Comparing generalized and specific problematic smartphone/internet use: Longitudinal relationships between smartphone application-based addiction and social media addiction and psychological distress

I-HUA CHEN¹, AMIR H. PAKPOUR²,3, HILDIE LEUNG⁴, MARC N. POTENZA⁵,⁶,⁷, JIAN-AN SU⁸,⁹,¹⁰, CHUNG-YING LIN¹¹, and MARK D. GRIFFITHS¹²

¹ School of Education Science, Minnan Normal University, Zhangzhou 363000, PR China
² Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Research Institute for prevention of Non-Communicable Diseases, Qazvin University of Medical Sciences, Qazvin, Islamic Republic of Iran
³ Department of Nursing, School of Health and Welfare, Jönköping University, Jönköping, Sweden
⁴ UOW College Hong Kong / Community College of City University, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong
⁵ Departments of Psychiatry and Neuroscience and the Child Study Center, School of Medicine, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA
⁶ Connecticut Council on Problem Gambling, Wethersfield, CT, USA
⁷ Connecticut Mental Health Center, New Haven, CT, USA
⁸ Department of Psychiatry, Chang Gung Medical Foundation, Chiayi Chang Gung Memorial Hospital at Chiayi, Puzi City, Taiwan
⁹ School of Medicine, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan
¹⁰ Department of Nursing, Chang Gung Institute of Technology, Taoyuan, Taiwan
¹¹ Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, Faculty of Health and Social Sciences, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Hong Kong
¹² International Gaming Research Unit, Psychology Department, Nottingham Trent University, Nottingham, UK

Received: December 13, 2019 • Revised manuscript received: March 3, 2020 • Accepted: April 5, 2020

ABSTRACT

Background and aims: The literature has proposed two types of problematic smartphone/internet use: generalized problematic use and specific problematic use. However, longitudinal findings on the associations between the two types of problematic use and psychological distress are lacking among East-Asians. The present study examined temporal associations between both generalized and specific problematic use of the smartphone/internet, and psychological distress.

Methods: Hong Kong University students (N = 308; 100 males; mean age = 23.75 years; SD ± 5.15) were recruited with follow-ups at three, six, and nine months after baseline assessment. All participants completed the Smartphone Application-Based Addiction Scale (for generalized problematic smartphone/internet use), the Bergen Social Media Addiction Scale (for specific problematic smartphone/internet use), and the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (for psychological distress) in each assessment. Latent growth modeling (LGM) was constructed to understand temporal associations between both generalized/specific problematic use and psychological distress.

Results: The LGM suggested that the intercept of generalized problematic use was significantly associated with the intercept of psychological distress (standardized coefficient [β] = 0.32; P < 0.01). The growth of generalized problematic use was significantly associated with the growth of psychological distress (β = 0.51; P < 0.01). Moreover, the intercept of specific problematic use was significantly associated with the intercept of psychological distress (β = 0.28; P < 0.01) and the growth of psychological distress (β = 0.37; P < 0.01).

Conclusion: The initial level of problematic use of
smartphone/internet increased the psychological distress among university students. Helping young adults address problematic use of the smartphone/internet may prevent psychological distress.
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INTRODUCTION

Rapid improvements in internet and smartphone technologies have been accompanied by substantial growth in the use of internet-based applications and platforms (Cheng & Li, 2014; Kuss & Griffiths, 2012; Kuss, Griffiths, Karila, & Billieux, 2014; Ryan, Chester, Reece, & Xenos, 2014). Consequently, potential health problems and risks resulting from overuse and dependency on using such technologies have been observed and defined. Consequently, the American Psychiatric Association (2013) proposed internet gaming disorder (IGD) as a potential condition in the latest (fifth) edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5). More recently, the World Health Organization (2018) also included gaming disorder in the eleventh revision of the International Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-11). Other evidence of health problems generated from overuse of internet and smartphone technologies includes musculoskeletal discomfort, poor sleep quality, daytime sleepiness, and depressed mood states (Alimoradi et al., 2019; Ikeda & Nakamura, 2014; Nathan & Zeitzer, 2013; Yang, Chen, Huang, Lin, & Chang, 2017).

Of the different types of health problems related to technology use, excessive use of the internet and smartphone warrants particular attention in relation to mental health (Csibi, Griffiths, Cook, Demetrovics, & Szabo, 2018; Griffiths, 2000; Monacis, De Palo, Griffiths, & Sinatra, 2017), even though there is no consensus regarding terms describing behavioral problems associated with problematic use of technologies (i.e., addiction, disorder, or problematic use). For example, Brand, Young, Laier, Wöllfling, and Potenza (2016) have proposed using DSM-5 nomenclature, and Chen et al. (2020) do not specifically distinguish “addiction” and “disorder” to describe such behavioral problems. Nevertheless, the term addiction remains the most frequently used term in the international peer-reviewed literature (Brand et al., 2016). However, the present study uses the term “problematic smartphone/internet use” to avoid potential controversies regarding classification.

Two different forms of problematic use of digital technologies have been proposed: generalized internet addictions and specific internet addictions (i.e., generalized problematic smartphone/internet use and specific problematic smartphone/internet use) (Brand, Young, & Laier, 2014; Davis, 2001; Griffiths, 1998, 2000; Montag et al., 2015). Generalized problematic smartphone/internet use indicates a multidimensional and general behavioral pattern of smartphone/internet overuse, which may cause negative consequences for individuals (Pontes, Kuss, & Griffiths, 2015). Such problematic smartphone/internet use has been associated with several comorbid disorders including attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (Karaka, Canan, Saleh, & Potenza, 2017), depression (Sariyska, Reuter, Lachmann, & Montag, 2015) and substance abuse (Rücker, Akre, Berchtold, & Suris, 2015). Generalized problematic smartphone/internet use has been associated with other dysfunctions including impaired family functioning, lowered life satisfaction, problematic family interaction, poor emotional wellbeing, and decreased academic performance (Rücker et al. 2015; Wartberg, Kriston, Kammerl, Petersen, & Thomasius, 2015).

Specific problematic smartphone/internet use (Brand et al., 2014; Davis, 2001; Griffiths, 1998, 2000; Montag et al., 2015) indicates problematic engagement in a particular type of smartphone/internet activity (e.g., social-media use, gaming, gambling) and shares similar features to generalized problematic smartphone/internet use. Specific problematic smartphone/internet use has also been associated with poor health outcomes. Smartphone addiction may be viewed as both specific and generalized with respect to problematic use because some authors define smartphone addiction as a type of specific online addiction (for an overview, see: Billieux, Maurage, Lopez-Fernandez, Kuss, Griffiths, 2015), complicating distinction of the constructs. Other authors claim that individuals are no more addicted to smartphones than alcoholics are addicted to bottles (Kuss & Griffiths, 2017). Despite such polarized views, strong relationships exist between smartphone addiction and social media addiction, most likely because social media use is primarily engaged in via smartphones (Kuss & Griffiths, 2017; Sha, Sariyska, Riedl, Lachmann & Montag, 2019). However, smartphone addiction may be generalized because of the many different activities that can be engaged in using smartphones (e.g., gambling, gaming, social networking, and working) (Kuss & Griffiths, 2017). Therefore, the present study takes the similar view to Chen et al. (2020) that applications on smartphones can be a source of problematic behavior among a minority of individuals. Consequently, the present study used the Smartphone Application-Based Addiction Scale (SABAS) to assess generalized problematic smartphone/internet use and the Bergen Social Media Addiction Scale (BSMAS) to investigate one specific form of problematic smartphone/internet use.

Although prior research suggests a relationship between different types of problematic smartphone/internet use and poor mental health (Pontes et al., 2015; Rücker et al. 2015), rarely has research used a longitudinal design to examine such relationships in an East-Asian context. Therefore, the present study utilized a longitudinal design to examine the relationships between problematic smartphone/internet use and psychological distress among university students in Hong Kong, a population that is substantially exposed to smartphone/internet use. Such relationships should be examined to provide directions for targeted interventions.
The present study used latent growth modeling (LGM) to understand trajectories of problematic internet/smartphone use in relation to psychological distress, and specifically whether the growth of problematic internet/smartphone use associated with changes in psychological distress. Generalized and specific forms of problematic smartphone/internet use were investigated separately to examine how the two types of problematic use related similarly or differently.

The purposes of the present study were to examine over a nine-month period (among a sample of Hong Kong University students), the temporal associations between psychological distress and (i) generalized problematic smartphone/internet use, and (ii) specific problematic smartphone/internet use. It was hypothesized that both generalized and specific problematic smartphone/internet use would be positively associated with psychological distress. It was also speculated that the magnitudes of the two associations would be different given that generalized problematic smartphone/internet use would encompass a wider range of problematic behaviors. This latter hypothesis was examined in an exploratory fashion.

METHODS

Participants and procedure

An online survey using Google Forms was used to collect data, including background information of the participants, generalized problematic smartphone/internet use, specific problematic smartphone/internet use, and psychological distress. The online survey began with details of the study’s purpose and requirements followed by an informed consent form. Only after providing informed consent were participants able to continue the online survey. Convenience sampling was used and 400 students were invited to participate (response rate = 77%).

The online survey was disseminated using a hyperlink and a QR code, which were created for students to log onto Google Forms for participation. Several research assistants and teaching faculties recruited university students, including both undergraduates and postgraduates, during university lectures (with permission granted by the lecturers) or to students’ email addresses between March and June 2018 for baseline assessment. Inclusion criteria were: (i) being aged 18 years or above; (ii) owning and using at least one smartphone; (iii) having internet access; and, (iv) being capable of understanding written Chinese in traditional characters. Those who self-reported as having mental health problems (e.g., mood disorders) were excluded. Furthermore, all participants were asked to provide a frequently used email account together with their smartphone number to (a) eliminate the possibility that a respondent completed the survey twice or more at baseline, or at each follow-up assessment; and, (b) be able to contact them for the three follow-up participations every three months after the baseline. A reminder with the hyperlink of the online survey was sent to the participants using both short message service and email to ask the participants completing follow-up assessments. Every participant received reminders three times (i.e., one reminder for each of the follow-up) irrespective of whether they had completed any of the follow-ups. Following this process, 308 Hong Kong students completed the baseline assessment; 114 Hong Kong students completed all the follow-up assessments; 58 completed two follow-ups; and 40 completed one follow-up.

Instruments

Smartphone Application-Based Addiction Scale (SABAS). Using Griffiths’ (2005) six proposed criteria of addictions (salience, mood, modification, tolerance, withdrawal conflict, and relapse), the SABAS (Csibi et al., 2018) contains six items and assesses the single construct of being at risk of addiction to smartphone applications. Given that smartphone applications include a variety of activities that can be engaged in online, the SABAS was used to assess generalized problematic smartphone/internet use. The six SABAS items are rated on a six-point Likert type scale (1 = strongly disagree and 6 = strongly agree), and a higher SABAS score indicates more problematic smartphone use. The psychometric properties of the SABAS have been established using English (Csibi et al., 2018), Hungarian (Csibi et al., 2016), Persian (Lin et al., 2018), Taiwanese (Chen et al., 2020; Leung et al., 2019), and Hong Kong versions (Yam et al., 2019). The internal consistency of the SABAS was acceptable across all the assessments in the present study: α = 0.77 and ω = 0.78 at baseline; α = 0.80 and ω = 0.81 at first follow-up; α = 0.76 and ω = 0.77 at second follow-up; α = 0.76 and ω = 0.78 at third follow-up.

Bergen Social Media Addiction Scale (BSMAS). Similar to the SABAS, the BSMAS adopts the six criteria of the addiction components model (Griffiths, 2000; 2005) to assess problematic smartphone/internet use specifically related to social media addiction (Andreassen et al., 2016). The six BSMAS items are rated on a five-point Likert type scale (1 = very rarely and 5 = very often), and a higher BSMAS score indicates more problematic social media use. Moreover, a cutoff score (19 out of 30) has been proposed recently to indicate problematic use of social media in a large nationally representative study of nearly 6,000 Hungarian adolescents (Bányai et al., 2017). Additionally, the psychometric properties of the BSMAS have been established using English (Andreassen et al., 2016), Italian (Monacis et al., 2017), Persian (Lin, Broström, Nilsen, Griffiths, & Pakpour, 2017), Portuguese (Pontes, Andreassen, & Griffiths, 2016), Taiwanese (Chen et al., 2020; Leung et al., 2019), and Hong Kong versions (Yam et al., 2019). The internal consistency of the BSMAS was acceptable across all assessments in the present study; α = 0.83 and ω = 0.83 at baseline; α = 0.85 and ω = 0.85 at first follow-up; α = 0.85 and ω = 0.85 at second follow-up; α = 0.84 and ω = 0.84 at third follow-up.

Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS). The HADS assesses psychological distress related to the domains of
anxiety and depression, and has been widely used in various social or medical contexts. There are 14 items and all of them are rated on a four-point Likert-type scale ("Yes, definitely", "Yes, sometimes", "No, not much", and "No, not at all"). A higher HADS score indicates higher levels of psychological distress. The construct validity of the HADS has been confirmed in Hong Kong adolescents (Chan, Leung, Fong, Leung, & Lee, 2010) with acceptable Cronbach’s alphas (0.79 for anxiety and 0.67 for depression). The internal consistency of the HADS was acceptable across all the assessments in the present study: \( \alpha = 0.85 \) and \( \omega = 0.85 \) at baseline; \( \alpha = 0.84 \) and \( \omega = 0.84 \) at first follow-up; \( \alpha = 0.85 \) and \( \omega = 0.85 \) at second follow-up; \( \alpha = 0.85 \) and \( \omega = 0.85 \) at third follow-up.

**Data analysis**

Participant characteristics were analyzed using descriptive statistics. A full information maximum likelihood (FIML) method was used to impute missing data in SABAS, BSMAS, and HADS scores for all the participants. The mean and SD of the SABAS, BSMAS, and HADS scores were calculated for the baseline and at each follow-up. Also, zero-order Pearson correlations between SABAS, BSMAS, and HADS scores were computed across all four time points.

Latent growth modeling (LGM) within structural equation modeling was then constructed to understand the impacts of problematic smartphone/internet use upon psychological distress. More specifically, two models were constructed adjusting for age and gender. The first model assessed the impacts of generalized problematic use (i.e., SABAS) on psychological distress, and the second model assessed the impacts of specific problematic use (i.e., BSMAS) on psychological distress. However, given that the direction between problematic use and psychological distress is still a matter of debate in the literature, another two models were constructed to examine whether psychological distress impacts on problematic use. More specifically, a third model assessed the impact of psychological distress on generalized problematic use (i.e., SABAS score), and a fourth model assessed the impacts of psychological distress on specific problematic use (i.e., BSMAS score). In each model, four repeated measures of problematic use (SABAS or BSMAS score) were used to estimate the latent intercept (the estimated initial level of problematic use) and latent slope (the estimated growth of problematic use). Four repeated measures of psychological distress (i.e., HADS score) were used to estimate its latent intercept and slope. For the first and second models, the impacts of the latent intercept from problematic smartphone/internet use on latent intercept and slope values from psychological distress were estimated. Also, the impacts of the latent slope values from psychological distress on latent slope values from problematic smartphone/internet use were estimated.

The following indices were used to examine whether the LGM model was robust and supported: comparative fit index (CFI) >0.9, root mean square of approximation (RMSEA) <0.1, and SRMR (standardized root mean square residual) <0.08 (Browne & Cudeck, 1993; Lin, 2018; Pakpour et al., 2019). The demographic data and instrument scores were analyzed using SPSS 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). LGM models and FIML imputation were conducted using LISREL 8.8 (Scientific Software International, Lincolnwood, IL, USA).

**Ethics**

The research proposal was approved by the Ethics Committee of the research team’s university. Before data collection, all ethical considerations including description of the study, privacy and confidentiality of data, anonymity, and freedom of participation (or withdrawal) were fully explained. Additionally, all participants signed written informed consent.

**RESULTS**

Participants (N = 308) had a mean age of 23.75 years (SD = 5.15) at baseline (Table 1). Slightly less than one-third of participants were female (32.5%), and very few participants reported being a current smoker (2.3%). The mean body mass index of the participants was 21.00 (SD = 2.95). On average, participants spent 4.75 hours (SD = 3.30) per day on their smartphones and 2.70 hours (SD = 2.69) per day on social media. The participants who completed all follow-ups were younger (22.39 years) and spent less time on smartphones (4.10 hours/day) and social media (2.26 hours/day) than those who only participated at baseline (mean age = 25.19 years; time on smartphone = 5.90 hours/day; time on social media = 3.59 hours/day). The participants who completed all the follow-ups comprised fewer males (21.1%) than those who only participated at baseline (41.7%).

After imputation, Table 2 shows the mean and SD of SABAS score, BSMAS score, and HADS score at baseline and follow-up assessments. Table 3 demonstrates the significant correlations between the SABAS score, BSMAS score, and HADS score in the four assessed time points (\( r = 0.174 \) to 0.854; \( p \)-values < 0.01).

All LGM models (Figs 1 and 2) had satisfactory fit indices in CFI (0.970–0.980), TLI (0.950–0.960), and SRMR (0.037 and 0.042). The fit index of RMSEA (0.083–0.092) was close to the suggested cutoff. However, its 90% CI covers acceptable cutoff scores and does not exceed the worst value for RMSEA (i.e., 0.10), except for the fourth model (Fig. 2b; 90% CI of RMSEA = 0.110). Moreover, there is a Heywood case in the third model (Fig. 2a; a standardized coefficient is larger than 1). Together, considered with the other fit indices, the first two LGM models were supported (i.e., the LGM models supported more the direction from...
problematic use to psychological distress rather than the opposite direction). More specifically, the LGM model assessing the impacts of generalized problematic smartphone/internet use suggests that the intercept of problematic use was significantly associated with the intercept of psychological distress (standardized coefficient $= 0.32; p < 0.01$); the growth of problematic use was significantly associated with the growth of psychological distress (standardized coefficient $= 0.51; p < 0.01$). The LGM model assessing the impacts of specific problematic smartphone/internet use (i.e., social media) suggests that the intercept of problematic use was significantly associated with the intercept of psychological distress (standardized coefficient $= 0.28; p < 0.01$) and the growth of psychological distress (standardized coefficient $= 0.37; p < 0.01$).

**DISCUSSION**

The present study’s findings echo the current evidence concerning the associations between problematic smartphone/internet use and mental health (Bányai et al., 2017; Csibi et al., 2018; Monacis et al., 2017; Sha et al., 2019). More specifically, the present study used LGM modeling and found that baseline generalized problematic smartphone/internet use was positively associated with baseline psychological distress. Similarly, the growth of the generalized problematic smartphone/internet use was positively associated with the growth of psychological distress. Regarding specific problematic smartphone/internet use, the present study showed that the initial level of the problematic use of social media was positively associated with both the initial level and the growth of psychological distress. Therefore, the present study extends the evidence of association between problematic smartphone/internet use and mental health problems (Bányai et al., 2017; Csibi et al., 2018; Monacis et al., 2017; Sha et al., 2019) from cross-sectional to longitudinal findings. In other words, the temporal associations found in the present study suggest that problematic smartphone/internet use may lead to psychological distress.

The associations between the two types of problematic use in smartphone/internet and psychological distress may relate to core features of addiction. Griffiths (2000, 2005) has proposed salience, mood, modification, tolerance, withdrawal conflict, and relapse as the six main criteria for addiction and these have been modeled to assess generalized or specific problematic smartphone/internet use. The present study corroborates and extends prior findings (Bányai et al., 2017; Csibi et al., 2018; Monacis et al., 2017; Sha et al., 2019) that problematic smartphone/internet use is associated with (and may further impact) psychological distress among individuals with problematic smartphone/internet use.

Although generalized and specific problematic smartphone/internet uses were similarly associated with psychological distress, the present findings further identified some differences. The growth of generalized problematic smartphone/internet use had impacts on the growth of psychological distress. This association was not shown in specific problematic use of social media. However, the magnitude of
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**Table 2.** Problematic smartphone use, problematic social media use, and psychological distress across time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Time 1 (Mean SD)</th>
<th>Time 2 (Mean SD)</th>
<th>Time 3 (Mean SD)</th>
<th>Time 4 (Mean SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smartphone addiction</td>
<td>19.37 (5.12)</td>
<td>19.17 (4.40)</td>
<td>19.09 (4.35)</td>
<td>18.96 (4.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social media addiction</td>
<td>14.45 (4.08)</td>
<td>13.99 (3.40)</td>
<td>13.89 (3.54)</td>
<td>14.37 (3.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological distress</td>
<td>10.47 (5.44)</td>
<td>9.82 (5.15)</td>
<td>10.15 (5.44)</td>
<td>10.13 (5.41)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Problematic smartphone use was assessed using the Smartphone Application-Based Addiction Scale; problematic social media use was assessed using the Bergen Social Media Addiction Scale; psychological distress was assessed using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. Time 1 = baseline; Time 2 = first follow-up; Time 3 = second follow-up; Time 4 = third follow-up.
the association was of note (0.37, a moderate effect size; Cohen, 1988). In other words, both generalized and specific problematic smartphone/internet uses are potential risk factors for increasing psychological distress. Consequently, future studies with a large sample size are needed to corroborate our findings. Moreover, the initial level of specific problematic use in social media was associated with the growth of psychological distress, while this association was not found with generalized problematic smartphone/internet use. This finding supports those scholars who distinguish generalized problematic smartphone/internet use from specific problematic use (Brand et al., 2014; Davis, 2001; Griffiths, 1998, 2000; Montag et al., 2015).

By raising awareness concerning distinct types of problematic smartphone/internet use, healthcare providers may gain a more detailed insight regarding specific types of problematic smartphone/internet use. Taking the present study as an example, the initial level of problematic social media use may be particularly important given its impact on subsequent growth of psychological distress. Consequently, healthcare providers may specifically target problematic social media use. On the other hand, the growth of generalized problematic smartphone/internet use showed a greater impact than that of the specific problematic social media use on psychological distress. Therefore, a broader focus on problematic smartphone/internet use is also important.

Fig. 1. Standardized coefficients and fit indices of latent growth models that examined the impacts of problematic internet use on psychological distress. Inter = intercept; B = Bergen Social Media Addiction Scale; S = Smartphone Application-Based Addiction Scale; H = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; T1 = Time 1 (baseline); T2 = Time 2 (first follow-up); T3 = Time 3 (second follow-up); T4 = Time 4 (third follow-up). All models controlled age and gender. Significant coefficients are in bold with *.

(a) Generalized problematic smartphone/internet use of smartphone application. (b) Specific problematic smartphone/internet use of social media.
Practical and clinical implications

Based on the results of the present study, there are a number of implications. First, results of the present study showed that both generalized and specific types of problematic smartphone/internet use appear to have significant impacts on the development of psychological distress among young adults. The results suggest that educational awareness and prevention programs in schools concerning digital health and mental wellbeing are warranted. Second, the results of the present study provided insights into the debate concerning whether problematic smartphone/internet use should be considered as an independent diagnosis or a negative consequence of other disorders (Pies, 2009). Based on the findings of the present study, results suggest that problematic smartphone/internet use can be considered as an independent disorder. Therefore, healthcare providers within university settings should attempt to prevent future psychological distress by introducing awareness programs and promoting the screening problematic smartphone/internet use. From a clinical point of view for those seriously affected, psychological interventions (cognitive-behavioral therapy, motivational interviewing, and mindfulness interventions; Young, 2013) in the treatment of behavioral disorders (e.g., problematic smartphone/internet use) may be useful in helping overcome psychological distress among young adults.

Limitations

Several limitations exist when interpreting the findings of the present study. First, data on when participants began using social media or smartphones were not collected. Such information may provide greater insight into trajectories of smartphone/internet use and related clinical correlates. Second, although participants who self-reported having any mental illness were excluded, such diagnoses were not confirmed by formal psychiatric interviews or data from medical records. Therefore, potential influences of psychiatric disorders on the study results cannot be excluded. Third, only university students (with the majority from one university) were recruited using convenience sampling. Therefore, the results of the present study may not generalize to other university students, other age populations such as retired people or high school students. Fourth, although missing data in the present study appeared not to be missing at random, significant differences were found between those completing the survey only once, those completing twice, those completing three times, and those completing all four assessments in age, hours spent on using smartphone per day, and hours spent on using social media per day. As such, generalizability of the current findings among university students warrants additional investigation. Fifth, data were collected from Hong Kong and the extent to which the findings generalize to other populations also warrants further investigation. Sixth, data on impactful life events (e.g., receiving psychological treatment, stressful life events like death of a family member) were not collected between baseline and follow-up assessments. Therefore, the confounding effects of these impactful life events cannot be excluded. Seventh, all data were self-reported using Google Forms and are subject to biases including memory recall and social desirability. Finally, only one type of specific smartphone/internet problematic use (i.e., social media use) was assessed. Given that other specific forms of smartphone/internet use (e.g., shopping, pornography viewing, gaming, gambling) may be problematic, these also require examination in future investigations.

### Table 3. Correlation matrix among problematic smartphone use, problematic social media use, and psychological distress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SA_T1</th>
<th>SA_T2</th>
<th>SA_T3</th>
<th>SA_T4</th>
<th>SMA_T1</th>
<th>SMA_T2</th>
<th>SMA_T3</th>
<th>SMA_T4</th>
<th>P_T1</th>
<th>P_T2</th>
<th>P_T3</th>
<th>P_T4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SA_T1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>0.696</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_T2</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_T3</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA_T4</td>
<td>0.696</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA_T1</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA_T2</td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>0.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA_T3</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA_T4</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_T1</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_T2</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_T3</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_T4</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>0.372</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SA = problematic smartphone use, assessed using the Smartphone Application-Based Addiction Scale; SMA = problematic social media use, assessed using the Bergen Social Media Addiction Scale; P = psychological distress, assessed using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; T1 = Time 1 (baseline); T2 = Time 2 (first follow-up); T3 = Time 3 (second follow-up); T4 = Time 4 (third follow-up). All P-values < 0.01.
CONCLUSIONS

The present study found that problematic smartphone/internet use was positively associated with psychological distress longitudinally among university students. More specifically, the initial level of generalized problematic use only increased the initial level of psychological distress, and the initial level of problematic use in social media increased both the initial level and growth of psychological distress. Additionally, growth of generalized smartphone/internet problematic use increased the growth of psychological distress, while the growth of the problematic use in social media did not show the same relationship. The present study findings provide insights that may help foster and develop appropriate and effective programs to prevent psychological distress among young adults.

Funding sources: The study was supported by the Faculty Collaborative Research Scheme between Social Sciences and Health Sciences, Faculty of Health and Social Sciences, the Hong Kong Polytechnic University.

Fig. 2. Standardized coefficients and fit indices of latent growth models that examined the impacts of psychological distress on problematic internet use. Inter = intercept; B = Bergen Social Media Addiction Scale; S = Smartphone Application-Based Addiction Scale; H = Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; T1 = Time 1 (baseline); T2 = Time 2 (first follow-up); T3 = Time 3 (second follow-up); T4 = Time 4 (third follow-up). All models controlled age and gender. Significant coefficients are in bold with *. The coefficient underlined is a Heywood case; that is, an improper solution. (a) Generalized problematic smartphone/internet use of smartphone application. (b) Specific problematic smartphone/internet use of social media.
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