heated under reflux for 1.0 h. A solution of 1,11-dibromoundecane (24.9 g, 79.0 mmol) and sodium carbonate (3.3 g, 24.2 mmol), 7-hydroxycoumarin (2.6 g, 17.2 mmol) and acetonitrile (205 cm$^3$) was heated under reflux for 48 h, allowed to cool to room temperature, filtered to remove inorganic material and then evaporated down to give a yellow solution. The white precipitate formed from this solution on standing overnight at 4 °C was filtered off, washed with hexane (150 cm$^3$), dried under vacuum to yield a white solid (12.5 g, 62.6%).

$^3$H NMR (CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.63 (d, 1H), 7.35 (d, 1H), 6.82 (m, 2H), 6.24 (d, 1H), 4.01 (t, 2H), 3.41 (t, 2H), 1.77–1.89 (m, 4H), 1.30–1.57 (m, 14H) ppm. IR (KBr pellet, cm$^{-1}$): 2921, 2850, 1732, 1618, 1553, 1512, 1400, 1304, 1038, 824, 640. Anal. calc’d for C$_{19}$H$_{27}$O$_6$P (%): C, 59.68; H, 7.12. Found: C, 60.99; H, 7.08. MS (m/z): 453 [M + H$^+$], 475 [M + Na$^+$].

Diethyl-6-[(7-oxycoumaryl)hexyl]phosphonate 3a. A mixture of 7-(6-bromohexyloxy)coumarin 2a (2.0 g, 6.2 mmol) and triethyl phosphite (5.5 cm$^3$, 31.5 mmol) was heated at 150 °C for 16 h under a nitrogen atmosphere to give a yellow oil. The excess triethyl phosphate was removed under vacuum at 50 °C and once again after the solution was cooled. A 1 : 1 mixture of hexane and diethyl acetate (20 cm$^3$) was added to the yellow oil and the resultant clear solution cooled at −18 °C overnight to yield a precipitate, which was filtered off, washed with a cold 1 : 1 mixture solution of hexane and diethyl acetate and then dissolved in ethanol (50 cm$^3$) at 50 °C. The precipitate formed on standing overnight at room temperature was filtered off and the filtrate was evaporated down to give a yellow solid. The yellow solid was re-dissolved in ethanol (15 cm$^3$) at 50 °C. The precipitate formed on standing overnight at room temperature was filtered off and the filtrate evaporated down to give the desired product (5.37 g, 60.0% yield). $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.63 (d, 1H), 7.36 (d, 1H), 6.82 (m, 2H), 6.24 (d, 1H), 3.99–4.14 (m, 6H), 1.28–1.72 (m, 26H) ppm. $^{31}$P NMR (CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 33.3 ppm. IR (KBr pellet, cm$^{-1}$): 2978, 2917, 2852, 1727, 1619, 1544, 1153, 1467, 1405, 1292, 1245, 1153, 1030, 953, 839, 727, 615. Anal. calc’d for C$_{24}$H$_{37}$O$_6$P (%): C, 63.69; H, 8.24. Found: C, 63.46; H, 8.48. MS (m/z): 453 [M + H$^+$], 475 [M + Na$^+$].

Mixed ligand-stabilised, anatase titanium dioxide nanorods 4a–f. Different amounts of a solution of either diethyl-6-[(7-oxycoumaryl)hexyl]phosphonate ligands 3a or diethyl-11-[(7-oxycoumaryl)undecyl]phosphonate 3b in chlorobenzene (4 cm$^3$) were added to a solution of the oleic acid-stabilised, anatase titanium dioxide nanorods 1 (0.1 g) in chlorobenzene (4 cm$^3$). The resultant reaction solution was heated to 100 °C and allowed to react for the required time. Acetone (25 cm$^3$) was added to the cooled reaction mixture and the resultant precipitate separated off by centrifugation, washed twice with acetone and then dried in a vacuum oven to yield the mixed ligand-stabilised, anatase titanium dioxide nanorods 4a, 4b and 4c–f, respectively.

Metal–insulator–metal (MIM) fabrication

Crossbar Metal–Insulator–Metal (MIM) devices were fabricated by spin coating 10% by weight solutions of representative examples of the mixed ligand-stabilized, anatase titanium dioxide nanorods 4a–f onto glass substrates with pre-deposited aluminium bottom electrodes. The top electrodes were then deposited on top of these films (thickness = 200 nm) via remote thermal evaporation with a sample-to-source distance of ca. 400 mm. The high frequency dielectric constant of the materials was extracted from the impedance of the MIM devices using a two-step approach: the resistance $R$ and capacitance $C$ were extracted from the measured impedance using a parallel RC model approximation with the extracted $C$ value used to provide an estimate of the film’s relative dielectric constant ($C = \varepsilon_{0}\varepsilon_{r}d/A$ where $A$ is the active area of the device and $d$ is the film thickness). The error on these relative dielectric constant values is estimated to be around 5–10%.

Results and discussion

Oleic acid-stabilized, anatase titanium dioxide nanorods 1

The FTIR spectra of the oleic acid-stabilized, anatase titanium dioxide nanorods 1 show two strong peaks at 1525 cm$^{-1}$ and
1430 cm\(^{-1}\), see Fig. 2, which can be attributed to antisymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations of the bidentate COO–group indicating the formation of a complex by coordinating the carboxyl groups of the oleic acid with surface titanium centers dominating the interaction of the ligand with the nanorods surface.\(^{30}\) The frequency difference of \(\Delta\nu = 95\) cm\(^{-1}\) between the asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations of carboxylate anions indicates the oleic acid molecules are chemically adsorbed onto titanium dioxide surface in a bidentate chelating mode.\(^{30}\) Elemental analyses shows that 18.6% of carbon, 3.2% of hydrogen and 0.36% of nitrogen are present in the oleic acid-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 1. The small amount of nitrogen is probably attributable to traces of residual trimethylamine-oxide (TMAO), although the product has been purified many times by dissolving in toluene and precipitating with acetone. ICP analysis indicates the presence of 42.8% titanium in the oleic acid-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 1. The weight percent of titanium dioxide is \(\sim 71\)% based on the titanium content and TGA analysis (800 °C in air).

The oleic acid-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 1 exhibit an aspect ratio between 5–8, see the XRD and TEM discussion below and therefore, they can be readily dissolved in chlorobenzene to produce stable solutions (at least one month) with the desired concentrations of up to 10 wt% to enable deposition of uniform thin films of the nanorods 1 of the desired thickness from solution using standard wet-chemistry deposition techniques, such as spin coating, drop casting, doctor blade techniques, inkjet printing, etc., consistent with roll-to-roll fabrication.

The reaction details and the compositions of the mixed ligand-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods, 4a, 4b and 4c–f, formed in the ligand-exchange reactions between the oleic acid-stabilized titanium dioxide 1 nanorods and either the diethyl-6-[(7-oxycoumaryl)hexyl]phosphonate ligands 3a or diethyl-11-[7-oxycoumaryl]undecylphosphonate 3b, respectively, are shown in Table 1. The ligand exchange rate (LER), the percentage of oleic acid on titanium dioxide surface replaced by the phosphonate ligands 3a and 3b, calculated based on the ICP and CHN results, increases with reaction time, \(T\), but that the solubility of the resultant hybrid organic/inorganic nanorods 4a–f in chlorobenzene decreases. The LER of the mixed ligand-stabilised titanium dioxide nanorods 4a and 4b produced using the shorter diethyl-6-[(7-oxycoumaryl)hexyl]phosphonate ligand 3a is higher than that of the nanorods 4e–f produced using the longer diethyl-11-[(7-oxycoumaryl)undecyl]phosphonate ligand 3b.

As can be seen from Fig. 3, the mixed ligand-stabilised TiO\(_2\) nanorods 4a, with a relatively short hexyl spacer group, and the nanorods 4c, 4d and 4f, with a longer undecyl spacer group, with a relatively high LER (38.6%, 24.1%, 30.2% and 34.0%, respectively) dissolve in chlorobenzene at concentrations up to 10 wt% and 20 wt%, respectively. However, the nanorods 4b and 4e, produced using long reaction times (\(T = 72\) h and 120 h, respectively), with a LER value higher than 42%, do not dissolve in chlorobenzene at all.

Fig. 4 shows the \(^{31}\)P NMR of diethyl-11-[(7-oxycoumaryl)undecyl]phosphonate ligand 3b and those of the corresponding mixed ligand-stabilized TiO\(_2\) nanorods 4e–e before purification and the nanorods 4d after purification. Two peaks at 33.3 and 29.8 ppm can be observed for the mixed ligand-stabilized TiO\(_2\) nanorods 4e–e. The peak at 33.3 ppm is characteristic of the ligand 3b, while the new peak at 29.8 ppm is attributable to the bonds between the inorganic TiO\(_2\) core and the organic ligand in the mixed ligand-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 4e–e. The intensity of the peak at 33.3 ppm decreases with increasing reaction time. This observation provides further supporting evidence that LER increases with increasing reaction time, see

**Table 1** Reaction conditions (reaction time, \(t\), and ligand concentration) and chemical composition of the mixed ligand-stabilized, TiO\(_2\) nanorods 4a & 4b and 4c–f formed by LER between the oleic acid-stabilized TiO\(_2\) nanorods 1 and the ligands 3a and 3b, respectively

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Ligand (mg)</th>
<th>(t) (h)</th>
<th>Ti (%)</th>
<th>P (%)</th>
<th>C (%)</th>
<th>H (%)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>LER* (%)</th>
<th>Solubility** (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4a ((n = 6))</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b ((n = 6))</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4c ((n = 11))</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4d ((n = 11))</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4e ((n = 11))</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4f ((n = 11))</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Percentage of oleic acid remaining on the surface of the nanorods 4a and 4b and the nanorods 4e–f replaced by ligands 3a and 3b, respectively.

** Weight-to-weight concentration of the mixed ligand-stabilized, TiO\(_2\) nanorods 4a, with a hexyl spacer group, and the corresponding mixed ligand-stabilized, TiO\(_2\) nanorods 4d, with an undecyl spacer group, dissolved in chlorobenzene.
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Fig. 3 Solutions of the ligand-exchanged, TiO$_2$ nanorods 4a, with a hexyl spacer group, and the corresponding TiO$_2$ nanorods 4d, with an undecyl spacer group, in chlorobenzene.

Fig. 4 $^{31}$P NMR of (a) the diethyl-11-[(7-oxycoumaryl)undecyl]phosphonate ligand 3b; (b) and (c) the mixed ligand-stabilized TiO$_2$ nanorods 4c and 4d, respectively, before purification; (d) the mixed ligand-stabilized TiO$_2$ nanorods 4d after purification; (e) the mixed ligand-stabilized TiO$_2$ nanorods 4e before purification.

Table 1. Free, non-bonded 3b can be completely removed by purification as can be readily elucidated from Fig. 4(d). The new peak at 29.8 ppm is very similar to that of TiO$_2$ modified with octadecylphosphonic acid (ODPA) reported previously.$^{31,32}$ suggesting that the ethoxy groups have been displaced upon ligand exchange and that of the diethyl-11-[(7-oxycoumaryl)undecyl]phosphonate ligand 3b is bonded to the surface of the TiO$_2$ nanorods by a tridentate attachment.$^{31,32}$

The FTIR spectra of oleic acid-stabilized titanium dioxide 1 nanorods, the diethyl-11-[(7-oxycoumaryl)undecyl]phosphonate ligand 3b and the mixed ligand-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 4c, produced by their reaction, are shown in Fig. 5. The IR spectrum of the mixed ligand-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 4c, produced in the ligand-exchange reaction, clearly shows many peaks characteristic of the phosphonate ligand 3b. However, the presence of strong peaks at 1525 cm$^{-1}$ and 1430 cm$^{-1}$ indicates that only part of oleic acid coating of the oleic acid-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 1 nanorods has been replaced by the ligand 3b. The IR spectrum of the ligand 3b shows a P=O stretching band at 1243 cm$^{-1}$ and a P-O-C absorption band at 952 m$^{-1}$, as indicated by the pink line. However, these two bands are not present in the mixed ligand-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 4c. A broad band attributable PO$_3$ stretching can be observed at about 1060 cm$^{-1}$, further supporting the conclusion that the ligand 3b is attached to the titanium dioxide surface through a tridentate bonding mode.$^{33}$

The TGA curves of the ligand-exchanged product the mixed ligand-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 4a, with the relatively short hexyl spacer group, and the corresponding mixed ligand-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 4d, with a longer undecyl spacer group, are shown in Fig. 6. A major weight loss occurs in the temperature range of 350 °C to 500 °C due to thermal decomposition of the organic ligands. The residues at 950 °C are 75.9% and 75.1% for the mixed ligand-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 4a and 4d, with either a hexyl or an undecyl spacer group, respectively.

Analysis of the XRD spectra confirms that these mixed ligand-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 4a and 4d both exhibit the anatase phase. The XRD patterns of the ligand-exchanged nanorods 4a and 4d are very similar to that of oleic acid-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 1, see Fig. 7, i.e., the ligand exchange reaction does not affect the morphology of the inorganic nanorods. Hence, the TEM images of the ligand-

Fig. 6 TGA of the mixed ligand-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 4a, with the relatively short hexyl spacer group, and the corresponding mixed ligand-stabilized, titanium dioxide nanorods 4d, with a longer undecyl spacer group between the TiO$_2$ nanorod and the photo-reactive coumarin endgroup.
exchanged titanium dioxide nanorods 4a and 4d are also similar to that of oleic acid-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 1, see Fig. 8. The combination of the XRD and TEM spectra suggests the ligand exchange reaction, carried out on the oleic acid-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 1 at 100 °C to form the ligand-exchanged hybrid inorganic/organic titanium dioxide nanorods 4a and 4d, has very little effect, as could be reasonably expected, on the phase, size and shape and, hence, anisotropy, of the core of TiO2 nanorods with an aspect ratio of 5–8, see Fig. 8. The nanorods 4a–d are 18–23 nm in length and 2.4–3.2 nm in diameter on average. TEM images of these nanorods with a very sparse distribution on the TEM grids indicate that the nanorods exhibit a round cross section (cylindrical shape) rather than a rectangular shape.

Samples of the oleic acid-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 1 and the ligand-exchanged titanium dioxide nanorods 4d were dissolved in chlorobenzene to produce highly concentrated (20%) solutions (wt/wt) in each case. The chlorobenzene solvent was then allowed to evaporate slowly at room temperature from these solutions and the percentage concentration of the nanorods determined by changes in the weight of the residual solution. The images of these chlorobenzene/nanorod solutions captured using polarizing optical microscopy (POM) at a concentration of 60% (wt/wt) are shown in Fig. 9. Both photomicrographs reveal the presence of birefringent domains containing disclinations at domain boundaries characteristic of the lyotropic liquid crystalline state and, in this case, of a nematic phase. These samples are fluid and can be disturbed by the application of slight physical pressure, which results in ‘flashings’ of the POM images, which is again a typical characteristic of the nematic phase.

Fig. 10 shows POM images of solutions in chlorobenzene of oleic acid-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 1 recorded at different concentrations. The image of the nanorod/chlorobenzene colloidal solution at a concentration of 50% (wt/wt) clearly shows the presence of birefringent droplets incorporating four-point brushes typical of the lyotropic nematic state. The Schlieren texture equally characteristic of a nematic phase can be clearly observed at a concentration of 60% (wt/wt) for the same sample. The nematic phase texture completely disappears when the concentration of the nanorod/chlorobenzene solution is above 80% (wt/wt), which is appears to be due to crystallization of the nanorods from solution to form a solid.

In order to investigate the dependence of the lyotropic nematic phase on temperature, a solution of the TiO2–OA nanorod 1 in chlorobenzene (60% wt/wt) was drop cast on a glass slide, circled with AP 101 grease and covered with a cover slip. The POM images of these solutions at different temperature are shown in Fig. 11. It can be seen that with increasing temperature, the Schlieren texture of the lyotropic nematic phase is retained, although some small changes in appearance can be observed, as expected due to the fluid nature of the sample. However, the birefringent Schlieren texture of the solution completely disappears above a temperature of ca. 100 °C to form a colorless isotropic liquid. This thermal process is not reversible and the Schlieren texture does not return on cooling the sample below the nematic–isotropic clearing point (N–I) of ca. 100 °C, as would have been expected normally for a liquid crystal transition. However, that this is not the case is due to the evaporation of the chlorobenzene solvent from the sides of the sample at these temperatures. The oleic acid-stabilized TiO2 nanorods 1 slowly crystallize over time out of this solution as shown in the image taken of this sample after five days, see Fig. 11.
Film formation and photochemical crosslinking

The irradiation of coumarin and its derivatives with appropriate wavelengths of UV light results in photo-dimerization in solution and in thin-films.\textsuperscript{41,54,55} In order to investigate the crosslinking of the coumarin side chains, bonded onto the surface of the titanium dioxide nanorods via phosphonate groups, the mixed ligand-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 4a and 4d, with either a hexyl or an undecyl spacer group, respectively, were deposited by spin coating onto a KBr substrate using standard procedures, allowed to dry and then photoirradiated with UV light at 250–450 nm and an exposure of 900 J cm\textsuperscript{-2}. The FTIR spectra of sample 4d before and after photoirradiation are shown in Fig. 12. The assignment of representative peaks is recorded in Table 2 by comparison with those of similar structures.\textsuperscript{41,55,56} Several important differences can be clearly observed between the two spectra. Firstly, after exposure of the sample, the carbonyl peak at 1739 cm\textsuperscript{-1} becomes weaker and broader with a shoulder at about 1769 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, suggesting the modification of the enone conjugation due to loss of conjugation resulting from dimerization.\textsuperscript{46} The shift to higher wavenumber suggests the formation of head-to-head (H–H) photoimers.\textsuperscript{46} The absorbance of the weak peak at 1405 cm\textsuperscript{-1} due to the C=C bond decreases upon photoirradiation of the sample, also indicating the 2 + 2 photo-dimerization of coumarin to a cyclobutane derivative. The peak at 1122 cm\textsuperscript{-1} decreases and the peak at 1159 cm\textsuperscript{-1} increases due to the loss of enone conjugation in coumarin and formation of the cyclobutane photoprod. All these changes in peak intensity and shifts indicate that the coumarin side chains in the ligand-exchanged hybrid inorganic/organic TiO\textsubscript{2} nanorods 4d have been photochemically crosslinked in a head-to-head (H–H) photo-dimerisation reaction.

The solubility of a photoinduced crosslinked thin film of the mixed ligand-stabilized, TiO\textsubscript{2} nanorods 4d, with an undecyl spacer group, was tested by exposing the crosslinked films to the solvent (chlorobenzene) used to prepare the lyotropic solutions and monitoring the film thickness before and after washing in the solvent. The film was photoirradiated with light of wavelength 250–450 nm to a total fluence of 200 J cm\textsuperscript{-2}. Chlorobenzene was then spin-coated onto the film at 1000 rpm for 60 s and removed by evaporation. Table 3 shows that the layer thickness is unchanged after this process, demonstrating that crosslinking of the ligands renders the layer of hybrid organic/inorganic nanorods completely insoluble. Smooth homogeneous ‘hairy rod’ films were obtained with thicknesses between 50–400 nm by changing the concentration of the chlorobenzene solutions (2.5% to 20% wt/wt).

Table 2 Peak assignments in the FTIR spectra of the mixed ligand-stabilized, TiO\textsubscript{2} nanorods 4d to their corresponding vibrational modes prior to – and after – UV irradiation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavenumber (cm\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>Assignment\textsuperscript{a}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1739</td>
<td>C=O stretching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1616</td>
<td>Ring C=C stretching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1525</td>
<td>Bidentate COO– asym. stretching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1464</td>
<td>CH\textsubscript{2} scissor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1430</td>
<td>Bidentate COO– sym. stretching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1405</td>
<td>cis C=C stretching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1280</td>
<td>C(=O)=O asym. stretching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1230</td>
<td>=C=O–C asym. stretching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1159</td>
<td>Unconjugated ester stretching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1122</td>
<td>C(=O)=O sym. stretching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1052</td>
<td>=C=O–C sym. stretching and PO\textsubscript{3}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Sym. = symmetric and asym. = asymmetric.
Morphologically, these thin-films show relatively small surface roughness, ~2–3 nm RMS, and good uniformity over wide areas with peak-valley distances, ~20 nm, over profiled areas of 1 μm², as measured by atomic force microscopy (AFM), see Fig. 13. It may be reasonably postulated that, as the chlorobenzene solvent evaporates from these dilute solutions of the mixed ligand-stabilized, TiO₂ nanorods to form solutions with higher nanorod concentrations on the substrate surface, then the fluid, but ordered, nature of these highly concentrated lyotropic nematic liquid crystalline solutions, see above, will facilitate the self-organized formation of domains on the substrate surface of these nanorods with their long axes parallel to each other and in the plane of the substrate surface, see Fig. 13. This spontaneous orientation of rods with a relatively high degree of anisotropy (aspect ratio = 5–8) on planar substrate surfaces mirrors that of nematic liquid crystals in Liquid Crystal Displays (LCDs).³⁷

Two main features of the dielectric constant spectra are apparent for the oleic acid-stabilized nanorods 1, the mixed ligand-stabilized, titanium dioxide nanorods 4a and 4d before – and after – photocrosslinking, see Fig. 14. Firstly the value of the relative dielectric constants, k, are all around 10; secondly each spectrum shows a steady increase of the dielectric constant as the frequency is reduced from 1 MHz toward 1 kHz. This results from polarization effects involving the device’s interface contribution to the impedance.³⁸ The dielectric constant values obtained for the mixed ligand-stabilized, titanium dioxide nanorods 4d, with an undecyl spacer group, although slightly lower compared to that of the oleic acid-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 1, are actually compatible with the corresponding latter values by taking into consideration the above mentioned relative error. On the other hand, the mixed ligand-stabilized, titanium dioxide nanorods 4a, with a hexyl spacer group, show a higher value for the dielectric constant, k, compared to that of the oleic acid-stabilized nanorods 1 and the mixed ligand-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 4d, which is due to the lower relative weight of the total ligand component in the film or, equivalently, increased relative weight of the titanium dioxide nanorod component, as compared to the other ligands used. The relatively low value of the effective dielectric constant (k = 8) is due to a combination of two factors. Firstly, the nanocomposite comprises two components, a high-k one attributable to the TiO₂ nanorod core and a low-k one attributable to the organic ligand shell. Secondly, the stacking of nanorods in a thin film will inevitably create a volume of void space (k ~ 1) between the nanorods. It is the average contribution of high-k and low-k components that generates the effective dielectric constant (k ~ 8) observed for the dielectric films.

No significant changes are observed in the dielectric constant values between 'as-spun' devices and the photocrosslinked ones using the titanium dioxide nanorods 4d. Hence, the photochemical crosslinking process, used to render the dielectric layer insoluble, does not change the value of the dielectric constant, which for materials 1 and 4 is ca. three times higher than that of poly-methylmethacrylate (PMMA) a typical and widely used organic dielectric material with applications also in electron beam lithography, see dashed curve in Fig. 14.

The leakage current density was relatively high at 2 × 10⁻⁷ A cm⁻² @ 2 V and 2 × 10⁻⁶ A cm⁻² @ 4 V. Hence, the dielectric breakdown would occur at 5 V for a crossbar device with 200 nm thick high-k film and electrode area of 10⁻⁴ cm². However, a thickness of 200 nm was considered to be insulating enough to allow a reliable characterization of the dielectric properties of these films.

**Table 3** Thickness of a crosslinked film of the mixed ligand-stabilized, titanium dioxide nanorods 4d before – and after – rinsing in solvent (chlorobenzene)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Film thickness before washing (nm)</th>
<th>Film thickness after washing (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4d</td>
<td>55 ± 5</td>
<td>54 ± 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 13** AFM topography of a sample of the mixed ligand-stabilized, TiO₂ nanorods 4d deposited on a flat KBr substrate showing an area of 1 μm x 1 μm.

**Fig. 14** Dielectric constant spectra for oleic acid-stabilized TiO₂ nanorods 1 (black), the mixed ligand-stabilized, TiO₂ nanorods 4a (red) and 4d before (green) and after (blue) being photocrosslinked. The dashed curve shows the dielectric constant of MIM devices fabricated using PMMA with molecular weight of 100k.
Conclusions

Two new photoreactive phosphonate ligands 3a and 3b were synthesized by means of a Michaelis–Arbusov rearrangement and used in ligand exchange reactions with the oleic acid-stabilized titanium dioxide nanorods 1 to produce the hybrid inorganic/organic nanorods 4a-f. An optimized ligand exchange reaction at 100 °C does not change the phase and size of the titanium dioxide nanorods, with a high aspect ratio (3–8), in the resultant hybrid inorganic/organic nanorods 4a-f. The ligand exchange rate (LER) depends on the chemical structure of the organophosphorus ligands, i.e., the phosphonate 3a with a shorter alkyl chain has a higher LER. The hybrid organic/inorganic nanorods with an LER lower than 42% can be readily dissolved in chlorobenzene at high concentration to produce lyotropic nematic liquid crystals. Solution-processed and self-organized, uniform thin films of the nanorods 4a-f, with their long axis in the plane of the substrate due to the liquid crystalline nature of the solutions as they dry on the surface, exhibit a relatively high dielectric constant, suitable for use as the dielectric layer for organic field effect transistors, e.g., with low switching voltage, and one that is three times higher than that of PMMA. UV-photo-dimerization and crosslinking of these hybrid organic/inorganic nanorod dielectric layers renders them insoluble, with no change in the dielectric constant, to facilitate the formation of multilayer plastic electronic devices using wet-chemistry techniques. These new dielectric layers show resistive memory switching, which would facilitate the vertical integration of non-volatile memory devices. The relatively high leakage current, due to the low-energy conduction band, of these layers will be addressed in further work in improved prototype devices.
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