

1 **Infrared thermography cannot be used to approximate core body**
2 **temperature in wild primates**

3
4 **Running title:** *Measuring vervet monkey body temperatures*

5
6 Richard McFarland ^{a,b,*}, Louise Barrett ^{b,c}, Andrea Fuller ^b, Robyn S Hetem ^{b,d}, Warren Porter ^e,
7 Christopher Young ^{f,g}, S Peter Henzi ^{c,f}

8
9 ^a Department of Anthropology, University of Wisconsin–Madison, USA.

10 ^b Brain Function Research Group, School of Physiology, Faculty of Health Science, University
11 of the Witwatersrand, South Africa.

12 ^c Department of Psychology, University of Lethbridge, Canada

13 ^d School of Animal, Plant and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of the
14 Witwatersrand, South Africa.

15 ^e Department of Integrative Biology, University of Wisconsin–Madison, USA.

16 ^f Applied Behavioural Ecology & Ecosystems Research Unit, University of South Africa, South
17 Africa

18 ^g Endocrine Research Laboratory, Mammal Research Institute, Faculty of Natural and
19 Agricultural Science, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa

20
21 ***Corresponding author:** Richard McFarland, Department of Anthropology, University of
22 Wisconsin–Madison, 1180 Observatory Drive, Madison, WI 53706, USA
23 (richard.mcfarland@wisc.edu).

24 **ABSTRACT**

25 Understanding the physiological processes that underpin primate performance is key if we are to
26 assess how a primate might respond when navigating new and changing environments. Given the
27 connection between a mammal's ability to thermoregulate and the changing demands of its
28 thermal environment, increasing attention is being devoted to the study of thermoregulatory
29 processes as a means to assess primate performance. Infrared thermography can be used to
30 record the body surface temperatures of free-ranging animals. However, some uncertainty
31 remains as to how these measurements can be used to approximate core body temperature. Here,
32 we use data collected from wild vervet monkeys (*Chlorocebus pygerythrus*) to examine the
33 relationship between infrared body surface temperature, core body (intra-abdominal)
34 temperature, and local climate, to determine to what extent surface temperatures reflect core
35 body temperature. While we report a positive association between surface and core body
36 temperature – a finding that has previously been used to justify the use of surface temperature
37 measurements as a proxy for core temperature regulation – when we controlled for the effect of
38 the local climate in our analyses, this relationship was no longer observed. That is, body surface
39 temperatures were solely predicted by local climate, and not core body temperatures, suggesting
40 that surface temperatures tell us more about the environment a primate is in, and less about the
41 thermal status of its body core in that environment. Despite the advantages of a non-invasive
42 means to detect and record animal temperatures, infrared thermography alone cannot be used to
43 approximate core body temperature in wild primates.

44

45 **Key words:** body temperature; infrared thermography; thermal camera; thermoregulation; vervet
46 monkeys

47 **INTRODUCTION**

48 As primate populations continue to decline and face an increasing risk of extinction as a
49 consequence of climate change (Graham, Matthews, & Turner, 2016; Estrada et al., 2017),
50 understanding the physiological processes that underlie the relationship between animal
51 performance and environmental challenges is becoming increasingly important. Local climate
52 exerts a strong selective pressure on animal behavior, physiology, and survivorship, and
53 therefore has a profound impact on animal distributions and phenotypes (Hetem, Fuller,
54 Maloney, & Mitchell, 2014; Fuller, Mitchell, Maloney, & Hetem, 2016; Mitchell et al., 2018).
55 Given the obvious connection between an animal's ability to thermoregulate and the demands of
56 its thermal environment, increasing attention is being devoted to the study of body temperature
57 as a means to assess primate performance in variable environments.

58 Early studies investigating primate body temperature regulation in response to
59 environmental variability relied on measurements obtained from captive or laboratory-housed
60 subjects (Sulzman, Fuller, & Moore-Ede, 1977; Whittow, Scammell, Manuel, Rand, & Leong,
61 1977; Wurster, Murrish, & Sulzman, 1985; Müller, Nieschalk, & Meier, 1985; McNab &
62 Wright, 1987; Lubach, Kittrell, & Coe, 1992; Robinson & Fuller, 1999; Maloney, Mitchell,
63 Mitchell, & Fuller, 2007). While this approach provides a high degree of experimental control,
64 such studies do not provide the data to allow conclusions to be drawn about how a free-living
65 primate responds to change in its natural habitat. Moreover, body temperatures in laboratory
66 conditions typically are measured with thermosensitive probes or via telemetry with a receiver in
67 close proximity, methods that are not readily transposable to studying primates in situ.

68 Advancements in remote telemetry or biologging have provided insights into the body
69 temperature of a small number of free-ranging primate populations. Brain and Mitchell (1999)

70 used intra-abdominal temperature-sensitive radio transmitters to record the core body
71 temperature patterns of chacma baboons (*Papio ursinus*) under free-ranging, natural conditions.
72 Their study was limited by relatively few subjects and body temperature measurements being
73 collected only intermittently during the daytime over less than a month for each animal.
74 Nevertheless, this study revealed the importance of high heat load as a thermal stressor to
75 chacma baboons, and the importance of drinking water to prevent hyperthermia (Brain &
76 Mitchell, 1999). Intra-abdominal transmitters also have been used to describe the core body
77 temperature patterns associated with daily torpor in lemurs (*Lemuridae spp.* Schmid 2000;
78 Schmid, Ruf, & Heldmaier, 2000; Dausmann, 2005). More recently, we have used intra-
79 abdominal data loggers to describe the seasonal patterns of core body temperature in wild vervet
80 monkeys (*Chlorocebus pygerythrus*), the thermoregulatory consequences of both cold and heat
81 stress, inter-individual differences in thermal performance, and the importance of behavioral
82 (including social) thermoregulation in body temperature regulation (Lubbe et al., 2014;
83 McFarland et al., 2015; Henzi et al., 2017; McFarland, Henzi, & Barrett, 2019; McFarland et al.,
84 2020).

85 The use of intra-abdominal data loggers provides a good index of the thermal status of
86 the body core, but requires animals to be captured and to undergo surgical procedures for logger
87 implantation and extraction (see McFarland et al., 2015 for a full description of methods). As an
88 alternative to data logging approaches there has been interest in using infrared thermography to
89 make inferences about thermoregulatory processes in free-ranging animals, including primates
90 (McCafferty, 2007; Cilulko, Janiszewski, Bogdaszewski, & Szczygielska, 2013; Thompson et
91 al., 2017; Narayan, Perakis, & Meikle, 2019). At face value, this appears an attractive option for
92 non-invasive and remote measurement of body temperature. However, infrared thermography

93 measures animal surface temperatures, and there is contrasting evidence whether surface
94 temperatures (i.e., skin, fur, inner-ears, eyes) can approximate core body temperature. While
95 some studies report no significant association between body surface and core body temperatures
96 (Jay et al., 2007; Larcombe, 2007; Sikoski et al., 2007; Sykes et al., 2012), others have shown a
97 positive correlation (Dausmann, 2005; Warriss, Pope, Brown, Wilkins, & Knowles, 2006;
98 Johnson, Rao, Hussey, Morley, & Traub-Dargatz, 2011; Giloh, Shinder, & Yahav, 2012; Zanghi,
99 2016). An important caveat, however, is the lack of control in these analyses for the mediating
100 effect that local climate has on both surface and core body temperatures. That is, even though
101 body surface and core body temperatures were shown to be positively correlated, it is possible
102 that this relationship was an artefact of the local climate influencing these variables. While it
103 may be reasonable to assume that skin temperature more closely reflects core body temperature
104 in small animals, the mediating effect of local climate should still be considered when attempting
105 to make inferences about core body temperature from surface temperatures alone. In an
106 experimental study of bats (*Carollia perspicillata*) in controlled environmental conditions, for
107 example, it was observed that the difference between an animal's core and skin temperature was
108 a function of ambient temperature, with the authors concluding that any inferences made about
109 core body temperature regulation from skin temperature measurements, including in very small
110 animals, should account for the effect of ambient conditions (Audet & Thomas, 1996). Even
111 when care has been taken to avoid increased surface heat loads incurred by solar radiation
112 (McCafferty, 2007; Thompson et al., 2017), surface temperatures are still more influenced by
113 local climate than body temperature. In wild mantled howling monkeys (*Alouatta palliata*), for
114 example, dorsal fur temperature was more strongly predicted by ambient temperatures than by

115 dorsal subcutaneous temperatures, and facial skin surface temperatures were predicted solely by
116 ambient temperature and not by dorsal subcutaneous temperatures (Thompson et al., 2017).

117 Here, we use data collected from wild vervet monkeys to examine the relationship
118 between body surface and core body temperatures, while controlling for local climatic
119 conditions. Because an endothermic animal's core body temperature is typically buffered from
120 their environment by a range of autonomic and behavioural processes (Lovegrove, Heldmaier, &
121 Ruf, 1991; Angilletta, Cooper, Schuler, & Boyles, 2010; Hetem, Maloney, Fuller, & Mitchell,
122 2016; Mitchell et al., 2018), we predict that surface temperatures will be more closely associated
123 with local climate than with core body temperature. A better sense of the relationship between
124 these variables will hopefully inform us whether infrared thermography can be used to
125 approximate core body temperature. If surface temperatures are predicted by core temperatures,
126 while controlling for the effect of the local climate, this would suggest that surface temperatures
127 can approximate, to some extent, core body temperature. However, if surface temperatures are
128 predicted by the local climate, and not core body temperatures, this would suggest that the
129 surface temperatures tell us more about the environment an animal is in, and less about the body
130 temperature of an animal in that environment. We use four body regions to test our hypothesis:
131 the furred dorsal, ventral and tail surface and bare-skin facial surface.

132

133 **METHOD**

134 In June 2017, as part of a longitudinal project on vervet monkey thermoregulation in the Eastern
135 Cape, South Africa (32°22'S, 24°52'E), we collected infrared thermography data from a subset
136 of individuals living across three groups (N=14: 5 females, 9 males). These animals fed on a
137 natural diet, were fully habituated to the presence of researchers, and were individually

138 identifiable by means of natural markings (Pasternak et al., 2013; McFarland, Barrett, Boner,
139 Freeman, & Henzi, 2014).

140

141 *Infrared thermal imagery*

142 We collected infrared thermal images ($N_{\text{total}} = 294$ images, $\bar{x}_{\text{subject}} = 21 \pm \text{SD } 14$ images)
143 opportunistically using a handheld infrared thermograph model T360 camera (FLIR[®] Systems
144 Inc.). We set emissivity to 0.98. We targeted the animal's furred dorsal, ventral, and tail surface,
145 and bare-skin face surface, in each photo, to the point that some images could be used to measure
146 multiple surface regions, and others not.

147 We used the following selection criteria to determine the usability of infrared surface
148 temperature measurements: (i) the targeted body surface was in plain view and not obscured by
149 foliage or another individual, (ii) the animal was within 5 m of the camera, (iii) the targeted body
150 surface was orientated toward the camera to avoid detection errors associated with sampling
151 curved surfaces (McCafferty, 2007), (iv) the targeted body surface was in shade or low light
152 levels, avoiding reflective light, thereby minimizing the effect of direct solar radiation on surface
153 measurements (McCafferty, 2007), and (v) the animal was in a relaxed state, i.e., stationary and
154 not engaged in antagonistic behavior. Table 1 outlines the distribution of the final measurements
155 used in the current analyses.

156 At the time each image was taken, we positioned a thermocouple attached to a matte-
157 black painted metal box within the frame of each thermal image (e.g., Figure 1). We calibrated
158 the temperature measurements recorded by the thermal camera using the thermocouple. To
159 ensure consistency in data collection, only one researcher extracted the FLIR temperature data,
160 according to a strict protocol that did not rely on subjective judgement. The researcher was blind

161 to concurrent environmental and body temperature data. For each infrared image, we used FLIR
162 Tools[®] software (FLIR[®] Systems Inc., 2019) to extract five discrete pixel-defined temperature
163 measurements from the targeted body surface region and the black calibration box. For the
164 dorsal, ventral, and bare-skin face surfaces, we used the five corners of a pentagon to define the
165 sampling locations of each discrete temperature measurement; adjusting the pentagon's size to
166 approximately cover the area of the image that was occupied by each respective body region
167 (Figure 2). To reduce any confounding effects of short-term temperature changes in specific
168 facial regions that may relate to emotional states (Chotard et al. 2018), we average surface
169 temperature over the entire bare-skin facial region; avoiding sampling from peri-orbital and nose
170 tip regions. For the tail surface, we took five temperature measurements at regular intervals along
171 the length of the tail (Figure 2). We calculated the mean black box and mean body surface
172 temperatures for all available body regions in each image. We used the difference between the
173 thermocouple temperature and the mean FLIR temperature of the black box to create a single-
174 point calibration offset for all mean body surface temperatures.

175

176 ***Local climate***

177 We used black globe temperature to measure the local climate experienced by our study animals.
178 Black globe temperature integrates the influence of air temperature, solar radiation and wind
179 speed, and is thus considered a better measure of the thermal heat load experienced by an animal
180 than air temperature alone (Hetem, Maloney, Fuller, Meyer, & Mitchell, 2007; McFarland et al.,
181 2014). We recorded black globe temperatures every minute using a calibrated, temperature-
182 sensitive Thermochron 4K iButton (model DS1921G; Maxim Integrated[™]) housed inside a
183 matte-black painted copper ball with a diameter of 30mm (hereafter, miniglobe). iButtons

184 recorded miniglobe temperature at one-minute intervals at a resolution of 0.06°C and were
185 individually calibrated to an accuracy of 0.1°C. We recorded miniglobe temperatures at the time
186 each image was taken, within 5m of the target animal. Miniglobe temperatures ranged from 13.0-
187 32.7°C across the study period with a mean daily temperature of $23.6 \pm \text{SD } 5.7^\circ\text{C}$.

188

189 *Body temperature data*

190 In June 2016, we surgically implanted 14 adult vervet monkeys (5 females, 9 males; distributed
191 across three groups) with miniature temperature-sensitive data loggers (model: DST Centi-T,
192 Star-Oddi, Iceland) intra-abdominally. Data loggers recorded core body temperature at five-
193 minute intervals at a resolution of 0.03°C and were individually calibrated to an accuracy of
194 0.1°C. We recorded the body mass (kg) of all animals ($\bar{x}_{\text{female}} = 3.4 \pm \text{SD } 0.4\text{g}$, $\bar{x}_{\text{male}} = 5.1 \pm \text{SD}$
195 0.3g). We removed the data loggers at the end of June 2017. For full details of the capture and
196 surgery procedure see McFarland et al. (2015). Data loggers measured the core intra-abdominal
197 body temperature (to the nearest five minutes) of the same animals that were sampled using the
198 infrared camera. Importantly, we implanted our subjects with data loggers in fulfilment of a
199 long-term study of vervet monkey thermal physiology. That is, our subjects were not solely
200 exposed to this procedure for the purpose of the current project.

201 Observational data collection protocols were approved by the University of Lethbridge
202 under Animal Welfare Protocols 0702 and 1505. Capture and surgical procedures were approved
203 by the University of the Witwatersrand Animal Ethics Research Committee (Protocol # 2015-04-
204 14B-2017), and were treated in accordance with international ethical standards. No long-term
205 sequelae were observed as a consequence of surgical intervention. Overall, this study adhered to

206 the legal requirements of South Africa, and the American Society of Primatologists (ASP)
207 Principles for the Ethical Treatment of Non-Human Primates.

208

209 *Statistical analysis*

210 We used a series of Bland-Altman plots to visually compare the measurement of vervet
211 monkeys' core body temperature using intra-abdominal data loggers, with surface temperature
212 measured using infrared thermography (Altman & Bland, 1983).

213 We performed our analyses in R v.3.6.0 (R-Core-Team, 2019) using the 'lme4' package
214 to model outcomes (Bates et al., 2019), the 'rsq' package to generate adjusted R^2 values for the
215 fixed effects (Zhang, 2018), and the 'lmertest' package to generate p-values (Kuznetsova,
216 Brockhoff, Christensen, & Jensen, 2019). Prior to running each model, we checked for
217 intercollinearity by calculating variance inflation factors (VIF) for the predictor variables using
218 the 'car' package (Fox et al., 2020). The VIF scores in all of our models were < 2 and were
219 therefore not considered collinear. We scaled and centered our predictor variables so we could
220 directly compare the resulting coefficients. We specified a gaussian error structure with a log
221 link function in all of our models to normalize the residuals.

222 We originally ran a series of linear mixed models, entering subject ID as a random effect.
223 However, these random effects did not explain any meaningful variance and their inclusion
224 produced overfitted models with singular fit. We therefore ran a series of linear models,
225 removing this random effect. The results of the linear mixed models and linear models were
226 qualitatively the same. We present the results of the linear models below.

227 We first ran a series of four linear models entering our four surface temperatures (i.e.,
228 dorsal, ventral, tail, and face) in turn as the dependent variable, and time-matched miniglobe as
229 the sole predictor variable. We ran a second series of four linear models entering our four surface

230 temperatures in turn as the dependent variable, and time-matched core body temperature as the
231 sole predictor variable. We ran a third series of four linear models entering our four surface
232 temperatures in turn as the dependent variable and entered time-matched miniglobe temperature
233 and core body temperature as predictor variables, to determine whether this model improved
234 upon either of the single predictor variable models described in the first two series of linear
235 models.

236 For the first two series of linear models (i.e., the single predictor variable models), we
237 follow Colquhoun (2014) in describing outcomes as indicating weak ($P \sim 0.05$), moderate ($P \sim$
238 0.01) or strong ($P \sim 0.001$) evidence for effects. Following the third series of linear models, we
239 used a reduction in the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC: Akaike, 1974) of a model, using a
240 ΔAICc (to control for small sample sizes) threshold of > 2.0 (Burnham & Anderson, 2002), to
241 indicate whether the composite model was better than its single predictor variable equivalent. We
242 used adjusted R^2 values to describe how much variance in a model's dependent variable was
243 explained by its predictor variables.

244

245 **RESULTS**

246 In a series of four Bland-Altman plots (Figure 3), we observed large differences between the
247 measurements of core body and surface temperatures ($\bar{x}_{\text{dorsal}} = 12.6 \pm \text{SD } 4.4^\circ\text{C}$, $\bar{x}_{\text{ventral}} = 9.4 \pm$
248 $\text{SD } 3.9^\circ\text{C}$, $\bar{x}_{\text{tail}} = 12.3 \pm \text{SD } 5.5^\circ\text{C}$, $\bar{x}_{\text{face}} = 12.0 \pm \text{SD } 4.3^\circ\text{C}$). Temperature differences in excess of
249 15°C were common and occasionally exceeded 20°C at low temperatures. When the mean of
250 core body and surface body temperatures were higher as a result of increased surface temperature
251 in warm conditions (Table 2), the differences between these variables were smaller. However,
252 core body and surface temperatures were never equivalent.

253

254 ***Miniglobe temperature***

255 In a series of four linear models (Table 2) with miniglobe temperature as the sole predictor
256 variable, globe temperature had a strong positive effect on dorsal, ventral, face, and tail infrared
257 surface temperatures (all $P < 0.001$). Miniglobe temperature explained 81% (dorsal), 76%
258 (ventral), 87% (tail), and 77% (face) of the variance in infrared body surface temperatures (Table
259 2).

260

261 ***Core body temperature***

262 In a series of four linear models with core body temperature as the sole predictor variable, core
263 body temperature had a strong positive effect on dorsal surface temperatures ($P < 0.001$) and a
264 weak positive effect on ventral ($P = 0.04$), tail ($P = 0.02$) and face ($P = 0.048$) infrared surface
265 temperatures. Core body temperature explained 8% (dorsal), 11% (ventral), 6% (tail), and 5%
266 (face) of the variance in infrared body surface temperatures (Table 3).

267

268 ***Miniglobe temperature and core body temperature***

269 Given that globe temperature was a stronger predictor of infrared surface temperatures than core
270 body temperature (Tables 2 and 3), we ran a series of four linear models including both globe
271 temperature and core body temperature as predictor variables, to examine whether the addition of
272 core body temperature improved the performance of the model with globe temperature as the
273 sole predictor (Table 4). The dorsal, ventral, tail, and face infrared surface temperature models
274 were not improved by the addition of core body temperature. AICc values did not decrease by $>$
275 2.0, and less than 1% additional variance was explained, following the addition of core body
276 temperature as a predictor in all cases (Table 5). The best fitting models, for all infrared body

277 surface temperatures, therefore, were those that included globe temperature as a sole predictor
278 variable.

279

280 **DISCUSSION**

281 Our findings reveal that infrared thermography cannot be used to approximate core body
282 temperature. Vervet monkey surface temperatures measured on the furred dorsal, ventral and tail
283 region, as well as the bare-skin facial region, were more strongly predicted by miniglobe (i.e.,
284 environmental) temperatures than core body temperatures. At low miniglobe temperatures,
285 surface temperatures dropped substantially and furred surfaces could be more than 20°C below
286 core body temperature. Since an animal's body surface is the interface where heat is gained by,
287 and dissipated from, the body, it is unsurprising that animal surface temperature is strongly
288 influenced by environmental temperature. While the core body temperatures of free-ranging
289 primates can also vary in response to environmental variability (e.g., Brain & Mitchell, 1999;
290 Schmid, 2000; Schmid et al., 2000; Dausmann, 2005; Lubbe et al., 2014; McFarland et al., 2015,
291 Henzi et al., 2017; McFarland et al., 2020), core body temperature is much more tightly
292 regulated through a range of behavioral and physiological processes.

293 Primates, like all mammals, employ a range of physiological mechanisms to cope with
294 environmental challenges and maintain homeostasis. Autonomic processes involve the activation
295 of pathways in the preoptic area of the hypothalamus that regulate the balance of heat production
296 and loss (Morrison & Nakamura, 2019), including altering blood flow to the skin through
297 peripheral vasoconstriction and vasodilation. Individuals can also engage in behaviors that alter
298 body temperature, such as changing activity patterns, posture, or selecting appropriate
299 microclimates (McFarland et al., 2015, 2019, 2020; Henzi et al., 2017). Morphological features,

300 such as the color, depth, density, and condition of the pelage, can further modulate heat transfer
301 to and from the body (Scholander, 1950; Schmidt-Nielsen, 1997; McFarland et al., 2016). For
302 example, a thick pelage would act to insulate the animal, reducing the rate of heat loss in cold
303 environments and the rate of heat gain in hot environments, thereby resulting in outer fur surface
304 temperatures that differ substantially from skin temperatures.

305 Given the complexities surrounding core body temperature regulation, it would be
306 surprising if surface temperatures did approximate core body temperatures. Yet, a number of
307 studies have shown an association between surface temperature and core body temperature
308 (Dausmann, 2005; Warris et al., 2006; Johnson et al., 2011; Giloh et al., 2011; Zanghi, 2016),
309 including the current manuscript. However, the variance in surface temperature that could be
310 explained by core body temperature in our study was an order of magnitude lower than that
311 explained by miniglobe temperature. In addition, after controlling for the effect of miniglobe
312 temperature, the relationship with surface and core temperatures became trivial. We recommend
313 that future studies do not use surface temperature measurements to approximate core body
314 temperature, without a full understanding of the interactions between core, surface, and
315 environmental variables.

316 In an apparent attempt to avoid the invasiveness of intra-abdominal data logging, several
317 research teams have used skin or subcutaneous temperature measurements to make inferences
318 about core body temperature in primates. These methods have provided information on the
319 hibernation patterns of the Lesser bushbaby (*Galago moholi*: Mzilikazi, Masters, & Lovegrove,
320 2006; Nowack, Mzilikazi, & Dausmann, 2010; Nowack, Wippich, Mzilikazi, & Dausmann,
321 2013) and several lemur species (*Lemuridae spp.*, Schmid, 2001; Dausmann, Glos, Ganzhorn, &
322 Heldmaier, 2004; Dausmann, 2005; Blanco, Dausmann, Faherty, & Yoder, 2013; Kobbe,

323 Nowack, & Dausmann, 2014), as well as the seasonal variability in the body temperature rhythm
324 of the larger, diurnal mantled howling monkey (Thompson et al., 2014). While subcutaneous
325 body temperatures, typically recorded using devices implanted between the scapula, may more
326 closely reflect core body temperature than surface temperature, they also are likely to be
327 influenced by a core to periphery gradient, particularly in large mammals. The temperature of
328 peripheral tissue is more strongly influenced by local climate, and peripheral blood flow, than is
329 core body temperature (Mitchell et al., 2018). The subcutaneous body temperatures of mantled
330 howling monkeys, for example, were strongly influenced by environmental temperature
331 (Thompson et al., 2014). Furthermore, although a positive association between core body and
332 subcutaneous body temperatures has been documented (Brown & Bernard, 1991; Navarro-Serra
333 & Sanz-Cabañes, 2018), this does not mean that the measures are equivalent or interchangeable.
334 Similar to surface temperatures, subcutaneous temperatures may deviate substantially from core
335 body temperature, particularly in cold environments when endotherms peripherally vasoconstrict
336 to conserve core body heat (Torrao, Hetem, Meyer, & Fick, 2011).

337 Other less invasive measures of an animal's body temperature include measuring the
338 temperature of an animal's feces to approximate its core body temperature. For example, fecal
339 temperature has been used as a proxy for core body temperature in chimpanzees (Jensen,
340 Mundry, Nunn, Boesch, & Leendertz, 2009; Negrey, Sandel, & Langergraber, 2020) based on
341 limited evidence that fecal temperatures approximate rectal temperature in humans. However,
342 given the infrequency of defecation point-sampling, and the fact that core body temperatures can
343 fluctuate over 24h, and over even shorter time intervals for a multitude of reasons (e.g., drinking,
344 behavioral thermoregulation, microclimate selection, intensity of activity: McFarland et. al.,
345 2015, 2019, 2020), this method offers very little information on the regulation of core body

346 temperature. Continuous and remote measurement of core body temperature through implanted
347 intra-abdominal data loggers is a relatively simple and feasible technique that provides far
348 greater insight into an individual's thermal balance with its environment.

349 That is not to say that infrared thermography cannot provide important insights on
350 thermoregulatory or other physiological processes. Surface temperatures not only inform us
351 about the heat load experienced at an animal's surface, but may also provide information on
352 peripheral blood flow, and the effect of pelage properties on heat transfer (McCafferty, 2007;
353 Cilulko et al., 2013; Mathewson et al., 2018). Infrared thermography can also provide valuable
354 insights on animal behavior and physiology, or can be used to test the accuracy of biophysical
355 heat transfer models (e.g., Mathewson et al., 2018). Moreover, facial infrared thermography has
356 been used to quantify the emotional states of non-human primates (Nakayama, Goto, Kuraoka, &
357 Nakamura, 2005; Kuraoka & Nakamura, 2011; Ioannou, Chotard, & Davila-Ross, 2015;
358 Chotard, Ioannou, & Davila-Ross, 2018), including in wild chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*:
359 Dezechache, Zuberbühler, Davila-Ross & Dahl, 2017), and other animals (McCafferty, 2007).
360 The experimental protocols used in these studies typically rely on small short-term changes in
361 the skin-surface temperature of particular facial regions to make inferences about emotional
362 responses that invoke autonomic changes in blood flow at the surface of the skin (Kreibig, 2010).
363 For example, reductions in nasal skin temperature associated with negative emotional states were
364 relatively small in magnitude, $<0.8^{\circ}\text{C}$, even in 'raging' monkeys (Kuraoka & Nakamura 2011),
365 and these short terms changes in facial temperature are not influenced by the thermal
366 environment to which the monkeys were exposed (Nakayama et al. 2005). The magnitudes of
367 these emotion-driven temperature changes are unlikely to have had a significant influence on the
368 much larger differences that we report between core and surface body temperatures. Nonetheless,

369 our experimental protocol attempted to reduce the confounding effects of emotional state by
370 focusing our thermal images on relaxed monkeys and using relatively discrete temperature
371 measurements collected across the day. Any small skin temperature differences as a result of
372 emotional state would likely be further diluted by our protocol that averaged the temperatures
373 across all bare-skin facial regions, as different facial regions may differ in the direction of
374 temperature change (Chotard et al. 2018).

375 When alternative methods are used to measure an animal's surface or peripheral tissue
376 temperature, one should acknowledge that these data do not necessarily reflect the thermal status
377 of an animal's core in a given environment. For subcutaneous data logging methods, in
378 particular, the limited scientific value afforded by these methods should be weighed carefully
379 against the ethical considerations surrounding the required animal capture and intervention.
380 When it is not possible to measure body temperature within the animal's core, we suggest that
381 alternative, non-invasive physiological measures are used to provide information on a primate's
382 energy balance, hormone levels, or metabolic activity in response to environmental variability
383 (e.g., Cristóbal-Azkarate, Maréchal, Semple, Majolo, & MacLarnon, 2016; Behringer &
384 Deschner, 2017; Thompson, 2017; Thompson et al., 2017b). Biophysical models (e.g., Niche
385 MapperTM: Porter & Mitchell, 2006) that use principles of heat and mass transfer, coupled with
386 information on an animal's morphology, behavior, and microclimate, have also been used to
387 predict an animal's energetic requirements as a function of environmental conditions (e.g.,
388 Moyer-Horner, Mathewson, Jones, Kearney, & Porter, 2015; Natori & Porter, 2007; Zhang,
389 Mathewson, Zhang, Porter, & Ran, 2018; Long et al., 2014; Mathewson & Porter, 2013; Briscoe,
390 Kearney, Taylor, & Brendan, 2016; Mathewson et al., 2018), including in vervet monkeys
391 (Mathewson, 2018). Ultimately, an integrative approach to understanding heat transfer and

392 physiological plasticity can complement accurate measures of core body temperature, to provide
393 greater insight into how primates respond to environmental stress.

394

395 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

396 We thank the Tompkins family for permission to work on the Samara Private Game Reserve. We
397 are grateful to Sr. Mary-Ann Costello, and Drs. Jessica Briner and Anna Haw for veterinary
398 assistance in the animal capture, sedation, and data-logging surgery procedures. Abigail Chiesa
399 helped analyse the thermal images using FLIR software. This research was funded by Faculty
400 research grants from the University of the Witwatersrand, N.S.E.R.C. Discovery Grant awards to
401 S.P.H. and L.B., the Canada Research Program (L.B.), South African National Research
402 Foundation grants to A.F., R.S.H., and S.P.H., and a Carnegie grant to A.F.

403

404 **Data sharing**

405 The data and analysis code that support the findings of this study are openly available on figshare
406 at [https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare. #####](https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.#####) [to be published at time of manuscript
407 publication].

408

409 **REFERENCES**

410 Akaike, H. (1974). A New Look at the Statistical Model Identification. *IEEE Transactions on*
411 *Automatic Control*, 19(6), 716–723.
412 Altman, D. G., & Bland. J. M. (1983). Measurement in medicine: the analysis of method
413 comparison studies. *The Statistician*, 32 (3), 307–317. <https://doi:10.2307/2987937>.

414 Angilletta, M. J. Jr., Cooper, B. S., Schuler, M. S., & Boyles, J. G. (2010). The evolution of
415 thermal physiology in endotherms. *Frontiers in Bioscience E2*, 861-881.
416 <https://doi.org/10.2741/e148>

417 Audet, D., & Thomas, D. W. (1996). Evaluation of the accuracy of body temperature
418 measurement using external radio transmitters. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 74(9), 1778-1781.
419 <https://doi.org/10.1139/z96-196>

420 Bates, M., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S., Christensen, R., Singmann, H., ...
421 Grothendieck, G. (2019). R Package “lme4”: Linear Mixed-Effects Models using “Eigen” and
422 S4. Version 1.1-21.

423 Behringer, V., & Deschner, T. (2017). Non-invasive monitoring of physiological markers in
424 primates. *Hormones and Behavior*, 91, 3–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2017.02.001>

425 Blanco, M. B., Dausmann, K. H., Faherty, S. L., & Yoder, A. D. (2018). Tropical heterothermy
426 is “cool”: The expression of daily torpor and hibernation in primates. *Evolutionary*
427 *Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews*, 27(4), 147–161. <https://doi.org/10.1002/evan.21588>

428 Brain, C., & Mitchell, D. (1999). Body temperature changes in free-ranging baboons (*Papio*
429 *hamadryas ursinus*) in the Namib Desert, Namibia. *International Journal of Primatology*, 20(4),
430 585–598.

431 Brown, C. R., & Bernard, R. T. F. (1991). Validation of subcutaneous temperature as a measure
432 of deep body temperature in small bats. *Journal of Zoology*, 224(2), 315-318.
433 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1991.tb04808.x>

434 Briscoe, N. J., Kearney, M. R., Taylor, C., & Brendan, W. A. (2016). Unpacking the mechanisms
435 captured by a correlative SDM to improve predictions of a climate refugia. *Global Change*
436 *Biology* 22: 2425-2439. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13280>

437 Burnham, K. P., & Anderson, D. R. (Eds.). (2004). *Model Selection and Multimodel Inference*.
438 Springer New York. <https://doi.org/10.1007/b97636>

439 Chotard, H., Ioannou, S., & Davila-Ross, M. (2018). Infrared thermal imaging: Positive and
440 negative emotions modify the skin temperatures of monkey and ape faces. *American Journal of*
441 *Primatology*, 80(5), e22863. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.22863>

442 Cristóbal-Azkarate, J., Maréchal, L., Semple, S., Majolo, B., & MacLarnon, A. (2016).
443 Metabolic strategies in wild male Barbary macaques: evidence from faecal measurement of
444 thyroid hormone. *Biology Letters*, 12(4), p.20160168. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2016.0168>

445 Cilulko, J., Janiszewski, P., Bogdaszewski, M., & Szczygielska, E. (2013). Infrared thermal
446 imaging in studies of wild animals. *European Journal of Wildlife Research*, 59(1), 17–23.
447 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-012-0688-1>

448 Colquhoun, D. (2014). An investigation of the false discovery rate and the misinterpretation of p
449 -values. *Royal Society Open Science*, 1(3), 140216. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.140216>

450 Dausmann, K. H. (2005). Measuring body temperature in the field—Evaluation of external vs.
451 implanted transmitters in a small mammal. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 30(3), 195–202.
452 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2004.11.003>

453 Dausmann, K. H., Glos, J., Ganzhorn, J. U., & Heldmaier, G. (2004). Hibernation in a tropical
454 primate. *Nature*, 429(6994), 825–826. <https://doi.org/10.1038/429825a>

455 Dezechache, G., Zuberbühler, K., Davila-Ross, M., & Dahl, C. D. (2017). Skin temperature
456 changes in wild chimpanzees upon hearing vocalizations of conspecifics. *Royal Society Open*
457 *Science*, 4(1), 160816. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.160816>

458 Estrada, A., Garber, P. A., Rylands, A. B., Roos, C., Fernandez-Duque, E., Di Fiore, A., ... Li,
459 B. (2017). Impending extinction crisis of the world's primates: Why primates matter. *Science*
460 *Advances*, 3(1), e1600946. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1600946>

461 FLIR[®] Systems Inc. (2019). FLIR Tools[®]: <https://www.flir.com/products/flir-tools/>

462 Fox, J., Weisberg, S., Price, B., Adler, D., Bates, D., Baud-Bovy, G., ... R-Core. (2020). R
463 Package 'car'. Companion to Applied Regression. Version 3.0-7.

464 Fuller, A., Mitchell, D., Maloney, S. K., & Hetem, R. S. (2016). Towards a mechanistic
465 understanding of the responses of large terrestrial mammals to heat and aridity associated with
466 climate change. *Climate Change Responses*, 3(1), 10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40665-016-0024-1>

467 Giloh, M., Shinder, D., & Yahav, S. (2012). Skin surface temperature of broiler chickens is
468 correlated to body core temperature and is indicative of their thermoregulatory status. *Poultry*
469 *Science*, 91(1), 175–188. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2011-01497>

470 Graham, T. L., Matthews, H. D., & Turner, S. E. (2016). A Global-Scale Evaluation of Primate
471 Exposure and Vulnerability to Climate Change. *International Journal of Primatology*, 37(2),
472 158–174. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-016-9890-4>

473 Henzi, S. P., Hetem, R., Fuller, A., Maloney, S., Young, C., Mitchell, D., ... McFarland, R.
474 (2017). Consequences of sex-specific sociability for thermoregulation in male vervet monkeys
475 during winter. *Journal of Zoology*, 302(3), 193–200. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jzo.12448>

476 Hetem, R. S., Maloney, S. K., Fuller, A., & Mitchell, D. (2016). Heterothermy in large
477 mammals: inevitable or implemented? *Biological Reviews*, 91(1), 187-205.
478 <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12166>

479 Hetem, R. S., Fuller, A., Maloney, S. K., & Mitchell, D. (2014). Responses of large mammals to
480 climate change. *Temperature*, 1(2), 115–127. <https://doi.org/10.4161/temp.29651>

481 Hetem, R. S., Maloney, S. K., Fuller, A., Meyer, L. C. R., & Mitchell, D. (2007). Validation of a
482 biotelemetric technique, using ambulatory miniature black globe thermometers, to quantify
483 thermoregulatory behaviour in ungulates. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A: Ecological*
484 *Genetics and Physiology*, 307A(6), 342–356. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.389>

485 Ioannou, S., Chotard, H., & Davila-Ross, M. (2015). No strings attached: Physiological
486 monitoring of rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*) with thermal imaging. *Frontiers in Behavioral*
487 *Neuroscience*, 9, 160. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2015.00160>

488 Jay, O., Reardon, F. D., Webb, P., DuCharme, M. B., Ramsay, T., Nettlefold, L., & Kenny, G. P.
489 (2007). Estimating changes in mean body temperature for humans during exercise using core and
490 skin temperatures is inaccurate even with a correction factor. *Journal of Applied Physiology*,
491 103(2), 443–451. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.00117.2007>

492 Jensen, S. A., Mundry, R., Nunn, C. L., Boesch, C., & Leendertz, F. H. (2009). Non-invasive
493 body temperature measurement of wild chimpanzees using fecal temperature decline. *Journal of*
494 *Wildlife Diseases*, 45(2), 542–546. <https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-45.2.542>

495 Johnson, S. R., Rao, S., Hussey, S. B., Morley, P. S., & Traub-Dargatz, J. L. (2011).
496 Thermographic Eye Temperature as an Index to Body Temperature in Ponies. *Journal of Equine*
497 *Veterinary Science*, 31(2), 63–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jevs.2010.12.004>

498 Kobbe, S., Nowack, J., & Dausmann, K. H. (2014). Torpor is not the only option: Seasonal
499 variations of the thermoneutral zone in a small primate. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B*,
500 184(6), 789–797. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00360-014-0834-z>

501 Kreibig, S. D. (2010). Autonomic nervous system activity in emotion: a review. *Biological*
502 *Psychology*, 84, 394–421. <https://doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2010.03.010>

503 Kuraoka, K., & Nakamura, K. (2011). The use of nasal skin temperature measurements in
504 studying emotion in macaque monkeys. *Physiology & Behavior*, 102(3–4), 347–355.
505 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2010.11.029>

506 Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P., Christensen, R., & Jensen, S. (2019). R Package ‘lmerTest’. Tests
507 in Linear Mixed Effects Models. Version 3.1-1.

508 Larcombe, A. (2007). Measurement of southern brown bandicoot (*Isoodon obesulus*) body
509 temperature using internal and external telemeters. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western*
510 *Australia*, 90, 161–163.

511 Long, R. A., Bowyer, R.T, Porter, W.P., Mathewson, P.D., Monteith, K. L., Kie, J. G. (2014).
512 Behaviour and nutritional condition buffer a large-bodied endotherm against direct and indirect
513 effects of climate. *Ecological Monographs* 84: 513-532. <https://doi.org/10.1890/13-1273.1>

514 Lovegrove, B. G., Heldmaier, G., & Ruf, T. (1991). Perspectives of endothermy revisited: the
515 endothermic temperature range. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 16(4), 185-197.
516 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4565\(91\)90025-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4565(91)90025-W)

517 Lubach, G., Kittrell, E., & Coe, C. (1992). Maternal Influences on Body Temperature in the
518 Infant Primate. *Physiology & Behavior*, 51, 987–994.

519 Lubbe, A., Hetem, R. S., McFarland, R., Barrett, L., Henzi, P. S., Mitchell, D., ... Fuller, A.
520 (2014). Thermoregulatory plasticity in free-ranging vervet monkeys, *Chlorocebus pygerythrus*.
521 *Journal of Comparative Physiology B*, 184(6), 799–809. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00360-014-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00360-014-0835-y)
522 [0835-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00360-014-0835-y)

523 Maloney, S. K., Mitchell, D., Mitchell, G., & Fuller, A. (2007). Absence of selective brain
524 cooling in unrestrained baboons exposed to heat. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory*,

525 Integrative and Comparative Physiology, 292(5), 2059–2067.
526 <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00809.2006>

527 Mathewson, P. D. (2018). Biophysical models as tools to improve understanding of endotherm
528 responses to environmental change. (PhD dissertation), Department of Zoology, University of
529 Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, USA. ProQuest Dissertations Publishing: 13425321.

530 Mathewson, P. D., Hanson-Dorr, K. C., Porter, W. P., Bursian, S. J., Dean, K. M., Healy, K., ...
531 Dorr, B. S. (2018). Experimental and modeled thermoregulatory costs of repeated sublethal oil
532 exposure in the Double-crested Cormorant, *Phalacrocorax auratus*. Marine Pollution Bulletin,
533 135, 216–223.

534 Mathewson, P. D., & Porter, W. P. (2013). Simulating polar bear energetics during a seasonal
535 fast using a mechanistic model. PLoS One 8: e72863.
536 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0072863>

537 McCafferty, D. J. (2007). The value of infrared thermography for research on mammals:
538 Previous applications and future directions. Mammal Review, 37(3), 207–223.
539 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2907.2007.00111.x>

540 McFarland, R., Barrett, L., Fuller, A., Hetem, R. S., Young, C., ... & Henzi, S. P. (Pending).
541 Supporting data: Infrared thermography cannot be used to approximate core body temperature in
542 wild primates. *Figshare*. #####

543 McFarland, R., Barrett, L., Costello, M., Fuller, A., Hetem, R. S., Maloney, S. K., ... Henzi, P. S.
544 (2020). Keeping cool in the heat: Behavioral thermoregulation and body temperature patterns in
545 wild vervet monkeys. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 171(3), 407–418.
546 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.23962>

547 McFarland, R, Henzi, S., & Barrett, L. (2019). The Social and Thermal Competence of Wild
548 Vervet Monkeys. In T. Turner (Ed.): *Savanna Monkeys: The Genus Chlorocebus* (pp. 119–207).
549 Cambridge University Press.

550 McFarland, R., Henzi, S. P., Barrett, L., Wanigaratne, A., Coetzee, E., Fuller, A., ... Maloney, S.
551 K. (2016). Thermal consequences of increased pelt loft infer an additional utilitarian function for
552 grooming: Thermal benefits of grooming. *American Journal of Primatology*, 78(4), 456–461.
553 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.22519>

554 McFarland, R., Barrett, L., Boner, R., Freeman, N. J., & Henzi, S. P. (2014). Behavioral
555 flexibility of vervet monkeys in response to climatic and social variability: Behavioral Flexibility
556 of Vervet Monkeys. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 154(3), 357–364.
557 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.22518>

558 McNab, B. K., & Wright, P. C. (1987). Temperature Regulation and Oxygen Consumption in the
559 Philippine Tarsier *Tarsius syrichta*. *Physiological Zoology*, 60(5), 596–600.
560 <https://doi.org/10.1086/physzool.60.5.30156133>

561 Mitchell, D., Snelling, E. P., Hetem, R. S., Maloney, S. K., Strauss, W. M., & Fuller, A. (2018).
562 Revisiting concepts of thermal physiology: Predicting responses of mammals to climate change.
563 *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 87(4), 956–973. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12818>

564 Morrison, S. F., & Nakamura, K. (2019). Central mechanisms for thermoregulation. *Annual*
565 *Review of Physiology*, 81, 285–308.

566 Moyer-Horner, L., Mathewson, P. D., Jones, G., Kearney, M. R., & Porter, W. P. (2015).
567 Modeling behavioral thermoregulation in a climate change sentinel. *Ecology and Evolution* 5:
568 5810-5822. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1848>

569 Muller, E. F., Nieschalk, U., & Meier, B. (1985). Thermoregulation in the slender loris (*Loris*
570 *tardigradus*). *Folia Primatologica*, 44(3–4), 216–226. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000156215>

571 Mzilikazi, N., Masters, J. C., & Lovegrove, B. G. (2006). Lack of torpor in free-ranging southern
572 lesser galagos, *Galago moholi*: ecological and physiological considerations. *Folia Primatologica*,
573 77(6), 465–476. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000095392>

574 Nakayama, K., Goto, S., Kuraoka, K., & Nakamura, K. (2005). Decrease in nasal temperature of
575 rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*) in negative emotional state. *Physiology & Behavior*, 84(5),
576 783–790. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2005.03.009>

577 Narayan, E., Perakis, A., & Meikle, W. (2019). Using thermal imaging to monitor body
578 temperature of koalas (*Phascolarctos cinereus*) in a zoo setting. *Animals*, 9(12), 1094.

579 Natori, Y., & Porter, W. P. (2007). Model of Japanese serow (*Capricornis crispus*) energetics
580 predicts distribution on Honshu, Japan. *Ecological Applications* 17: 1441-1459.
581 <https://doi.org/10.1890/06-1785.1>

582 Navarro-Serra, A., & Sanz-Cabañes, H. (2019). Subcutaneous thermal sensor microchip
583 validation in vervet monkeys (*Chlorocebus pygerythrus*) during normothermic and hypothermic
584 situations. *Journal of Medical Primatology*, 48(2), 77–81. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jmp.12398>

585 Negrey, J. D., Sandel, A. A., & Langergraber, K. E. (2020). Dominance rank and the presence of
586 sexually receptive females predict feces-measured body temperature in male chimpanzees.
587 *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 74(1), 5. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-019-2788-3>

588 Nowack, J., Mzilikazi, N., & Dausmann, K. H. (2010). Torpor on demand: heterothermy in the
589 non-lemur primate *Galago moholi*. *PLoS ONE*, 5(5), e10797.
590 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010797>

591 Nowack, J., Wippich, M., Mzilikazi, N., & Dausmann, K. H. (2013). Surviving the cold, dry
592 period in Africa: Behavioral adjustments as an alternative to heterothermy in the African lesser
593 bushbaby (*Galago moholi*). *International Journal of Primatology*, 34(1), 49–64.
594 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-012-9646-8>

595 Nyakudya, T. T., Fuller, A., Meyer, L. C. R., Maloney, S. K., & Mitchell, D. (2012). Body
596 temperature and physical activity correlates of the menstrual cycle in Chacma baboons (*Papio*
597 *hamadryas ursinus*): Temperature, activity, and baboon menstrual cycle. *American Journal of*
598 *Primatology*, 74(12), 1143–1153. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.22073>

599 Pasternak, G., Brown, L. R., Kienzle, S., Fuller, A., Barrett, L., & Henzi, S. P. (2013).
600 Population ecology of vervet monkeys in a high latitude, semi-arid riparian woodland. *Koedoe*,
601 55(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.4102/koedoe.v55i1.1078>

602 Porter, W. P., & Mitchell, J. W. (2006). Method and system for calculating the spatial-temporal
603 effects of climate and other and other environmental conditions on animals.
604 <http://www.warf.org/technologies.jsp?ipnumber=P01251US> (Niche Mapper™).

605 R-Core-Team. (2019). R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria:
606 R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

607 Robinson, E. L., & Fuller, C. A. (1999). Endogenous thermoregulatory rhythms of squirrel
608 monkeys in thermoneutrality and cold. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative*
609 *and Comparative Physiology*, 276(5), R1397–R1407.
610 <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.1999.276.5.R1397>

611 Schmid, J. (2000). Daily torpor in the gray mouse lemur (*Microcebus murinus*) in Madagascar:
612 Energetic consequences and biological significance. *Oecologia*, 123(2), 175–183.
613 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420051003>

614 Schmid, J., Ruf, T., & Heldmaier, G. (2000). Metabolism and temperature regulation during
615 daily torpor in the smallest primate, the pygmy mouse lemur (*Microcebus myoxinus*) in
616 Madagascar. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental*
617 *Physiology*, 170(1), 59–68. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s003600050008>

618 Schmid, J. (2001). Daily torpor in free-ranging gray mouse lemurs (*Microcebus murinus*) in
619 Madagascar. *International Journal of Primatology*, 22(6), 1021–1031.

620 Schmidt-Nielsen, K. (1997). *Animal Physiology: Adaptation and Environment*. Cambridge
621 University Press.

622 Scholander, P. F., Walters, V., Hock, R., & Irving, L. (1950). Body insulation of some arctic and
623 tropical mammals and birds. *The Biological Bulletin*, 99(2), 225–236.
624 <https://doi.org/10.2307/1538740>

625 Sikoski, P., Banks, M. L., Gould, R., Young, R. W., Wallace, J. M., & Nader, M. A. (2007).
626 Comparison of rectal and infrared thermometry for obtaining body temperature in cynomolgus
627 macaques (*Macaca fascicularis*). *Journal of Medical Primatology*, 36(6), 381–384.
628 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0684.2007.00214.x>

629 Sulzman, F. M., Fuller, C. A., & Moore-Ede, M. C. (1977). Feeding time synchronizes primate
630 circadian rhythms. *Physiology & Behavior*, 18(5), 775–779. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9384(77)90182-2)
631 [9384\(77\)90182-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9384(77)90182-2)

632 Sykes, D. J., Couvillion, J. S., Cromiak, A., Bowers, S., Schenck, E., Crenshaw, M., & Ryan, P.
633 L. (2012). The use of digital infrared thermal imaging to detect estrus in gilts. *Theriogenology*,
634 78(1), 147–152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2012.01.030>

635 Thompson, C. L., Powell, B. L., Williams, S. H., Hanya, G., Glander, K. E. & Vinyard, C. J.
636 (2017). Thyroid hormone fluctuations indicate a thermoregulatory function in both a tropical

637 (*Alouatta palliata*) and seasonally cold-habitat (*Macaca fuscata*) primate. American Journal of
638 Primatology, 79, e22714. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.22714>

639 Thompson, C. L., Scheidel, C., Glander, K. E., Williams, S. H., & Vinyard, C. J. (2017). An
640 assessment of skin temperature gradients in a tropical primate using infrared thermography and
641 subcutaneous implants. Journal of Thermal Biology, 63, 49–57.
642 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2016.11.005>

643 Thompson, C. L., Williams, S. H., Glander, K. E., Teaford, M. F., & Vinyard, C. J. (2014). Body
644 temperature and thermal environment in a generalized arboreal anthropoid, wild mantled
645 howling monkeys (*Alouatta palliata*): Howler monkey body temperatures. American Journal of
646 Physical Anthropology, 154(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.22505>

647 Torrao, N. A., Hetem, R. S., Meyer, L. C. R., & Fick, L. G. (2011). Assessment of the use of
648 temperature-sensitive microchips to determine core body temperature in goats. Veterinary
649 Record, 168 (12),328-328.

650 Warriss, P. D., Pope, S. J., Brown, S. N., Wilkins, L. J., & Knowles, T. G. (2006). Estimating the
651 body temperature of groups of pigs by thermal imaging. Veterinary Record, 158(10), 331–334.
652 <https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.158.10.331>

653 Whittow, G. G., Scammell, C. C., Manuel, J. K., Rand, D., & Leong, M. (1977). Temperature
654 Regulation in a Hypometabolic Primate, The Slow Loris (*Nycticebus Coucang*). Archives
655 Internationales de Physiologie et de Biochimie, 85(1), 139–151.
656 <https://doi.org/10.3109/13813457709069860>

657 Wurster, E. C., Murrish, D. E., & Sulzman, F. M. (1985). Circadian rhythms in body temperature
658 of the pigtailed macaque (*Macaca nemestrina*) exposed to different ambient temperatures.
659 American Journal of Primatology, 9(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.1350090102>

660 Zanghi, B. M. (2016). Eye and ear temperature using infrared thermography are related to rectal
661 temperature in dogs at rest or with exercise. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 3, 1–9.
662 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2016.00111>

663 Zhang, D. (2018). R Package “rsq”: R-squared and related measures. Version 1.1.

664 Zhang, Y., Mathewson, P. D., Zhang, Q., Porter, W. P., & Ran, J. (2018). An ecophysiological
665 perspective on likely giant panda habitat responses to climate change. *Global Change Biology*
666 24: 1804-1816. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14022>

667

668 **TABLES**

669

670 **Table 1.** Sample of miniglobe, core body, and infrared body surface temperature measurements
671 across four sites recorded from wild vervet monkeys

	Miniglobe	Core body	Body surface			
			Dorsal	Ventral	Face	Tail
Number of monkeys	-	14	14	11	13	14
Number of images	242	283	202	42	82	107
Minimum temperature °C	13.0	38.2	16.2	20.5	16.9	14.8
Maximum temperature °C	32.7	39.8	37.6	34.4	34.4	37.5
Mean temperature ± SD °C	23.6 ± 5.7	38.9 ± 0.3	26.3 ± 4.2	29.1 ± 3.75	27.0 ± 4.01	26.8 ± 4.9

672

673

674

675

676

677

678 **Table 2.** Results of the linear model analyses testing the relationship between miniglobe
679 temperature and infrared surface temperatures from the following body regions: dorsal, ventral,
680 tail, and face. We ran the analyses at the level of the image/subject.

	$\beta \pm SE$	t	P
Dorsal (N=153 images, N=14 subjects)			
Miniglobe temperature	0.16 ± 0.01	25.02	<0.001
Intercept	3.26 ± 0.01	532.10	-
<i>Adjusted R² (%)</i>	81.45		
<i>AICc</i>	637.31		
Ventral (N=32 images, N=11 subjects)			
Miniglobe temperature	0.12 ± 0.01	9.30	<0.001
Intercept	3.37 ± 0.01	274.70	-
<i>Adjusted R² (%)</i>	75.56		
<i>AICc</i>	139.81		
Tail (N=76 images, N=14 subjects)			
Miniglobe temperature	0.21 ± 0.01	20.27	<0.001
Intercept	3.26 ± 0.01	345.44	-
<i>Adjusted R² (%)</i>	86.75		
<i>AICc</i>	328.56		
Face (N=63 images, N=13 subjects)			
Miniglobe temperature	0.14 ± 0.01	14.26	<0.001
Intercept	3.28 ± 0.01	322.88	-
<i>Adjusted R² (%)</i>	76.69		
<i>AICc</i>	276.80		

681
682
683
684
685
686

687 **Table 3.** Results of the linear model analyses testing the relationship between core body
 688 temperature and infrared surface temperatures from the following body regions: dorsal, ventral,
 689 tail, and face. We ran the analyses at the level of the image/subject.

	$\beta \pm SE$	t	P
Dorsal (N=153 images, N=14 subjects)			
Core body temperature	0.05 ± 0.01	3.91	<0.001
Intercept	3.27 ± 0.01	247.92	-
<i>Adjusted R² (%)</i>	8.24		
<i>AICc</i>	881.92		
Ventral (N=32 images, N=11 subjects)			
Core body temperature	0.05 ± 0.02	2.18	0.04
Intercept	3.38 ± 0.02	146.63	-
<i>Adjusted R² (%)</i>	10.58		
<i>AICc</i>	181.31		
Tail (N=76 images, N=14 subjects)			
Core body temperature	0.06 ± 0.02	2.39	0.02
Intercept	3.28 ± 0.02	138.46	-
<i>Adjusted R² (%)</i>	5.80		
<i>AICc</i>	477.62		
Face (N=63 images, N=13 subjects)			
Core body temperature	0.04 ± 0.02	2.01	0.048
Intercept	3.29 ± 0.02	164.53	-
<i>Adjusted R² (%)</i>	4.64		
<i>AICc</i>	365.57		

690
 691
 692
 693
 694
 695

696 **Table 4.** Results of the linear model analyses testing the effects of miniglobe temperature and
697 core body temperature on infrared surface temperatures from the following body regions: dorsal,
698 ventral, tail, and face. We ran the analyses at the level of the image/subject.

	$\beta \pm SE$	t	P
Dorsal (N=153 images, N=14 subjects)			
Miniglobe temperature	0.15 ± 0.01	23.76	<0.001
Core body temperature	0.01 ± 0.01	1.82	0.07
Intercept	3.26 ± 0.01	536.18	-
<i>Adjusted R² (%)</i>	81.73		
<i>AICc</i>	636.12		
Ventral (N = 32 images, N = 11 subjects)			
Miniglobe temperature	0.13 ± 0.15	8.34	<0.001
Core body temperature	-0.01 ± 0.01	-0.46	0.65
Intercept	3.37 ± 0.01	270.99	-
<i>Adjusted R² (%)</i>	74.90		
<i>AICc</i>	142.20		
Tail (N = 76 images, N = 14 subjects)			
Miniglobe temperature	0.21 ± 0.01	19.29	<0.001
Core body temperature	0.00 ± 0.01	0.38	0.70
Intercept	3.26 ± 0.01	343.47	-
<i>Adjusted R² (%)</i>	86.59		
<i>AICc</i>	330.64		
Face (N = 63 images, N = 13 subjects)			
Miniglobe temperature	0.14 ± 0.01	13.48	<0.001
Core body temperature	0.00 ± 0.01	0.10	0.92
Intercept	3.28 ± 0.01	320.45	-
<i>Adjusted R² (%)</i>	76.31		
<i>AICc</i>	279.08		

699

700

701

702

703

704 **Table 5.** A summary of the performance (AICc and Adjusted R² %) of the infrared surface
 705 temperature linear models (i.e., dorsal, ventral, face, and tail) as explained by miniglobe
 706 temperature and core body temperature as sole predictors, and a composite model including both
 707 predictor variables. † denotes best fitting model. A Δ AICc threshold of < -2.0 was used indicate
 708 whether a composite model was better than its single predictor variable equivalent.

Surface temperature		Dependent variables			
		Miniglobe temperature	Core body temperature	Miniglobe temperature and Core body temperature	Δ change in performance of the mini globe model with the addition of core body temperature
Dorsal	AICc	637.31 [†]	881.92	636.12	-1.19
	R ²	81.45	8.24	81.73	0.28
Ventral	AICc	139.81 [†]	181.92	142.2	2.39
	R ²	75.56	10.58	74.9	-0.66
Tail	AICc	328.56 [†]	477.62	330.64	2.08
	R ²	86.75	5.8	86.59	-0.16
Face	AICc	276.80 [†]	365.57	279.08	2.28
	R ²	76.69	4.64	76.31	-0.38

709
 710
 711
 712
 713
 714
 715
 716
 717
 718
 719

720 **FIGURES LEGENDS**

721

722 **Figure 1.** An infrared image and time-matched photograph of data collection (zoomed out for
723 illustrative purposes) of a vervet monkey implanted with a temperature-sensitive data logger

724

725 **Figure 2.** Three example infrared images demonstrating the location of discrete temperature
726 sampling from the dorsal, ventral, tail and bare-skin face image surfaces.

727

728 **Figure 3.** A Bland-Altman plot showing the relationship between vervet monkeys' core body
729 temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) and each of the four body surface temperatures ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) (i.e., dorsal, ventral, tail
730 and face). The x-axis represents the combined mean of core body and specified surface
731 temperatures ($^{\circ}\text{C}$). The y-axis represents the difference in temperature between core body and
732 specified surface temperatures. Dashed lines represent the mean $\pm 1.96\text{SD}$.