

1

1 African and Asian leopards are highly differentiated at the genomic 2 level

3

4 Johanna L.A. Paijmans^{*,1,2,3}, Axel Barlow^{*,1,4}, Matthew S. Becker⁵, James A. Cahill⁶, Joerns
5 Fickel^{1,7}, Daniel W.G. Foerster⁷, Katrin Gries⁸, Stefanie Hartmann¹, Rasmus Worsøe Havmøller^{9,10},
6 Kirstin Henneberger¹, Christian Kern¹¹, Andrew C. Kitchener^{12,13}, Eline D. Lorenzen⁸, Michael V.
7 Westbury^{1,8}, Frieder Mayer¹⁴, Stephen J. OBrien^{15,16}, Johanna von Seth^{17,18,19}, Mikkel-Holder S.
8 Sinding⁸, Göran Spong²⁰, Olga Uphyrkina²¹, Bettina Wachter⁶, Love Dalén^{16,17,18}, Jong Bhak^{22,23,24},
9 Andrea Manica³, Michael Hofreiter¹

11 ¹ Institute for Biochemistry and Biology, University of Potsdam, Karl-Liebknecht-Str. 24-25, 14476 Potsdam, Germany

12 ² Department of Genetics & Genome Biology, University of Leicester, Leicester LE1 7RH, UK

13 ³ Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge CB2 3EJ, UK

14 ⁴ School of Science and Technology, Nottingham Trent University, Clifton Lane, Nottingham NG11 8NS, UK

15 ⁵ Zambian Carnivore Programme, PO Box 80 Mfuwe, Eastern Province, Zambia

16 ⁶ Laboratory of Neurogenetics of Language, Rockefeller University, 1230 York Avenue, New York, NY, 10065, USA

17 ⁷ Leibniz Institute for Zoo- and Wildlife Research, Alfred-Kowalke-Str. 17, 10315 Berlin, Germany

18 ⁸ Der Grüne Zoo Wuppertal, Hubertusallee 30, 42117 Wuppertal, Germany

19 ⁹ GLOBE institute, University of Copenhagen, Oester Voldgade 5-7, 1350, Copenhagen K, Denmark

20 ¹⁰ Research and Collections, Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 15,
21 2100 Copenhagen OE, Denmark

22 ¹¹ Tierpark Berlin-Friedrichsfelde, Am Tierpark 125, 10319 Berlin, Germany

23 ¹² Department of Natural Sciences, National Museums Scotland, Chambers Street, Edinburgh EH1 1JF, UK

24 ¹³ Institute of Geography, School of Geosciences, Drummond Street, University of Edinburgh EH8 9XP, UK

25 ¹⁴ Museum für Naturkunde - Leibniz-Institut für Evolutions- und Biodiversitätsforschung, Invalidenstraße 43, 10115
26 Berlin, Germany

27 ¹⁵ Laboratory of Genomics Diversity, Center for Computer Technologies, ITMO University, 49 Kronverkskiy Pr., St.
28 Petersburg, 197101, Russian Federation

29 ¹⁶ Guy Harvey Oceanographic Center, Halmos College of Arts and Sciences, Nova Southeastern University, 8000 North
30 Ocean Drive, Ft Lauderdale, Florida 33004 USA

31 ¹⁷ Department of Bioinformatics and Genetics, Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm, Sweden

32 ¹⁸ Centre for Palaeogenetics, Svante Arrhenius väg 20C, 10691 Stockholm, Sweden

33 ¹⁹ Department of Zoology, Stockholm University, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden

34 ²⁰ Wildlife, Fish, and Environmental Studies, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, 907 83 UMEA, SWEDEN

35 ²¹ East Asia Terrestrial Biodiversity Scientific Center, 159 Stoletiya Street, Vladivostok, 690022, Russia

36 ²² Korean Genomics Center (KOGIC), Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology (UNIST), Ulsan, 44919,
37 Republic of Korea

38 ²³ Clinomics, UNIST, Ulsan, 44919, Republic of Korea

39 ²⁴ Personal Genomics Institute, Genome Research Foundation, Cheongju, 28160, Republic of Korea

40

41 Lead Contact: Johanna L.A. Paijmans, paijmans.jla@gmail.com

42

43 * These authors contributed equally to this manuscript

44

45 **Keywords:** leopards, *Panthera pardus*, genomes, historical samples, leopards, *Panthera pardus*,
46 population genomics

47

48

49 **Summary**

50 Leopards are the only big cats still widely distributed across the continents of Africa and Asia. They
51 occur in a wide range of habitats and are often found in close proximity to humans. But despite their
52 ubiquity, leopard phylogeography and population history have not yet been studied with genomic
53 tools. Here, we present population-genomic data from 26 modern and historical samples
54 encompassing the vast geographical distribution of this species. We find that Asian leopards are
55 broadly monophyletic with respect to African leopards across almost their entire nuclear genomes.
56 This profound genetic pattern persists despite the animals' high potential mobility, and despite
57 evidence of transfer of African alleles into Middle Eastern and Central Asian leopard populations
58 within the last 100,000 years. Our results further suggest that Asian leopards originated from a
59 single out-of-Africa dispersal event 500–600 thousand years ago, and are characterised by higher
60 population structuring, stronger isolation-by-distance, and lower heterozygosity than African
61 leopards. Taxonomic categories do not take into account the variability in depth of divergence
62 among subspecies. The deep divergence between the African subspecies and Asian populations
63 contrasts with the much shallower divergence amongst putative Asian subspecies. Reconciling
64 genomic variation and taxonomy is likely to be a growing challenge in the genomics era.

65

66

67 **Introduction**

68 Leopards (*Panthera pardus*) are iconic big cats with the largest current distribution of all species
69 within the genus *Panthera*. They are ecological generalists inhabiting semi-desert, savanna,
70 rainforest and montane habitats and spanning an altitudinal range from sea level to 5,200 metres
71 altitude [1,2]. Historically, leopards ranged throughout sub-Saharan and north Africa, and in Asia,
72 from Turkey eastwards to south east Asia and the Russian Far East. Within the past hundred years,
73 populations in many parts of this distribution have suffered declines and are becoming increasingly
74 fragmented [2,3]. As a result, several leopard subspecies are now considered critically endangered
75 or extinct [1,4,5].

76

77 A particular focus of genetic studies of leopards has been their subspecies taxonomy [1,2,6–9].
78 Although alternative taxonomies are used [2], here we follow the most recent taxonomy proposed
79 by Kitchener et al. [5]. This taxonomy recognises eight extant subspecies with African leopards
80 assigned to a single subspecies and the remaining seven subspecies defined for different Asian
81 populations. The validity of these subspecies has been investigated using a range of molecular
82 markers, including allozymes, microsatellites and mitochondrial sequences [1,2]. However, the
83 extent to which genetic patterns inferred from these limited marker sets reflect broad patterns of
84 variation across the nuclear genome as a whole remains unknown. This question is of further
85 applied importance, as subspecies taxonomy currently provides a basis for leopard conservation
86 planning and implementation. Thus, population-genomic investigations are vital to ensure that
87 conservation efforts effectively safeguard genetic as well as taxonomic diversity in leopards.

88

89 A second focus of genetic studies of leopards has been their historical biogeography, which has
90 primarily been inferred by analysis of their mitochondrial DNA [2,8,10]. In addition to Africa and
91 Asia, leopards were also widespread in Europe during the Pleistocene, but went extinct during the
92 Holocene [4,11]. The study of mitochondrial genome sequences suggested that both Asia and

93 Europe were colonised during a single out-of-Africa dispersal event some time during the Middle
94 Pleistocene (400-700 Ka [thousands of years ago]; [2,10]). In contrast, previous mitochondrial and
95 palaeontological studies have proposed multiple migration waves [4,8], and it has been suggested
96 that the geographically isolated Javan leopard *P. p. melas* may represent a relict population from an
97 earlier out-of-Africa migration event [8]. However, mitochondrial data provide limited power to
98 differentiate among these dispersal hypotheses, or to determine the extent of post-colonisation gene
99 flow between continents, due to the idiosyncratic nature of the evolution of single loci in
100 populations.

101

102 In this study, we analyse genome data from 26 leopards, sampled across their current African and
103 Asian distribution and representing almost all extant subspecies, in order to investigate the broad-
104 scale genetic structure of leopards at the genomic level. We dissect the biogeographical history of
105 the leopard in unprecedented detail, enabling us to make inferences about the timing and magnitude
106 of the colonisation of Asia. We find evidence for a single out-of-Africa dispersal event, resulting in
107 almost complete genome monophyly of Asian leopards; a pattern that is not reflected in current
108 taxonomy, which applies equal rank to the African and all Asian subspecies. Our results should
109 stimulate the debate on the interplay between taxonomy and conservation in the genomics era.

110

111 **Results**

112

113 **Intercontinental population structure of the leopard**

114

115 We generated genome data from 23 leopard specimens, ranging from 3x to 40x genome sequence
116 coverage, comprising five modern samples (blood or tissue) and 18 samples from archival
117 collections (bone or preserved skin, henceforth referred to as ‘historical samples’) (Figure 1A,
118 Table 1). Together with three previously published individuals [12], our dataset provides genome-
119 level data from 14 African and 12 Asian leopards, representing 7 out of 8 currently recognised
120 extant subspecies (all except *P. p. nimr*) [5]. Mitochondrial genome relationships among the
121 sampled individuals are consistent with those previously recovered, displaying distinct African and
122 Eurasian clades with 100% bootstrap support (Figure S1) [2,8,10].

123

124 We also investigated the population structure of leopards using a Principal Components Analysis
125 (PCA) of 2.8 million filtered variable positions (Figure 1B). This analysis revealed strong
126 differentiation of African and Asian leopards along Principal Component (PC) 1, representing
127 almost 20% of the sampled genomic variation. PC2 is considerably smaller (approximately 5% of
128 the variation) and separates leopards within Asia. Among African leopards, the individual from
129 Morocco is also separated along this axis.

130

131 We investigated the extent of admixture among the African and Asian population clusters indicated
132 by the PCA using the program NGSadmix [13] to assign, for each individual, the genomic fractions
133 descending from two hypothesised ancestral populations (K=2 as the most likely value of K, further
134 supported by the Δk method [14,15], see STAR Methods). Using this method, the genomes of all
135 African and all but two Asian leopards were assigned to their respective population clusters (Figure
136 1C, Figure S2). The exceptions were individuals from the Palestine region and from Afghanistan,

137 which had estimated admixture fractions of 25% and 10% from the African population,
 138 respectively.

139

140 **Effect of intercontinental structure and admixture on leopard genomes**

141

142 To investigate the extent to which population structuring and admixture have shaped broad-scale
 143 patterns of genomic variation among African and Asian leopards, we divided their aligned genome
 144 sequences (autosomes only) into 2,206 non-overlapping one-megabase windows, calculated the
 145 maximum likelihood phylogeny of each, and generated a summarised Maximum Clade Credibility
 146 (MCC) tree annotated with clade frequencies indicating the proportion of genome windows that
 147 recover each clade in the tree (Figure 2). In >99% of the genome windows, Asian leopards are
 148 monophyletic (Figure 2A). In contrast, African leopards are monophyletic for only 36.9% of the
 149 genome windows. Although the percentage of genome windows that support an Asian monophyly
 150 reduces when smaller window sizes are used, as expected by incomplete lineage sorting and
 151 recombination (99.0%, 95.7%, 89.4% and 73.8% for 1Mb, 500kb, 250kb and 100kb respectively).
 152 However, even for the smallest window size tested (10x smaller, or 100Kb) almost 74% of
 153 windows support the monophyly of Asian leopards whereas only 14% support African monophyly.
 154 At this window size, a notable proportion of trees where Asian leopards are not monophyletic
 155 involve a repositioning of either the Palestinian or Afghan leopard, or both, outside of an otherwise
 156 intact Asian clade (14.8% of total trees). This suggests admixture with Africa indicated by the
 157 NGSadmix analysis is detectable at this smaller window scale, although it does not impact the
 158 general pattern of monophyly at the broader 1Mb scale. Overall, this broad pattern of whole-
 159 genome monophyly for Asian leopards is consistent with a single out-of-Africa dispersal event
 160 suggested previously by mitochondrial studies [2,10], although alternative explanations exist (such
 161 as a strong post-colonisation bottleneck, or allele “surfing” at the expansion front [16]). This pattern
 162 persists despite gene flow from Africa into Middle Eastern (Palestine region) and Central Asian
 163 (Afghanistan) populations.

164

165 **Dating out-of-Africa dispersal and gene flow**

166

167 We investigated the timing of leopard dispersal from Africa to Asia using Pairwise Sequentially
 168 Markovian Coalescent (PSMC) analysis of individuals with high sequence coverage (>16x, eight
 169 individuals). PSMC estimates population-size changes over time from the distribution of
 170 coalescence times of two alleles sampled along sections of the genome. For diverging populations,
 171 the point at which their respective PSMC curves bifurcate provides an estimate of the end of
 172 panmixia in the ancestral population. PSMC curves for African (Namibia, Tanzania, Zambia) and
 173 Asian (Nepal, China, Java) individuals start to diverge around 500-600 Ka, suggesting the initial
 174 out-of-Africa dispersal occurred around this time (Figure 3A). This date was calculated using the
 175 mutation rate previously used for other big cats [17] and later the leopard genome [12]. Although
 176 this divergence age may be overestimated if the founding population has not been sampled, it is
 177 notably consistent with recent estimates based on mitochondrial DNA (485-710 Ka; [10]; 471-825
 178 Ka [2]).

179

180 We estimated the timing of the last gene flow event between Africa and Asia using an extension of

181 the PSMC method (hPSMC [18]), which involves PSMC analysis of a hybrid diploid genome
182 generated from the haploidised genomes of an African and an Asian individual. When no more
183 coalescent events occur between these two genomes, the population size inferred by PSMC will
184 approach infinity, and can thus be used to date the end of gene flow, at least at the level of less than
185 0.1 migrants per generation [18]. Pairwise analysis of African and Asian high-coverage individuals
186 produced estimates that are consistent with data simulated based on cessation of gene flow between
187 0-100 Ka (Figure 3B). Although the upper limit of this time range may represent an overestimate,
188 because the Middle Eastern and Central Asian individuals were not included in this analysis due to
189 their low sequence coverage, it indicates that African and Asian leopards have exchanged alleles
190 relatively recently within the context of their 500-600 Ka initial divergence.

191

192 **Intracontinental population structure of African and Asian leopards**

193

194 The phylogenetic analysis of the 2,206 non-overlapping one megabase-genome windows also
195 provides information on population structuring within African and Asian leopards. Among African
196 leopards, phylogenetic analysis (Figure 2B) and PCA (Figure 4A) suggest three major groups: the
197 Moroccan leopard, a group containing two West African leopards (from Gabon and Cameroon), and
198 a group containing the remaining African leopards (including a third West African leopard from
199 Equatorial Guinea). It should be noted that the recovered PCs all have similar loadings (Figure 4A
200 inset figure), suggesting that the observed structuring along PC 1 does not reflect a substantially
201 stronger structuring than subsequent PCs. NGSadmix analysis of only the African samples (most
202 likely value of K is 2; Figure S3A) equally does not reveal strong structuring, and any tentative
203 groupings would require further testing and additional African sampling. Within Asian leopards we
204 also find three major groups, comprising central (Nepal, India, Sri Lanka), western (Palestine
205 region, Afghanistan), and eastern clusters (Java, China, Amur, Korea, Vietnam, Thailand), with the
206 latter two groups recovered in > 50% of phylogenies (Figure 2B). A PCA of Asian leopards further
207 supports these population clusters, which separate along PCs 1 and 2 (Figure 4B). NGSadmix
208 analysis of the Asian samples reveals similar geographical structuring (most likely value of K is 2;
209 Figure S3B). Overall levels of structuring are lower for African leopards than for Asian leopards, as
210 evidenced by generally lower frequencies of recovery for clades (mean clade frequency of 0.13 and
211 0.46 for Africa and Asia, respectively) (Figure 2B), and similar loadings for consecutive PCs
212 (Figure 4A inset figure).

213

214 The position of the Moroccan leopard is difficult to resolve; of the phylogenies that did not return
215 African leopards as monophyletic, a relatively large percentage (31%; or 20% of all phylogenies)
216 place this individual either as sister taxon to all leopards (6.5%), or as sister to all Asian leopards
217 (13.7%; Figure 2A). This frequency is particularly noteworthy, considering that no other topology
218 was represented more than 3%. The morphological distinctiveness of the north African leopard has
219 led to its assignment to a separate subspecies in the past (Barbary leopard, *P. p. panthera*; Schreber,
220 1777), although all African subspecies were later subsumed into a single subspecies, *P. p. pardus*
221 [1].

222

223 We also examined the extent to which relationships among leopard genomes can be predicted by
224 geographic distance (isolation-by-distance), using a linear regression analysis of pairwise genomic
225 and geographical (Euclidean) distances. Although both African and Asian leopards show significant
226 isolation-by-distance (Mantel test $R = 0.42$, $p = 0.02$ and $R = 0.71$, $p = 0.001$, respectively), the size
227 of this effect is considerably lower for African leopards than Asian leopards (Standard Major Axis
228 [SMA] regression = 0.24 and SMA regression = 0.36 for African and Asian leopards, respectively)
229 (Figure 4C).

230
231 Finally, we compared genetic diversity in terms of average genome-wide heterozygosity. We
232 restricted this analysis to individuals with $> 10x$ sequencing coverage. Although we did include
233 historical samples in this analysis, we interpret their precise heterozygosity estimates with a degree
234 of caution as these can be affected by properties inherent to historical sequence data (e.g., error
235 rates and low coverage, see Figure S5). Our analyses showed that African leopards are, on average,
236 more than twice as diverse as Asian leopards (Figure 4D), with an estimated mean heterozygote
237 frequency of 0.0026, and no obvious runs of reduced heterozygosity in any individual (Figure S4A).
238 The historical sample from Durban (South Africa) has particularly high heterozygosity, outside of
239 the range of the other African leopards (Figure 4D). Further analysis of both modern and historical
240 samples from this region would be beneficial to investigate if this is a property unique to this
241 genome data recovered from a historical specimen, or if this is inherent to the southern African
242 leopard population. We also found a relatively high heterozygosity of the historical sample from
243 Konde, Pemba Island (an island just north of Zanzibar), would suggest the population that this
244 individual originates from, was not a small, isolated population. Given Pemba Island's proximity to
245 the African mainland (Tanzania), it is conceivable that the island population maintained active gene
246 flow with the mainland populations, although an alternative explanation could be that the sample
247 provenance is incorrect, for example having been traded from the mainland. Asian leopards show a
248 mean heterozygote frequency of 0.0010, which is highest in the historical Indian individual (Figure
249 4D) and lowest in the animal from the Henry Doorly Zoo and in the Amur leopards, which are from
250 a critically endangered, small population [6,19,20] (Table 1). The zoo animal and the Amur
251 leopards additionally show sequential windows of reduced heterozygosity, indicative of inbreeding
252 (Figure S4B). We also find that individuals from small Asian populations (e.g., Java and Amur) do
253 have much lower heterozygosity than those from larger populations (e.g., India and Nepal), which is
254 consistent with previous results [6].

256 Discussion

258 African and Asian leopard genomes are highly distinct

260 Whole genome monophyly represents the extreme theoretical endpoint of population divergence.
261 This phenomenon is most likely to occur in allopatric populations with small effective population
262 sizes, deep divergence times, and low migration rates. Leopards, in contrast, have a large and
263 continuous distribution, generalist ecology, and high dispersal potential [e.g., 21,22], and yet we
264 find that the Asian leopard clade in the genome phylogeny is broadly monophyletic across almost
265 all of the nuclear genome. Moreover, this phylogenomic pattern persisted despite evidence of
266 transfer of alleles from Africa.

267

268 This pattern is even more striking when comparable analyses from other taxa are considered. At the
269 smaller window size of 100 kb, Asian leopards are monophyletic in 74% of windows. At the same
270 window size, previous studies have found brown bears (*Ursus arctos*) to be monophyletic with
271 respect to their sister species, polar bears (*U. maritimus*), across only 66% of their aligned genomes
272 (polar bears, in contrast, show 99% monophyly) [23]. Within the genus *Panthera*, analysis of the
273 five currently recognised species indicates that as much as 35% of their aligned genome sequences
274 failed to recover their correct evolutionary relationship [24]. Also noteworthy is the Middle
275 Pleistocene (500-600 Ka) divergence time of African and Asian leopards indicated by the PSMC
276 analyses. Simulation studies show that reaching reciprocal monophyly is expected to take millions
277 of years for species with large effective population sizes (> 100,000) and moderate generation times
278 (> 1 year) [25]. Therefore, the most plausible scenario is that leopards colonised Asia in a single
279 out-of-Africa dispersal event, involving a small and closely related genetic subset of the African
280 source population and resulting in a strong founder effect. Remarkably, the cohesion of the Asian
281 clade as a whole has been retained for more than half a million years despite the transfer of alleles
282 from Africa. Thus, genetic exchange among Asian leopard populations appears to be sufficient to
283 counteract the tendency for admixed west Asian populations to be subsumed into the African clade.

284

285 The primary genetic division of African and Asian leopards is not reflected by their current
286 subspecies taxonomy. Given this result, taxonomic changes could be justified under the criteria of
287 separately evolving metapopulation lineages [26], as well as some other phylogenetic and
288 genealogical species concepts [reviewed in e.g., 26]. However, this proposal contrasts strongly with
289 the criteria used for species recognition in current IUCN felid taxonomy [5]. It is also not directly
290 supported by morphological evidence [e.g., 27,28], and the 500–600 Ka divergence time of African
291 and Asian leopards is considerably more recent than found among all other felid species [5,29].
292 Evidence for gene flow also argues against separate species recognition under the biological species
293 concept [30], although genetic studies suggest interspecies admixture may have occurred frequently
294 during the evolution of the Felidae [24,31]. It is therefore challenging to convey the broad scale
295 pattern of genetic distinctiveness between African and Asian leopards within their current
296 subspecies taxonomy due to a lack of intermediate taxonomic categories, as their hierarchical
297 structure of genetic differentiation is not equally distributed across subspecies and populations.

298

299 **Evolutionary history of African and Asian leopards**

300

301 Our results consolidate several previous hypotheses on leopard evolution. The previously proposed
302 African origin for leopards [2,32] is supported by the lack of whole-genome monophyly and higher
303 genetic diversity of African leopards. Of particular interest in this regard is the Moroccan leopard,
304 which we recovered as sister to either the Asian leopards or to all leopards in a disproportionately
305 large number of phylogenies compared to other African leopards. Although an East African origin
306 of leopards is suggested by the fossil record [32,33], our results could suggest that leopards in
307 northwest Africa served as the source population for the colonisation of Asia, and potentially even
308 as the origin for all modern leopards. A similar re-interpretation of the geographical origin from east
309 to northwest Africa has also recently been proposed for hominids [34,35]. However, more in-depth
310 analyses with improved sampling across north Africa and the Arabian Peninsula are required to

311 further test this hypothesis and place these genomic results into a more complete context.

312

313 Our results provide no evidence for multiple dispersal waves or relict Asian populations, given the
314 high consistency of pairwise divergence dates estimated across all tested African and Asian leopard
315 pairs (Fig 3C). Furthermore, the consensus genome phylogeny of Asian leopards reflects a series of
316 nested east-to-west clades, suggesting the colonisation of southern Asia in a single expansion event
317 (Figure 2B). The initial colonisation of Asia by leopards around 500-600 Ka also coincides with an
318 important period of faunal exchange between Africa and Asia, following the last long period of
319 higher aridity in Africa [36]. This period also included the initial out-of-Africa dispersal of several
320 species [37], as well as increased pulses of human dispersal [38].

321

322 One unusual aspect of our results are the admixture proportions of the Middle Eastern and Central
323 Asian individuals estimated using NGSadmix (up to 25% admixed with the African population)
324 which contrasts with the extremely low frequency (14 out of 2,206, or 0.1%) of 1Mb sections of the
325 genome where either or both of those individuals share more recent ancestry with an African
326 leopard (Figure 2). Although the latter estimate increases substantially at smaller window sizes
327 (3.6%, 8.5% and 14.8% for 500kb, 250kb and 100kb, respectively), it still fails to reach the
328 magnitude of admixture suggested by NGSadmix. In this context, it is noteworthy that NGSadmix
329 is a method based on single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) through genotype likelihood
330 methods [13]. Therefore, the observed signal of admixture with African leopards must occur in
331 relatively small segments of the genome, suggesting that the introgression of these segments took
332 place relatively long ago and has subsequently been broken up by recombination. An alternative
333 admixture scenario that could explain this discrepancy is admixture with a currently unsampled
334 population that contributed SNPs shared with the African population on a genetic background of
335 common ancestry with the Asian populations. A candidate for such a scenario is the Pleistocene
336 European leopard, which was found to be sister to the Asian leopard clade in the mitochondrial
337 phylogeny [10] (Figure S1). It may have possessed SNPs shared with the African population that
338 were lost in the common ancestor of the Asian populations, but which were secondarily transferred
339 to populations in the west of Asia through admixture. This could potentially also explain the
340 absence of a signal of admixture in the leopards from Eritrea and Ethiopia (Figure 1C), despite their
341 geographic proximity to Asia. Nuclear data from Pleistocene European leopards would be the best
342 way to robustly test this hypothesis.

343

344 Our analyses also reveal substantially different population processes operating within African and
345 Asian leopards. Asian leopards are characterised by high levels of structuring, strong isolation-by-
346 distance and overall low heterozygosity, in contrast to African leopards where these patterns are
347 diametrically opposed. The prominent population structure of Asian leopards could also be a relic
348 of the initial dispersal into Asia, since population expansions can produce population structuring at
349 neutral loci [16], although such patterns can be erased over time if levels of gene flow are high.
350 Given the dispersal capacity of leopards and the time that has passed since their colonization of
351 Eurasia, factors in addition to their initial population expansion may therefore also have contributed
352 to the observed phylogeographical pattern. In particular, the much stronger structuring in Asia than
353 in Africa may reflect differences in recent habitat loss and fragmentation, which have been much
354 more severe in Asia (83-87% habitat reduction) compared to Africa (48-67%) [3]. However,

355 although relatively small, our dataset includes samples ranging from almost 200 years old to freshly
356 collected, which all conform to the general pattern in divergence and heterozygosity we see
357 between Africa and Asia, suggesting that these genetic patterns were present before the most recent
358 encroachments by humans, and could thus be considered an intrinsic feature of these populations.
359

360 **Conclusion**

361

362 It could be expected that in well-studied groups, such as mammals, taxonomic consensus has been
363 achieved. However, in contrast to this expectation, recent genomic studies have revealed
364 unexpectedly strong population separations and ancient divergences that have led to the proposal of
365 a number of new mammalian species, including red pandas [39], golden jackals [40], and
366 orangutans [41]. Our analysis of Asian and African leopards revealed a striking pattern of almost
367 complete genomic monophyly of Asian leopards, contrasting with a relatively recent divergence
368 date of approximately 600 Ka and evidence for limited gene flow between the two continental
369 groups. Thus, genome analysis may reveal subgroups that fulfil the criteria of species under some
370 species concepts, but lack supportive evidence from other aspects of their biology. In microbiology,
371 debate on the interplay between genomics and taxonomy is well underway [e.g., 42,43]. Given the
372 continuing reduction in genome-sequencing costs, it seems likely that the field of vertebrate biology
373 will face similar challenges in the near future.
374

375 **Acknowledgements**

376 This work was supported by the European Research Council (starting grant GeneFlow #310763 to
377 M.H.). We also acknowledge sequencing support from the Swedish National Genomics
378 Infrastructure (NGI) at the Science for Life Laboratory, which is supported by the Swedish
379 Research Council and the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation, and UPPMAX for access to
380 computational infrastructure. L.D. and J.v.S. were funded by FORMAS (grant 2015-676). We
381 further acknowledge Clinomics (Republic of Korea) for sequencing funds. We further would like to
382 thank Andreas Wilting for sample contacts and manuscript feedback, André Stadler for sample
383 contribution, Pepijn Kamminga and the Naturalis Biodiversity Center for sample contribution, and
384 Sonja Heinrich for field dissection.

386 **Author contributions**

387 Conceptualisation, MH, AM, JB; Methodology, JLAP; Software, JLAP, SH; Formal Analysis,
388 JLAP; Investigation, JLAP, RWH, KH, JvS, MHSS, GS, LD; Resources, MSB, JF, DWGF, KG,
389 RWH, CK, ACK, EL, FM, GS, BW, LD; Discussion, JF, DWGF, RWH, ACK, EL, MVW, SJO,
390 OU, JB, AM; Writing – Original Draft, JLAP, AB; Writing – Review and Editing, all authors have
391 read, edited and approved the manuscript; Visualisation, JLAP; Supervision, MH; Project
392 administration, JLAP; Funding acquisition, MH, JF, LD, JB.
393

394 **Declaration of Interests**

395 The authors declare no competing interests.
396

397 **Figure legends**

398

399 **Figure 1: Leopard distribution and sample map, global leopard PCA & admixture.** A) Map
 400 depicting all samples included in this study, numbers correspond to Table 1. Approximate species
 401 distribution is overlaid for reference (adapted from [1,2]). For this and all further figures, blue and
 402 red represent African (n=14) and Asian (n=12) samples, respectively. B) Principal Component
 403 Analysis (PCA) of genetic variation of all leopards based on 2.8 M variable positions. Axis labels
 404 include the percentage of variation explained by PC1 and PC2. The insets are scree plots showing
 405 the percentage of variation explained by each PC (PCs displayed in the main figure are shown in
 406 black). C) Admixture test based on genotype likelihood methods, using K=2. See also Figure S1
 407 and S2.

408

409 **Figure 2: Whole-genome phylogeny.** A. Frequency of topology classes observed in the maximum
 410 likelihood (ML) phylogenies, calculated in 1Mb non-overlapping sliding windows along the
 411 reference genome: the left bar displays the percentage of trees that return African leopards as
 412 monophyletic in blue, and the percentage of the trees that return the Moroccan individual as sister
 413 lineage either to all leopards, or to Asian leopards. The right barplot shows the percentage of trees
 414 that return Asian leopards as monophyletic. Light grey portion of the barplot represents all
 415 topologies that occurred with a frequency of less than 3%. B. All 2,206 ML phylogenies with the
 416 Maximum Clade Credibility tree overlaid with node values indicating the clade frequency. The
 417 three-letter code indicates the subspecies each individual is assigned to based on geographical
 418 origin (following Kitchener et al. [5]): PAR = *P. p. pardus*, TUL = *P. p. tulliana*, FUS = *P. p. fusca*,
 419 KOT = *P. p. kotiya*, DEL = *P. p. delacouri*, ORI = *P. p. orientalis*, MEL = *P. p. melas*.

420

421 **Figure 3: Dating out-of-Africa dispersal and gene flow using PSMC.** A. Pairwise Sequential
 422 Markovian Coalescent (PSMC) of high-coverage leopard genomes (>16x, n = 8). Plotting was
 423 performed using a generation time of five years and a substitution rate of 1.1×10^{-9} per site. Ten
 424 bootstrap replicates were performed for each individual (grey). B. F1 hybrid PSMC to detect the
 425 end of geneflow between continents, calculated for high-coverage individuals. Simulated hPSMC
 426 with population coalescent times (i.e. the end of admixture) between 0 and 300 Ka are displayed in
 427 dotted lines, and the 1.5x and 10x pre-divergence population sizes in horizontal dashed lines.

428

429 **Figure 4: PCA per continent, isolation-by-distance and heterozygosity.** A-B: Principal
 430 Component Analysis of genetic variation of African (A, blue) and Asian (B, red) leopards, based on
 431 2.4 M and 1.2 M variable positions after filtering, respectively. Axis labels include the percentage
 432 of variation explained by PC1 and PC2. The insets are scree plots showing the percentage of
 433 variation explained by each PC (PCs displayed in the main figure are shown in black). C:
 434 Correlation between the relative genomic distance (calculated using the identity-by-state [IBS]
 435 matrix), and the geographical distance, calculated for all combinations of African (blue) and Asian
 436 (red) samples, using random base sampling and removal of singletons to exclude errors. The
 437 significance (calculated using a Mantel test) and strength (calculated using Major Standard Axis
 438 [MSA]) are indicated for Africa and Asia. The shaded area indicates the upper and lower 2.5%
 439 jackknife confidence intervals. D: average frequency of heterozygous positions in 1 Mb windows
 440 for individuals with genome sequence coverage >10x. See also Figure S3-S5.

442 **Table 1: Summarised details of leopard samples included in this study.** See also Figure S1.

444 **STAR Methods**

446 *Lead contact*

447 Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be
448 fulfilled by the lead contact, Johanna L.A. Paijmans (paijmans.jla@gmail.com).

450 *Materials availability*

451 This study did not generate new unique reagents.

453 *Data and code availability*

454 Raw, unprocessed raw sequence data in fastq format have been deposited in the European
455 Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under accession number PRJEB43565.

457 *EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS*

459 Historical samples were collected from collections at the Natural History Museum Berlin, Natural
460 History Museum of Denmark (University of Copenhagen), Swedish Museum of Natural History
461 and the National Museums Scotland. Where possible, the petrous bone or tooth cementum were
462 sampled as these have been shown to be more likely to yield high endogenous DNA [44,45].

463 Alternative sampling involved other bones (turbinals or phalanges) or preserved skin. Two zoo
464 animals, “Shinta” from Berlin Tierpark and “Bhagya” from Wuppertal Zoo, both in Germany, were
465 sampled during routine veterinary interventions, either from a skin biopsy or blood. Bhagya was an
466 individual wild-born in Nepal. Shinta was a captive born Javan leopard (*P. p. melas*) from a wild-
467 born father and wild-born grandparents on the mother’s side, documented in the International
468 studbook for the Javan leopard (WAZA). Three additional samples were collected from carcasses or
469 taken when collaring during the course of field research. All appropriate permits from the respective
470 authorities were in place, and samples were transported with appropriate CITES permits (details
471 available upon request).

472 Published sequences for two wild Amur leopards [12] were downloaded from

473 ftp://biodisk.org/Distribute/Leopard/Rawdata/Amurleopard_resequencing1/ and

474 ftp://biodisk.org/Distribute/Leopard/Rawdata/Amurleopard_resequencing2/. Sequence data from a
475 Chinese leopard *P. p. japonensis* (here assigned to *P. p. orientalis*) from the Henry Doorly Zoo,
476 Nebraska (no further provenance information available) was downloaded from the SRA (Acc Nr
477 SRR5382750) using the SRA toolkit v2.8.1 (<https://trace.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/sra/sra.cgi?view=software>).

479 Samples were assigned to subspecies based on their geographical origin. Due to the overlapping
480 subspecies distributions in the region, assignment of the Palestinian sample

481 (‘MFN_MAM_056095’) to either *P. p. tullania* or *P. p. nimr* is not straightforward. The original
482 publication of this specimen reports the length of the specimen (skin) to be 2.6m, which is large –
483 especially for a female [46]. Therefore, we assign this sample to *P. p. tullania*, rather than the
484 smaller-bodied *P. p. nimr*. The Chinese leopard (‘PP28’) from the SRA is assigned here to *P. p.*

485 *orientalis* rather than *P. p. japonensis*, following [5] for taxonomic consistency in this manuscript,
486 and is thus indicated as 'Zoo ORI' in Figures and Tables.

487

488 *METHOD DETAILS*

489

490 *Lab procedures*

491 For historical samples, all pre-PCR steps were performed in dedicated cleanroom facilities.

492 Extraction was performed following a protocol optimised for the retrieval of short DNA fragments
493 [47]. This procedure in brief: tissue lysis for bone or tooth samples (25-50 mg powdered) was
494 performed in a 1 ml reaction mix containing 0.45 M EDTA and 0.25 mg/ml Proteinase K, and for
495 skin samples in a 1 ml reaction mix containing 5 M guanidinium thiocyanate, 25 mM NaCl, 50 mM
496 Tris-HCl, 20 mM EDTA, 1% Tween-20, 1% beta-mercaptoethanol, incubated overnight at 37 °C
497 with rotation. For both tissue types, centrifugation was performed to pellet remaining tissue, and the
498 supernatant combined with a volume of 13 ml of binding buffer (5 M guanidine hydrochloride, 40%
499 isopropanol, 0.05% Tween-20, and 90 mM sodium acetate). Purification was performed using a
500 Zymo-Spin V Column reservoir combined with a Qiagen MinElute column. Two wash steps were
501 performed using PE Buffer (Qiagen), followed by a drying spin for 1 minute at 13,000 rpm. DNA
502 was eluted twice, each using 12.5 mL TET buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.05% Tween-
503 20), using a 10 minute incubation time.

504 Illumina sequencing libraries were constructed following a double-stranded library preparation
505 protocol for the historical samples [10,48,49]. The procedure in brief: blunt-end repair of the
506 extracted DNA was performed in 35 µl reactions containing 1x Buffer Tango, 100 µM each dNTP,
507 1 mM ATP, 0.5 U/µl T4 Polynucleotide Kinase, 0.1 U/µl T4 Polymerase and 25 µl template DNA.
508 The reaction was incubated at 25 °C for 20 minutes, followed by an inactivation phase at 72 °C for
509 20 minutes. Double-stranded adapters were then ligated in a 60 µl reaction containing 1x T4 DNA
510 Ligase Buffer, 5% (w/v) PEG-4000, 0.125 U/µl T4 DNA Ligase, 0.5 µM double-stranded adapter
511 mix. To reduce the potential of adapter dimers, the 35 µl template (blunt-end adapter mixture) was
512 mixed with the double-stranded adapter mix prior to adding the ligase mastermix. The reaction was
513 incubated at 22 °C for 30 minutes. The resulting product was then purified using the Qiagen
514 MinElute kit using 2x 10 µl elution volume. Adapter fill-in was performed in a 40 µl reaction
515 containing 1x Thermopol buffer, 250 µM each dNTP, 0.3 U/µl Bst Polymerase Large Fragment and
516 20 µl template. The reaction was incubated at 37 °C for 20 minutes, followed by an inactivation
517 phase at 80 °C for 20 minutes. To determine the appropriate number of indexing PCR cycle
518 numbers, a quantitative PCR was performed in 10 µl reactions containing 1x SYBR Green qPCR
519 master mix, 0.2 µM each of IS7 and IS8 amplification primers, and 1 µl of a 1:20 dilution of the
520 unamplified library. Temperature profile for the qPCR was as follows: initial denaturation at 94 °C
521 for 10 minutes, followed by 40 cycles (denaturation phase at 94 °C for 15 seconds, annealing phase
522 at 60 °C for 30 seconds and extension phase at 72 °C for 60 seconds). The point of inflection on the
523 qPCR curve was used as the optimal number of cycles for the indexing PCR, corrected for different
524 reaction volumes and template amount in the indexing PCR. Indexing PCR was performed in 80 µl
525 reactions containing 1x AccuPrime Pfx reaction mix, 0.75 µM each of P5 and P7 indexing primers,
526 and 0.1U/µL AccuPrime Pfx Polymerase. Indexing primers with a 8 bp unique adapter sequence
527 nested within the P5 and P7 Illumina adapters were used for each sample [adapted from 51].
528 Temperature profile for the indexing PCR was as follows: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 2

529 minutes, followed by the selected number of cycles (denaturation phase at 95 °C for 15 seconds,
530 annealing phase at 60 °C for 30 seconds and extension phase at 68 °C for 60 seconds) followed by a
531 final extension phase at 68 °C for 3 minutes. Resulting libraries were purified using the Qiagen
532 MinElute kit, and quantified on a TapeStation 2200 instrument (Agilent) with D1000 screen tape
533 and reagents, and a Qubit 2.0 instrument (Fisher) with the dsDNA HS Assay kit.

534 Test sequencing to assess the endogenous content for each sample was performed on the Illumina
535 NextSeq 500 platform. Deep sequencing for selected samples was performed on the HiSeq X at
536 SciLifeLab Stockholm, using a 100bp paired-end strategy.

537 For most modern samples, DNA was extracted using the HMW MagAttract kit from Qiagen. DNA
538 was then sheared on a Covaris S220 to an estimated size of 500bp using a Covaris S220
539 microTUBE (130 µl volume). Double-stranded library preparation was performed following the
540 same protocol as for the historical samples described above, but with a higher concentration of
541 adapter mix (2.5 µM) during adapter ligation, and a different amplification polymerase (Herculase
542 II polymerase) for the indexing PCR [50]. Indexing PCR was performed in 80 µl reactions
543 containing 1x Herculase Buffer, 0.75 µM each of P5 and P7 indexing primers, 0.1 mg/ml BSA, 0.25
544 mM each dNTP, and 0.05 U/µL Herculase II Fusion DNA polymerase. Temperature profile for the
545 indexing PCR was as follows: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 2 minutes, followed by the selected
546 number of cycles (denaturation phase at 94 °C for 30 seconds, annealing phase at 60 °C for 45
547 seconds and extension phase at 72 °C for 45 seconds) followed by a final extension phase at 72 °C
548 for 3 minutes. Size selection of the resulting libraries was then performed on the PippinPrep,
549 selecting for fragments between 400-900 bp using the PippinPrep Cassette 1.5% w/EtBr. For the
550 Javan leopard the libraries were prepared on the 10X Genomics Chromium Controller instrument,
551 using the ‘Chromium Genome Reagent Kit v1’ in conjunction with the ‘Chromium Genome
552 Library, Gel Bead & Multiplex Kit’ and the ‘Chromium Genome Chip Kit’
553 (<https://www.10xgenomics.com/resources/user-guides/> - Manual Part Number: CG00022 Rev C).
554 Samples P8506_116_GS (Tanzania) and P8506_117_GS (Zambia B) were extracted on a Qiagen
555 robot with the ‘Symphony Tissue Extraction kit’. Library preparation and sequencing on the HiSeq
556 2000 was performed at the National Genomics Infrastructure (NGI).

557

558 *Sequence processing*

559 Raw sequences were trimmed using Skewer v0.2.2 [52], with default parameters and a minimum
560 length of 30bp, and merged using Flash v1.2.11 [53], with a maximum allowed overlap of 150 bp (-
561 M) to account for the short fragment length of historical samples. For the Javan leopard a further 22
562 bp were trimmed off the start of the reads to remove Chromium 10x adapter sequences using
563 FASTX-toolkit v0.0.14 (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/) prior to merging. Trimmed and
564 merged reads were aligned to the domestic cat (*Felis catus*) reference genome (v6.2) [54], in order
565 to avoid any potential biases that can arise if there has been uneven admixture between target and
566 reference species, which has been shown to be particularly problematic for ancient or historical
567 datasets [e.g., 55,56]. The Burrows-Wheeler Aligner ‘mem’ algorithm (BWA mem) v0.7.8 and
568 samtools v1.3.1 [57,58] were used for mapping. Supplementary alignments and unmapped reads
569 were removed, using the -F256 and -F4 flag, respectively. Reads with low mapping quality (<Q30)
570 were removed using samtools. Duplicate reads were removed using samtools rmdup. Detailed
571 sequence data recovery statistics for each sample are included in Table S1. For recovery of
572 mitochondrial genomes, reads were mapped to a leopard mitogenome sequence available from

573 GenBank (Acc. Nr. KP202265) [31], using the same tools and parameters as described above,
 574 except for duplication removal: to avoid over-collapsing of duplicates for high-coverage
 575 mitogenomes, duplications were marked and removed taking both mapping coordinates into
 576 consideration (MarkDupsByStartEnd.jar: [https://github.com/dariober/Java-](https://github.com/dariober/Java-cafe/tree/master/MarkDupsByStartEnd)
 577 [cafe/tree/master/MarkDupsByStartEnd](https://github.com/dariober/Java-cafe/tree/master/MarkDupsByStartEnd)).
 578

579 *QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS*

580

581 *Population structure & admixture*

582 Population-genomic analyses were performed using the ANGSD tool v0.914 [59], considering only
 583 autosomal chromosomes. First, we assessed the coverage, quality and error estimations using
 584 -doErrorEst and -doCounts in ANGSD, using the highest coverage sample as an ‘error free’
 585 individual (‘Bhagya’, a zoo leopard wild-born in Nepal, ~40x coverage). For all ANGSD analyses,
 586 we applied a maximum global depth filter of the 95th percentile of the global coverage to remove
 587 areas with exceptionally high coverage (e.g., duplicate regions). Data with a base quality (-MinQ)
 588 and mapping quality (-minMapQ) less than 30 were removed. Due to the high levels of deamination
 589 in some of the historical samples (checked using mapDamage v2.0.7 [60] using default parameter
 590 settings and statistical estimation disabled), transversions were removed in any analyses that
 591 included the historical samples (-rmTrans 1). Positions with missing data in at least one individual
 592 were also removed. Where possible, singletons were removed by only taking variants into account
 593 that occurred in at least two individuals (i.e., minimum SNP frequencies of 2/number of
 594 individuals).
 595

596 To recover population structure, Principal Component Analyses (PCA) were performed from the
 597 genotype-likelihood data (major/minor allele) using single-base sampling, using the previously
 598 described ANGSD filters. Eigen values were calculated from the resulting covariance matrix in R
 599 v3.5.2 [61]. Admixture proportions were calculated using NGSadmix [13] assuming two ancestral
 600 populations as prior (K=2). This assumption was further tested using a range of values for K, from 2
 601 to 5, and selecting the most likely value based on 10 replicates of each using the Clumpak server
 602 [14] and the ΔK method of Evanno et al. [15] (Figure S2). K values above K=5 were also
 603 investigated but not considered further as they produced private groups for individual samples.
 604 NGSadmix was also performed for Asian and African samples separately (Figure S3), and the most
 605 likely value of K was estimated following the method above, which also yielded K=2 as most likely
 606 value for both sets of samples.
 607

608 *Genome-wide phylogeny*

609 Pseudohaploid consensus sequences were generated for each individual in ANGSD, taking a
 610 consensus-base sampling approach (-doFasta 3) and restricting the analysis to the autosomes only.
 611 Maximum likelihood trees for each 1 Mb non-overlapping sliding window along the reference
 612 genome were then calculated, specifying the domestic cat (*F. catus*) as outgroup. Windows where
 613 any individual had >50% missing data were removed. Sequence data were then converted to a
 614 binary format to exclude transition sites and RaXML v8.2.10 [62] was used to calculate the
 615 phylogeny using a BINGAMMA substitution model. A maximum clade-credibility tree was
 616 generated using TreeAnnotater v1.8.2 as included in the BEAST package v1.8.2 [63]. It should be

617 noted that branch lengths in the resulting trees can be affected by methodological aspects, such as
618 differences in lab protocols, although the topology has been shown to be robust against such
619 artefacts [64]. Recovery and counting of topology classes was performed using custom Perl scripts.
620 We tested the effect of window size by repeating the analysis also for smaller window sizes
621 (500Kb, 250Kb and 100Kb) for the largest chromosome (~240Mb).

622

623 *PSMC & hPSMC*

624 For the Pairwise Sequential Markovian coalescent (PSMC) only high-coverage samples could be
625 considered, resulting in a total of eight individuals for this analysis (three African and five Asian).
626 Heterozygous positions were recovered using samtools, filtering data for low mapping (<30) or
627 base quality (<30). Minimum and maximum depths were set at respectively half and double the
628 average coverage of each sample. Only data for the autosomes were considered. PSMC v0.6.5-r67
629 [65] was used following the same strategy as used previously for the leopard genome [12], with a
630 mutation rate of 1.1×10^{-9} substitutions/site/year [17] and a generation time of 5 years. For remaining
631 PSMC parameters, we also used parameter values as for the leopard genome [12], which followed
632 those used for great apes [66]: maximum numbers of iterations (-N) 25, maximum $2N_0$ coalescent
633 time (-t) 15, initial theta/rho ratio (-r) 5, and parameter pattern (-p) 4+25*2+4+6. Results were
634 plotted in R. Ten bootstrap replicates were performed for each individual, using random re-
635 sampling with replacement [65].

636

637 For hPSMC [18], a F1 hybrid psmc-fasta sequence was generated for each possible combination of
638 African and Asian individuals. The pre-divergence population size was estimated by taking the
639 lowest recovered population size from the hPSMC output, i.e., approximately 60,000. For
640 estimating the time of the end of geneflow, simulated hPSMC data were generated using ms [67],
641 with the time of population divergence ranging from 0 to 300 Ka in 50 Ka intervals. PSMC was
642 then calculated using the parameters as described above. The point at which the simulated data plot
643 overlaps the real data within the range of 1.5x – 10x population size can be taken as indicative that
644 admixture with >0.1 individuals per generation was still occurring [18].

645

646 *Isolation-by-distance and heterozygosity*

647 We tested the correlation between geographical distance and genomic distance within African and
648 Asian leopards. As exact GPS coordinates were not available for these samples, locations were
649 estimated based on the geographical information for each sample, and the distance was measured in
650 kilometres using the Geographic Distance Matrix Generator
651 (http://biodiversityinformatics.amnh.org/open_source/gdmg/download.php). The genomic distance
652 within each group was calculated using random base sampling and singleton removal as described
653 above (section ‘Population clustering’). A Mantel test from the ‘vegan’ package v2.5-6 [68] in R
654 was performed to estimate whether there is a significant relationship between pairwise genomic and
655 Euclidean distances. A Standard Major Axis (SMA) regression analysis from the ‘lmodel2’ R
656 package [69] was then used to recover the slope (i.e., the strength of the relationship). The
657 significance of the slope was calculated by performing jackknife replicates, following a leave-one-
658 individual-out strategy, resulting in 14 replicates for the African leopards and 12 for the Asian
659 leopards, and recovering the 2.5 and 97.5 percentiles (Figure 4C).

660

661 Heterozygosity was calculated for medium- and high-coverage (>10x) leopards, to avoid biases
662 from the increased error rates of the low-coverage historical samples, leaving 15 leopards for
663 analyses (8 Asian and 7 African). We confirmed the presence of such biases by subsampling five of
664 our historical samples to 10x, 5x and 2x (Figure S5). Allele frequencies were calculated for each
665 individual in ANGSD, applying mapping and base-quality filters as described above, and using ½
666 and 2x the average sequence coverage as minimum and maximum depth filters. realSFS was then
667 used to calculate the frequency of heterozygous positions in 1-Mb non-overlapping windows.

668 *Mitogenome phylogeny*

670 Mitogenome consensus sequences were generated from the mapped data using Geneious v7.0
671 (www.geneious.com), using a minimum sequence depth of 3x and a strict 90% majority rule for
672 base calling. The resulting consensus sequences for each sample were combined with 24 additional
673 leopard mitogenome sequences available from GenBank at the time of analysis [10,31], as well as
674 other Felidae species, using the spotted linsang (*Prionodon pardicolor* NC_024569) [70] as
675 outgroup, resulting in an alignment of 83 sequences. This alignment contained 24 previously
676 published leopard mitogenomes (Accession numbers MH588611 – MH588632, NC_010641,
677 KJ866876), as well as 35 additional felid mitogenomes (Accession numbers AY463959,
678 FCU20753, HM589214, JF357967, JF357968, JF357969, JF357970, JF357973, JF357974,
679 KC834784, KF297576, KF776494, KF892541, KF907306, KJ508412, KJ508413, KP202267,
680 NC_005212, NC_008450, NC_010638, NC_010642, NC_014456, NC_014770, NC_016189,
681 NC_016470, NC_018053, NC_022842, NC_024569, NC_028299, NC_028300, NC_028303,
682 NC_028305, NC_028306, NC_028312, NC_028316). The sequences were aligned using ClustalW
683 with default parameters [71], as implemented in Geneious. The control region, as well as any
684 columns in the alignment that contained missing data, were removed, resulting in a final alignment
685 of 10,192 bp. A maximum-likelihood tree was calculated, using RaxML-HPC v8.2.4 [62] on the
686 CIPRES black box version, with default substitution model GTRCAT, using rapid bootstrapping
687 and search for the best-scoring ML tree, selecting the spotted linsang as outgroup (*P. p. pardicolor*),
688 on the CIPRES Science Gateway [72] (Figure S1).

689

690 **References**

691

1. Miththapala, S., Seidensticker, J., and O'Brien, S.J. (1996). Phylogeographic Subspecies Recognition in Leopards (*Panthera pardus*): Molecular Genetic Variation. *Conservation Biology* 10, 1115–1132.
2. Uphyrkina, O., Johnson, W.E., Quigley, H., Miquelle, D., Marker, L., Bush, M., and O'Brien, S.J. (2001). Phylogenetics, genome diversity and origin of modern leopard, *Panthera pardus*. *Molecular Ecology* 10, 2617–2633.
3. Jacobson, A.P., Gerngross, P., Lemeris Jr., J.R., Schoonover, R.F., Anco, C., Breitenmoser-Würsten, C., Durant, S.M., Farhadinia, M.S., Henschel, P., Kamler, J.F., *et al.* (2016). Leopard (*Panthera pardus*) status, distribution, and the research efforts across its range. *PeerJ* 4, e1974.
4. Diedrich, C.G. (2013). Late Pleistocene leopards across Europe – northernmost European German population, highest elevated records in the Swiss Alps, complete skeletons in the Bosnia Herzegovina Dinarids and comparison to the Ice Age cave art. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 76, 167–193.
5. Kitchener, A.C., Breitenmoser-Würsten, C., Eizirik, E., Gentry, A., Werdelin, L., Wilting, A., Yamaguchi, N., Abramov, A.V., Christiansen, P., Driscoll, C., *et al.* (2017). A revised taxonomy of the Felidae: The final report of the Cat Classification Task Force of the IUCN Cat Specialist Group. Available at: <http://repository.si.edu/xmlui/handle/10088/32616>.
6. Uphyrkina, O., Miquelle, D., Quigley, H., Driscoll, C., and O'Brien, S.J. (2002). Conservation Genetics of the Far Eastern Leopard (*Panthera pardus orientalis*). *J Hered* 93, 303–311.
7. Dou, H., Feng, L., Xiao, W., and Wang, T. (2016). The complete mitochondrial genome of the North Chinese Leopard (*Panthera pardus japonensis*). *Mitochondrial DNA* 27, 1167–1168.
8. Wilting, A., Patel, R., Pfestorf, H., Kern, C., Sultan, K., Ario, A., Peñaloza, F., Kramer-Schadt, S., Radchuk, V., Foerster, D.W., *et al.* (2016). Evolutionary history and conservation significance of the Javan leopard *Panthera pardus melas*. *J Zool* 299, 239–250.
9. Ebrahimi, A., Farashi, A., and Rashki, A. (2017). Habitat suitability of Persian leopard *Panthera pardus saxicolor* in Iran in future. *Environ Earth Sci* 76, 697.
10. Paijmans, J.L.A., Barlow, A., Förster, D.W., Henneberger, K., Meyer, M., Nickel, B., Nagel, D., Worsøe Havmøller, R., Baryshnikov, G.F., Joger, U., *et al.* (2018). Historical biogeography of the leopard (*Panthera pardus*) and its extinct Eurasian populations. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 18, 156.
11. Sommer, R.S., and Benecke, N. (2006). Late Pleistocene and Holocene development of the felid fauna (Felidae) of Europe: a review. *Journal of Zoology* 269, 7–19.
12. Kim, S., Cho, Y.S., Kim, H.-M., Chung, O., Kim, H., Jho, S., Seomun, H., Kim, J., Bang, W.Y., Kim, C., *et al.* (2016). Comparison of carnivore, omnivore, and herbivore mammalian genomes with a new leopard assembly. *Genome Biology* 17, 211.
13. Skotte, L., Korneliussen, T.S., and Albrechtsen, A. (2013). Estimating individual admixture proportions from next generation sequencing data. *Genetics* 195, 693–702.

14. Kopelman, N.M., Mayzel, J., Jakobsson, M., Rosenberg, N.A., and Mayrose, I. (2015). Clumpak: a program for identifying clustering modes and packaging population structure inferences across K. *Molecular Ecology Resources* *15*, 1179–1191.
15. Evanno, G., Regnaut, S., and Goudet, J. (2005). Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software structure: a simulation study. *Molecular Ecology* *14*, 2611–2620.
16. Excoffier, L., and Ray, N. (2008). Surfing during population expansions promotes genetic revolutions and structuration. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* *23*, 347–351.
17. Cho, Y.S., Hu, L., Hou, H., Lee, H., Xu, J., Kwon, S., Oh, S., Kim, H.-M., Jho, S., Kim, S., *et al.* (2013). The tiger genome and comparative analysis with lion and snow leopard genomes. *Nature Communications* *4*, 2433.
18. Cahill, J.A., Soares, A.E.R., Green, R.E., and Shapiro, B. (2016). Inferring species divergence times using pairwise sequential Markovian coalescent modelling and low-coverage genomic data. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* *371*, 20150138.
19. Sugimoto, T., Aramilev, V.V., Kerley, L.L., Nagata, J., Miquelle, D.G., and McCullough, D.R. (2014). Noninvasive genetic analyses for estimating population size and genetic diversity of the remaining Far Eastern leopard (*Panthera pardus orientalis*) population. *Conserv Genet* *15*, 521–532.
20. Jackson, P., and Nowell, K. (2016). *Panthera pardus ssp. orientalis*. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Available at: <https://www.iucnredlist.org/en>.
21. Seidensticker, J., and Lumpkin, S. (1991). *Great Cats* (Emmaus, Pa. : New York: Rodale Pr).
22. Fattebert, J., Dickerson, T., Balme, G., Slotow, R., and Hunter, L. (2013). Long-Distance Natal Dispersal in Leopard Reveals Potential for a Three-Country Metapopulation. *South African Journal of Wildlife Research* *43*, 61–67.
23. Barlow, A., Cahill, J.A., Hartmann, S., Theunert, C., Xenikoudakis, G., Fortes, G.G., Pajmans, J.L.A., Rabeder, G., Frischauf, C., Grandal-d'Anglade, A., *et al.* (2018). Partial genomic survival of cave bears in living brown bears. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* *2*, 1563.
24. Figueiró, H.V., Li, G., Trindade, F.J., Assis, J., Pais, F., Fernandes, G., Santos, S.H.D., Hughes, G.M., Komissarov, A., Antunes, A., *et al.* (2017). Genome-wide signatures of complex introgression and adaptive evolution in the big cats. *Science Advances* *3*, e1700299.
25. Hudson, R.R., and Coyne, J.A. (2002). Mathematical Consequences of the Genealogical Species Concept. *Evolution* *56*, 1557–1565.
26. De Queiroz, K. (2007). Species Concepts and Species Delimitation. *Syst Biol* *56*, 879–886.
27. Pocock, R.I. (1930). The Panthers and Ounces of Asia. *Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society* *34*, 64–82.
28. Meijaard, E. (2004). Biogeographic History of the Javan Leopard *Panthera pardus* Based on a Craniometric Analysis. *Journal of Mammalogy* *85*, 302–310.
29. Johnson, W.E., Eizirik, E., Pecon-Slattery, J., Murphy, W.J., Antunes, A., Teeling, E., and

- O'Brien, S.J. (2006). The Late Miocene Radiation of Modern Felidae: A Genetic Assessment. *Science* 311, 73–77.
30. O'Brien, S.J., and Mayr, E. (1991). Bureaucratic Mischief: Recognizing Endangered Species and Subspecies. *Science* 251, 1187–1188.
 31. Li, G., Davis, B.W., Eizirik, E., and Murphy, W.J. (2016). Phylogenomic evidence for ancient hybridization in the genomes of living cats (Felidae). *Genome Research* 26, 1–11.
 32. Werdelin, L., Yamaguchi, N., Johnson, W.E., and O'Brien, S.J. (2010). Phylogeny and evolution of cats (Felidae). *Biology and conservation of wild felids*. Oxford, 59–82.
 33. Turner, A., and Antón, M. (1997). *The Big Cats and Their Fossil Relatives: An Illustrated Guide to Their Evolution and Natural History* (Columbia University Press).
 34. Richter, D., Grün, R., Joannes-Boyau, R., Steele, T.E., Amani, F., Rué, M., Fernandes, P., Raynal, J.-P., Geraads, D., Ben-Ncer, A., *et al.* (2017). The age of the hominin fossils from Jebel Irhoud, Morocco, and the origins of the Middle Stone Age. *Nature* 546, 293–296.
 35. Hublin, J.-J., Ben-Ncer, A., Bailey, S.E., Freidline, S.E., Neubauer, S., Skinner, M.M., Bergmann, I., Le Cabec, A., Benazzi, S., Harvati, K., *et al.* (2017). New fossils from Jebel Irhoud, Morocco and the pan-African origin of *Homo sapiens*. *Nature* 546, 289–292.
 36. deMenocal, P.B. (2004). African climate change and faunal evolution during the Pliocene–Pleistocene. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 220, 3–24.
 37. Martínez-Navarro, B. (2010). Early Pleistocene Faunas of Eurasia and Hominin Dispersals. In *Out of Africa I: The First Hominin Colonization of Eurasia Vertebrate Paleobiology and Paleoanthropology.*, J. G. Fleagle, J. J. Shea, F. E. Grine, A. L. Baden, and R. E. Leakey, eds. (Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands), pp. 207–224. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-9036-2_13.
 38. Abbate, E., and Sagri, M. (2012). Early to Middle Pleistocene *Homo* dispersals from Africa to Eurasia: Geological, climatic and environmental constraints. *Quaternary International* 267, 3–19.
 39. Hu, Y., Wu, Q., Ma, S., Ma, T., Shan, L., Wang, X., Nie, Y., Ning, Z., Yan, L., Xiu, Y., *et al.* (2017). Comparative genomics reveals convergent evolution between the bamboo-eating giant and red pandas. *PNAS* 114, 1081–1086.
 40. Koepfli, K.-P., Pollinger, J., Godinho, R., Robinson, J., Lea, A., Hendricks, S., Schweizer, R.M., Thalmann, O., Silva, P., Fan, Z., *et al.* (2015). Genome-wide Evidence Reveals that African and Eurasian Golden Jackals Are Distinct Species. *Current Biology* 25, 2158–2165.
 41. Nater, A., Mattle-Greminger, M.P., Nurcahyo, A., Nowak, M.G., de Manuel, M., Desai, T., Groves, C., Pybus, M., Sonay, T.B., Roos, C., *et al.* (2017). Morphometric, Behavioral, and Genomic Evidence for a New Orangutan Species. *Current Biology* 27, 3487–3498.e10.
 42. Hugenholtz, P., Skarshewski, A., and Parks, D.H. (2016). Genome-Based Microbial Taxonomy Coming of Age. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 8, a018085.
 43. Mahato, N.K., Gupta, V., Singh, P., Kumari, R., Verma, H., Tripathi, C., Rani, P., Sharma,

- A., Singhvi, N., Sood, U., *et al.* (2017). Microbial taxonomy in the era of OMICS: application of DNA sequences, computational tools and techniques. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* *110*, 1357–1371.
44. Damgaard, P.B., Margaryan, A., Schroeder, H., Orlando, L., Willerslev, E., and Allentoft, M.E. (2015). Improving access to endogenous DNA in ancient bones and teeth. *Sci Rep* *5*, 1–12.
 45. Pinhasi, R., Fernandes, D., Sirak, K., Novak, M., Connell, S., Alpaslan-Roodenberg, S., Gerritsen, F., Moiseyev, V., Gromov, A., Raczky, P., *et al.* (2015). Optimal Ancient DNA Yields from the Inner Ear Part of the Human Petrous Bone. *PLoS ONE* *10*, e0129102.
 46. Kumerloeve, H. (1972). Zum Stand des Vorkommens von *Panthera pardus tulliana* Valenciennes 1856 in Kleinasien. Mit Hinweisen auf Syrien und andere Nachbargebiete. *Zoologische Gart*, 4–22. Available at: <https://eurekamag.com/research/024/157/024157281.php>.
 47. Dabney, J., Knapp, M., Glocke, I., Gansauge, M.-T., Weihmann, A., Nickel, B., Valdiosera, C., García, N., Pääbo, S., Arsuaga, J.-L., *et al.* (2013). Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of a Middle Pleistocene cave bear reconstructed from ultrashort DNA fragments. *PNAS* *110*, 15758–15763.
 48. Meyer, M., and Kircher, M. (2010). Illumina Sequencing Library Preparation for Highly Multiplexed Target Capture and Sequencing. *Cold Spring Harbor Protocols* *2010*, doi:10.1101/pdb.prot5448.
 49. Henneberger, K., Barlow, A., and Pajmans, J.L.A. (2019). Double-Stranded Library Preparation for Ancient and Other Degraded Samples. In *Ancient DNA*, B. Shapiro, A. Barlow, P. D. Heintzman, M. Hofreiter, J. L. A. Pajmans, and A. E. R. Soares, eds. (New York, NY: Springer New York), pp. 65–73. Available at: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4939-9176-1_8.
 50. Dabney, J., and Meyer, M. (2012). Length and GC-biases during sequencing library amplification: A comparison of various polymerase-buffer systems with ancient and modern DNA sequencing libraries. *BioTechniques* *52*, 87–94.
 51. Gansauge, M.-T., and Meyer, M. (2013). Single-stranded DNA library preparation for the sequencing of ancient or damaged DNA. *Nat. Protocols* *8*, 737–748.
 52. Jiang, H., Lei, R., Ding, S.-W., and Zhu, S. (2014). Skewer: a fast and accurate adapter trimmer for next-generation sequencing paired-end reads. *BMC Bioinformatics* *15*, 182.
 53. Magoč, T., and Salzberg, S.L. (2011). FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* *27*, 2957–2963.
 54. Pontius, J.U., Mullikin, J.C., Smith, D.R., Agencourt Sequencing Team, Lindblad-Toh, K., Gnerre, S., Clamp, M., Chang, J., Stephens, R., Neelam, B., *et al.* (2007). Initial sequence and comparative analysis of the cat genome. *Genome Res.* *17*, 1675–1689.
 55. Sheng, G.-L., Basler, N., Ji, X.-P., Pajmans, J.L.A., Alberti, F., Preick, M., Hartmann, S., Westbury, M.V., Yuan, J.-X., Jablonski, N.G., *et al.* (2019). Paleogenome Reveals Genetic Contribution of Extinct Giant Panda to Extant Populations. *Current Biology* *29*, 1695-1700.e6.
 56. Günther, T., and Nettelblad, C. (2018). The presence and impact of reference bias on

population genomic studies of prehistoric human populations. *bioRxiv*, 487983.

57. Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1754–1760.
58. Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., and Durbin, R. (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25, 2078–2079.
59. Korneliussen, T.S., Albrechtsen, A., and Nielsen, R. (2014). ANGSD: Analysis of Next Generation Sequencing Data. *BMC Bioinformatics* 15, 356.
60. Jónsson, H., Ginolhac, A., Schubert, M., Johnson, P.L.F., and Orlando, L. (2013). mapDamage2.0: fast approximate Bayesian estimates of ancient DNA damage parameters. *Bioinformatics* 29, 1682–1684.
61. R Core Team (2013). R: A language and environment for statistical computing Available at: <http://www.R-project.org/>.
62. Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML Version 8: A tool for Phylogenetic Analysis and Post-Analysis of Large Phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*, btu033.
63. Suchard, M.A., Lemey, P., Baele, G., Ayres, D.L., Drummond, A.J., and Rambaut, A. (2018). Bayesian phylogenetic and phylodynamic data integration using BEAST 1.10. *Virus Evol* 4, vey016.
64. Barlow, A., Hartmann, S., Gonzalez, J., Hofreiter, M., and Pajmans, J.L.A. (2020). Consensify: A Method for Generating Pseudohaploid Genome Sequences from Palaeogenomic Datasets with Reduced Error Rates. *Genes* 11, 50.
65. Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2011). Inference of human population history from individual whole-genome sequences. *Nature* 475, 493–496.
66. Prado-Martinez, J., Sudmant, P.H., Kidd, J.M., Li, H., Kelley, J.L., Lorente-Galdos, B., Veeramah, K.R., Woerner, A.E., O’Connor, T.D., Santpere, G., *et al.* (2013). Great ape genetic diversity and population history. *Nature* 499, 471–475.
67. Hudson, R.R. (2002). Generating samples under a Wright–Fisher neutral model of genetic variation. *Bioinformatics* 18, 337–338.
68. Oksanen, J., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., O’Hara, B., Stevens, M.H.H., Oksanen, M.J., and Suggests, M. (2007). The vegan package. *Community ecology package* 10, 719.
69. Legendre, P. (2018). lmodel2 package | R Documentation. Available at: <https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/lmodel2/versions/1.7-3>.
70. Hassanin, A., and Veron, G. (2016). The complete mitochondrial genome of the Spotted Linsang, *Prionodon pardicolor*, the first representative from the family Prionodontidae (Mammalia, Carnivora). *Mitochondrial DNA Part A* 27, 912–913.
71. Larkin, M.A., Blackshields, G., Brown, N.P., Chenna, R., McGettigan, P.A., McWilliam, H., Valentin, F., Wallace, I.M., Wilm, A., Lopez, R., *et al.* (2007). Clustal W and Clustal X

version 2.0. *Bioinformatics* 23, 2947–2948.

72. Miller, M.A., Pfeiffer, W., and Schwartz, T. (2011). The CIPRES Science Gateway: A Community Resource for Phylogenetic Analyses. In *Proceedings of the 2011 TeraGrid Conference: Extreme Digital Discovery TG '11*. (New York, NY, USA: ACM), p. 41:1-41:8. Available at: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2016741.2016785>.