**Electrochemical production of sustainable hydrocarbon fuels** 1 from CO<sub>2</sub> co-electrolysis in eutectic molten melts 2 3 Ossama Al-Juboori<sup>1</sup>, Farooq Sher<sup>2,\*</sup>, Ushna Khalid<sup>3</sup>, Muhammad Bilal Khan Niazi<sup>4</sup>, George Z. 4 Chen<sup>1,5\*</sup> 5 6 7 <sup>1</sup>Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of Nottingham, 8 University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, UK<sup>-</sup> 9 <sup>2</sup>School of Mechanical, Aerospace and Automotive Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, 10 Environment and Computing, Coventry University, Coventry CV1 5FB, UK 11 <sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad 38000, Pakistan 12 <sup>4</sup>School of Chemical and Materials Engineering, National University of Sciences and 13 Technology, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan 14 <sup>5</sup>Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Science and 15 Engineering, University of Nottingham Ningbo China, University Park, Ningbo 315100, China 16 17 \*Corresponding authors: E-mail address: Farooq.Sher@coventry.ac.uk (F.Sher), George.Chen@nottingham.ac.uk (G.Chen)

18 E-mail address: <u>Farooq.Sher@coventry.ac.uk</u> (F.Sher), <u>George.Chen@nottingham.ac.uk</u> (G.Chen)
 19

## 20 Abstract

21 Due to the heavy reliance of people on the limited fossil fuel as energy resources, global 22 warming has increased to severe levels due to huge CO<sub>2</sub> emission into the atmosphere. To 23 mitigate this situation, a green method is presented here for the conversion of CO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O into sustainable hydrocarbon fuels via electrolysis in eutectic molten salts ((KCl-LiCl; 41:59 24 25 mol%), (LiOH-NaOH; 27:73 mol%), (KOH-NaOH; 50:50 mol%), (Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>; 26 43.5:31.5:25 mol%)) at the conditions of 1.5–2 V and 225–475 °C depending upon molten electrolyte used. Gas chromatography (GC) and GC-MS techniques were employed to analyse 27 28 the content of gaseous products. The electrolysis results in hydrocarbon production with 29 maximum 59.30, 87.70 and 99% faraday efficiency in case of molten chloride, molten 30 hydroxide and molten carbonate electrolytes under the temperature of 375, 275 and 425 °C

respectively. The Gas chromatography (GC) with FID and TCD detectors and GC-MS analysis 31 32 confirmed that the H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> were the main products in case of molten chlorides and hydroxides at 2 V applied voltage while longer hydrocarbons ( $>C_1$ ) were obtained only in 33 34 molten carbonates at 1.5 V. Through this manner, electricity is transformed into chemical 35 energy. The heating values obtained from the produced hydrocarbon fuels are satisfactory for 36 further application. The practice of molten salts could be a promising and encouraging 37 technology for further fundamental investigation for sustainable hydrocarbon fuel formation 38 with more product concentrations due to its fast-electrolytic conversion rate without the use of 39 catalyst.

40

41 Keywords: Sustainable fuels; Molten salts; Co-electrolysis; Hydrocarbon fuels, Electrolyte
42 mixture; CH<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> production.

43

## 44 Introduction

45 Over the past few decades, two major issues have captured the attention of scientists and 46 policymakers: global warming due to the increasing levels of carbon dioxide gas (CO<sub>2</sub>) in the 47 atmosphere, and the rapid depletion of fossil fuels as an energy resource. To tackle these complications, two solutions were proposed <sup>1, 2</sup>. The first solution is the use of renewable 48 49 energy resources such as wind, solar, nuclear or geothermal energy to minimize the greenhouse 50 gases' emission. While the second solution is the consumption of  $CO_2$  to remove its excessive 51 concentration from the atmosphere and to enhance energy resources by converting it into hydrocarbon fuels <sup>3, 4</sup>. Renewable energy resources do not involve CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration <sup>5</sup>. So to 52 53 tackle CO<sub>2</sub> emissions <sup>6</sup>, it was considered preferable to introduce some of the renewable energy 54 resources into an existing energy infrastructure as a "drop-in" form of energy. Examples of this can include the synthesis of fuels or fertilizers from CO<sub>2</sub> or biomass <sup>7, 8</sup>. 55

57 At present, technologies studied for transforming  $CO_2$  include chemical, photochemical, electrochemical <sup>9</sup> and biological transformation into hydrocarbons <sup>10</sup>, nano-carbons <sup>11</sup>, 58 nanotubes, and alcohols (methanol and ethanol)<sup>4</sup>. However, these low-value hydrocarbons and 59 methanol produced at low system efficiencies undermine the rationale of this approach. The 60 61 process of CO<sub>2</sub> and water co-electrolysis at low temperatures (<100 °C) in aqueous media to convert  $CO_2$  to CO or hydrocarbon species was employed by scientists <sup>12, 13</sup>. However, a 62 suitable catalyst is necessary for this conversion process in order to reduce energy 63 consumption, improve reaction kinetics and product selectivity <sup>14, 15</sup>. Which results in low 64 hydrocarbon gas production due to the poor solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> in aqueous media and the 65 proximity of the electro-reduction potential of both water and CO<sub>2</sub>. Consequently, limiting the 66 67 future use of this process.

68

Using high temperature electrolysis between 800 and 1000 °C, provided both thermodynamic 69 70 and kinetic advantages throughout the reduction of both CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O. One thing to mention 71 here is that this process not only converts CO<sub>2</sub> into hydrocarbons but also able to produce hydrogen fuel by the splitting of water. Hydrogen gas has been produced by various methods 72 73 such as plasma arc decomposition, bio-photolysis, coal gasification, dark fermentation, artificial photosynthesis, electrolysis etc<sup>16</sup>. But the electrolysis has proved successful among 74 all due to the good energy efficiency and low cost <sup>17</sup>. Two types of cells were used for high 75 temperature electrolysis: those with solid oxide electrolytes and with molten salts <sup>18, 19</sup>. 76

77

Recently the use of solid oxide electrolysis cells (SOEC) has gained much interest in the preparation of syngas (CO+H<sub>2</sub>), hydrogen or methane gas from the CO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O co-electrolysis  $^{20, 21}$ . However, certain limitations such as low production rate, specific electrode materials, 81 higher production costs, lower durability and high energy utilization, became the reason for their rejection on industrial scale implementation <sup>22</sup>. Molten salts exhibit the same chemistry 82 regarding CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O reduction as in SOEC except that CO<sub>2</sub> can be also reduced to carbon 83 <sup>23</sup> in addition to carbon monoxide depending on the operating conditions. Which can thereby 84 85 affect the products. Deposited carbon on cathode can facilitate the formation of different kinds 86 of hydrocarbons (rather than CO) in case of molten salt electrolysis. Because as soon as the fresh carbon deposit on cathode it reacts immediately with hydrogen gas produced via water 87 reduction on the metal cathode surface itself, resulting in the formation of hydrocarbons <sup>24</sup>. 88

89

90 Molten salts are preferred over solid oxides regarding CO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O co-electrolysis for a variety of 91 reasons. Besides a wide electrochemical window, high electric conductivity, relatively low 92 cost, reactivity with CO<sub>2</sub> and no need of specific electrode materials (Ni-YSZ, La<sub>1-x</sub> 93 Sr<sub>x</sub>MnO<sub>3</sub>/YSZ) make them suitable candidates for this process. Moreover, the possibility of carbon or CO hydrogenation after electrolysis in molten salts is much more significant <sup>25, 26</sup>. 94 95 Molten salts with some limitations such as slight corrosion activity particularly at high 96 temperature and relatively high energy utilisation to maintain the heat for molten salt to avoid the solidification <sup>27</sup>, can still be employed to produce hydrocarbon gas or liquid fuels <sup>28, 29</sup>. 97 98 Recently carbon nanotubes (CN) and carbon nano-fibrils (CNF) have been produced by using molten chlorides <sup>30</sup>, molten carbonates <sup>31</sup> and molten hydroxides with sufficient conditions of 99 electrolyte combinations, electrode materials, current and temperature etc <sup>32-34</sup>. 100

101

Moreover, recent investigations also showed the production of syngas (CO, H<sub>2</sub>) and methane by employing molten carbonates ( $Li_2CO_3-Na_2CO_3-K_2CO_3$ )<sup>24, 35</sup>. There is lack of literature of finding suitable molten salt electrolyte for the co-electrolysis of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O to produce hydrocarbon fuels (CH<sub>4</sub> or longer chain). To the best of our knowledge, molten hydroxides 106 ((LiOH-NaOH; 27:73 mol%), (KOH-NaOH; 50:50 mol %)) and molten chlorides (LiCl-KCl; 107 58.5:41.5 mol%) have never been evaluated for CO<sub>2</sub> to methane conversion. And molten 108 carbonates (Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>; 43.5:31.5:25 mol%) have never been studied particularly 109 for higher hydrocarbon fuel (>C<sub>1</sub>) production via CO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O co-electrolysis. So this study aims 110 to fill the research gap in the literature.

111

112 This study systematically investigates the hydrocarbon fuel production by employing CO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O 113 co-electrolysis by using different types of molten electrolytes: molten chloride (LiCl-KCl; 114 58.5:41.5 mol%), molten hydroxide ((LiOH-NaOH; 27:73 mol%), (KOH-NaOH; 50:50 115 mol%)) and molten carbonate (Li2CO3-Na2CO3-K2CO3; 43.5:31.5:25 mol%) at variable 116 conditions of temperature and voltage depending upon the molten salt. Two feed gas insertion 117 methods are also employed; gas flowing over the electrolyte surface (GFOE) and gas flowing 118 inside the electrolyte (GFIE). The effect of different variables including; faradays efficiency, 119 energy consumption and heating values at variable conditions of temperature and voltage are 120 studied. Moreover, the product formation by electrolysis is confirmed by GC (using FID and 121 TCD detectors) and GC-MS.

## 122 **Experimental**

### 123 Chemicals

Lithium carbonate (Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>;  $\geq$ 99%), sodium carbonate (Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>;  $\geq$ 99.5%), potassium carbonate (K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>;  $\geq$ 99%), lithium chloride (LiCl; 99%), potassium chloride (KCl; >99.9%), lithium hydroxide (LiOH;  $\geq$ 98% powder), sodium hydroxide (NaOH;  $\geq$ 98% pellets), and potassium hydroxide (KOH; 90% flakes) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, USA. Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>; 99.99%) and argon (Ar; 99.99%) were procured from Air products. Labovac 10 mineral oil was got from Jencons.

#### 130 Electrochemical performance measurement

131 The electrochemical processes were performed by using four combinations of molten salts as 132 electrolytes. The key point of choosing these combinations of molten salts is due to their low 133 melting points and the ability to work at operating temperatures, as low as possible, keeping 134 them in liquid state to enable electrolysis and promote hydrocarbon formation. The 135 composition selection of binary mixtures (LiCl-KCl, LiOH-NaOH and KOH-NaOH) was done 136 on the basis of thermodynamic phase diagrams as illustrated from the Fig. S1. The ternary 137 phase diagram (Fig. S2) is clearly indicating the composition selection of ternary molten salt 138 mixture ( $Li_2CO_3$ - $Na_2CO_3$ - $K_2CO_3$ ). Therefore, the salts selected for this study along with their compositions are: LiCl-KCl (58.5: 41.5 mol%), LiOH-NaOH (27: 73 mol%), KOH-NaOH (50: 139 140 50 mol%) and Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (43.5: 31.5: 25 mol%), having eutectic melting 141 temperatures of 361, 218, 170 and 397 °C respectively. The electrolyte salts were dried in an 142 oven at 200 °C for 4 h at atmospheric pressure before their mixing to remove any sort of water 143 impurity.

144

145 Then electrolytes were poured into a crucible present inside a corrosion resistant electrolyser's 146 retort. Which was built in house with a flange type cover using the 316-grade stainless steel to 147 shape the reactor to provide and control the environment needed for the molten salt electrolysis. 148 The dimensions of the retort were 130 mm internal diameter, 7.5 mm wall thickness and 800 149 mm vertical length. On the flange cover, there were some holes drilled for the insertion of 150 ceramic tubes (for anode and cathode gas collection), observation purposes and sealing. The 151 retort was inserted centrally in the furnace. In the retort, a stainless-steel stand was placed and 152 a refractory brick mounted on it and above its alumina crucible containing pre-melted molten salt electrolyte (about 100 g) was placed. The two-electrode mode experimental set-up used 153 154 here is a continuous system with small scale for electrolysis and hydrocarbon production. The

electrolysis is conducted by using titanium metal (Purity: 99.99%, Good fellow Cambridge
Ltd) as cathode and graphite (Purity: 99.99%, Advent Research Materials) as anode <sup>36, 37</sup>.

157

158 A small rate of gas (CO<sub>2</sub>; 48.4%, + H<sub>2</sub>O; 3.2% + Ar; 48.4%) flows continuously inside the reactor where hydrocarbons and O<sub>2</sub> gases are produced inside the molten salts on the cathode 159 160 and anode surfaces respectively during the electrolysis. And the products are collected at 161 different time intervals. This process is done by employing the Agilent E3633A 20A/10V 162 Auto-Ranging DC Power Supply and a laptop with an EXCEL add-in to collect the 163 instrumentation data. Two electrode tube gas outlets were present, each connected to another 164 Dreschel bottle containing the mineral oil to observe the outlet gases produced and reduce 165 electrolyte contamination. Gas product samples were collected using a tedler 1 L (SKC Ltd.) 166 gas bag via a connection from the cathodic gas tube. The electrolyser setup is a modified form of previously used setups <sup>38</sup>. The schematic representation of experimental setup is shown in 167 168 Fig. 1

169

170 To avoid their mixing, the argon gas was used which pushed the gaseous products into their 171 respective bags. Moreover, the study is carried out with two modes of feed gas insertion inside 172 the reactor for each electrolyte; gas flowing over the electrolyte surface (GFOE) and gas 173 flowing inside the electrolyte (GFIE) for the comparison of hydrocarbon production in both 174 cases. The first method (GFOE) has been used to minimize the chances of solid material's production (carbon, carbon nanotubes, graphene, carbonates solidification)<sup>34, 39</sup> and to produce 175 176 gaseous hydrocarbon products preferably. The current efficiency was calculated from the Eqs. 177 (1-2):

Current efficiency = 
$$\frac{Q_x}{Q_T} \times 100$$
 (1)

$$Q_{x} = nNF$$
(2)

where  $Q_x$  is the charge required for the amount of individual product produced, n is the number of electrons required, F is the charge of one electron which equals 96485 col and  $Q_T$  is the total charge calculated from the area under the current vs time curve.

#### 181 Characterization

182 Gas chromatography (GC) (PerkinElmer Clarus 580) was used to analyse the gas products 183 generated from electrolysis with detectors such as the flame ionization detector (FID) and 184 thermal conductivity detector (TCD) for organic compound analysis and a wide range of both organic and inorganic species respectively. The gaseous product species in the sample were 185 186 identified and quantified by comparison with two different calibration gas standards. The first 187 one is the permanent gas standard with composition of H<sub>2</sub> 10%, CO<sub>2</sub> 10% and CO 40% for 188 TCD detector and the second standard calibration gas contains ethene ( $C_2H_4$ ) 0.2%, propylene 189 (C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>) 0.2%, 1-butene (C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>) 0.2%, 1-pentene (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>10</sub>) 0.2%, methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) 20%, ethane 190 (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>) 10%, propane (C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub>) 5%, n-butane (C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub>) 2%, n-pentane (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>12</sub>) 1% for the FID 191 detector.

192

193 The remaining composition of both gas standards was balanced with helium gas. The GC 194 graphs for different calibration gas standards are shown in Fig. 2 for the comparison of 195 electrolysis gaseous products. Furthermore, the samples were analysed by a different 196 sophisticated GC instrument (Agilent 7890B) attached with a mass spectrometer (JEOL 197 AccuTOF GCX) for longer chain hydrocarbons detection. Gas detecting tubes from GASTEC 198 (ai-cbss Ltd.) were used to analyse the feed gas compositions for CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O contents. The 199 feed gas composition with CO<sub>2</sub> (48.4%) + H<sub>2</sub>O (3.2%) + Ar (48.4%) was kept same for all the experiments. GASTEC 2HH is characterised to detect the higher contents of CO<sub>2</sub> from 5 to 200 201 40% of the feed gas, with the change in colour from orange to yellow.

202

The GASTEC30 tube can analyse water content in the range of 0-18 mg/L, and it contains Mg(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. However, the colour here will change from yellowish green to purple. After the analysis of cathodic gas sample, the concentration of each gas compound (M<sub>gas</sub>) was calculated as followed by the **Eq. (3)**:

$$M_{gas} = \frac{A_{gas}/\bar{F}_i}{A_s/M_s}$$
(3)

where  $M_{gas}$  is the concentration (%) of individual gas in the sample.  $M_s$  is the concentration (%) of the specific standard gas in the sample.  $A_{gas}$  is the area under the peak resulting from the FID analysis for the individual gas (C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>5</sub>) in the sample.  $A_s$  is the area under the peak resulting from the analysis of the specific gas standard (CH<sub>4</sub>).  $\overline{F_1}$  is the response factor for each gas.

### 211 **Results and discussion**

#### 212 **Optimization of electrolytes**

The selection of the molten salt is done based on the ability to generate hydrocarbon fuels from the co-reduction of  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  (**Eq. (4**)). The combination of a hydrocarbon molecule starts ideally from the two known element sources: carbon (C) and hydrogen (H). Both of these elements can be effectively formed from electrochemical conversion via an appropriate molten salt.

$$xCO_2 + y/2H_2O \rightarrow C_xH_y + (x + y/4)O_2$$
 (4)

218

Generally, the presence of moisture with  $CO_2$  gas in molten salt experiments is the basis for generating H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> during electrolysis in most cases and provide feasibility to the reactions 40, 41.

#### 222 Molten chloride electrolyte

The attractive characteristic in the molten chloride case is the probability of producing CO or 223 C directly from CO<sub>2</sub> reduction in the presence or absence of a carbonate ion <sup>41</sup>. Carbonate ions 224 225 (if added externally) are used as an important additive to molten chlorides to provide the oxide ions required for performing CO<sub>2</sub> reduction <sup>42, 43</sup>. For absorbing more CO<sub>2</sub> gas into the molten 226 227 salt leading to increase in product yield from electrolysis, the addition of oxides or carbonate 228 salts into the molten chloride is considered preferable. But one drawback exhibited by this process was the increase in applied voltage and working temperature of resulting molten salt 229 mixture. Which is not the favourable condition for hydrocarbon production <sup>44</sup>. So to tackle this 230 231 problem, molten chloride electrolyte is used in this study for hydrocarbon production without 232 any externally added oxide or carbonate salts. In the absence of H<sub>2</sub>O and carbonate ions, the reduction of  $CO_2$  to carbon or CO can be done in several steps as seen from the **Eqs. (5-7)**<sup>45</sup>. 233

$$\mathrm{CO}_2 + 2\mathrm{e}^- \to \mathrm{CO}_2^{2-} \tag{5}$$

$$CO_2^{2-} \to CO + O^{2-}$$
 (6)

$$CO + 2e^{-} \rightarrow C + O^{2-}$$
 (7)

234

In the presence of steam beside  $CO_2$  in the feed gas, the reduction of  $CO_2$  becomes more feasible as  $CO_2$  can react with hydroxide ions released from the primary reduction of H<sub>2</sub>O through to the one-electron transfer reaction. Moreover, carbonate ions can be generated even from molten chloride through the reaction of  $CO_2$  with oxide ions emitted in turn after the direct reduction of H<sub>2</sub>O to H<sub>2</sub> gas (**Eq. (9**)) <sup>46</sup>. The carbonate ions can then be electro-reduced in turn to carbon or CO and produce hydrocarbon by reacting with H<sub>2</sub>. The overall reaction occurring at electrodes can be summarised from the **Eqs. (11-13)**.

$$H_2 0 + e^- \rightarrow H + 0H^- \tag{8}$$

$$H_20 + 2e^- \to H_2 + 0^{2-}$$
 (9)

$$CO_2 + OH^- \to CO_3^{2-} + H_2O$$
 (10)

242 Overall reaction

At cathode:

$$CO_3^{2-} + 40H^- + 8e^- \rightarrow CH_4 + 70^{2-}$$
 (11)

$$20H^{-} + 2e^{-} \to H_2 + 20^{2-}$$
(12)

At anode: 
$$20^{2-} \rightarrow 0_2 + 2e^-$$
 (13)

243

It is preferable to perform  $CO_2$ -H<sub>2</sub>O co-electrolysis at temperatures even lower than the 400 °C to form the hydrocarbons feasibly. For that purpose, electrolysis was performed at 375 °C and 2V using molten chloride (LiCl-KCl; 41: 59 mol%) with two modes of gas insertion: GFOE and GFIE. The feed gas in GFOE mode containing H<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub> and Ar, was kept flowing over the LiCl-KCl (41: 59 mol%) at 1.3 bar. The feed gas pressure was applied slightly over 1 atm to increase CO<sub>2</sub> activity and thus the opportunity of improving reduction inside the molten chloride.

251

252 The same experiment was performed at the above conditions using a feed gas containing H<sub>2</sub>O 253 with no CO<sub>2</sub>. Both experiments in GFOE mode are performed to see the solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> and 254 thus its activity inside molten chlorides. Carrying out electrolysis at 2 V and 375 °C, it can be 255 seen from Fig. 3 that there is a small difference between the two current curves resulting from 256 electrolysis in both cases. However, the current was still relatively high in both cases and 257 gradually decreased with time. The decline in current can be imputed generally to the drop of 258 oxidant concentration (H<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub>) that is reduced on the cathode surface due to the 259 accumulation of new products (such as H or H<sub>2</sub> bubbles) as there is no renewal action on the 260 cathode surface during electrolysis. Also, it can be noted that the current was slightly higher in 261 the case where CO<sub>2</sub> gas was absent basically due to the obstruction of CO<sub>2</sub> gas against H<sub>2</sub>O

reduction on the electrode, particularly at high pressures through the possible reduction of  $CO_2$ to  $CO_2^{2-}$  (Eq. (5)).

264

Despite some spikes noticed in the red curve in Fig. 3, it can be seen that the drop of the curves 265 266 in both cases was quite the same confirming the weak effect of CO<sub>2</sub> inside the molten chloride 267 in GFOE mode. So due to CO<sub>2</sub> weak effect, the hydrocarbon could not be produced in this case 268 (GFOE mode). The GFIE mode of gas feed introduction was chosen as an appropriate way to 269 increase CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and solubility (and reactivity) inside the molten chloride and collect 270 the maximum rates of hydrocarbon products at atmospheric pressure. The rates of H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> 271 production, collected from the cathodic tube, changed significantly after the first 30 and 60 min 272 of electrolysis due to the process of carbonate ions formation as can be seen by the comparison 273 of Fig. 4(a) and (b). Where the higher production rates of  $CH_4$  (0.67  $\mu$  mol/h cm<sup>2</sup>) and  $H_2$  (32.00 274 µmol/h cm<sup>2</sup>) with higher faraday efficiency (59.30%) were found after the first 30 min of electrolysis (Fig. 4(a)). While the lower production rates of CH<sub>4</sub> (0.39  $\mu$ mol/h cm<sup>2</sup>) and H<sub>2</sub> 275 276  $(19.10 \,\mu\text{mol/h}\,\text{cm}^2)$  with faraday efficiency (30.50%) were obtained after 60 min of electrolysis 277 (Fig. 4(b)) in molten chloride (LiCl-KCl; 41: 59 mol%).

278

The lower faraday efficiency (30.50%) was attributed due to the higher  $CO_3^{2-}$  ion formation 279 leading to the subsequent conversion to C or CO with more energy consumption. The formation 280 281 of a carbonate ion can be justified due to the reaction of CO<sub>2</sub> with OH<sup>-</sup> generated in the molten chloride after the persistent reduction of  $H_2O$  as stated previously in Eq. (10) <sup>46</sup>. It is interesting 282 283 to note that there is a clear increasing trend of CH<sub>4</sub> production in both Fig. 4(a) and (b) at a lower current density of 20 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, which starts dropping off beyond this limit. The increase 284 285 in current density affects the products content. With the current density increase, the CH4 286 production reached to an optimal value. After that further rise in current density results in adverse effects on CH<sub>4</sub> production, greatly exceeding the minimum energy requirement of H<sub>2</sub> production that keeps CH<sub>4</sub> production at a lower level <sup>24, 35</sup>. Deng *et al.* <sup>31</sup> stated that LiCl-KCl electrolyte containing Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>/CaCO<sub>3</sub> showed highest current efficiency of 80–85% at the current density of 25 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>, which dropped off by increasing current density for the conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to carbon.

292

293 Comparing results for the two occasions as two gas samples were taken after 30 and 60 min, it 294 can be noted that the production rates of both gases (CH<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>) were higher in the first sample 295 after first 30 min of electrolysis as the electro-reduction of the carbonate ions (to carbon for 296 instance) had not commenced yet. Thus, the reduction of H<sub>2</sub>O to H<sub>2</sub> was not significantly 297 affected. The reaction of CO<sub>2</sub> with OH<sup>-</sup> can be confirmed in the molten chloride for the second 298 sample as the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> reduced from 34.80 to 4.80% (**Table 1**). The hydrocarbon 299 production confirmed through GC analysis (with FID and TCD detectors) is shown in Fig. 5 300 where the FID signals are showing the production of methane with the peak at 2.11 retention 301 time while TCD signals are clearly representing the peaks of H<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. No CO can be 302 detected in the molten chloride in both cases.

303

304 Thus, the best product concentrations are obtained in case of LiCl-KCl (41: 59 mol%) 305 electrolyte from GFIE mode at the first 30 min of electrolysis rather than prolonged electrolysis 306 (60 min). This is because of the formation of carbonate ions in case of prolonged electrolysis, 307 which are reduced to the C or CO gases with the consumption of more energy (Table 1). Ijije et al.<sup>47</sup> reported the CO<sub>2</sub> conversion into carbon films or CO in LiCl-KCl-CaCl<sub>2</sub>-CaCO<sub>3</sub> molten 308 309 salt at 520 °C. Similarly, the absorption and conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> was also employed in molten 310 chloride electrolytes (CaCl<sub>2</sub>-CaO and LiCl-Li<sub>2</sub>O) at 900 and 650 °C respectively <sup>48</sup>. Jianbang et al. <sup>30</sup> has converted CO<sub>2</sub> by electrolysis in LiCl molten salt at 650 °C. 311

#### 312 Molten hydroxide electrolyte

The molten hydroxide salt is preferred in the case of hydrogen production leading to hydrocarbons formation. Hydrocarbon molecules can be formed basically through a H<sub>2</sub> reaction with either C or CO as the same mechanism for molten chlorides <sup>49</sup>. In most experiments using molten hydroxides, the conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> was very high but the hydrocarbon yields were still low. This can be attributed generally to the reaction of CO<sub>2</sub> with hydroxide ions <sup>50</sup>.

$$20H^{-} + CO_2 \to CO_3^{2-} + H_2O \tag{14}$$

319

Therefore,  $CO_2$  must be diluted to lower concentrations by mixing with argon gas before introduction to the electrolyte, as this action can help to reduce the reactivity of  $CO_2$  with the salt, driving reaction (**Eq. (14**)) to the left side. The formation of carbonate ions need to be reduced to provide enough time for the prospect of electro-reduction during electrolysis. However,  $CH_4$  gas can be formed by another way in case of molten hydroxide electrolysis (**Eq.** (**15**))<sup>51</sup>.

$$\mathrm{CO}_2 + 4\mathrm{H}_2 \to \mathrm{CH}_4 + 2\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \tag{15}$$

326

327 Thus, the abundance of hydrogen gas from rapid H<sub>2</sub>O reduction in molten hydroxides can 328 contribute towards driving reaction (Eq. (15)) to CH<sub>4</sub> formation. The hydrocarbon production 329 in molten hydroxide (LiOH-NaOH: 27: 73 mol%) performed in two modes: GFOE and GFIE 330 at the conditions of 2 V applied voltage and 275 °C, is shown in Fig. 6 (a) and (b). The results 331 indicate a distinct variation in the production rates due to the variation in gas feed modes. This 332 outcome can be attributed to the weak reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> in the salt in GFOE mode. The 333 hydrocarbon production rate was significantly improved when the feed gas insertion method 334 was changed from GFOE to GFIE. It can be seen from the Fig. 6 (a) to (b) that the CH<sub>4</sub> rate increased largely from 1.02 to 6.12 µmol/h cm<sup>2</sup> by moving from GFOE to GFIE mode as CO<sub>2</sub>
was promoted to dissolve in the salt.

337

Therefore, the prospect of direct reduction of  $CO_2$  to  $CO_2^{2-}$  and CO can occur in the LiOH-338 NaOH salt. At the same time, the H<sub>2</sub> rate decreased from 1142.80  $\mu$ mol/h cm<sup>2</sup> to just 185.00 339 µmol/h cm<sup>2</sup>, confirming the possible transformation of CO<sub>2</sub> or CO to hydrocarbons. 340 341 Nevertheless, high faraday efficiency (87.70%) in the GFOE mode rather than (15.00%) in the 342 GFIE mode was due to the higher H<sub>2</sub> production rate. On the other hand, low faraday 343 efficiencies in GFIE mode were obviously because of their lower production values from the 344 slow reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> to CO compared with rapid H<sub>2</sub> production. Moreover, the optimal 345 current density range found for hydrocarbon production in case of LiOH-NaOH salt was 80-346  $85 \text{ mA/cm}^2$ .

347

348 Hydrocarbon production inside the molten hydroxide can be confirmed actually by the 349 existence of CO fuel with the cathode gas product. CO can be formed from CO<sub>2</sub> reduction as 350 in molten chloride experiments. But the scarcity of CO gas found in the cathodic products in 351 both electrolytes can be interpreted due to (1) a lack of CO<sub>2</sub> direct reduction to CO but the formation of CH<sub>4</sub> occurs by the reaction of CO<sub>2</sub> with excess H<sub>2</sub> and (2) the produced amount 352 353 of CO during electrolysis in all cases was too little as CO can rapidly react with excess H<sub>2</sub> to 354 produce CH<sub>4</sub>. The formation of gaseous product (CH<sub>4</sub>) was confirmed from GC analysis with 355 FID detector while H<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> were confirmed by TCD detectors for the GFIE mode (Fig. 356 7). And obtained values are presented in Table 2. The presence of very small peak of CO in 357 Fig. 7 (b) is providing the indication of higher methane production rates than molten chloride 358 case.

359

360 As the GFIE mode provided higher production values of methane in case of molten hydroxide 361 so the experiment was repeated using KOH-NaOH (50:50 mol%) due to its low working temperature, under the conditions of 2V applied cell voltage and 225 °C with GFIE mode only. 362 363 Although the temperature used here was slightly lower than 275 °C as used for LiOH-NaOH (27: 73 mol%) molten salt but the production rates of H<sub>2</sub> (164.70  $\mu$ mol/h cm<sup>2</sup>) and CH<sub>4</sub> (6.12 364  $\mu$ mol/h cm<sup>2</sup>) with faradaic efficiencies (17.90%) were almost same (Fig. 6 (c)). Moreover, the 365 366 composition and concentration (vol%) of other cathodic product gases were also same (**Table** 367 2). But one limiting factor was the lower resulting current in the case of KOH-NaOH (50:50 368 mol%) molten salt than LiOH-NaOH (27: 73 mol%) (Fig. 8). Moreover, the potentials for 369 carbon deposition or carbon monoxide evolution are more positive than the deposition 370 potentials of Li metal for the case of LiOH.

371

372 In contrast, in the case of KOH, the potential for the formation of C or CO is more negative 373 than the deposition potential of potassium. The comparison suggests that carbon/CO evolution 374 leading to the formation of methane is the more preferential product in the presence of LiOH as also observed in the previous study <sup>44</sup>. Therefore, the KOH-NaOH (50:50 mol%) electrolyte 375 376 use was not preferred for hydrocarbon production. Consequently, the fuel production (H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>) 377 was achieved in all cases of molten hydroxide electrolytes with different product composition 378 and concentration (vol%) as can be seen from **Table 2** but the best results were provided by 379 the LiOH-NaOH (27: 73 mol%) molten salt with GFIE mode than the other cases.

380 Molten carbonate electrolyte

381 The third kind of electrolyte used for hydrocarbon production is a ternary molten carbonate 382 mixture ( $Li_2CO_3-Na_2CO_3-K_2CO_3$ ; 43.5: 31.5: 25.0 mol%) that is used in this research due to its 383 relatively low melting point of 394 °C. The formation of hydrocarbons can occur directly or 384 indirectly in a molten carbonate through the reaction of C with H<sub>2</sub> or CO with H<sub>2</sub> respectively which are produced primarily from the independent reductions of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O <sup>28, 52</sup>. Subsequently, experiments conducted on this salt at a range of 400–450 °C, can be perfect conditions for efficient hydrocarbon formation. In the case of electrolysis applied at conditions of 1.5 V cell voltage and 425 °C, the maximum CH<sub>4</sub> production rate was achieved. It can be seen from **Fig. 9** that a significant amount of CH<sub>4</sub> (1.10  $\mu$ mol/h cm<sup>2</sup>), H<sub>2</sub> (4.40  $\mu$ mol/h cm<sup>2</sup>) and CO (11.70  $\mu$ mol/h cm<sup>2</sup>) were obtained at the lower current density range of 4–6 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>.

391

392 The relevant faraday efficiency obtained was 56.20% for the production of CH<sub>4</sub>, CO and H<sub>2</sub>, 393 which were confirmed through GC analysis using FID and TCD detectors (see Fig. 10) with 394 production concentration values mention in **Table 3**. These production results are in agreement with previous studies <sup>24, 25</sup>. Wu et al. <sup>10</sup> provided support to the conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O to 395 396 methane in case of molten carbonate electrolysis. It is worth mentioning that H<sub>2</sub> and CO were 397 the predominant gases during the experiment. Moreover, the existence of CO as clearly noted from Fig. 9 and confirmed through GC analysis with TCD detector (see Fig. 10 (b)) in a 398 399 relatively significant amount (in comparison to CH<sub>4</sub>), can be imputed to the individual 400 reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> to CO. Previous studies stated that CO itself cannot be expected in molten carbonates at temperatures below 775 °C in cases where  $H_2O$  is absent <sup>40</sup>. 401

402

403 However, some other authors have claimed that the formation of CO molecules can occur on 404 the cathode by CO<sub>2</sub> reduction at low temperatures ( $\leq 650 \, ^{\circ}$ C) <sup>53</sup>. If the reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> to CO 405 is preferred, then H<sub>2</sub> gas will also be formed according to the water gas shift reaction (WGSR) 406 which occurs due to higher temperature (< 600  $^{\circ}$ C). In contrast, CO can be generated by the 407 reverse water gas shift reaction (RWGS) <sup>50</sup>. However, WGSR is more feasible at temperatures 408 below 817  $^{\circ}$ C particularly in the event of high partial pressures of H<sub>2</sub>O (up to 16.1 mmHg) 409 which is not the condition of present study case, so CO formation is preferred case than the H<sub>2</sub> 410 production leading to the hydrocarbon production. The only GFIE mode is presented here due 411 to the same results obtained in both cases (GFOE and GFIE mode) because of the excessive 412  $CO_3^{2-}$  ions already present in Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (43.5: 31.5: 25.0 mol%).

413

414 The existence of  $CO_2$  gas in the cathodic gas products in all the molten electrolyte cases can be 415 due to the reasons as (1) some of the absorbed  $CO_2$  from the molten carbonates can come out 416 with the cathodic product gas (2) CO<sub>2</sub> can be produced accompanying the various hydrocarbon 417 species (3) The difference between the inlet and outlet amounts of  $CO_2$  cannot be ultimately 418 accounted as the transferred CO<sub>2</sub> to CO and hydrocarbon products. Some other amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> 419 can be absorbed chemically in the molten salts (4) The 100 % CO<sub>2</sub> gas conversion cannot be 420 done. However, in large scale applications, the cathodic product gas with accompanied 421 amounts of  $CO_2$  can be recycled repeatedly with feed gas to increase the ultimate  $CO_2$ conversion rate. Ji et al. <sup>35</sup> was able to convert CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O into CO, H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> products at 422 423 600 °C with the current efficiency of 51% in Li-Na-KCO<sub>3</sub>-0.3LiOH electrolyte.

424 Effect of temperature and voltage

425 The optimum temperature used for the selected molten hydroxides was chosen on the basis of 426 the maximum CH<sub>4</sub> production obtained as can be seen from **Fig. 11**. The optimum temperatures 427 obtained were 375, 275, 225 and 425 °C for KCl-LiCl (58.5: 41.5 mol%), KOH-NaOH (50: 50 428 mol%), LiOH-NaOH (27: 73 mol%) and Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (43.5: 31.5 :25 mol%) 429 electrolytes respectively. The yields of hydrocarbon products (vol%) increased with the rise in 430 temperature up to an optimum temperature value while after that further rise in temperature 431 showed inverse effects in case of molten hydroxide and chloride salts. This was because the 432 CO<sub>2</sub> could not be transferred significantly to CO or hydrocarbon species because of the prospects chemisorption of CO<sub>2</sub> in molten electrolytes at higher temperature. Ji et al. <sup>35</sup> 433

434 provided the support to the obtained results by reporting that the reduction of co-electrolysis
435 of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O decreases by increasing the temperature.

436

437 While in the case of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> the highest CH<sub>4</sub> production increased up to 425 °C while after this temperature CH<sub>4</sub> production starts decreasing which might be due to the 438 439 increase in production values of other longer chain hydrocarbons ( $C_2$ - $C_4$ ) rather than CH<sub>4</sub> only. 440 This can be due to the increase in CO<sub>2</sub> gas solubility inside molten chloride at high temperature (475 °C) <sup>54</sup>. The cell voltage is a key variable that can affect energy consumption or current 441 efficiency but it can also improve the product properties at the same time <sup>49, 54</sup>. In case of molten 442 443 chlorides and hydroxides, the average current density increased drastically (20 to 70 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>) 444 and (70 to 120 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>) by increasing cell voltage from 2V to 3V as shown in Fig. 12(a) and 445 (b). Likewise, CH<sub>4</sub> concentration (vol%) increased but with slower production rates.

446

447 However, the alkali metal electrodeposition starts occurring at a high cell voltage, consequently 448 affecting the current efficiencies of the products. So, at higher voltage, there is more waste of energy due to the solid metal accumulation than the desired products <sup>55</sup>. Therefore, the optimum 449 450 voltage selected for molten chlorides and hydroxides was 2V rather than 3V. To show the effect 451 of increasing cell voltage in molten carbonates, Fig. 12(c) illustrates the high difference between the average current (4 to 25 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>) resulting from electrolysis applied at 1.5 and 2 452 453 V. The hydrocarbon formation was confirmed only at 1.5 V while carbon deposition occurred 454 due to the rise of voltage up to 2 V as also confirmed by previous studies <sup>54, 56</sup>. Performing both runs at 425 °C, hydrocarbon formation at 2 V was rare and not noticeable. Consequently, the 455 456 optimum voltage selected for molten chloride and molten hydroxide was 2 V while 1.5 V for 457 molten carbonates.

# 458 Formation of higher hydrocarbons

The GC analysis performed using FID detector (**Fig. 10(a)**) showed that along with methane production, various higher hydrocarbons were also detected in the case of molten carbonate electrolyte. Which is further confirmed by GC-MS analysis (**Fig. 14**). The formation of methane gas product can be justified due to the reaction of carbon or CO with  $H_2$  as follows: 463

$$C + 2H_2 \rightarrow CH_4$$
  $\Delta G_{425C} = -49.5 \text{ kJmol}^{-1}$  (16)

$$CO + 3H_2 \rightarrow CH_4 + H_2O(g)$$
  $\Delta G_{425C} = -49.5 \text{ kJmol}^{-1}$  (17)

$$2CO + 2H_2 \rightarrow CH_4 + CO_2 (g)$$
  $\Delta G_{425C} = -68.4 \text{ kJmol}^{-1} (18)$ 

464

The Gibbs Energy values were determined at 425 °C (HSC Chemistry software, version 6.12; 465 466 Outokumpu Research) as this was the temperature of the experiment. It can be seen from the 467 first mechanism that the production of general hydrocarbons occurs basically from reaction in 468 Eq. (16) with the fresh deposit of carbon and adsorbed atomic hydrogen (H), produced in turn 469 from the individual reduction of  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  respectively. On the other hand, the  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$  and 470 C4 hydrocarbons, detected by GC analysis (with FID detector) are shown in Fig. 13 along with their production rate values (0.80, 0.50, 0.50  $\mu$ mol/h.cm<sup>2</sup>) and faradays efficiency (total = 471 472 55.20%). It is important to note that the accumulative faraday efficiency for all products ( $C_1$ , 473 C<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>3</sub>, C<sub>4</sub>, CO and H<sub>2</sub>) obtained in case of molten carbonates electrolysis reached to the 95% 474 (Table 3).

475

The dominant peaks were of alkene products rather than alkanes in the GC analysis when detected with FID detector, such as for ethene, propene, butene and pentene at 2.73, 3.06, 7.71 and 18.11 of retention times respectively. However, GC-MS analysis are also showing the detection for some alkane products. The formation of alkene or alkanes can be justified due to the (1) reaction of C or CO with hydrogen or (2) partial oxidation of methane in molten carbonate. Furthermore, in the first mechanism the CO produced in excess can react with H<sub>2</sub> gas to produce higher hydrocarbons (C<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>3</sub>, and C<sub>4</sub>) through two different routes. The first set of reactions (**Eqs. (19-20**)) results in H<sub>2</sub>O generation <sup>57, 58</sup> whereas the second set (**Eqs. (21-22**)) produces CO<sub>2</sub> instead <sup>59</sup>. The CO<sub>2</sub> by-product method is more feasible than the method with H<sub>2</sub>O formation as shown in **Table 4**.

$$nCO + (2n + 1)H_2 \rightarrow C_nH_{2n+2} + nH_2O$$
 (19)

$$nCO + 2nH_2 \rightarrow C_nH_{2n} + nH_2O$$
<sup>(20)</sup>

$$2nCO + (n + 1)H_2 \rightarrow C_nH_{2n+2} + nCO_2$$
 (21)

$$2nCO + nH_2 \rightarrow C_nH_{2n} + nCO_2$$
(22)

486

487 Alkane and alkene products in general are generated primarily through the CO<sub>2</sub> route 488 particularly in media where CO<sub>2</sub> is highly absorbed (molten carbonates). The absorption of 489 some amounts of generated  $CO_2$  can be sustained in the molten salt, driving the reactions (Eqs. 490 (21-22)) to the right side and increasing hydrocarbon formation. Moreover, due to the primary 491 production of higher CO rates and in contrast lower H<sub>2</sub> rates, alkene hydrocarbons were found 492 in a higher proportion than the corresponding alkanes in the final cathodic product. The  $\Delta G$ 493 data values (Table 4) confirm that the formation of higher hydrocarbon molecules (C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>4</sub>) was 494 possible through the production of CO<sub>2</sub> for alkanes rather than alkenes by the process of Fischer 495 Tropsch reaction.

496

497 Therefore, as far as adequate amounts of CO and  $H_2$  gases are produced from electrolysis, there 498 is sufficient availability for combining on the cathode surface producing alkanes. While the 499 justification for the formation of alkenes such as  $C_2H_4$ ,  $C_3H_6$ ,  $C_4H_8$ , rather than alkanes can be 500 provided by the partial oxidation of  $CH_4$  gas. These conditions hold true particularly at a lower 501  $CO_2$  absorption level due to the feasible partial oxidation of  $CH_4$  to  $C_2H_4$  rather than  $C_2H_6$ .

$$2CH_4 + O_2 \rightarrow C_2H_4 + 2H_2O$$
  $\Delta G_{425C} = -297 \text{ kJmol}^{-1}$  (23)

$$2CH_4 + 1/2 O_2 \rightarrow C_2H_6 + H_2O$$
  $\Delta G_{425C} = -138 \text{ kJmol}^{-1}$  (24)

502

503 The oxidation of CH<sub>4</sub> can be performed in two ways. Firstly, CH<sub>4</sub> gas can react directly with 504 O<sub>2</sub> formed at the anode during the co-electrolysis of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O (Eqs. (23-24)) or also can 505 react with O<sub>2</sub> absorbed inside the molten salt for a short time prior to passing through the anode 506 ceramic tube or being eluted with the cathodic gas product by the draft of feed gas. Secondly, the absorbed  $O_2$  can be transferred to a more reactive oxide anion like peroxide (diatomic  $O_2^{2^-}$ 507 508 or monoatomic  $O^{-}$ ), playing a significant role in the methane oxidation mechanism particularly 509 in the case of low CO<sub>2</sub> concentration levels. It can also be seen from *Table 4* that the formation 510 of higher molecular weight hydrocarbons (> $C_2$ ) will be more feasible (resulting in a more 511 negative  $\Delta G$ ) by this mechanism with the priority on alkenes rather than alkanes.

512

513 The formation of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub> and C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> was relatively small compared with the corresponding 514 alkenes as also seen by GC-MS analysis (Fig. 14) as the peaks 57, 43 and 29 stands for the 515 mass of fragments lost from C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub> (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>) and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> 516 (CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>) respectively. The last peaks (43 and 29) are produced from the further fragmentation 517 of C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub> and C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub>. Peaks 55 and 41 stands for the mass of fragments lost from 1-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub> (for 518 instance) and C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> respectively. Peak 15 is showing the mass fragment (methyl) lost from C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub>, C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>. Branco et al. <sup>60, 61</sup> also stated the higher hydrocarbon production (C<sub>2</sub>-519 520 C<sub>4</sub>) through partial oxidation of methane in molten salt electrolytes.

#### 521 Energy consumption and heating values

522 The energy required for the conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to carbon/hydrocarbons will be that needed to carry out the electrolysis and heating up of the molten salt <sup>45</sup>. If the heating values or energy 523 supplied from the produced fuels are able to compensate some or all the energy consumed 524 while performing electrolysis, the process feasibility increases<sup>62</sup>. This is because the yield of 525 526 heat generated from the produced hydrocarbon fuel can compensate or substitute some of the 527 normal electricity employed in large scale industrial applications. In the case of molten chloride 528 (KCl-LiCl; 41–59 mol%) electrolyte, the heating value obtained is 162 J from the produced 529 fuel (H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>) with the energy consumption of 278 J. While the heating values obtained 530 are 136 and 170 J from the produced fuels (H<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>) by using KOH-NaOH (50: 50 mol%) 531 and LiOH-NaOH (27:73 mol%) respectively. And with the energy consumption of 1200 and 532 1000 J in KOH-NaOH (50: 50 mol%) and LiOH-NaOH (27:73 mol%) electrolysis respectively 533 (see Table 2).

534

535 The greater the production of higher hydrocarbons ( $C_1$ - $C_4$ ), the greater the faraday efficiency 536 and subsequent energy profit attained due to their ability to produce more heating energy 537 (Table 3). It is very interesting to note that the energy obtained from the summation of heating 538 values of cathodic products in Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (43.5 : 31.5 : 25 mol%) case was 94.6 J 539 while the total consumed energy was 114.2 J with about 100% of faraday efficiency (Table 3). 540 The higher total efficiency results in significantly lower energy consumption of 114 J for the 541 total fuel produced or just 0.157 kWh per mole of fuel. This value is apparently less than the 542 energy consumed for an optimum deposit carbon operation of 0.456 kWh per mole of carbon 543 <sup>56</sup>. As in all the cases, the produced hydrocarbon fuels are able to provide sufficient heating values so the CO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O co-electrolysis processes are considered successful. Tang et al. <sup>54</sup> has 544

optimized energy consumption for producing 1 kg of carbon from CO<sub>2</sub> as low as 35.59 kW h
with a current efficiency of 87.86% under a constant cell voltage of 3.5 V in molten carbonates.

## 547 **Conclusions**

548 This study presents a new method of CO<sub>2</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O conversion into hydrocarbon fuel via molten 549 salts electrolysis at relatively low temperature that is a dire need of hydrocarbon production. 550 The synthesis method generated methane and hydrogen gases by a direct simultaneous splitting 551 of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O in LiCl-KCl (58.5: 41.5 mol%), LiOH-NaOH (27: 73 mol%), KOH-NaOH 552 (50: 50 mol%) and Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (43.5 : 31.5 : 25 mol%) electrolyte mixtures. The 553 optimization of each electrolyte was done in the gas feed introduction method (GFOE and 554 GFIE) for obtaining more fuel production. In the case of KCl-LiCl (41: 59 mol%), CH<sub>4</sub> (0.67  $\mu$ mol/h.cm<sup>2</sup>) and H<sub>2</sub> (32  $\mu$ mol/h.cm<sup>2</sup>) were produced with GFIE mode at atmospheric pressure. 555 556 While in molten hydroxide (LiOH-NaOH; 27: 73 mol %), the H<sub>2</sub> was the predominant gas due 557 to H<sub>2</sub>O electrolysis which contributed majorly to the production of CH<sub>4</sub> by reacting with CO<sub>2</sub>. The hydrocarbon production rate increased (CH<sub>4</sub>: 1.02 to 6.12 µmol h/cm<sup>2</sup>) by changing the 558 559 feed gas insertion mode from GFOE to GFIE by using a ceramic tube. In case of molten 560 carbonate, the production rate of CO (11.70  $\mu$ mol/h.cm<sup>2</sup>) was significantly higher than H<sub>2</sub>(4.40 561  $\mu$ mol/h.cm<sup>2</sup>) in cathodic gas product. Along with H<sub>2</sub> and CO, other hydrocarbon species such 562 as CH<sub>4</sub> and olefins were also produced in molten carbonate case with 99 % of faraday efficiency 563 while other being 59.30% and 87.70% in molten chloride and molten hydroxides respectively. 564 Moreover, the suitable conditions at which the fuel production was achievable are 375 °C, 275 565 °C and 475 °C for molten chlorides, molten hydroxides and molten carbonates under the cell 566 voltage of 2V, 2V and 1.5 V respectively. The proposed technique holds promise as a method 567 for converting electrical energy produced from renewable power sources into conventional 568 fuel, this should be used in future with increased production concentrations.

# 569 Supporting information

570 Phase diagram in mole percentages for binary mixtures of (a) chloride (LiCl-KCl) (b)
571 hydroxide (LiOH-NaOH) and (c) hydroxide (KOH-NaOH) salts (Fig. S1) and phase diagram
572 of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> -K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> ternary molten salt (Fig. S2).

# 573 Acknowledgement

574 The authors are grateful for the financial supports from the EPSRC (EP/J000582/1 and

575 EP/F026412/1) and Ningbo Municipal People's Governments (3315 Plan and 2014A35001-1).

## 576 **References**

Lei, L.; Liu, T.; Fang, S.; Lemmon, J. P.; Chen, F., The co-electrolysis of CO 2–H 2
 O to methane via a novel micro-tubular electrochemical reactor. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2017, *5* (6),
 2904-2910, DOI 10.1039/C6TA10252B.

Ren, J.; Yu, A.; Peng, P.; Lefler, M.; Li, F.-F.; Licht, S., Recent Advances in Solar
 Thermal Electrochemical Process (STEP) for Carbon Neutral Products and High Value
 Nanocarbons. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2019, *52* (11), 3177-3187, DOI 10.1021/acs.accounts.9b00405.
 Christensen, E.; Petrushina, I.; Nikiforov, A. V.; Berg, R. W.; Bjerrum, N. J.,
 CsH2PO4 as Electrolyte for the Formation of CH4 by Electrochemical Eeduction of CO2. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2020, *167* (4), 044511, DOI 10.1149/1945-7111/ab75fa.

586 4. Skafte, T. L.; Blennow, P.; Hjelm, J.; Graves, C., Carbon deposition and sulfur
poisoning during CO2 electrolysis in nickel-based solid oxide cell electrodes. *J. Power Sources*2018, *373*, 54-60, DOI 10.1016/j.jpowsour.2017.10.097.

Sher, F.; Iqbal, S. Z.; Liu, H.; Imran, M.; Snape, C. E., Thermal and kinetic analysis 589 5. 590 of diverse biomass fuels under different reaction environment: A way forward to renewable 591 203, energy sources. Energy Convers. Manage. 112266. DOI 2020. 592 10.1016/j.enconman.2019.112266.

593 6. Abu Hassan, M. H.; Sher, F.; Zarren, G.; Suleiman, N.; Tahir, A. A.; Snape, C. E., 594 Kinetic and thermodynamic evaluation of effective combined promoters for CO2 hydrate 595 formation. *J. Nat. Gas Sci. Eng.* 2020, *78*, 103313, DOI 10.1016/j.jngse.2020.103313.

596 7. Kumaravel, V.; Bartlett, J.; Pillai, S. C., Photoelectrochemical conversion of carbon
597 dioxide (CO2) into fuels and value-added products. *ACS Energy Lett.* 2020, DOI
598 10.1021/acsenergylett.9b02585.

599 Anwar, M.; Fayyaz, A.; Sohail, N.; Khokhar, M.; Baqar, M.; Yasar, A.; Rasool, K.; 8. 600 Raja, M.; Rehan, M., CO2 utilization: Turning greenhouse gas into fuels and Nazir, A.; 601 Environ. 110059, DOI valuable products. J. Manage. 2020, 260, 602 10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.110059.

Sastre, F.; Muñoz-Batista, M. J.; Kubacka, A.; Fernández-García, M.; Smith, W. A.;
Kapteijn, F.; Makkee, M.; Gascon, J., Efficient Electrochemical Production of Syngas from
CO2 and H2O by using a Nanostructured Ag/g-C3N4 Catalyst. *ChemElectroChem* 2016, *3* (9),
1497-1502, DOI 10.1002/celc.201600392.

Wu, H.; Ji, D.; Li, L.; Yuan, D.; Zhu, Y.; Wang, B.; Zhang, Z.; Licht, S., A new
technology for efficient, high yield carbon dioxide and water transformation to methane by
electrolysis in molten salts. *Adv. Mater. Technol.* 2016, *1* (6), 1600092, DOI
10.1002/admt.201600092.

Li, Z.; Zhang, W.; Ji, D.; Liu, S.; Cheng, Y.; Han, J.; Wu, H., Electrochemical
Conversion of CO2 into Valuable Carbon Nanotubes: The Insights into Metallic Electrodes
Screening. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2020, 167 (4), 042501, DOI 10.1149/1945-7111/ab7176.

Long, C.; Li, X.; Guo, J.; Shi, Y.; Liu, S.; Tang, Z., Electrochemical reduction of
CO2 over heterogeneous catalysts in aqueous solution: recent progress and perspectives. *Small Methods* 2019, *3* (3), 1800369, DOI 10.1002/smtd.201800369.

Moura de Salles Pupo, M.; Kortlever, R., Electrolyte effects on the electrochemical
reduction of CO2. *ChemPhysChem* 2019, *20* (22), 2926-2935, DOI 10.1002/cphc.201900680.

619 14. Chen, Y.; Wang, M.; Lu, S.; Tu, J.; Jiao, S., Electrochemical graphitization conversion
620 of CO2 through soluble NaVO3 homogeneous catalyst in carbonate molten salt. *Electrochim.*621 Acta 2020, 331, 135461, DOI 10.1016/j.electacta.2019.135461.

Kusama, S.; Saito, T.; Hashiba, H.; Sakai, A.; Yotsuhashi, S., Crystalline copper (II)
phthalocyanine catalysts for electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide in aqueous media. *ACS Catal.* 2017, 7 (12), 8382-8385, DOI 10.1021/acscatal.7b02220.

- 625 16. Acar, C.; Dincer, I., Review and evaluation of hydrogen production options for better 626 environment. *J. Cleaner Prod.* 2019, *218*, 835-849, DOI 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.02.046.
- Al-Shara, N. K.; Sher, F.; Iqbal, S. Z.; Curnick, O.; Chen, G. Z., Design and
  optimization of electrochemical cell potential for hydrogen gas production. *J. Energy Chem.*2021, 52, 421-427, DOI 10.1016/j.jechem.2020.04.026.
- Luo, Y.; Shi, Y.; Chen, Y.; Li, W.; Jiang, L.; Cai, N., Pressurized Tubular Solid
  Oxide H2O/CO2 Co-electrolysis Cell for Direct Power-to-Methane. *AlChE J.* 2020, e16896,
  DOI 10.1002/aic.16896.
- Kamali, A. R., Production of Advanced Materials in Molten Salts. In *Green Production of Carbon Nanomaterials in Molten Salts and Applications*, Springer: 2020; pp 5-18, DOI
  10.1007/978-981-15-2373-1\_2.
- Dogu, D.; Gunduz, S.; Meyer, K. E.; Deka, D. J.; Ozkan, U. S., CO 2 and H 2 O
  Electrolysis Using Solid Oxide Electrolyzer Cell (SOEC) with La and Cl-doped Strontium
  Titanate Cathode. *Catal. Lett.* 2019, *149* (7), 1743-1752, DOI 10.1007/s10562-019-02786-8.
- Wu, H.; Liu, Y.; Ji, D.; Li, Z.; Yi, G.; Yuan, D.; Wang, B.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, P.,
  Renewable and high efficient syngas production from carbon dioxide and water through solar
  energy assisted electrolysis in eutectic molten salts. *J. Power Sources* 2017, *362*, 92-104, DOI
  10.1016/j.jpowsour.2017.07.016.
- 22. Xu, H.; Chen, B.; Irvine, J.; Ni, M., Modeling of CH4-assisted SOEC for H2O/CO2
  co-electrolysis. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 2016, *41* (47), 21839-21849, DOI
  10.1016/j.ijhydene.2016.10.026.
- 646 Tang, D.; Dou, Y.; Yin, H.; Mao, X.; Xiao, W.; Wang, D., The capacitive 23. 647 performances of carbon obtained from the electrolysis of CO2 in molten carbonates: Effects of Energy 648 electrolysis voltage and temperature. Chem. 2019, J. DOI 649 10.1016/j.jechem.2019.11.006.
- 650 24. Ji, D.; Li, Z.; Li, W.; Yuan, D.; Wang, Y.; Yu, Y.; Wu, H., The optimization of 651 electrolyte composition for CH4 and H2 generation via CO2/H2O co-electrolysis in eutectic 652 salts. Int. Hydrogen Energy 2019, 44 5082-5089, DOI molten J. (11), 653 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2018.09.089.
- 654 Liu, Y.; Ji, D.; Li, Z.; Yuan, D.; Jiang, M.; Yang, G.; Yu, Y.; Wang, Y.; Wu, H., 25. Effect of CaCO3 addition on the electrochemical generation of syngas from CO2/H2O in 655 molten (29), 656 salts. Int. *J*. Hydrogen Energy 2017, 42 18165-18173, DOI 657 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2017.04.160.
- 658 26. Xiao, W.; Wang, D.-H., Rare metals preparation by electro-reduction of solid 659 compounds in high-temperature molten salts. *Rare Met.* 2016, *35* (8), 581-590, DOI 660 10.1007/s12598-016-0778-4.
- 661 27. Ijije, H. V.; Sun, C.; Chen, G. Z., Indirect electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide
  662 to carbon nanopowders in molten alkali carbonates: Process variables and product properties.
  663 *Carbon* 2014, *73*, 163-174, DOI 10.1016/j.carbon.2014.02.052.
- Liu, Y.; Yuan, D.; Ji, D.; Li, Z.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, B.; Wu, H., Syngas production:
  diverse H 2/CO range by regulating carbonates electrolyte composition from CO 2/H 2 O via
  co-electrolysis in eutectic molten salts. *RSC Adv.* 2017, 7 (83), 52414-52422, DOI
  10.1039/C7RA07320H.
- Weng, W.; Tang, L.; Xiao, W., Capture and electro-splitting of CO2 in molten salts. J. *Energy Chem.* 2019, 28, 128-143, DOI 10.1016/j.jechem.2018.06.012.
- 670 30. Ge, J.; Hu, L.; Wang, W.; Jiao, H.; Jiao, S., Electrochemical Conversion of CO2 into
- Negative Electrode Materials for Li-Ion Batteries. *ChemElectroChem* 2015, 2 (2), 224-230,
  DOI 10.1002/celc.201402297.
- Beng, B.; Chen, Z.; Gao, M.; Song, Y.; Zheng, K.; Tang, J.; Xiao, W.; Mao, X.;
  Wang, D., Molten salt CO2 capture and electro-transformation (MSCC-ET) into capacitive

- 675 carbon at medium temperature: effect of the electrolyte composition. *Faraday Discuss*. 2016,
  676 *190*, 241-258, DOI 10.1039/C5FD00234F.
- 877 32. Ren, J.; Johnson, M.; Singhal, R.; Licht, S., Transformation of the greenhouse gas
  878 CO2 by molten electrolysis into a wide controlled selection of carbon nanotubes. *J. CO2 Util.*879 2017, *18*, 335-344, DOI 10.1016/j.jcou.2017.02.005.
- 33. Douglas, A.; Carter, R.; Muralidharan, N.; Oakes, L.; Pint, C. L., Iron catalyzed
  growth of crystalline multi-walled carbon nanotubes from ambient carbon dioxide mediated by
  molten carbonates. *Carbon* 2017, *116*, 572-578, DOI 10.1016/j.carbon.2017.02.032.
- 683 Arcaro, S.; Berutti, F.; Alves, A.; Bergmann, C., MWCNTs produced by electrolysis 34. 684 of molten carbonate: Characteristics of the cathodic products grown on galvanized steel and 685 electrodes. 2019. 466. nickel chrome Appl. Surf. Sci. 367-374, DOI 686 10.1016/j.apsusc.2018.10.055.
- 687 35. Ji, D.; Liu, Y.; Li, Z.; Yuan, D.; Yang, G.; Jiang, M.; Wang, Y.; Yu, Y.; Wu, H., A comparative study of electrodes in the direct synthesis of CH4 from CO2 and H2O in molten 688 689 salts. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2017. 42 (29), 18156-18164. DOI 690 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2017.04.152.
- 691 36. Li, L.; Shi, Z.; Gao, B.; Hu, X.; Wang, Z., Electrochemical conversion of CO2 to 692 carbon and oxygen in LiCl–Li2O melts. *Electrochim. Acta* 2016, *190*, 655-658, DOI 10.1016/j.electacta.2015.12.202.
- Kaplan, V.; Wachtel, E.; Gartsman, K.; Feldman, Y.; Lubomirsky, I., Conversion of
  CO2 to CO by electrolysis of molten lithium carbonate. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2010, *157* (4),
  B552-B556, DOI 10.1149/1.3308596.
- 697 38. Ijije, H. V. Electrochemical conversion of carbon dioxide to carbon in molten carbonate
  698 salts. University of Nottingham, Cenrtal Store, 2015.
- 699 39. Hu, L.; Song, Y.; Ge, J.; Zhu, J.; Han, Z.; Jiao, S., Electrochemical deposition of 700 carbon nanotubes from CO 2 in CaCl 2–NaCl-based melts. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2017, *5* (13), 701 6219-6225, DOI 10.1039/C7TA00258K.
- 40. Lorenz, P. K.; Janz, G. J., Electrolysis of molten carbonates: anodic and cathodic gasevolving reactions. *Electrochim. Acta* 1970, *15* (6), 1025-1035, DOI 10.1016/00134686(70)80042-1.
- Halmann, M.; Zuckerman, K., Electroreduction of carbon dioxide to carbon monoxide
  in molten LiCl + KCl, LiF + KF + NaF, Li2CO3 + Na2CO3 + K2CO3 and AlCl3 + NaCl. J. *Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem.* 1987, 235 (1), 369-380, DOI 10.1016/00220728(87)85221-X.
- Al-Shara, N. K.; Sher, F.; Yaqoob, A.; Chen, G. Z., Electrochemical investigation of
   novel reference electrode Ni/Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> in comparison with silver and platinum inert quasi reference electrodes for electrolysis in eutectic molten hydroxide. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*
- 712 2019, 44 (50), 27224-27236, DOI 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.08.248.
- 43. Song, Q.; Xu, Q.; Wang, Y.; Shang, X.; Li, Z., Electrochemical deposition of carbon
  films on titanium in molten LiCl–KCl–K2CO3. *Thin Solid Films* 2012, *520* (23), 6856-6863,
  DOI 10.1016/j.tsf.2012.07.056.
- 44. Deng, B.; Tang, J.; Gao, M.; Mao, X.; Zhu, H.; Xiao, W.; Wang, D., Electrolytic
  synthesis of carbon from the captured CO2 in molten LiCl–KCl–CaCO3: Critical roles of
  electrode potential and temperature for hollow structure and lithium storage performance. *Electrochim. Acta* 2018, 259, 975-985 DOI 10.1016/j.electacta.2017.11.025.
- 45. Ijije, H. V.; Lawrence, R. C.; Chen, G. Z., Carbon electrodeposition in molten salts:
  electrode reactions and applications. *RSC Adv.* 2014, *4* (67), 35808-35817, DOI 10.1039/C4RA04629C.

- 46. Kamali, A. R.; Fray, D. J., Large-scale preparation of graphene by high temperature
  insertion of hydrogen into graphite. *Nanoscale* 2015, 7 (26), 11310-11320, DOI
  10.1039/C5NR01132A
- 47. Ijije, H. V.; Lawrence, R. C.; Siambun, N. J.; Jeong, S. M.; Jewell, D. A.; Hu, D.; Chen, G. Z., Electro-deposition and re-oxidation of carbon in carbonate-containing molten
- 728 salts. *Faraday Discuss*. 2014, *172*, 105-116, DOI 10.1039/C4FD00046C.
- 48. Otake, K.; Kinoshita, H.; Kikuchi, T.; Suzuki, R. O., CO2 gas decomposition to carbon
  by electro-reduction in molten salts. *Electrochim. Acta* 2013, *100*, 293-299, DOI
  10.1016/j.electacta.2013.02.076.
- 49. Al-Shara, N. K.; Sher, F.; Iqbal, S. Z.; Sajid, Z.; Chen, G. Z., Electrochemical study
  of different membrane materials for the fabrication of stable, reproducible and reusable
  reference electrode. *J. Energy Chem.* 2020, *49*, 33-41, DOI 10.1016/j.jechem.2020.01.008.
- Graves, C.; Ebbesen, S. D.; Mogensen, M.; Lackner, K. S., Sustainable hydrocarbon
  fuels by recycling CO2 and H2O with renewable or nuclear energy. *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.* 2011, *15* (1), 1-23, DOI 10.1016/j.rser.2010.07.014.
- 51. Pardo, P.; Deydier, A.; Anxionnaz-Minvielle, Z.; Rougé, S.; Cabassud, M.; Cognet,
  P., A review on high temperature thermochemical heat energy storage. *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.* 2014, *32*, 591-610, DOI 10.1016/j.rser.2013.12.014.
- 52. Groult, H.; Le Van, K.; Lantelme, F., Electrodeposition of carbon-metal powders in
  alkali carbonate melts. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2014, *161* (7), D3130-3138, DOI
  10.1149/2.019407jes.
- 53. Chery, D.; Albin, V.; Meléndez-Ceballos, A.; Lair, V.; Cassir, M., Mechanistic
  approach of the electrochemical reduction of CO2 into CO at a gold electrode in molten
  carbonates by cyclic voltammetry. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 2016, *41* (41), 18706-18712 DOI
  10.1016/j.ijhydene.2016.06.094.
- 748 54. Tang, D.; Yin, H.; Mao, X.; Xiao, W.; Wang, D., Effects of applied voltage and 749 temperature on the electrochemical production of carbon powders from CO2 in molten salt 750 inert anode. Electrochim. Acta 2013, 114, 567-573, DOI with an 751 10.1016/j.electacta.2013.10.109.
- 55. Novoselova, I. A.; Kuleshov, S. V.; Volkov, S. V.; Bykov, V. N., Electrochemical
  synthesis, morphological and structural characteristics of carbon nanomaterials produced in
  molten salts. *Electrochim. Acta* 2016, *211*, 343-355, DOI 10.1016/j.electacta.2016.05.160.
- 56. Yin, H.; Mao, X.; Tang, D.; Xiao, W.; Xing, L.; Zhu, H.; Wang, D.; Sadoway, D.
  R., Capture and electrochemical conversion of CO 2 to value-added carbon and oxygen by
  molten salt electrolysis. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2013, 6 (5), 1538-1545, DOI
  10.1039/C3EE24132G.
- 759 57. Akhmedov, V.; Ismailzadeh, A., The role of CO 2 and H 2 O in the formation of gas-760 oil hydrocarbons: current performance and outlook. *J. Math* 2019, *6* (10).
- 58. Torrente-Murciano, L.; Mattia, D.; Jones, M. D.; Plucinski, P. K., Formation of
  hydrocarbons via CO2 hydrogenation A thermodynamic study. *J. CO2 Util.* 2014, *6*, 34-39,
  DOI 10.1016/j.jcou.2014.03.002.
- Jafarbegloo, M.; Tarlani, A.; Mesbah, A. W.; Sahebdelfar, S., Thermodynamic
  analysis of carbon dioxide reforming of methane and its practical relevance. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 2015, 40 (6), 2445-2451, DOI 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.12.103.
- Branco, J. B.; Lopes, G.; Ferreira, A. C.; Leal, J. P., Catalytic oxidation of methane
  on KCl-MClx (M= Li, Mg, Co, Cu, Zn) eutectic molten salts. *J. Mol. Liq.* 2012, *171*, 1-5, DOI
  10.1016/j.molliq.2012.04.001.
- Branco, J. B.; Lopes, G.; Ferreira, A. C., Catalytic oxidation of methane over KClLnCl3 eutectic molten salts. *Catal. Commun.* 2011, *12* (15), 1425-1427, DOI
  10.1016/j.catcom.2011.05.023.

- 62. Al-Juboori, O.; Sher, F.; Hazafa, A.; Khan, M. K.; Chen, G. Z., The effect of variable operating parameters for hydrocarbon fuel formation from CO2 by molten salts electrolysis. *J. CO2 Util.* 2020, *40*, 101193, DOI 10.1016/j.jcou.2020.101193.





Fig. 1. A schematic representation of the experimental setup.



**Fig. 2.** The gas chromatography analysis of calibration gas standards as reference for the comparison with other electrolysis gaseous products by (a) FID detector (b) TCD detector.



Fig. 3. Current-time curves resulting from electrolysis performed in molten chloride with and without  $CO_2$  at 2V and 375 °C in GFOE mode.





**Fig. 4**. The faraday efficiency and production rates of gaseous products at 2 V and 375 °C under different current density in case of molten chloride electrolysis during GFIE mode after (a) 30 min (b) 60 min.



**Fig. 5**. The gas chromatography analysis of gaseous products in case of molten chloride electrolysis at 375 °C and 2 V by (a) FID detector (b) TCD detector.







**Fig. 6.** The faraday efficiency and production rates of gaseous products at 2 V under different current density after electrolysis in; (a) LiOH-NaOH with GFOE mode at 275  $^{\circ}$ C(b) LiOH-NaOH with GFIE mode at 275  $^{\circ}$ C (c) KOH-NaOH with GFIE mode at 225  $^{\circ}$ C.



**Fig. 7.** The gas chromatography analysis of gaseous products in case of molten hydroxide (LiOH-NaOH) electrolysis by (a) FID detector (b) TCD detector.



**Fig. 8.** Current-time curves resulting from electrolysis performed in two different molten hydroxides at 2V.



**Fig. 9.** The faraday efficiency and production rate of gaseous products at 1.5 V and 425 °C under different current density in molten carbonate electrolyte.



Fig. 10. The gas chromatography analysis of gaseous products in case of molten carbonate electrolysis by (a) FID detector (b) TCD detector.



Fig. 11. The selection of optimum temperatures for all electrolytes on the basis of  $CH_4$  production.



**Fig. 12.** The current density vs time plot at different voltages for three types of electrolytes; (a) molten chloride, (b) molten hydroxide and (c) molten carbonate.



**Fig. 13.** The faraday efficiency and production rates of higher hydrocarbons at 1.5 V and 425 °C in case of molten carbonate electrolysis.



**Fig. 14.** The mass spectrum of compounds showing hydrocarbon after electrolysis in molten carbonate electrolyte under 1.5 V at 425 °C.

# List of Tables

Table 1.Specification of cathodic gas products in molten chloride salt with GFIE mode of electrolysis at 2V and 375 °C by using GC analysis.

	Gas produc	t composition	Uncerta	inty of gas	Faraday	efficiency	Energy con	nsumption
Products	s (vol. %)		composition		(*	%)	( <b>J</b> )	
	(30 min)	(60 min)	(30 min)	(60 min)	(30 min)	(60 min)	(30 min)	(60 min)
$H_2$	2.40	2.48	±0.10	$\pm 0.10$	54.80	28.20		
CH <sub>4</sub>	0.05	0.05	±0.005	±0.005	4.50	2.30	278.00	211.00
СО	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	278.00	511.00
$CO_2$	34.80	4.84	_	_	_	_		
H <sub>2</sub> O	2.00	2.00	_	_	_	_		
Ar	60.70	90.60	_	_	_	_		

**Table 2.** Specification of cathodic gas products during electrolysis in molten hydroxide (LiOH-NaOH) at 275 °C and (KOH-NaOH) at 225 °C under 2V applied voltage using GC analysis.

Products	Gas product composition (vol. %)		Uncertainty of gas composition		Faraday efficiency (%)		Heating values (J)		Energy consumption (J)				
	*LiOH- NaOH	**LiOH- NaOH	**KOH- NaOH	*LiOH- NaOH	**LiOH- NaOH	**KOH -NaOH	*LiOH- NaOH	**LiOH -NaOH	**KOH -NaOH	**LiOH- NaOH	**KOH- NaOH	**LiOH- NaOH	**KOH- NaOH
H <sub>2</sub>	27.3	4.44	3.94	$\pm 0.90$	$\pm 0.10$	$\pm 0.10$	87.30	13.00	15.60	130.00	116.00		
$CH_4$	0.03	0.15	0.15	$\pm 0.004$	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.01$	0.40	2.00	2.30	14.00	12.00		
СО	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1200.00	1000.00
$CO_2$	0.480	0.750	0.580	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_	1200.00	1000.00
H <sub>2</sub> O	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Ar	72.20	94.70	95.30	_	—	_	_	_	_	_	_		

\* After electrolysis with GFOE mode

\*\* After electrolysis with GFIE mode

Product	Gas product composition	Uncertainty of gas	Faraday efficiency	Heating value	Energy consumption
	(vol. %)	composition	(%)	<b>(J</b> )	$(\mathbf{J})$
H <sub>2</sub>	0.22	±0.04	11.90	11.40	
CH <sub>4</sub>	0.06	$\pm 0.005$	12.50	10.40	
$C_2H_4$	0.04	±0.003	13.20	12.00	
$C_3H_6$	0.03	$\pm 0.005$	12.70	11.00	114.20
$C_4H_8$	0.03	$\pm 0.002$	17.00	14.50	
CO	0.58	±0.09	31.80	35.30	
$CO_2$	52.70	_	_	_	
H <sub>2</sub> O	2.40	_	_	_	
Ar	44.00	_	_	_	

**Table 3.** Specification of cathodic gas products after electrolysis in molten carbonate at 1.5 V and 425 °C by using GC and mass spectrometric analysis.

		Fischer-Tr	opsch Reaction	CH <sub>4</sub> partial oxidation			
	$\Delta \mathbf{G} \ (\mathbf{kJ/mol})$		$\Delta \mathbf{H}$ (k	J/mol)	$\Delta G (kJ/mol)$	$\Delta \mathbf{H} (\mathbf{kJ/mol})$	
Products	CO <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> O	CO <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> O			
	formed	formed	formed	formed			
CH <sub>4</sub>	-61.41	-48.37	-257.70	-220.10	_	_	
$C_2H_6$	-52.03	-25.94	-445.90	-369.90	-138.20	-175.90	
$C_2H_4$	-2.10	23.98	-303.40	-227.40	-297.20	-278.90	
C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	-39.60	-0.47	-549.30	-435.30	-273.30	-267.10	
$C_3H_6$	-5.69	33.44	-423.70	-309.70	-448.30	-387.00	
$C_{4}H_{10}$	-43.31	8.86	-722.60	-570.60	-424.50	-428.10	
$C_4H_8$	-18.62	33.55	-710.60	-558.70	-608.80	-661.70	

**Table 4.** List of  $\Delta G$  and  $\Delta H$  for the generation of hydrocarbon products from the Fischer-Tropsch reaction (through CO<sub>2</sub> and water formation) and partial oxidation of methane at 425 °C.

# For Table of Contents Use Only

The co-electrolysis of  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  in molten chloride, molten hydroxides and molten carbonates was performed at moderate temperatures for sustainable hydrocarbons formation.

