

The early motor milestones in infancy and later motor impairments: a population-based data linkage study

1 **Jing Hua^{1*†}, Gareth J. Williams PhD², Hua Jin^{3†}, Juan Chen MD⁴, Manyun Xu⁴,**
2 **Yingchun Zhou⁴, Guixiong Gu⁵, Wenchong Du^{6*}**

3

4 †Contributed equally

5 ¹Maternity and children's health care department, Shanghai First Maternity and Infant
6 Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China;

7 ²School of Social Sciences, Nottingham Trent University, Nottingham, UK;

8 ³Health Care Department of Suzhou Ninth People's Hospital, Suzhou, China;

9 ⁴KLATASDS-MOE, School of Statistics, East China Normal University, Shanghai,
10 China;

11 ⁵Pediatrics Research Institution of Soochow University, Suzhou, China;

12 ⁶NTU Psychology, Nottingham Trent University, Burton Street, Nottingham, UK.

13

14 * Correspondence:

15 Wenchong Du Ph.D, NTU Psychology, Nottingham Trent University, Burton Street,
16 Nottingham, UK, NG1 4BU [vivienne.du@ntu.ac.uk]

17 Jing Hua Ph.D., Shanghai First Maternity and Infant Hospital, Tongji University School
18 of Medicine, 2699 Gaoke Road, Shanghai, China [Jinghua@tongji.edu.cn]

19

20 Total word count: 3538.

21 Number of Figures:

- 22 • Figure 1 Flowchart of the study population
- 23 • Figure 2 Association of walking and crawling during infancy with motor impairments by
24 different age group in children aged 3-4 years old (n=3469)
- 25 • Figure 3 Association of walking and crawling during infancy with DCD by different age
26 group in children aged 5-6 years old (n=4926)

27 Number of Tables: 2.

28

29 **Key Words**

30 Motor impairment; Developmental Coordination Disorder; Crawling; Independent
31 walking; early motor milestone.

32

33 **Abstract**

34 **Background:** Developmental Coordination Disorder (DCD) is a neurodevelopmental
35 condition with high prevalence. Early motor milestones are important markers to identify
36 DCD. The current study aims to evaluate the association between the onset of crawling
37 and independent walking and their transition pattern during infancy and later motor
38 impairments.

39

40 **Methods:** A total of 8395 children aged 3-6 years old in China were included in the final
41 analysis. A parent questionnaire was used to collect early milestone onset data.

42 Children's motor performance was measured using the Movement Assessment Battery
43 for Children-2nd edition (MABC-2). The association between motor milestones and
44 motor impairment was analyzed using a multilevel regression model.

45

46 **Results:** The result showed that a one-month delay in crawling onset increased the risk of
47 significant overall motor impairment by 5.3%, and 14.0% when adjusting for child and
48 family characteristics. A one-month delay in walking onset increased the risk of
49 significant overall motor, fine, gross, and balance impairment by 21.7%, 8.3%, 13.3%,
50 and 17.8%. A one month increase in the transition time from crawling to independent
51 walking increased the risk of significant overall motor and gross motor impairment by
52 7.7% and 6.6%. These results were inconsistent across different age bands (each $p < 0.05$).

53

54 **Conclusions:** Our study indicates that even a mild delay in crawling and walking onsets
55 in infancy increase the risk for subsequent motor impairments in childhood, and children
56 with motor impairments revealed a different transition pattern from crawling to walking.
57 The motor abilities of children with motor impairments can be observed to diverge from
58 typically developing children as early as 6-8 months old. The findings can facilitate the
59 early identification of motor impairments in children, and provide early signs to initiate
60 intervention.

61

62

63

64

65

66

67 **1 Introduction**

68 Developmental Coordination Disorder (DCD) is a neurodevelopmental condition marked
69 by impairments of motor coordination. Studies have shown that the prevalence of DCD is
70 around 5-6% in school-aged children(1,2). One of the diagnostic criteria of DCD
71 emphasizes that symptoms of DCD can be observed from early childhood(3,4), and early
72 intervention can help to reduce the emotional, physical, and social consequences that are
73 often associated with this disorder(5,6). Therefore, it is important to understand what
74 early signs in motor development are associated with later motor impairments, when
75 motor abilities of children with DCD start to diverge from typical developmental
76 children, and to what extent the delays in motor development can facilitate the early
77 identification of DCD.

78 During infancy, motor development is manifested at the behavioural level as a
79 progression of new motor milestones (e.g., crawling, walking, etc.). Delayed motor
80 milestone onsets during infancy can reflect a delay in physical and neurological
81 development, and therefore is an important early identifier of childhood developmental
82 disorders(7). For children with DCD, however, very few studies have specifically
83 focused on that timeframe of motor milestones(8,9). Findings, with a small sample,
84 indicate that only children with DCD but not children with Autism reach key motor
85 milestones significantly later than typically developed children (10). Moreover, motor
86 milestones have been suggested as a potential early symptom of DCD by DSM-5(3).
87 However, there was a lack of consistent data on whether there is an association between

88 the motor milestone delays and DCD(11), and to what extent the delays in motor
89 milestones can aid in the early identification of motor impairments.

90 Additionally, motor development requires peripheral and biomechanical readiness
91 for engendering developmental change(12). The change from crawling to walking is one
92 of the most significant examples of this process. As a qualitative change in development,
93 the transition reflects the rate of change in body control and coordination skills. Most
94 infants start to walk independently after crawling(13), and the transition from crawling to
95 walking spans several weeks. However, such developmental trajectory data are lacking in
96 DCD. To our knowledge, the only relevant data in the literature did not find the transition
97 time from crawling to walking is different between children with DCD and controls, but
98 the small sample size of the study makes it hard to draw a reliable conclusion (10). It is
99 therefore unclear whether children with DCD have a different developmental pattern in
100 their transition from crawling to walking compared to typically developing children, and
101 to what extent the pattern can facilitate the early identification of DCD in infancy.

102 Therefore, in this study, we conducted a population-based study to explore a
103 longitudinal association between early motor milestones and motor impairment reported
104 at a later age (3-6 years old). We hypothesized that DCD children have a delayed onset of
105 motor milestones and may also develop at a slower rate compared to their typically
106 developing peers. Therefore, the objectives of the current study were: firstly to evaluate
107 to what extent the onset of crawling and walking in early infancy is associated with later
108 motor impairment, and secondly whether children with motor impairment follow a

109 similar developmental transition from crawling to walking as typically developing
110 children.

111

112 **2 Method**

113 **2.1 Study Design and Population**

114 We conducted a population-based data linkage study in 5 cities in China between March
115 1st 2010 and January 31st 2012. The database was derived from data held by the city's
116 regional children's healthcare institution, which collects data on children's physical status
117 and motor milestones during infancy and toddlerhood. Using this database as the base
118 population, we carried out follow-up data collection with these children when they were
119 preschoolers. According to the local health and education authority regulations, children
120 typically visit the children's health care institution and enter kindergarten in the same
121 district of the city. Only mainstream schools and nurseries were included in the study.
122 Children with severe visual, hearing, intellectual impairments (according to the
123 examinations before starting kindergarten) or other severe developmental disorders who
124 were required to attend special education schools/nurseries according to the local
125 regulations were excluded. A total of 10,758 children were assessed by 42 assessors with
126 the standardized motor assessment. All assessors were qualified child therapists and were
127 trained to administrate assessment. The interrater reliability was demonstrated to be good
128 (14). Class teachers were responsible for distributing the notification to parents to
129 complete the questionnaire; names and phone numbers of the researchers were provided

130 in case the parents had queries. Parents of 10,452 children completed and returned the
131 questionnaires concerning their child and family characteristics after giving consent to
132 participate in the study. Each child's motor scores, responses to the child's parental
133 questionnaire, and their base data during infancy were record-linked to conduct the
134 analysis. Of the initial dataset, 8,395 children were included in the final analysis (Figure
135 1). Records were removed where there was missing infancy data or where an infant had
136 not reported having crawled. The study was approved by the local Education Board and
137 Ethics Committee of the Children's Hospital of Soochow University. Parental consent
138 and children's assent were obtained before testing. All information acquired was kept
139 confidential and was accessible only to the researchers.

140

141 **2.2 Measures**

142 **2.2.1 Outcomes**

143 Children's motor impairments were assessed using the Movement Assessment Battery
144 for Children-2nd edition (MABC-2) (15), which is a diagnostic measurement for DCD in
145 childhood. The age band 1 of the MABC-2 test, which refers to children aged 3-6 years
146 old, was used in our study. The test contains eight items categorized into the following
147 three motor subtests (manual dexterity, aiming and catching, and balance). The scores of
148 subtests are added up by their corresponding items. The total score of the MABC-2 is the
149 sum of the standard scores for all eight items. The better a child's performance, the
150 higher the test score. According to the previous research(14), MABC-2 is a valid and

151 reliable measurement when it is used with Chinese children. However, because it is not
152 generally recommended to make a diagnosis on children based only on a diagnostic test
153 (16), we used the term ‘motor impairment’ instead of DCD. We grouped them (aged 3-6
154 years old) into significant motor impairment (\leq 5th percentile of the total score), at-risk
155 of motor impairment (6-16 percentiles of the total or subtest score) and typical motor
156 performance ($>$ 15 percentile of the total or subtests’ score) according to MABC-2
157 Examiner's Manual(15). The procedure for conducting the MABC-2 has been described
158 in previous studies(17,18).

159

160 **2.2.2 Predictors**

161 Crawling is defined as when a child alternatively moves forwards or backwards in a
162 four-point position on hands and knees with the abdomen off the floor, with arms and
163 legs moving reciprocally(19,20). Independent walking is defined as walking without
164 assistance according to the World Health Organization (WHO) motor development
165 study(19). We asked parents “how old was your child in months when she or he started
166 to move forward or backward on BOTH hands and BOTH knees without the abdomen
167 contacting the floor?” with regard to crawling; and “how old was your child in months
168 when she or he started to walk independently” with regard to independent walking. We
169 used the time difference between crawling and independent walking to describe the
170 transition time from crawling to walking. In preterm children, we used a corrected month
171 age of crawling and walking onset. Children who did not experience hands-and-knees

172 crawling, but presented bottom shuffling or bunny-hopping during infancy which might
173 be related to more complex mechanisms, were excluded from our study.

174

175 **2.2.3 Covariates**

176 Body Mass Index(BMI) is an indicator of obesity that is based on height and weight

177 (BMI = weight(kg)/height(m) according to the WHO BMI classification (21). Family

178 structures were classified into three types: ‘three-generation (or more) family’, ‘nuclear

179 family’, and ‘single-family’. The ‘three-generation (or more) family’ type refers to a

180 child living with his/her parents and grandparents, and is a traditional family structure in

181 China. ‘Nuclear family’ refers to where a child lives with their parents, and “single

182 mother or father” means the child lives with one of their parents. All covariates are

183 shown in Table 1.

184

185 **2.2.4 Statistical Analyses**

186 Chi-square analyses were used to test for significance in comparing children’s age, sex,

187 BMI, parents’ education, and family income between children with motor impairments

188 and with typical motor performance. In order to assess the effects of crawling and

189 walking onset, and the transition from crawling to walking on children’s motor

190 impairments (0=typical performance, 1=at-risk of motor impairment, 2=significant

191 motor impairment), odds ratios were estimated to determine the strength of the

192 association using a multilevel logistic regression model. In this model, we utilized a

193 random intercept (we considered the kindergarten as a cluster, and hypothesized that
194 there was no interaction between kindergartens and gross motor milestones) to
195 investigate the associations between motor milestone and risk of motor impairment when
196 adjusting for the clustering (kindergartens) and other potential confounders (child and
197 family characteristics which are presented in Table 1). Additionally, we conducted a
198 stratified analysis in children aged 3-4 and 5-6 years old. All analyses were performed in
199 R 2.15.1 using the MGCV and LME4 packages. $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically
200 significant.

201

202 **3 Results**

203 Of the 8,395 children included in the final analysis, the mean month of crawling and
204 independent walking onset was 8.1 months and 12.6 months, with a standard deviation
205 (SD) of 1.8 months and 1.7 months respectively. The total score and motor subtest
206 scores of the MABC-2, comparing children and family characteristics are shown in
207 Table S1. The child and family characteristics by motor impairments were shown in
208 Table 1 and Table S2.

209 The results showed that a one month delay in crawling onset increased the risk of
210 overall significant motor impairment by 5.3% (as measured by the total MABC-2 score)
211 and 4.7% for at-risk motor impairment when compared to typically developing children
212 and adjusting for month age of independent walking and child and family characteristics
213 (each $p < 0.05$). There was also a statistically significant, 14.0% (significant group) and

214 7.9% (at-risk group) increased risk of balance impairment (each $p<0.05$) when adjusting
215 for the same characteristics. The crude and adjusted OR with 95%CI are presented in
216 Table 2.

217 Compared with typically developing children, a one month delay in the onset of
218 independent walking increased the risk of overall motor impairment by 21.7% for the
219 significant motor impairment group; by 11.9% for the at-risk of motor impairment
220 group; by 8.3% for significant fine motor impairment group; by 13.3% and 7.9% for the
221 significant and at-risk of gross motor impairments when adjusting for month age of
222 crawling and child and family characteristics (each $p<0.05$). A one month delay in the
223 onset of independent walking increased the adjusted risk of balance impairment by
224 17.8% for the significant motor impairment group, and 9.3% for the at-risk of motor
225 impairment group (each $p<0.05$). The crude and adjusted OR and 95% CI are shown in
226 Table 2.

227 We found that a one month increase in transition time from crawling to independent
228 walking increased the risk of overall significant motor impairments by 7.7% when
229 compared with the typically developing group, and adjusting for child and family
230 characteristics. An increase in timing difference between crawling and independent
231 walking was significantly associated with subsequent significant impairment in the gross
232 motor subtest when adjusting for child and family characteristics (OR=1.066, $p<0.05$).
233 The crude and adjusted OR and 95%CI are shown in Table 2.

234 Stratified analysis showed that most of the significant associations of crawling and

235 independent walking with later motor impairment remained in children aged 3-4 years
236 old (each $p < 0.05$, Figure 2), however, some of the associations between motor
237 milestones and at-risk of motor impairment disappeared in children aged 5-6 years old
238 (Figure 3). We found that the time difference between crawling and walking could
239 predict significant overall motor impairment and gross motor impairment in children
240 aged both 3-4 years old and 5-6 years old (each $p < 0.05$), but could not be considered as
241 predictors for the at-risk motor impairments (each $p > 0.05$).

242

243 **4 Discussion**

244 To our knowledge, our study is the first population-based study that explored a
245 longitudinal association between early motor milestones and the impairment of motor
246 coordination revealed at a later age (3-6 years old), and our results confirmed that a
247 delayed onset time of crawling and independent walking is associated with subsequent
248 motor impairment. More importantly, our results also suggested that a long transition
249 time from crawling to walking is associated with subsequent childhood motor
250 impairments, with children with motor impairment having a longer transition time
251 between crawling and independent walking when compared with typically developing
252 children. Our study provided quantitative information to assist our early identification of
253 children with motor impairments.

254 Our results revealed a similar prevalence of children with significant motor
255 impairments (5.2%-6.4%) to previous reports(16,22). More importantly, our results
256 demonstrated a relatively consistent result that the late crawling onset time is associated
257 with balance impairment at a later stage. As early as 6-8 months, the motor abilities of
258 children with DCD can be observed to diverge from typically developing children by
259 having a delayed crawling onset, which mostly reflects an impaired balance development
260 at a later stage. Neurological evidence has shown that crawling experience is
261 accompanied by neural changes, leading to a more efficient cortical organization(23),
262 which, however, has been found altered in children with DCD(24,25,26). Crawling
263 requires the coordination of a range of different muscles in the infant's trunk and lower
264 extremities, and the interlimb coordination of overall balance is a key factor in an infant's
265 transition from belly crawling to hands-and-knees crawling(27). The process of crawling
266 provides novel eye-hand coordination, vestibular processing, tactile input, and kinesthetic
267 awareness experiences, which are essential to the integration of sensory and motor
268 systems and the improvement of balance(28). This is also in line with our results that a
269 delayed crawling onset was only associated with impairment in balance but not fine or
270 gross motor skills.

271 The timing of walking onset was a more powerful predictor compared to the
272 crawling onset on motor impairments, and walking onset can predict children's later fine,
273 gross, and balance motor impairments. Although each gross motor milestone in infancy
274 has both similar and different components, independent walking is probably the synthesis

275 of all these components(29,30). The coordination of muscles in the trunk and lower
276 extremities, along with reciprocation between muscles and balance is needed to achieve
277 walking(29,30). Although previous reports of the association between early gross motor
278 milestones and later fine motor development are mixed(8,31), the theoretical background
279 in occupational therapy suggests that a reduction in high level tactile, proprioceptive, and
280 kinesthetic input affects the quality of upper extremity functioning(32,33). Therefore, as
281 shown in this study, delayed onset of independent walking is also related to manual
282 dexterity impairments in the group with significant motor impairment. These findings
283 demonstrate a consistent pattern of delayed motor development in infancy is associated
284 with later motor impairment and early motor milestone onset might assist the
285 identification of DCD.

286 The most novel finding of our study was that one month increase in the transition
287 time between crawling and walking can increase the risk of overall motor impairment.
288 The inconsistent developmental pace has been found in other developmental disorders.
289 For example, children with autism have been reported to have heterogeneous
290 developmental pathways, with evidenced remarkable developmental change over
291 time(34,35,36). Most infants with motor impairment seem to suffer a developmental
292 delay in early motor skill achievement but can eventually catch up and reach the next
293 motor milestone at a similar pace. It is possible that children with DCD crawl longer
294 before starting to walk because they require more practice by crawling to be peripherally

295 and biomechanically ready for independent walking. Slowed rate of growth may index
296 aberrant processes during early development and precede the onset of symptoms. It
297 should also be noticed that the delayed transition time between crawling and walking was
298 only associated with significant motor impairment but not at risk of motor impairment,
299 and its association with significant motor impairment seems to mainly reflect a
300 subsequent impairment in gross motor skills. The results further suggested that the
301 transition from crawling to walking is a complex process and compared to children with
302 mildly delayed motor development, children with severe motor impairment or DCD may
303 suffer from issues in more than the development rate of one group of motor capabilities;
304 and different developmental patterns may exist between children with DCD and children
305 with mildly delayed motor development. In this case, a developmental trajectory
306 approach may be needed in future research to examine the differences in developmental
307 patterns of children with DCD (i.e., more severe motor impairment) and children with
308 mildly delayed motor development, as well as typically developed children(37). A focus
309 on the developmental pattern can allow further factors to be studied beyond delay, which
310 may ultimately index different underlying developmental pathways of DCD.

311 Additionally, we observed inconsistent results across different age bands in our
312 stratified analysis. Most of the significant associations between motor milestones onset
313 time and later motor impairment remained in younger children aged 3-4 years old,
314 however, some of the associations disappeared in older children (aged 5-6 years old). It
315 has been reported that early motor experiences can affect subsequent motor

316 development(38). Previous studies have found environmental risk factors in
317 kindergartens and families that were associated with childhood motor impairment(17,22).
318 Therefore, the association between the onset time of motor milestones and motor
319 impairment might also be related to the positive or negative impact of a child's
320 environment. Further study is needed to explore the mechanism of age differences in the
321 pattern of associations.

322 There were several limitations of the current study. First, we should consider the
323 possibility that other conditions such as undiagnosed attention problems or other
324 undiagnosed psychological or neuropsychological impairments may affect the children's
325 performance in the MABC-2 test; and not all children with poor movement performance
326 as defined by the MABC-2 test would be clinically diagnosed as DCD. However, the
327 focus of the current study was the association of early motor milestones and subsequent
328 motor impairments, and future studies should be conducted to further explore the
329 potential different developmental trajectories between DCD and other
330 neuropsychological disorders that often have motor impairment as a symptom. Secondly,
331 we used the onset time of both crawling and walking reported by the parents as the
332 indicator of the children's early motor development. It is possible that reports by parents
333 are inaccurate. However, an important strength of the present study is that it was based on
334 a larger sample than those previously described and that a wide range of confounding
335 variables including child and family characteristics have been measured and controlled
336 for in our analysis. A large population-based sample reduces the possible errors in

337 parents' estimated reports regarding the children's motor development history and
338 attenuates the variation of motor activity that might occur in infancy. Moreover, motor
339 impairment is a minor condition compared to more severe conditions such as cerebral
340 palsy or Down Syndrome. Most parents do not have knowledge of DCD(39) and children
341 with DCD are rarely diagnosed(40) especially in China where the study was conducted.
342 Therefore the parents of children with and without motor impairments as defined in the
343 current study were unlikely to show differences in recalling the early developmental
344 information of their children, and misclassification is unlikely to be differential. The non-
345 differential misclassification can produce biased estimates of odds ratios toward the null
346 value(41), thus the positive outcomes of our study will still exist when considering the
347 parents' reporting errors. Furthermore, the mechanisms underlying infant motor
348 milestones remain poorly characterized according to current literature. The children who
349 did not experience hands-and-knees crawling, but presented bottom shuffling or bunny-
350 hopping during infancy, were excluded from our study as they may have had a
351 confounding effect on our results. Further study is needed to explore the mechanism of
352 these non-typical 'crawling behaviours'.

353 Our findings provided quantitative evidence of the association between early motor
354 development and subsequent motor impairment. Although it is generally not
355 recommended to make any official diagnosis of DCD before 5 years of age(16), it is
356 critical to make early identification of children at higher risk of motor impairment. It is

357 relatively straightforward for parents to notice and report crawling and independent
358 walking onset by daily observation. The findings of the current study will increase our
359 understanding of the early motor development of children with motor impairment, which
360 in turn will have important implications for the early identification of children at higher
361 risk of developing motor impairment in the first two years of development. The findings
362 will also further our understanding of the mechanisms underlying motor impairments in
363 children and thereby assist in planning early interventions to support children with motor
364 impairment.

365

366 **5 Conclusion**

367 In conclusion, using a large population-based sample, we found that children's poor
368 motor performance can be observed to diverge from typically developing children by
369 having a delayed crawling onset. Moreover, a delayed walking onset is associated with
370 subsequent motor impairments in all motor domains including fine motor skills. Our
371 results suggested that the transition time from crawling to walking is also associated with
372 later motor impairments, with children with impairments in motor coordination
373 associated significantly with a longer crawling duration before walking compared to
374 typically developing children. Our findings provide important evidence that will help
375 inform practitioners in their early identification of children with motor impairments.

376

377 **Supplementary Material**

378 Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information
379 section at the end of the article.

380 **Table S1** The total score and subtest scores of MABC-2 by child and family's
381 characteristics

382 **Table S2** The children's and family's characteristics by impairments in gross, fine motor
383 and balance

384

385 **Ethics Statement**

386 The study was approved by the local Education Board and Ethics Committee of the
387 Children's Hospital of Soochow University. Parental consent and children's assent were
388 obtained before testing. All information acquired was kept confidential and was
389 accessible only to the researchers.

390

391 **Author Contributions**

392 JH conceptualized and designed the study, drafted the initial manuscript, and reviewed
393 and revised the manuscript. GJW reviewed and revised the manuscript. JC, MX, and YZ
394 completed the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data. HJ and GG designed the
395 data collection instruments, collected data, carried out the initial analyses, and reviewed
396 and revised the manuscript. WD conceptualized and designed the study, drafted the initial
397 manuscript, and reviewed and revised the manuscript.

398

399 **Acknowledgements**

400 The authors wish to thank the researchers who participated in this study. Special thanks
401 to Jie Lei, Zhiqiang Qin for programming assistance. We thank the teachers of the 160
402 classes for distributing and collecting the questionnaires, and the 46 therapists for testing
403 the children. We also thank Maya Chopra for language editing.

404

405 **Funding**

406 This study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (81673179),
407 National Natural Science Foundation of China (11771146, 11831008, 81530086), the
408 Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (19140903100),
409 Shanghai Municipal Health Commission (2020YJZX0213), Pudong Municipal Health

410 Commission (PW2020D-11), Jiangsu young medical talent project (QNRC2016250),
411 Gusu medical talent project(GSWS2019026)

412

413 **Conflicts of interest statement:** No conflicts declared.

414

415

416

417

REFERENCES

1. R. Blank, Information for parents and teachers on the European Academy for Childhood Disability (EACD) recommendations on developmental coordination disorder. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 54 (2012) e8-9.
2. R. Blank, European Academy of Childhood Disability (EACD): Recommendations on the definition, diagnosis and intervention of developmental coordination disorder (pocket version). German-Swiss interdisciplinary clinical practice guideline S3-standard according to the Association of the Scientific Medical Societies in Germany. Pocket version. Definition, diagnosis, assessment, and intervention of developmental coordination disorder (DCD). *Dev Med Child Neurol* 54 (2012) e1-7.
3. A.P. Association, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. *Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association* (2013).
4. E.J. Lee, and J.G. Zwicker, Early identification of children with/at risk of developmental coordination disorder: a scoping review. *Dev Med Child Neurol* (2021).
5. B.C. Smits-Engelsman, R. Blank, A.C. van der Kaay, R. Mosterd-van der Meijs, E. Vlugt-van den Brand, H.J. Polatajko, and P.H. Wilson, Efficacy of interventions to improve motor performance in children with developmental coordination disorder: a combined systematic review and meta-analysis. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 55 (2013) 229-37.
6. J.G. Zwicker, and E.J. Lee, Early intervention for children with/at risk of developmental coordination disorder: a scoping review. *Dev Med Child Neurol* (2021).
7. T. Flensburg-Madsen, H.J. Sorensen, R. Revsbech, and E.L. Mortensen, Early motor developmental milestones and level of neuroticism in young adulthood: a 23-year follow-up study of the Copenhagen Perinatal Cohort. *Psychol Med* 43 (2013) 1293-301.
8. H. Viholainen, T. Ahonen, M. Cantell, A. Tolvanen, and H. Lyytinen, The early motor milestones in infancy and later motor skills in toddlers: a structural equation model of motor development. *Physical & occupational therapy in pediatrics* 26 (2006) 91-113.
9. C. Missiuna, B. Gaines, and N. Pollock, Recognizing and referring children at risk for developmental coordination disorder: role of the speech-language pathologist. *J Speech Lang Pathol Audiol* 26 (2002) 172-9.
10. E. Sumner, H.C. Leonard, and E.L. Hill, Overlapping Phenotypes in Autism Spectrum Disorder and Developmental Coordination Disorder: A Cross-Syndrome Comparison of Motor and Social Skills. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders* 46 (2016) 2609-2620.
11. L. Vaivre-Douret, C. Lalanne, I. Ingster-Moati, N. Boddaert, D. Cabrol, J.L. Dufier, B. Golse, and B. Falissard, Subtypes of developmental coordination disorder: research on their nature and etiology. *Developmental neuropsychology* 36 (2011) 614-43.
12. K.E. Adolph, B. Vereijken, and M.A. Denny, Learning to crawl. *Child development* 69 (1998) 1299-312.
13. Y.P. Ivanenko, N. Dominici, and F. Lacquaniti, Development of independent walking in toddlers. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 35 (2007) 67-73.
14. J. Hua, G. Gu, W. Meng, and Z. Wu, Age band 1 of the Movement Assessment Battery for Children-Second Edition: exploring its usefulness in mainland China. *Res Dev Disabil* 34 (2013) 801-8.
15. S.E. Henderson, D.A. Sugden, and A.L. Barnett, Movement assessment battery for children [examiner's manual] (2nd ed.). *Pearson Assessment, London* (2007).
16. R. Blank, A.L. Barnett, J. Cairney, D. Green, A. Kirby, H. Polatajko, S. Rosenblum, B. Smits-Engelsman, D. Sugden, P. Wilson, and S. Vincon, International clinical practice recommendations on the definition, diagnosis, assessment, intervention, and psychosocial aspects of developmental coordination disorder. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 61 (2019) 242-285.

motor milestones and motor impairments

17. J. Hua, T. Duan, G. Gu, D. Wo, Q. Zhu, J.Q. Liu, M. Liu, Z. Wu, and W. Meng, Effects of home and education environments on children's motor performance in China. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 58 (2016) 868-76.
18. H. Jin, J. Hua, J. Shen, L. Feng, and G. Gu, Status and Determinants of Motor Impairment in Preschool Children from Migrant Families in China. *Iran J Pediatr* 26 (2016) e5427.
19. W.H.O.M.G.R.S. Group, WHO Motor Development Study: windows of achievement for six gross motor development milestones. *Acta Paediatr Suppl* 450 (2006) 86-95.
20. W.H.O.M.G.R.S. Group, Assessment of sex differences and heterogeneity in motor milestone attainment among populations in the WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study. *Acta Paediatr Suppl* 450 (2006) 66-75.
21. W.H.O.E. Consultation, Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. *Lancet* 363 (2004) 157-63.
22. W. Du, L. Ke, Y. Wang, J. Hua, W. Duan, and A.L. Barnett, The prenatal, postnatal, neonatal, and family environmental risk factors for Developmental Coordination Disorder: A study with a national representative sample. *Res Dev Disabil* 104 (2020) 103699.
23. M.A. Bell, and N.A. Fox, Crawling experience is related to changes in cortical organization during infancy: evidence from EEG coherence. *Dev Psychobiol* 29 (1996) 551-61.
24. J. Debrabant, G. Vingerhoets, H. Van Waelvelde, A. Leemans, T. Taymans, and K. Caeyenberghs, Brain Connectomics of Visual-Motor Deficits in Children with Developmental Coordination Disorder. *J Pediatr* 169 (2016) 21-7 e2.
25. L. Querne, P. Berquin, M.P. Vernier-Hauvette, S. Fall, L. Deltour, M.E. Meyer, and G. de Marco, Dysfunction of the attentional brain network in children with Developmental Coordination Disorder: a fMRI study. *Brain Res* 1244 (2008) 89-102.
26. J.G. Zwicker, C. Missiuna, S.R. Harris, and L.A. Boyd, Brain activation of children with developmental coordination disorder is different than peers. *Pediatrics* 126 (2010) e678-86.
27. B.B. Freedland RL, Developmental changes in interlimb coordination: Transition to hands-and-knees crawling. *Psychological Science* 1 (1994) 26-32.
28. M.H. Mcewan, R.E. Dihoff, and G.M. Brosvic, Early Infant Crawling Experience Is Reflected in Later Motor Skill Development. *Percept Motor Skill* 72 (1991) 75-79.
29. C. Assaiante, Development of locomotor balance control in healthy children. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 22 (1998) 527-32.
30. C. Assaiante, M. Woollacott, and B. Amblard, Development of postural adjustment during gait initiation: kinematic and EMG analysis. *J Mot Behav* 32 (2000) 211-26.
31. J.P. Piek, L. Dawson, L.M. Smith, and N. Gasson, The role of early fine and gross motor development on later motor and cognitive ability. *Human movement science* 27 (2008) 668-81.
32. M.L. Auld, R.N. Boyd, G.L. Moseley, R.S. Ware, and L.M. Johnston, Impact of tactile dysfunction on upper-limb motor performance in children with unilateral cerebral palsy. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 93 (2012) 696-702.
33. G. Taghizadeh, A. Azad, S. Kashefi, S. Fallah, and F. Daneshjoo, The effect of sensory-motor training on hand and upper extremity sensory and motor function in patients with idiopathic Parkinson disease. *J Hand Ther* 31 (2018) 486-493.
34. C. Fountain, A.S. Winter, and P.S. Bearman, Six developmental trajectories characterize children with autism. *Pediatrics* 129 (2012) e1112-20.
35. M. Langen, H.G. Schnack, H. Nederveen, D. Bos, B.E. Lahuis, M.V. de Jonge, H. van Engeland, and S. Durston, Changes in the developmental trajectories of striatum in autism. *Biological psychiatry* 66 (2009) 327-33.
36. P. Szatmari, S. Georgiades, E. Duku, T.A. Bennett, S. Bryson, E. Fombonne, P. Mirenda, W. Roberts, I.M. Smith, T. Vaillancourt, J. Volden, C. Waddell, L. Zwaigenbaum, M. Elsabbagh, and A. Thompson, Developmental trajectories of symptom severity and adaptive functioning

motor milestones and motor impairments

- in an inception cohort of preschool children with autism spectrum disorder. *JAMA psychiatry* 72 (2015) 276-83.
37. M.S. Thomas, D. Annaz, D. Ansari, G. Scerif, C. Jarrold, and A. Karmiloff-Smith, Using developmental trajectories to understand developmental disorders. *Journal of speech, language, and hearing research : JSLHR* 52 (2009) 336-58.
 38. K. Libertus, A.S. Joh, and A.W. Needham, Motor training at 3 months affects object exploration 12 months later. *Developmental Sci* 19 (2016) 1058-1066.
 39. B.N. Wilson, K. Neil, P.H. Kamps, and S. Babcock, Awareness and knowledge of developmental co-ordination disorder among physicians, teachers and parents. *Child: care, health and development* 39 (2013) 296-300.
 40. J. Hay, and C. Missiuna, Motor Proficiency in Children Reporting Low Levels of Participation in Physical Activity. *Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy* 65 (1998) 64-71.
 41. C.R. Weinberg, D.M. Umbach, and S. Greenland, When will nondifferential misclassification of an exposure preserve the direction of a trend? *Am J Epidemiol* 140 (1994) 565-71.

TABLE 1 | The children’s and family’s characteristics (n =8395) ^a

Characteristics	Significant motor impairment (≤5th centile of MABC-2)	At-risk motor impairment (6~16th centile of MABC-2)	Typical performance (>16th centile of MABC-2)
Child characteristics			
Children’s age (n%)			
3	50(10.6)	118(12.5)	742(10.6)
4	141(29.8)	280(29.6)	2138(30.6)
5	147(31.1)	339(35.8)	2336(33.5)
6	135(28.5)	209(22.1)	1760(25.2)
Sex			
Male	219(46.3)	442(46.7)	3142(45.0)
Female	254(53.7)	504(53.3)	3834(55.0)
BMI(n%) ^{***}			
≤18	421(89.0)	895(94.6)	6436(92.3)
>18	52(11.0)	51(5.4)	540(7.7)
Right handedness(n%)			
No	23(4.9)	50(5.3)	282(4.0)
Yes	450(95.1)	896(94.7)	6694(96.0)
Eye-sight ^b			
Normal	454(96.0)	877(92.7)	6538(93.7)
Abnormal	19(4.0)	69(7.3)	438(6.3)
Gestational weeks(n%)			
<37	23(4.9)	64(6.8)	521(7.5)
≥37	450(95.1)	882(93.2)	6455(92.5)
Birth weight(n%)			
<2500g	22(4.7)	35(3.7)	340(4.9)
≥2500g	451(95.3)	911(96.3)	6636(95.1)
Family characteristics			
Mother has a higher education(n%) ^{**}			
No	198(41.9)	412(43.6)	3323(47.6)
Yes	275(58.1)	534(56.4)	3653(52.4)
Father has a higher education(n%) ^{**}			
No	163(34.5)	334(35.3)	2789(40.0)
Yes	310(65.5)	612(64.7)	4187(60.0)
Family annual per-capita income (RMB) (n%) ^{c***}			
Below	303(64.1)	657(69.5)	5316(76.2)
Above or equal to	170(35.9)	289(30.5)	1660(23.8)
Family structure(n%)			
Single families	6(1.3)	19(2.0)	102(1.5)
Nuclear families	291(61.5)	589(62.3)	4530(64.9)
Extended families	176(37.2)	338(35.7)	2344(33.6)
The number of children in the family(n%)			
One	386(81.6)	761(80.4)	5449(78.1)
Two or more	87(18.4)	185(19.6)	1527(21.9)
Maternal age at delivery(n%)			
<30	396(83.7)	803(84.9)	5898(84.5)
30-34	64(13.5)	114(12.1)	821(11.8)
≥35	13(2.7)	29(3.1)	257(3.7)
Maternal complications during pregnancy ^d (n%) ^{**}			
No	367(77.6)	797(84.2)	5650(81.0)
Yes	106(22.4)	149(15.8)	1326(19.0)

^aPearson chi-square test

^bEye-sight refer to the children’s visual acuity, which is tested by reading a Snellen eye chart at a distance of 20 feet (A normal eye-sight means when you stand 20 feet away from the chart you can see what a “normal” human being can see at 20 feet).

^cThe national average family per-capita income of the year before the survey time

^dHaving one of the following maternal complications during pregnancy including vaginal bleeding during pregnancy, at risk of miscarriage, use of antibiotics, use of fertility drugs, intrauterine distress, fetal asphyxia

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$

motor milestones and motor impairments
TABLE 2 | Effects of timing crawling and walking during infancy on motor impairments (n=8395)

Characteristic	Significant motor impairment vs. typical performance (≤5th centile of MABC-2) (>16th centile of MABC-2)			At-risk motor impairment vs. typical performance (6~16th centile of MABC-2) (>16th centile of MABC-2)		
	cOR ^a (95% CI)	aOR (95% CI)	aOR(95% CI)	cOR (95% CI)	aOR(95% CI)	aOR (95% CI)
Overall						
Onset of crawling (age month)	1.051(1.000, 1.104) ^{a*}	1.015(0.963,1.068) ^b	1.053(1.000, 1.107) ^{d*}	1.045(1.007, 1.083) ^{a*}	1.024(0.986,1.063) ^b	1.047(1.008,1.086) ^{d*}
Onset of independent walking (age month)	1.214 (1.146, 1.286) ^{a**}	1.210(1.14,1.283) ^{c***}	1.217 (1.148, 1.290) ^{e***}	1.118 (1.072, 1.167) ^{a***}	1.112(1.064,1.162) ^{c***}	1.119(1.072,1.168) ^{e***}
Time difference between crawling and walking (age month)	1.075(1.029,1.125) ^{a***}	—	1.077(1.030,1.126) ^{f**}	1.029(0.996,1.063) ^a	—	1.028(0.996,1.062) ^f
Fine motor						
Onset of crawling (age month)	1.024 (0.973, 1.076) ^a	1.009(0.957,1.062) ^b	1.026(0.977, 1.077) ^d	1.009 (0.972, 1.047) ^a	1.002(0.964,1.041) ^b	1.010(0.973, 1.048) ^d
Onset of independent walking (age month)	1.081(1.019, 1.146) ^{a***}	1.078(1.015,1.145) ^{c*}	1.083(1.020, 1.148) ^{e***}	1.035 (0.991, 1.081) ^a	1.035(0.99,1.081) ^c	1.036(0.992, 1.082) ^e
Time difference between crawling and walking (age month)	1.026(0.981,1.072) ^a	—	1.027(0.981,1.073) ^f	1.013(0.980,1.046) ^a	—	1.012(0.981,1.046) ^f
Gross motor						
Onset of crawling (age month)	1.008 (0.965, 1.052) ^a	0.983(0.94,1.027) ^b	1.010 (0.968, 1.055) ^d	1.026 (0.988, 1.064) ^a	1.012(0.974,1.05) ^b	1.028(0.990, 1.066) ^d
Onset of independent walking (age month)	1.131(1.077, 1.188) ^{a***}	1.136(1.08,1.195) ^{c***}	1.133(1.077, 1.189) ^{e***}	1.078(1.033, 1.126) ^{a**}	1.075(1.029,1.123) ^{c**}	1.079(1.034, 1.127) ^{e*}
Time difference between crawling and walking (age month)	1.067(1.027, 1.108) ^{a***}	—	1.066(1.026,1.108) ^{f***}	1.023(0.990,1.056) ^a	—	1.022(0.990,1.057) ^f
Balance						
Onset of crawling (age month)	1.139 (1.084,1.196) ^{a***}	1.111(1.055,1.169) ^{b***}	1.140(1.084,1.197) ^{d***}	1.078(1.040, 1.116) ^{a***}	1.063(1.025,1.102) ^{b***}	1.079(1.05, 1.117) ^{d***}
Onset of independent walking (age month)	1.176 (1.107,1.249) ^{a***}	1.144(1.075,1.218) ^{c***}	1.178(1.109,1.251) ^{e***}	1.092 (1.047, 1.139) ^{a***}	1.075(1.03,1.123) ^{c***}	1.093(1.048,1.140) ^{e***}
Time difference between crawling and walking (age month)	0.987(0.942,1.034) ^a	—	0.986(0.941,1.034) ^f	0.992(0.961,1.024) ^a	—	0.991(0.960,1.024) ^f

^aNot adjusted for other variables

^bAdjusted for month age of independent walking onset

^cAdjusted for month age of crawling onset

^dAdjusted for month age of independent walking onset and children's and family's characteristics (all covariates in Table 1)

^eAdjusted for month age of crawling onset children's and family's characteristics (children's age, sex, BMI, parents' education, and family income (all covariates in Table 1)

^fAdjusted for children's and family's characteristics (children's age, sex, BMI, parents' education, and family income)

^{*} $p < 0.05$, ^{**} $p < 0.01$, ^{***} $p < 0.001$