1 Highlights (max 85 characters, includes spaces, for each)

- 2 Potato juice wastewater was applied for astaxanthin production
- Bacterial and microalgal activity were integrated for potato juice treatment
- Higher *H. pluvialis* biomass and astaxanthin yield than the yield in standard
 medium
- Potato juice significantly improved microalgae growth and astaxanthin induction
- The integrated valorization system is economically attractive for potato juice
 valorization

10 Graphic abstract



Integrated valorization system for simultaneous high strength organic wastewater treatment and astaxanthin production from *Haematococcus pluvialis*

25 Minmin Pan^{1,†,‡}, Xinyu Zhu ¹, Gang Pan^{*†,‡,#}, Irini Angelidaki^{*1}

- [¶]Department of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2899
 Lyngby, Denmark
- ^{*}Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing
 100085, China
- ³⁰ ^{*t*}Sino-Danish College of University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
- *Centre of Integrated Water-Energy-Food Studies (iWEF), School of Animal, Rural, and
 Environmental Sciences, Nottingham Trent University, Brackenhurst Campus, NG25 0QF,
 UK
- 34 *Corresponding authors: gpan@rcees.ac.cn (G. Pan); iria@env.dtu.dk (I. Angelidaki);

35 Abstract

H. pluvialis is recognized as the best natural astaxanthin supplier, however, it is still hindered for 36 industrial production due to its high-cost of cultivation and long induction period. The present 37 investigation proposed a simultaneous astaxanthin production and potato juice wastewater 38 treatment process. By means of acidification (the completely stirred tank, CSTR reactor) and 39 methogenesis (the up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket, UASB reactor), the potato juice wastewater 40 41 was anaerobically digested, the nutrient contents and pH were improved for mixotrophic (acidification effluents) and autotrophic (methogenesis effluents) growth of H. pluvialis. 42 43 Meanwhile, methane was obtained as energy by-product. During the cultivation, both effluents 44 promoted higher biomass accumulation than the standard culture medium. The acidification effluents achieved much higher astaxanthin production (24.5-27.9 mg/g) than the control (4.6 45 mg/g) in significantly shortened induction period (3 days) regarding its moderate acetate and 46 47 potassium components. Meanwhile, the methogenesis effluents also promoted a higher astaxanthin production (18.3 mg/g) than the control with 12 days. The H. pluvialis cultivation process further 48 49 remediated the wastewater, by which, a final removal rate of 51.3-75.8%, 86.5-98.3%, and 50 69.4-83.4% were achieved for the COD, phosphorus and ammonia, respectively. The remediated wastewater could be recycled for the dilution utilization during the process. This study 51 52 investigated a promising three-stage process for simultaneous efficient astaxanthin and methane 53 production with low-cost, and potato juice wastewater remediation.

54
55 Keywords: anaerobic digestion, astaxanthin induction, microalgae, biogas, high strength organic
56 wastewater

57 **1. Introduction**

The blooming of industrial activities results in a wide production of high strength 58 59 organic wastewater[1,2]. The excessive high amount of organic matters, phosphorus and 60 nitrogen[3] in the high strength organic wastewater poses a big challenge to the 61 environment. Among them, a large amount of potato juice wastewater is produced due to 62 the highly water demanding process of potato-starch producing. Each ton of potato-starch production generates approximate 3.5 tons potato juice wastewater[4]. As the major source 63 of starch, the tremendous consumption of potato results in a massive amount of potato juice 64 65 wastewater during potato-starch processing[5]. The potato juice wastewater is not only rich 66 in bio-available nutrients, e.g., nitrogen and phosphorus, but also contains abundant protein, 67 starch and other organic matters, leading to extreme COD pollution as high as 191.5 g/L 68 COD[4,6]. Discharge without treatments may subject environment to acute pollution[7].

69 Biological[8], precipitation-based[9] and membrane-based[10] techonologies have been applied for the treatment of potato juice wastewater. However, these approaches rarely 70 consider the nutrients recovery and generally require large amount of energy and materials 71 72 input. In view of sustainable development, the potato juice has been investigated for biogas 73 production[4,6] through anaerobic digestion (AD) process for simultaneous energy 74 production and wastewater treatment. Such approaches, e.g., by means of up-flow anaerobic 75 sludge blanket (UASB), even could efficiently remove COD from the potato juice, however, 76 left large amount of nutrients loss of nitrogen and phosphorus^[4]. Worse still, the discharge 77 of high contents nitrogen and phosphorus causes serious eutrophication[11] problems and 78 poses threats to the ecosystems[12].

79 To date, microalgae-based wastewater treatment technologies attract rising attention regarding its simultaneous nitrogen, phosphorus and COD removal capacity[13,14], and potential 80 valuable microalgae-relevant products[15]. Astaxanthin, as one of the significant microalgae 81 by-products, has its crucial role as pigmentation source for aquaculture and poultry industries[16]. 82 Studies further revealed its promising potential of nutraceutical and medical value regarding the 83 84 high antioxidant activity[17,18]. These properties generate an US\$200 million annual astaxanthin market with US\$2500 kg-1 unit price[15]. The commercial astaxanthin market is 85 dominant by synthetic astaxanthin[19], however the natural astaxanthin is still irreplaceable for 86 the safety use to human[20]. In this view, Haematococcus pluvialis, as one of the main natural 87 88 astaxanthin supplier, contains much higher astaxanthin (up to 5%) than others microalge species 89 (0.15-0.4%)[15], making it the most competitive natural source for commercial production. 90 However, the high-cost of cultivation process[16] and long induction period (8-12 days) [19] [21] 91 hinder the industrial production.

Efforts have been made of applying wastewaters, *e.g.*, domestic and primary-treated piggery
wastewater for *H. pluvialis* cultivation to reduce the general costs [22,23]. However, it rises safety
concerns due to the potential risks generated by the wastewaters[23] for astaxanthin product.

95 Therefore, exploring less polluted "safe" wastewater for *H. pluvialis* cultivation could provide an 96 optional solution. The potato juice wastewater is producing in a well controlled process with few 97 chemical addiction. The process is thermo-chemical which also prevents the growth of pathogenic 98 microbes. Thus, the potato juice wastewater is a potential safe source of for *H. pluvialis* 99 cultivation.

100 Apart from nitrogen and phosphorus capture, microalgae could only metabolize limited types of carbon sources[24] under heterotrophic or mixotrophic growth. Notablly, both heterotrophic 101 102 and mixotrophic growth could promot significant higher growth rates and nutrients removal rates than autotrophic growth[25]. Nevertheless, the high COD content in the potato juice wastewater 103 usually contains wide types of organic matters including protein, starch[6], which are not 104 105 bioavailable for microalgae. This may limit the COD and nutrients removal rates and even inhibit 106 the growth of microalgae[13]. Therefore, optimal pretreatment of the potato juice wastewater to 107 convert the low bioavailable organic matters is critical for *H. pluvialis* cultivation.

To address the proposed problems, the present study developed an integrated process for full 108 109 wastewater valorization. By applying methanogenic (mesophilic UASB reactor) and acidogenic (thermophilic completely stirred tank reactor, CSTR) processes, methane was obtained as energy 110 111 by-products and the nutrient composition of the potato juice wastewater was optimized. Effluents 112 were further used for the cultivation of *H. pluvialis* and astaxanthin induction. Meanwhile, the 113 recapture of nitrogen and phosphorus by H. pluvialis may further contribute to the treatment of the 114 potato juice wastewater. This study investigated the sustainable three-stage biological treatment 115 for the potato juice wastewater with methane and astaxanthin production as valuable by-products.

116 2. Methodology

117 2.1 Process design and wastewater source

In this investigation, a three-stage experiment including digestion of the potato juice wastewater, microalgae cultivation and astaxanthin induction process was designed. The potato juice wastewater of potato starch processing (obtained from, KMC, Denmark) was concentrated after evaporation process. In the first stage, two reactors, thermophilic completely stirred tank (CSTR) reactor (for methanogenesis AD process) and up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactor (for acidification AD process) were applied for the wastewater pre-treatment.

124 2.2 Bacteria driven fermentation

125 The mesophilic methanogenic (UASB) reactor was with a working volume of 1340 ml, 10 hours retention time and a recirculation speed of 2.0 m/h. A feeding peristaltic pump was used for 126 a continuous sample injection. The mesophilic granules were obtained from the Haribo factory in 127 Denmark. A 4 °C storage condition was given to the granules before usage. Short before the start 128 of the UASB reactor, the granules were activated in standard BA medium with 4 g/L starch under 129 130 37 °C until a stable gas production was achieved. Next, five days adaption time was given with a 131 stepwise increasing of the potato juice wastewater loading from 2.4 to 9.6 gVS/Lr/d. The UASB reactor, with an organic loading of 9.6 g VS/Lr/d potato juice wastewater. After 5 days pre-running, 132 the effluent was collected for further experiment. 133

The acidogenic (CSTR) reactor was with 1830ml working volume and 6 days retention time under thermophilic condition $(55 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$ with an electrical heating jacket for the temperature maintenance. Thermophilic inocula (from previous running CSTR methanogenesis sludge) was firstly inoculated into the reactor. 10 days pre-running of the CSTR reactor with stepwise increased organic loading from 0.08 g VS/Lr/d to 0.33 g VS/Lr/d was conducted before the collection of the effluent. Finally, the CSTR was supplied with 0.33 gVS/Lr/d organic loading from the potato juice wastewater. Gas content was monitored daily. After 10 days pre-running, the effluent was collected.

142 2.3 Microalgae cultivation and astaxanthin induction

143 In the microalgae cultivation stage, triplicated batch experiment in 1L flasks with 500ml 144 working volume was applied. The species Haematococcus pluvialis was obtained from the culture 145 collection of algae at Goettingen university (192.80 SAG). The effluent from UASB and CSTR 146 reactors was firstly centrifuged with 4000rmp for 10min, the supernatant was further autoclaved 147 for 20min. Afterwards, the CSTR effluent was diluted 18 (CSTR G1) and 25 (CSTR G2) times while the UASB effluent was diluted 10 times (UASB G1) to simulate varying retention time in a 148 149 continuous reactor. H. pluvialis was pre-cultured in the MWC+SE medium until the microalgae 150 reached an exponential phase, then inoculated with a ratio of 1:10 into each flasks. Meanwhile, the control H. pluvialis was inoculated into the MWC+SE medium. All flasks were placed on the 151 152 shaker with 80rmp under room temperature (25°C) with a light intensity of 1000 lux with a 153 light/dark ratios of 12:12. Ventilation-sterilization-membranes were used to cover all flasks. At 154 day 15, 60ml samples from each flask were taken to place under strong illumination condition (1600 lux) with a light/dark ratio of 24:0 for the induction of astaxanthin. 155

156 2.4 Sampling and analytical methods

During the 15 days experiment, 0.5 ml sample was taken daily from each flask for the cell number counting. 10 ml sample was taken on day 0, 2, 8, 10, 12 and 15 for the detection of phosphorus, ammonium and COD. Extra 5ml samples were taken on day 0 and 15 for the detection of VFA and NO_x. During the astaxanthin induction period, pellets was collected on day 3 and 12 for the detection of astaxanthin.

162 During the anaerobic digestion process, the produced methane and carbon dioxide content of 163 the UASB and CSTR reactors was detected daily with a gas chromatograph (GC Thermo Fisher 164 scientific 1310) equipped with a flame ionization detector. The biogas production volume was 165 measured with water-displacement gas meters. For the VFA detection, samples were pre-treated 166 with phosphoric acid. Gas chromatographer (GC, TRACE 1300 of THERMO Scientific) was used for the detection of VFA (acetate, butyrate, and propionate) concentrations. The GC was equipped 167 168 with a HP FFAP (free fatty acid phase) column (30 m *0.53mm *1.0µm) and flame ionization 169 detector.

The hemacytometer (Thoma) was used for the counting of the cell number daily. Freeze dry
method was applied for the detection of dry weight of the biomass. The dry weight and cell
number of *H. pluvialis* was further correlated with the following equation,

173

$$W_{dry} = 2*10^{-6*} N + 0.0069$$
 (1)

where, W_{dry} (g/L) is the dry weight of the *H. pluvialis* dry weight, N (per mL) is the cell number of the *H. pluvialis* (Tab. S1).

Specific water qualities were detected as follows: the TS and VS were measured according to standard method[26] The total ammonia was determined by the Kjeldahl method. COD was determined by the standard method[27]. The ammonia, nitrate, nitrite and phosphate concentrations were determined by the segmented flow analysis (Scan++ system, Skalar analytical BV, the Netherlands). PHM00 LAB pH meter was used for the measurement of pH. The
potassium element concentration was measured by the inductive coupled plasma-optical emission
spectrometer (ICP-OES, Perkin Elmer Avio 200) with an acidification pre-treatment by HNO₃ (2%
w/w).

For the astaxanthin quantification of *H. pluvialis*, microalgae pellets were centrifuged with 184 185 4500rmp for 15min. After freeze-dry, the pellets were filled with 2ml acetone (HPLC-grade) to abstract the pigments in darkness at 5 °C for 24 hours. During the abstraction, pellets were 186 187 thoroughly mixed 3 times with vortex. After the abstraction, the samples were dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate and centrifuged for 5 min at 1000g. After filtered with 0.2 µm PTFE 188 filters, samples were transferred to amber HPLC-vials with N2 as protective layer. Pre-treated 189 190 samples were analyzed by HPLC-DAD (Agilent 1100, US) with a C8 reversed phase column 191 (Eclipse Plus C8, Agilent technologies, US). Tetrabutyl ammonium acetate (TBAA) pH 6.5 and 192 methanol were used as eluents. Astaxanthin standard was acquired from DHI (Denmark).

One-way ANOVA was used to compare biomass, astaxanthin and water quality parameters.
SPSS19.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) and origin 8.5 (OriginLab, Northampton, MA, USA) were used for data analyzing and figures ploting.

3. Results and discussion

197 **3.1 Bacteria driven digestion process**

198 The original potato juice wastewater was with high-strengthed COD up to 342.33 g/L, and rich in nitrogen (mainly in forms of 2720.00 mgN/L ammonia and 107.77 g/L protein) and 199 200 phosphorus (4766.67 mg/L). The low pH (4.75) weakens the suitability for microalgae cultivation (Tab.1). The mesophilic up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) and thermophilic continious 201 stirred tank (CSTR) reactor configuration were chosen to achieve methanogenic and acidogenic 202 process, respectively. After the pre-treatment, the effluent quality was characterised in the Tab.1. 203 204 Both reactors had been given an adaption phase, during which, a stepwise increase of methane production yield in the UASB reactor and a gradual decreasing methane production yield in the 205 CSTR reactor was achieved. Effluents from UASB and CSTR reactors were collected during the 206 207 stationary phase. In the mesophilic UASB reactor, the methane production yield was stable around 291-336 ml CH4/g COD with a methane recovery rate in the range between 83.00 to 95.94% (Fig. 208 209 1), indicating a thorough anaerobic digestion process of methanogenesis. The methanogenesis 210 digested most bio-available organic carbon into methane and carbon dioxide[28], leading to a 211 significant COD reduction of 75.44% (Tab. 1) in the UASB effluent. The left COD (1.40g/L) in the UASB effluent was mainly in form of poorly biodegradable substrates. Meanwhile, in the 212 thermophilic CSTR reactor, conditions were controlled for an acidification-dominant[29] 213 anaerobic digestion. By applying a hydraulic retention time (HRT) of 6 days, 12.71-18.70% of the 214 215 organic carbon was converted to methane (Fig. 1). The rests were mainly converted to VFAs via 216 acidification process (Fig. 3b, c and d). Consequently, the anaerobic digestion process only achieved a slight decline of COD (17.58%) in the CSTR reactor, however, through the 217 acidification process, generated a large amount of VFAs (Fig. 3b, c and d). Among the VFAs, 218 219 acetate is regarded as the most important carbon source for *Haematococcus pluvialis* (*H. pluvialis*). 220 Therefore, the acidification process in the CSTR reactor had conversed the hard

221 microalga-available organic carbon sources into *H. pluvialis* degradable carbon source.

Apart from carbon sources, both pre-treatments enormously elevated the ammonia nitrogen 222 content (from 50.33 and 166.67 to 343.33 and 1450mg/L in the UASB and CSTR effluent, 223 respectively) and slightly increased the PO₄-P content (Tab.1). During the anaerobic digestion 224 225 process, the high content of protein in the potato juice wastewater was hydrolysed to amino acids 226 and further through the catabolism of bacteria produced ammonia[30] contributed to the elevated ammonia concentration in the effluents. Additionally, the organically bound P could also be 227 228 released regarding the organic matter destruction during anaerobic digestion[31], which may contributed to the increase of soluble phosphorus (orthophosphate) concentration in the effluents. 229 Besides, pH of both effluents were raised to neutral (from 4.75 to 7.00 and 6.72 in the UASB and 230 231 CSTR reactor, respectively, Tab.1). As a consequence, the pre-treatment by the UASB reactor 232 (methanogenesis) has significantly decreased the carbon content and elevated the ammonia 233 nitrogen content in the C:N:P ratio of the wastewater, and adjusted the pH to neutral, meanwhile, the pre-treatment by CSTR reactor (acidification) has significantly raised the ammonia nitrogen 234 235 content and adjusted the pH to neutral, and acidified the most organic carbon into VFAs. Regarding the water quality changes, *H. pluvialis* may benefit in different aspects. 236

Tab. 1 Characteristics of the potato juice wastewater (original and effluent)

	COD (g/L)	NH ₄ -N	NO ₃ -N	PO ₄ -P (mgP/L)	рН
		(mgN/L)	(mgN/L)		
Original	342.33±2.05	2720.00±56.57	320.00±32.66	4766.67±49.89	4.75±0.05
Inffluent UASB	5.70 ± 0.07	50.33±0.47	4.88±0.50	81±0.41	
Effluent UASB	$1.40{\pm}0.01$	$343.33{\pm}22.48$	2.38±0.25	96.5±1.08	$7.00{\pm}0.08$
Inffluent CSTR	18.03 ± 0.12	166.67 ± 2.49	14.61 ± 1.49	206±2.83	
Effluent CSTR	14.86 ± 0.42	1450.00 ± 35.59	5.25±4.92	237.67 ± 2.05	6.72 ± 0.07
MWC+Se medium		0	14.00	1.55	$7.00{\pm}0.10$

Original wastewater protein: 107.77±3.28 g/L

Original wastewater total solids: 374.22±1.88 g/L

Original wastewater volatile solids: 262.40±3.66 g/L

238



239 240

Fig.1 Methane production yield and recovery rate in the CSTR and UASB reactor

241



244 3.2.1 Haematococcus pluvialis biomass accumulation



245

246 247

Fig.2 Biomass accumulation of *H. pluvialis* during the secondary potato juice wastewater cultivation

248 After the potato juice wastewater pre-treatment, H. pluvialis was cultured in both effluents 249 with varying dilution rates to simulate different retention times in a photo-bioreactor. Fig. 2 250 showed that all three groups achieved a higher biomass accumulation than the control, however, at 251 different periods. Effluents from the acidification process (CSTR reactor) promoted a faster and higher biomass growth. Specifically, the H. pluvialis in the CSTR G1 and G2 groups gained the 252 highest biomass of 0.38 and 0.30 g/L, respectively. The rapid growth of biomass started right after 253 the inoculum and last for 9-10 days, following by a sharp death phase. Regarding the growth 254 255 curves and acetate concentrations, this is well consistent with mixotrophic growth mode of H. pluvialis[32]. Meanwhile, both UASB G1 and control groups obtained a lower growth rate than 256 257 the CSTR groups, however, last longer for 14 days and generated a maximum biomass production 258 of 0.41 and 0.25 g/L, respectively. This corresponded well with the autotrophic growth of H. 259 pluvialis[33].

The trophic modes of *H. pluvialis* was significantly affected by carbon sources in the effluents. According to the results, we hypothesized that, after acidification dominant anaerobic digestion, the effluents promoted a mixotrophic growth of H. pluvialis. While, through the methanogenesis process, *H. pluvialis* gained an autotrophic growth.

264

265 3.2.2 Organic carbon conversion



266

267 268 Fig.3 Effleunt carbon concentration changes during the *H. pluvialis* treatment of (a) COD, (b) acetic acid, (c) propionic acid and (d) butyric acid

In the first stage, the potato juice wastewater pre-treatment through methanogenic (UASB 269 reactor) and acidogenic (CSTR reactor) process contributed to 75.4% and 17.6% of the COD 270 removal (Tab.1), respectively. In the further microalgae treatment stage, H. pluvialis performed an 271 272 overall rapid COD removal in the earliest phase (2-4 days), of which, 50.1%, 61.0% and 73.9% of 273 the COD was removed from the UASB G1, CSTR G1 and CSTR G2 systems (Fig. 3a), respectively. Regardless the bioavailability of the organic matters, microalgae cells showed a wide 274 bio-adsorption capacity[34], which may explain the rapid COD removal in all effluents at early 275 276 stage. However, as the dynamic process of microalgal growth and death, organic matters was again released into the water, leading to a rebound and float of the COD concentrations. 277 278 Methanogenesis process (in the UASB reactor) digested most organic matters into methane and 279 carbon dioxide, leaving low biodegradable organic matters in the effluent (under detect limit level 280 of VFAs, Fig. 3 b, c and d). Therefore, the un-bioavailable organic matters and the cell fraction were released into the water again with the death of microalgae, resulted in no further removal of 281 282 COD in the UASB G1 groups at day 15 (Fig. 3a). Comparably, the CSTR G1 and G2 groups 283 promoted a final COD removal rate of 40.9% (from 457.9 to 207.8 mg/L) and 59.1% (from 363.9 to 148.8 mg/L), respectively. The acidification (in the CSTR reactor) converted most organic 284 matters into VFAs, among which, the acetate is recognized as the most efficient carbon source for 285 H. pluvials[35]. Fig.3b showed the initial acetic acid concentration of 103.8 and 80.9mg/L in the 286 CSTR G1 and G2 groups. As the assimilation by H. pluvialis, complete acetic acid has been 287 removed at day 15 (under detection limit). The existence of acetate also promoted the growth of H. 288 289 pluvialis (Fig.2). An amount of the propionic and butyric acid was also detected in the CSTR 290 effluent. Results showed a nearly complete removal of the propionic and butyric acid (except for 291 the butyric acid of CSTR RT1). However, no obvious evidence indicates the assimilation of these two types of VFAs by *H. pluvialis*. In view of carbon balance, during the acidification process, 292 293 72.2-73.5% of the total COD was converted to VFAs (Tab. S2), while the methanogenesis process

achieved no VFAs conversion. Furtherly, even the microalgae cultivation contributed to 69.3%, 294 73.5% and 0% of the COD removal rate in forms of VFAs consumption, however, a final lower 295 entire COD removal rate of 40.9%, 59.1% and 0% was achieved in the CSTR G1-microalgae, 296 CSTR G2-microalgae and UASB G1-microalgae groups, respectively. The microalgae cultivation 297 process is a dynamic process of COD uptake and release. Bio-available VFAs may be consumed 298 299 by the H. pluvialis during growth, however, the H. pluvialis may also release organic matters into 300 the water, which caused the increase of COD. Consequently, taking the entire anaerobic digestion 301 and microalgae treatment into consideration, a final COD removal rate of 51.3%, 66.3% and 75.8% was gained by the CSTR G1-microalgae, CSTRG2- microalgae and UASB G1-microalge groups, 302 respectively. The lower entire COD removal rates could be improved by optimizing the CSTR and 303 UASB reactor conditions to provide a higher efficiency of acidification and methanogenesis 304 process, respectively. 305

- 306
- 307 3.2.3 Nutrients recovery by the *H. pluvialis*
- 308
- 309



310

312

311

Fig. 4 Nutrient concentration changes during the cultivation of *H. pluvialis* of (a) NH₄-N, (b) NO₃-N, (c) PO₄-P, and (d) potassium concentration change

During the microalgae cultivation, the nutrients re-capture by the H. pluvialis was also 313 studied. Different from the control (in form of nitrate), the main nitrogen source in the UASB and 314 CSTR reactor effluent was in the form of ammonia (Fig. 4 a, b). Results indicated the UASB G1 315 groups with a much higher initial nitrogen concentration than the CSTR G1 and G2 groups. With a 316 317 concentration float during the cultivation, a final ammonia nitrogen concentration of 4.56 mg/L (removal rate 83.4%), 3.31 mg/L (removal rate 71.3%) and 2.59 mg/L (removal rate 69.4%) in the 318 UASB G1, CSTR G1 and CSTR G2 groups was achieved (Fig. 4a), respectively. Meanwhile, 319 nitrate and nitrite concentrations of all treatment groups were not significantly increased, 320

indicating an efficient nitrogen removal by the *H. pluvialis*. Notably, the final nitrogen concentrations of treatment and control groups were in similar levels but in different forms (2.6 to 4.6 mg/L, Fig. 4 a, b). Microalgae usually prefer ammonium as nitrogen source over nitrate regarding no redox reaction and low energy requirement during assimilation[36]. The Study also implied that the ammonia could be a better nitrogen source than nitrate in growth promotion of the *H. pluvialis*[37]. The nitrogen in forms of ammonium in the treatment groups contributed to the overall higher biomass accumulation than the control (Fig. 2).

All treatment groups presented similar original phosphorus concentrations (2.38-2.86 mg/L, Fig. 3 c). As the consumption of phosphorus by the *H. pluvials* during growth, the phosphorus concentrations stepwise declined with time, a final phosphorus removal rate (at day 15) of 86.5%, 92.0% and 98.3% was gained by the UASB G1, CSTR G1 and CSTR G2 groups, respectively (Fig. 4 c). Notably, even the phosphorus concentration declined to below 1 mg P/L after 8 days, microalgae growth could still be observed in all groups due to the phosphate reservation ability as polyphosphate granules in the microalga cells[22].

H. pluvialis demonstrated a strong nutrients re-capture capacity from wastewater[23,38].
After treatment by *H. pluvialis*, a low level of nitrogen and phosphorus was left in the wastewater
(Tab. S3), which showed the potential of astaxanthin induction without changing induction
medium.

339

340 3.3 Astaxanthin induction from wastewater cultivated microalgae

341





Fig. 5 Astaxanthin content during the induction of *H. pluvials*.

After 15 days biomass accumulation, samples were shifted under high light intensity 345 induction condition (1600 lux). During the induction period, all effluent groups demonstrated 346 overall higher and faster astaxanthin induction efficiency. Within three days of being shifted to 347 high light intensity induction condition, the CSTR G1, G2 and UASB groups had already 348 349 accumulated much higher astaxanthin than the control (4.6 mg/g), which was 5.3 times (24.5 350 mg/g), 6.1 times (27.9 mg/L) and 2.5 times (11.5 mg/g) of the control, respectively. Longer 351 induction time (till day 12) didn't elevate the astaxanthin production in the CSTR G1 and G2 groups significantly (p<0.05, 27.6 and 26.9 mg/g, respectively), however, had further raised the 352 353 astaxanthin production in the UASB and control groups to 18.3 and 14.7 mg/g, respectively (Fig. 354 5). The results indicated that the effluents of acidification pre-treatment had a better performance in shortening the induction time and promoting the astaxanthin yield than the effluents of 355 356 methanogenesis pre-treatment.

357 The water qualities were further investigated to interpret the induction results. The original 358 potato juice wastewater was rich in potassium, resulted in a much higher potassium concentration (80.08, 115.67 and 81.63 mg/L in the UASB, CSTR RT1 and CSTR RT2 groups, respectively) 359 360 than the control (3.9 mg/L, Fig. 4d). During the cultivation of H. pluvialis, potassium 361 concentration showed no significant changes (Fig. 4d, p<0.05) and kept in a high level till the end of the experiment (day 15). Potassium could inhibit the H. pluvials growth (over 40mM), 362 363 meanwhile, could significantly promote the astaxanthin production (under 70mM)[39]. In the present study, the effluents offered a moderate level of potassium, which was lower than the 364 growth inhibition levels, but could contributed to the promotion of astaxanthin induction. Study 365 366 also revealed, as the astaxanthin accumulation, the potassium-transporting protein is induced in 367 the red cyst of H. pluvialis[40]. The demands of potassium during the astaxanthin induction explained the overall higher astaxanthin induction in the effluents than the control. Besides, 368 369 acetate has been widely demonstrated of its both vegetative growth and astaxanthin induction 370 potential[32,41]. Fig. 2b and 4d showed an higher amount of acetic acid and potassium in the CSTR effluents, as an inducing agent, potassium acetate was also reported to highly induce the 371 372 astaxanthin accumulation[42]. The extra acetate in the CSTR effluents further shortened the 373 induction time and increased the astaxanthin yield.

Additionally, the switch from biomass accumulation process to astaxanthin induction process
usually requires a medium change to provide the H. pluvialis with a nutrient deficiency condition.
Regarding the low concentration of the nutrients left in the water after *H. pluvialis* treatment (Tab.
S1), this novel process didn't require a replacement of astaxanthin induction medium from the

378 cultivation to induction phases[43].

379 **3.6 Economical respects of intergrated wastewater valorization system**



Fig. 6 Sustainable development of integrated astaxanthin production and potato juice wastewater
 treatment with nutrients re-capture and energy by-product (methane).

This investigation developed a sustainable solution for simultaneous potato juice wastewater 383 treatment and low-cost astaxanthin production. From ecological view, potato juice wastewater is 384 distinguish from common wastewaters for various reasons. Unlike common wastewaters, potato 385 juice wastewater contains no pathogenic microorganisms or toxic compounds[44], which could 386 387 broaden the reutilization of the obtained astaxanthin from wastewater cultivation to strict usage, 388 e.g., feed, food, cosmetics. In the meantime, the high contents of phosphorus and nitrogen are ideal nutrients for H. pluvialis. However, regarding the high COD composition in forms of low 389 390 microalgae-available organic matters, pre-treatment through methanogenesis (UASB reactor) and 391 acidification (CSTR reactor) has optimized the organic carbon sources (largely removed/ or conversed to VFAs) for *H. pluvialis*, and obtained methane as energy by-products. The nutrients in 392 393 the secondary effluents (mainly nitrogen and phosphorus) were further re-captured by the H. 394 pluvialis to support the biomass accumulation. With the moderate level of potassium (in both 395 effluents) and acetate (only in the CSTR effluents), an accelerated and promoted astaxanthin induction was achieved, which contributed to reduce the maintenance cost. The treated wastewater 396 397 after H. pluvialis could be reused for dilution during the whole process to bring down the water 398 consumption. According to the market price of astaxanthin (US\$ 2500/kg)[15] and methane (US\$ 2.5/MMBtu) [45], we estimated that, the integrated acidogenic-H. pluvialis process could 399 400 generate up to 9932 US\$/ton potato juice wastewater from astaxanthin production and 234-346 US\$/ton potato juice wastewater from methane production, while, the integrated methanogenic-H. 401 pluvialis process could gain 11265 US\$/ton potato juice wastewater from astaxanthin production 402 and 6647-7675 US\$/ton potato juice wastewater from methane production, which regardless the 403 404 related costs including facility construction, operation, energy consumption, astaxanthin 405 abstraction, etc. A further assessment of the net profit still needed to be specified, however, this estimation showed the potential conversion from potato juice wastewater to high valuable 406 407 by-products of astaxanthin and methane.

408

409 **Conclusion**

Methanogenic (through UASB reactor) and acidogenic (through CSTR reactor) digestion 410 411 process demonstrated the nutrients improvement and pH adjustment ability on the potato juice wastewater for efficient H. pluvialis biomass production and astaxanthin induction. The 412 methanoganic process convered most organic matters to gaseous carbon and significantly elevated 413 the ammonium content through protein digestion. Methane was gained as energy by-products and 414 415 the effluents supported an augmented autotrophic growth and higher astaxanthin production than 416 the standard culture medium. The acidogenic process conversed most organic matters into VFAs 417 and enormously raised the ammonium content. The effluents promoted a mixotrophic H. pluvialis 418 growth and achieved much higher biomass and astaxanthin production than the standard culture 419 medium. The effluents through acidification demonstrated the best biomass and astaxanthin 420 promotion capacity, and largely shortened the cultivation and induction time regarding its moderate acetate and potassium compounds. The cultivation of H. pluvialis further contributed to 421 422 the wastewater remediation by means of nitrogen, phosphorus and organic carbon re-capture, 423 effluents could be recycled as dilution water during the process. This study demonstrated a 424 promising and cost-effective technology of potato juice wastewater reutilization for energy and 425 astaxanthin production.

426 Acknowledgement

The research was supported by the InWAP project supported by the Danish Innovation
Foundation. The PhD fellowship of Minmin Pan was supported by the China Scholarship Council
(CSC). We thank Hector Hernan Caro Garcia for the help with laboratory work.

430 **Reference**

431	[1]	R.A. Hamza, Z. Sheng, O.T. Iorhemen, M.S. Zaghloul, J.H. Tay, Impact of
432		food-to-microorganisms ratio on the stability of aerobic granular sludge treating high-strength
433		organic wastewater, Water Res. 147 (2018) 287–298.
434	[2]	N. Abdullah, A. Yuzir, T.P. Curtis, A. Yahya, Z. Ujang, Characterization of aerobic granular
435		sludge treating high strength agro-based wastewater at different volumetric loadings,
436		Bioresour. Technol. 127 (2013) 181–187.
437	[3]	J.C. Ogbonna, H. Yoshizawa, H. Tanaka, Treatment of high strength organic wastewater by a
438		mixed culture of photosynthetic microorganisms, J. Appl. Phycol. 12 (2000) 277–284.
439	[4]	C. Fang, K. Boe, I. Angelidaki, Biogas production from potato-juice, a by-product from
440		potato-starch processing, in upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) and expanded granular
441		sludge bed (EGSB) reactors, Bioresour. Technol. 102 (2011) 5734–5741.
442	[5]	B. Pastuszewska, A. Tuśnio, M. Taciak, W. Mazurczyk, Variability in the composition of potato
443		protein concentrate produced in different starch factories—A preliminary survey, Anim. Feed

444		Sci. Technol. 154 (2009) 260–264.
445	[6]	X. Zhu, L. Treu, P.G. Kougias, S. Campanaro, I. Angelidaki, Converting mesophilic upflow sludge
446		blanket (UASB) reactors to thermophilic by applying axenic methanogenic culture
447		bioaugmentation, Chem. Eng. J. 332 (2018) 508–516.
448	[7]	Z. Liu, Z. Wu, R. Li, X. Fan, Two-stage foam separation technology for recovering potato
449		protein from potato processing wastewater using the column with the spiral internal
450		component, J. Food Eng. 114 (2013) 192–198.
451	[8]	U. Abeling, C.F. Seyfried, Anaerobic-aerobic treatment of potato-starch wastewater, Water Sci.
452		Technol. 28 (1993) 165–176.
453	[9]	V. Bártová, J.A.N. Bárta, Chemical composition and nutritional value of protein concentrates
454		isolated from potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) fruit juice by precipitation with ethanol or ferric
455		chloride, J. Agric. Food Chem. 57 (2009) 9028–9034.
456	[10]	E. Šárka, V. Pour, A. Veselá, Z. Bubník, Possibilities for the use of membrane processes for the
457		pre-treatment of wastewater from the production of dried potato purée, Desalination. 249
458		(2009) 135–138.
459	[11]	G. Pan, T. Lyu, R. Mortimer, Comment: Closing phosphorus cycle from natural waters:
460		Re-capturing phosphorus through an integrated water-energy-food strategy, J. Environ. Sci. 65
461		(2018) 375–376.
462	[12]	W.M. Lewis Jr, W.A. Wurtsbaugh, H.W. Paerl, Rationale for control of anthropogenic nitrogen
463		and phosphorus to reduce eutrophication of inland waters, Environ. Sci. Technol. 45 (2011)
464		10300–10305.
465	[13]	Y. Wang, W. Guo, HW. Yen, SH. Ho, YC. Lo, CL. Cheng, N. Ren, JS. Chang, Cultivation of
466		Chlorella vulgaris JSC-6 with swine wastewater for simultaneous nutrient/COD removal and
467		carbohydrate production, Bioresour. Technol. 198 (2015) 619–625.
468	[14]	HY. Ren, BF. Liu, F. Kong, L. Zhao, N. Ren, Hydrogen and lipid production from starch
469		wastewater by co-culture of anaerobic sludge and oleaginous microalgae with simultaneous
470		COD, nitrogen and phosphorus removal, Water Res. 85 (2015) 404–412.
471	[15]	R.T. Lorenz, G.R. Cysewski, Commercial potential for Haematococcus microalgae as a natural
472		source of astaxanthin, Trends Biotechnol. 18 (2000) 160–167.
473	[16]	W. Zhang, J. Wang, J. Wang, T. Liu, Attached cultivation of Haematococcus pluvialis for
474		astaxanthin production, Bioresour. Technol. 158 (2014) 329–335.
475	[17]	E. Yamashita, Astaxanthin as a medical food, Funct. Foods Heal. Dis. 3 (2013) 254–258.
476	[18]	J. Yuan, J. Peng, K. Yin, J. Wang, Potential health-promoting effects of astaxanthin: a high-
477		value carotenoid mostly from microalgae, Mol. Nutr. Food Res. 55 (2011) 150–165.
478	[19]	M. Wan, J. Zhang, D. Hou, J. Fan, Y. Li, J. Huang, J. Wang, The effect of temperature on cell
479		growth and astaxanthin accumulation of Haematococcus pluvialis during a light-dark cyclic
480		cultivation, Bioresour. Technol. 167 (2014) 276–283.
481	[20]	B. Capelli, D. Bagchi, G.R. Cysewski, Synthetic astaxanthin is significantly inferior to algal-based
482		astaxanthin as an antioxidant and may not be suitable as a human nutraceutical supplement,
483		Nutrafoods. 12 (2013) 145–152.
484	[21]	M. Wan, D. Hou, Y. Li, J. Fan, J. Huang, S. Liang, W. Wang, R. Pan, J. Wang, S. Li, The effective
485		photoinduction of Haematococcus pluvialis for accumulating astaxanthin with attached
486		cultivation, Bioresour. Technol. 163 (2014) 26–32.
487	[22]	C.D. Kang, J.Y. An, T.H. Park, S.J. Sim, Astaxanthin biosynthesis from simultaneous N and P

488		uptake by the green alga Haematococcus pluvialis in primary-treated wastewater, Biochem.
489		Eng. J. 31 (2006) 234–238.
490	[23]	YH. Wu, J. Yang, HY. Hu, Y. Yu, Lipid-rich microalgal biomass production and nutrient
491		removal by Haematococcus pluvialis in domestic secondary effluent, Ecol. Eng. 60 (2013) 155-
492		159.
493	[24]	N. Pang, S. Chen, Effects of C5 organic carbon and light on growth and cell activity of
494		Haematococcus pluvialis under mixotrophic conditions, Algal Res. 21 (2017) 227–235.
495	[25]	S. Kim, J. Park, YB. Cho, SJ. Hwang, Growth rate, organic carbon and nutrient removal rates
496		of Chlorella sorokiniana in autotrophic, heterotrophic and mixotrophic conditions, Bioresour.
497		Technol. 144 (2013) 8–13.
498	[26]	W.E. Federation, Association APH, Stand. Methods Exam. Water Wastewater. Am. Public Heal.
499		Assoc. Washington, DC, USA. (2005).
500	[27]	W.E. Federation, A.P.H. Association, Standard methods for the examination of water and
501		wastewater, Am. Public Heal. Assoc. Washington, DC, USA. (2005).
502	[28]	F.I. Turkdogan-Avdınol. K. Yetilmezsov. A fuzzv-logic-based model to predict biogas and
503		methane production rates in a pilot-scale mesophilic UASB reactor treating molasses
504		wastewater. J. Hazard. Mater. 182 (2010) 460–471.
505	[29]	Y. Miron, G. Zeeman, J.B. Van Lier, G. Lettinga. The role of sludge retention time in the
506		hydrolysis and acidification of lipids, carbohydrates and proteins during digestion of primary
507		sludge in CSTR systems. Water Res. 34 (2000) 1705–1713.
508	[30]	KY. Choi, D.G. Wernick, C.A. Tat. J.C. Liao, Consolidated conversion of protein waste into
509		biofuels and ammonia using Bacillus subtilis. Metab. Eng. 23 (2014) 53–61.
510	[31]	M.A. Latif, C.M. Mehta, D.J. Batstone, Low pH anaerobic digestion of waste activated sludge
511		for enhanced phosphorous release. Water Res. 81 (2015) 288–293.
512	[32]	YC. Jeon, CW. Cho, YS. Yun, Combined effects of light intensity and acetate concentration
513		on the growth of unicellular microalga Haematococcus pluvialis, Enzyme Microb. Technol. 39
514		(2006) 490–495.
515	[33]	Y.Y. Choi, M.E. Hong, E.S. Jin, H.M. Woo, S.J. Sim, Improvement in modular scalability of
516	• •	polymeric thin-film photobioreactor for autotrophic culturing of Haematococcus pluvialis
517		using industrial flue gas, Bioresour. Technol. 249 (2018) 519–526.
518	[34]	Y. Wang, SH. Ho, CL. Cheng, WQ. Guo, D. Nagarajan, NQ. Ren, DJ. Lee, JS. Chang,
519	• •	Perspectives on the feasibility of using microalgae for industrial wastewater treatment,
520		Bioresour. Technol. 222 (2016) 485–497.
521	[35]	X. Gong, F. Chen, Optimization of culture medium for growth of Haematococcus pluvialis, J.
522	• •	Appl. Phycol. 9 (1997) 437–444.
523	[36]	L. Ramanna, A. Guldhe, I. Rawat, F. Bux, The optimization of biomass and lipid yields of
524		Chlorella sorokiniana when using wastewater supplemented with different nitrogen sources,
525		Bioresour. Technol. 168 (2014) 127–135.
526	[37]	A.S. Cifuentes, M.A. Gonzalez, S. Vargas, M. Hoeneisen, N. Gonzalez, Optimization of biomass,
527	J	total carotenoids and astaxanthin production in Haematococcus pluvialis Flotow strain
528		Steptoe (Nevada, USA) under laboratory conditions. Biol. Res. 36 (2003) 343–357.
529	[38]	F. Hague, A. Dutta, M. Thimmanagari, Y.W. Chiang. Integrated Haematococcus pluvialis
530		biomass production and nutrient removal using bioethanol plant waste effluent. Process Saf.
531		Environ, Prot. 111 (2017) 128–137.

532	[39]	M. Harker, A.J. Tsavalos, A.J. Young, Factors responsible for astaxanthin formation in the
533		chlorophyte Haematococcus pluvialis, Bioresour. Technol. 55 (1996) 207–214.
534	[40]	WS. LEE, B. KIM, Proteomic Analysis of Protein Expression Patterns Associated with
535		AstaxanthinAccumulation by Green Alga Haematococcus pluvialis (Chlorophyceae) Under High
536		Light Stress, J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 20 (2010) 1222–1228.
537	[41]	M. Kobayashi, T. Kakizono, S. Nagai, Enhanced carotenoid biosynthesis by oxidative stress in
538		acetate-induced cyst cells of a green unicellular alga, Haematococcus pluvialis, Appl. Environ.
539		Microbiol. 59 (1993) 867–873.
540	[42]	W. Pan-utai, P. Parakulsuksatid, N. Phomkaivon, Effect of inducing agents on growth and
541		astaxanthin production in Haematococcus pluvialis: organic and inorganic, Biocatal. Agric.
542		Biotechnol. 12 (2017) 152–158.
543	[43]	D. Christian, J. Zhang, A.J. Sawdon, CA. Peng, Enhanced astaxanthin accumulation in
544		Haematococcus pluvialis using high carbon dioxide concentration and light illumination,
545		Bioresour. Technol. 256 (2018) 548–551.
546	[44]	I. Rawat, R.R. Kumar, T. Mutanda, F. Bux, Dual role of microalgae: phycoremediation of
547		domestic wastewater and biomass production for sustainable biofuels production, Appl.
548		Energy. 88 (2011) 3411–3424.
549	[45]	J.J. Conti, P.D. Holtberg, J.A. Beamon, A.M. Schaal, J.C. Ayoub, J.T. Turnure, Annual energy
550		outlook 2014, US Energy Inf. Adm. (2014) 1–269.
551		
552		
553		
554		
555		
556		
557		
558		
559		
560		
561		
562		
563		
564		
565		
566		
567		
568		
569		
570		
571		
572		
573		
574		

- 575 Supplementary material
- 576Integratedvalorizationsystemfor577simultaneous high strength organic wastewater578treatment and astaxanthin production from579Haematococcus pluvialis
- 580 Minmin Pan^{¶,†,‡}, Xinyu Zhu [¶], Gang Pan^{†,‡,#}, Irini Angelidaki[¶]
- [¶]Department of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2899
 Lyngby, Denmark
- ^{*}Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing
 100085, China
- ^{*}Sino-Danish College of University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
- [#]Centre of Integrated Water-Energy-Food Studies (iWEF), School of Animal, Rural, and
 Environmental Sciences, Nottingham Trent University, Brackenhurst Campus, NG25 0QF,
 UK
- 589 **Corresponding authors*:
- 590

591 Tab. S1 Correlation between biomass and cell number

Biomass (g/L)	Cell number (per ml)
0.239783743	117777.7778
0.104	53541.22037
0.05	13437.53483
0.01	2816.577304
0.008	1134.287637
0	0
$W_{dry}=2*10^{-6}*N+0.0069, R^2=0.9906$	

592

593 Tab. S2 VFAs conversion during anaerobic digestion and consumption during microalgae

594 cultivation processes.

	CSTR G1-microalgae	CSTR G2-microalgae	UASB G1-microalgae
Acetic acid COD	110.682	86.277	0
Propionic acid COD	40.791	32.33	0
(mg/L) Butyric acid COD	98.565	148.782	0
(mg/L) Total VFAs COD (mg/L)	330.681	267.394	0

Total VFAs removal by	317.298	267.394	0
microalgae cultivation			
(COD, mg/L)			
VFA conversion rate	72.21%	73.47%	0
COD removal rate in	69.29%	73.47%	0
forms of VFAs by			
microalgae cultivation			

597 Tab. S3 Nutrient concentration of astaxanthin induction stage

	Phosphorus (mg P/L)	Nitrogen (mg N/L)
UASB G1	0.335	4.564
CSTR G1	0.225	3.314
CSTR G2	0.042	2.589
Control	0.457	4.067