A family of unsymmetrical hydroxyl-substituted BEDT-TTF donors: syntheses, structures and preliminary thin film studies†
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Three new unsymmetrical hydroxyl-functionalized donors H1–H3 closely related to hydroxymethyl-BEDT-TTF have been synthesised and characterised. Cyclic voltammetry studies showed that the compounds exhibit reversible two one-electron redox processes typical for BEDT-TTF derivatives. X-ray diffraction studies of H1 and H2 reveal π-stacking interactions between pairs of donors that are organized into distinct H-bonded square motifs and DFT calculations indicate that the HOMO is located on the central 1,3-dithiole rings. Protection of the hydroxyl group with acetyl in H3 eliminates co-facial S⋯S interactions between the dimers to accommodate the bulkier side chains, but short edge-to-edge S⋯S contacts offer an alternative pathway for electron mobility. Chemical oxidation of H1 and HMET 2 with I2 afforded single crystals of two 1:1 charge transfer salts, 18 and 19. The molecules pack as dimers with close π-stacking interactions between pairs of radical cations whose crystal structures are further stabilized via an interplay of S⋯S and S⋯I contacts. Iodine-doped surface conducting polystyrene blend films of H3 deposited on a silica substrate exhibit quasiconducting properties, but afford no OFET response when fabricated into devices. Visible–NIR studies of a doped polystyrene blend film of H3 cast on a glass substrate show absorption bands at λ = 950 and 3000 nm, consistent with mixed valence states due to the presence of charge-transfer species on the surface of the films.

Introduction

First used to prepare an organic metal in the 1970’s,7 tetra-thiafulvalene (TTF) and its derivatives now represent one of the most well studied classes of sulfur containing heterocycles of interest to main group, organic, supramolecular and materials chemists worldwide.2 In more recent years this family of compounds have found applications as molecular switches7 shuttles and sensors,4 as well as redox accessible organic donors for the preparation of conducting radical cation salts.5 The driving force in the crystallization of the salts are their strong π–π stacking interactions that, together with C–H⋯S and S⋯S contacts, facilitate the intermolecular electronic transfer responsible for their transport properties. Until fairly recently conducting salts of TTFs were prepared as single crystals with mobilities exceeding 10 cm2 V−1 s−1 for modified derivatives with side chains. Although crystalline radical cation salts have found applications in the field of organic electronics,6 attention has been more recently focused on developing more soluble derivatives for solution-processable technologies.7 One clear advantage of this approach is that it affords tuneable materials that combine the unique electronic properties of molecular metals (e.g. metallic conductivity) together with the favourable properties of a polymeric matrix for applications where low-cost, large-area coverage and flexibility are important considerations.

In contrast to TTF, the chemistry of bis(ethylenedithio)tetrathiafulvalene, more commonly known as BEDT-TTF or ET, has been much less well explored even though it has played a prominent role in the development of molecular conductors, superconductors, and bifunctional materials.8 Although formation of conducting films of BEDT-TTF have been studied,9 reports of films prepared from its substituted derivatives are restricted to the formation of LB films from hexadecyl-(BEDT-TTF), and its combination with hexadecyl-TCNQ, or reaction...
with Fe(II). Related studies have also involved deposition of a mercaptodecylthio-(EDT-TTF) derivative onto a mica supported gold surface, and hydroxymethyl substituted EDT-TTF derivatives have been combined with arachidonic acid to form weakly conducting Langmuir–Blodgett films.

Over the last two decades our research program has predominantly focused on developing synthetic methodologies for the preparation of new families of BEDT-TTF derivatives, particularly chiral donors. In more recent years we have shifted our attention to establish libraries of organic donors whose electronic properties and solubilities can be tuned via molecular design to render them suitable for a particular electronic application. In this context we recently reported the electronic properties of thin films prepared from thiophene appended BEDT-TTF derivatives e.g. 1 for applications as organic field effect transistors (OFETs). In this new study we report the synthesis and study of a new family of unsymmetrical hydroxyl-substituted donors H1–H3, the O-acetyl protected intermediate 13, and the previously reported hydroxymethyl BEDT-TTF 2′ad (Fig. 1). Hydrogen bonds are one of the fundamentally important non-covalent interactions in chemistry and biology that have also recently been shown to play a role in the switching of conductivity and magnetism in a TTF derivative. Our objectives were two fold; firstly to introduce hydroxyl substitutents into the molecular framework of the BEDT-TTF donor to optimize the number and type of intermolecular interactions, increase the dimensionality of the materials and thus improve their charge transport properties; secondly, we set out to tune the solubility of the donors and establish experimental conditions for the preparation of solution processable thin films in order to evaluate their electrical properties and suitability for OFET applications.

Experimental

General considerations

All experiments were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere unless stated otherwise. Dry solvents were obtained from a Puresolve PS MD-4 solvent purification system. 1H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AVANCE AV300 or AV600 NMR spectrometers and chemical shifts were determined with reference to residual solvent. IR spectra were recorded on a Mattson Research Series FT-IR spectrometer as KBr discs. EL and HR FAB mass spectrometry measurements were obtained from a KRATOS/MSI CONCEPT 1-S spectrometer. Accurate mass, nanoelectrospray measurements were obtained on an LTQ Orbitrap XL spectrometer. Elemental analyses were obtained from Atlantic Microlab. Melting points were measured on a SMP10 melting point apparatus. Cyclic voltammetry measurements were recorded at room temperature under N2 in a conventional three-electrode cell using Pt working electrodes (3 mm diameter), a Pt wire counter electrode, an Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a BAS Epsilon potentiostat. Electronic absorption spectra were measured on a Varian 5000 UV-vis-NIR spectrophotometer. Fabricated OTFT devices were evaluated using a Keithley SCS-4200 characterization system under ambient conditions. Four-probe DC measurements were carried out on a Keithley 236 Source Measurement Unit. HMET was prepared according to literature procedures. Experimental details for the synthesis of the O-acetyl protected compounds 7, 13 and 17 together with donors H1 to H3 are presented in the text. Full experimental procedures for the preparation of all synthetic intermediates are provided in S-1 of the ESI.

Synthesis of (2-acetoxypropylene-1,3-dithio)(ethylenedithio) tetrathiafulvalene (7)

A suspension of oxo compound 5 (0.70 g, 2.50 mmol) and unsubstituted thione 6 (1.12 g, 5.00 mmol) in dry triethyl phosphate (5 mL) was heated to 90 °C under nitrogen for 16 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and hexane (10 mL) was added to facilitate further precipitation. The solid was collected and washed with hexane (5 mL). The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica (hexane : CH2Cl2 1 : 2) to give first BEDT-TTF and then compound 7 as an orange-yellow solid (0.75 g, 66%), m.p. 185–187 °C, δH (300 MHz, CDCl3): 5.24 (1H, m, H2), 3.31 (4H, s, 5′-6′H2); 2.96 (2H, dd, J = 13.7, 2.1 Hz, 1′-3′H2); 2.65 (2H, dd, J = 13.7, 9.9 Hz, 1′-3′H2); 2.11 (3H, s, CH3); δC (75 MHz, CDCl3): 169.48 (C=O), 130.32, 113.79, 113.29 & 111.66 (6 x sp2C), 77.22 (2-C), 36.04 (1-C), 30.16 (–CH2CH2–), 21.06 (CH3); IR vmax (cm−1, KBr): 2968 (w), 2920 (w), 1740 (s), 1699 (vs), 1573 (m), 1423 (w), 1399 (m), 1365 (w), 1258 (m), 1113 (m), 1062 (m), 956 (m), 903 (m), 770 (w), 646 (w), 501 (w); m/z: (EI+) 456 ([M]+, 50%); HRMS: (EI) found [M]+ 455.85995, C17H12O2S8 requires 455.86031. Further elution afforded the homo coupled cis and trans isomers of bis(2-acetoxypropylene-1,3-dithio) tetrathiafulvalene 8 as an orange solid (70 mg, 5%), m.p. 270–273 °C (dec); δH (300 MHz, CDCl3): 5.22 (2H, 2′-2′H), 2.94 (4H, dd, J = 14.4, 2.7 Hz, 1′-3′-3′-H2), 2.66 (4H, m, 1′-1′-3′-3′-H2); 2.11 & 2.10 (6H, 2 x CH3); δC (75 MHz, CDCl3): 169.60 & 169.49 (C=O, 2 isomers), 130.30 & 112.42 (6 x sp2C), 79.91 (2-C), 36.12 & 36.00 (1′-1′-3′-3′-2 isomers), 21.11 (2 x CH3); IR vmax (cm−1, KBr): 2968 (w), 2920 (w), 1740 (vs), 1423 (w), 1403 (w), 1369 (m), 1232 (vs), 1111 (m), 1020 (s), 960 (m), 903 (m), 770 (w), 646 (w), 501 (w); m/z: (FAB+) 528 ([M]+,
Synthesis of (ethyleneedithio)(2-hydroxypropylene-1,3 dithio) tetrathiafulvalene (H1)

A solution of protected donor 7 (0.55 g, 1.21 mmol) in THF (30 mL) and 6 M HCl solution (6.5 mL) was stirred under nitrogen for 40 h. The solution was neutralized by the addition of solid NaHCO₃. The organic layer was collected, washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. Removal of solvent yielded H1 as an orange-yellow solid (0.48 g, 96%), m.p. 211–213 °C (dec). δH (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆): 6.47 (s, 2H, 2 × CH₂), 4.01 (2H, m, 1,2-H)H, 3.41 (4H, s, 5,6-H₂), 3.28 (2H, s) and 3.25 (2H, s) (2 × 4″-CH₂O), 1.95 (2H, m, 3″,5″-H₂), 1.61 (2H, m, 3″-H₃), δC (150 MHz, DMSO-d₆): 129.88, 113.26, 109.18 (6 × sp²-C), 75.30 (2-C), 38.58 (1-C), 29.99 (–CH₂CH₂–); IR νmax (cm⁻¹, KBr): 3547 (s), 3392 (w), 2958 (w), 2910 (w), 1728 (s), 1645 (w), 1551 (w), 1412 (m), 1290 (w), 1165 (m), 1057 (m), 1053 (vs), 918 (w), 889 (m), 770 (m), 743 (m), 507 (w), 463 (w); m/z: [M⁺] 484 [(M⁺)², 100%]; HRMS: [M⁺] 483.89134, C₁₅H₁₆O₂S₈ requires C: 36.22, H: 3.27%.

Synthesis of (cis-4″,4″-bis(acetoxyethyl)cyclopenta-1,2- dithio) (ethylene-dithio)tetrathiafulvalene (13)

A suspension of oxo compound 12 (1.72 g, 4.40 mmol) and unsubstituted thione 6 (1.98 g, 8.80 mmol) in dry triethyl phosphite was stirred under nitrogen for 16 h. The mixture was cooled to RT and triethyl phosphite was removed by distillation under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica (hexane : DCM 1 : 1) to give 17 as an orange solid (1.34 g, 56%). ¹H NMR showed the product was a mixture of diastereomers with estimated ratio 65 : 35. m.p. 78–80 °C; δH (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 5.20 (0.35H, q, J = 6.1 Hz, 1″-H), 5.15 (0.65H, q, J = 6.1 Hz, 1″-H); 3.79 (1H, m, 5″-H), 3.31 (4H, s, 5″-H₂), 3.20 (1H, m, 6″-H), 3.08 (1H, m, 6″-H), 2.11 (3H, s, CH₃CO), 1.73 (2H, m, 2″-H), 1.37 (2H, m, 3″-H), 0.96 (3H, t, J = 7.3 Hz, 4″-H); δC (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 171.01 (2 × C=O), 124.51, 113.78, 112.56 & 112.13 (6 × sp²-C), 67.40 & 68.82 (2 × 4″-CH₂O), 52.31 (1″-C), 46.18 (4″-C), 38.43 (3″-C), 30.15 (5″-H₂), 28.81 (2 × CH₃); IR νmax (cm⁻¹, KBr): 2947 (w), 2924 (w), 2854 (w), 1732 (vs), 1433 (w), 1343 (m), 1236 (m), 1038 (vs), 987 (w), 912 (w), 889 (w), 768 (w), 679 (w), 606 (w), 449 (w); m/z: [M⁺] 586 [(M⁺)², 100%]; HRMS: [M⁺] 576.91530, C₁₅H₁₀O₄S₈ requires 567.91274.

Synthesis of (cis-4″,4″-bis(hydroxymethyl)cyclopenta-1,2- dithio) (ethylene-dithio)tetrathiafulvalene (H2)

A solution of the bis(acetyl) protected donor 13 (1.17 g, 2.06 mmol) in THF (30 mL) and 6 M HCl solution (22 mL) was stirred under nitrogen for 43 h. THF (80 mL) was added and the mixture was neutralized by the addition of solid NaHCO₃. The organic layer was collected and dried over MgSO₄. The crude product was purified by chromatography on silica firstly eluted with THF : hexane (3 : 2) to remove side products, followed by THF to elute H2. Further product was obtained by thorough extraction of silica from the top of the column with THF, evaporation and washing the solid with CH₂Cl₂. H2 was obtained as a yellow solid (0.65 g, 65%), m.p. 211–213 °C (dec). δH (600 MHz, DMSO-d₆): 4.67 (s, 2H, 2 × CH₂), 4.01 (2H, m, 2 × 1,2-H), 3.41 (4H, s, 5,6-H₂), 3.28 (2H, s) and 3.25 (2H, s) (2 × 4″-CH₂O), 1.95 (2H, m, 3″,5″-H₂) 1.61 (2H, m, 3″-H₃); δC (150 MHz): 123.01, 113.22, 111.70 & 111.38 (6 × sp²-C), 65.90 & 64.52 (2 × 4″-CH₂O), 52.14 (1″-2″-C), 49.87 (4″-C), 37.74 (3″-C), 29.95 (5″-6″-H); IR νmax (cm⁻¹, KBr): 3305 (vs, br), 2918 (w), 2868 (m), 1645 (w), 1551 (w), 1439 (m), 1406 (m), 1284 (m), 1125 (w), 1198 (m), 1146 (w), 1088 (m), 1041 (vs), 1018 (vs), 908 (m), 771 (m), 679 (w), 577 (w), 473 (w); m/z: [M⁺] 484 [(M⁺)², 100%]; HRMS: [M⁺] 483.89134, C₁₅H₁₀O₄S₈ requires 483.89161; elem. anal. found C: 36.38, H: 3.13%; C₁₅H₁₆O₂S₈-0.25CH₂Cl₂ requires C: 36.22, H: 3.27%.

Synthesis of (±) (1″R,5″R)- and (1″R,5″S)- (1″-hydroxysterbutyl) bis(ethylenedithio)tetrathiafulvalene (H3)

A solution of ester 17 (1.30 g, 2.61 mmol) in THF (30 mL) and 6 M HCl solution (16 mL) was stirred under nitrogen for 40 h. The solution was neutralized by the addition of solid NaHCO₃. The organic layer was collected, washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. Removal of solvent yielded a sticky orange solid. Recrystallisation from CH₂Cl₂/hexane gave H3 as an orange solid (0.77 g, 65%), m.p. 101–103 °C; ¹H NMR showed the product was a mixture of diastereomers with estimated ratio 4 : 1. δH (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 3.85 (1H, m, 1″-H), 3.67 (0.2H, m, 5″-H), 3.54 (0.8H, m, 5″-H); 2.97 (4H, m, 5″-H₂), 2.11 (0.2H, d, J = 5.4 Hz, OH₂), 2.00 (0.8H, d, J = 3.6 Hz, OH₂), 1.58 (4H, m, 2″-H₂), 0.95 (3H, t, J = 7.0 Hz, 4″-H); δC (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 115.05, 114.35, 113.87, 111.89 (6 × sp²-C), 72.41 & 72.25 (1″-C), 52.77 & 50.25 (5″-C), 36.76 & 35.6 (2″-
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Preparation of [([H1])I]0.5I2 (18)

A solution of iodine (20.1 mg) in acetonitrile (8 mL) was gently layered on top of a solution of HMET (12.5 mg) in THF (6 mL) in a test tube and left to stand in the dark for 1 week allowing black plate-like crystals, m.p. 190 °C (dec); elem. anal. found C: 36.89, H: 3.54%; C14H16OS8 requires C: 36.84, H: 3.51%.

Preparation of [([HMET])I]0 (19)

A solution of iodine (20.1 mg) in acetonitrile (8 mL) was gently layered on top of a solution of HMET (12.5 mg) in THF (6 mL) in a test tube and left to stand in the dark for 1 week allowing black plate-like crystals, m.p. 190 °C (dec); elem. anal. found C: 36.89, H: 3.54%; C14H16OS8 requires C: 36.84, H: 3.51%.

X-ray structure determination

Single crystals of H1, H2, 13, and the radical cation salt 18 were mounted on a cryoloop with paratone oil and examined on a Bruker APEX-II CCD diffractometer equipped with an Oxford Cryoflex low temperature device. Data were measured at 150(2) K using graphite-monochromated Mo-Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) and the APEX-II software.16 Final cell constants were determined from full least squares refinement of all observed reflections. The data were corrected for absorption SADABS.17 Cell refinement and data-reduction were carried out by SAINT.16 For 19, the X-ray data was collected on single crystals at 150 K on an Agilent Xcalibur diffractometer equipped with a Sapphire detector and an Oxford Cryosystems Cryocool low temperature device using the CrysalisPro software package.18 With the exception of 13 and 19, the structures were solved by direct methods (SHELXS-97) and refined with full least squares refinement on F2 using SHELXL-97 within the Bruker SHELXTL suite.19 One of the six membered rings in H1 is disordered and was modelled over two sites. Discorded solvent was removed from the final model of H1 using PLATON SQUEEZE.20 Compounds 13 and 19 were solved with direct methods (SHELXS-97) and refined using SHELXL-2013.19c The structure of 13 exhibited disorder of cyclopentane ring that was modelled over two sites. Initial structure solution of 19 revealed 1.5 BEDT fragments in the asymmetric unit and one well-ordered I3− anion. However the second I3− ion was severely disordered over multiple sites about a special position. A total of 9 positions were modelled with a total site occupancy of 1.5 which brought the residual electron density within 1.5e− Å−3. Attempts to clearly identify the CH2OH group positions on each BEDT core were unsuccessful although some low intensity peaks in the difference map were evident suggestive of severe disorder in the positions of the CH2OH units. The unsaturated nature of the backbone afforded 8 possible positions for the CH2OH group and four for the CH2OH group attached to the BEDT molecule located about a special position. With a shortage of well-defined potential positions in the difference map, CH2OH side-chains were added using a FRAG→→FEND command. Their positions were subsequently refined using appropriate 1,2- and 1,3-distance restraints (DFIX) and their site occupancies refined using a common thermal parameter (EADP). For the BEDT fragment on a general position four CH2OH positions were modelled, one on each of the crystallographically independent unsaturated C atoms of the BEDT backbone to afford a total site occupancy of 1.0 (SUMP). For the BEDT molecule located about a special position an additional two CH2OH groups were modelled with a total site occupancy of 0.5 (SUMP). Notwithstanding these efforts the Uiso for both the C and O atoms of the CH2OH groups were abnormally large indicating that the disorder was even more severe than the current model indicates. Attempts to model the disorder over even more sites was not considered. Crystallographic parameters for H1, H3, 13, 18 and 19 are summarized in Table 1.†

Computational studies

DFT calculations were carried out on H1 and H2 with initial geometry optimizations undertaken using the Pople 6-31G* basis set and B3LYP functional within Jaguar.20 Subsequent single-point energy calculations were performed on the optimized structure using the larger triple zeta 6-311G-3DF-3PD basis set.21

Thin film preparation

Polystyrene film comprising (H3)x-(polyiodides)y on a Si wafer22,23 A polymer blend thin-film containing 1 : 1 weight ratio of donor/PS on a Si wafer substrate was prepared by spin coating a 2 wt% solution of donor/PS (1 : 1 weight ratio) in chlorobenzene at 1000 rpm for 45 s. The film was dried in a vacuum oven at 65 °C for 3h to remove any solvent residue and then Au electrodes were deposited onto the film via vacuum deposition through a shadow mask. The film was then doped with iodine vapour by suspending the film above an I2/CH2Cl2 (100 mg/20 mL) solution for 8 min at RT.

Polystyrene film comprising (H3)x-(polyiodides)y on a glass substrate.24,25 A non-conductive polystyrene film (MW 45 000) on a glass substrate containing a 2 wt% of molecularly dispersed donor in a polystyrene matrix were obtained by casting from a solution of PS polymer and BEDT-TTF derivative in o-dichlorobenzene at 120 °C. The film was then exposed to iodine vapour by suspending the film over an I2/CH2Cl2 solution (0.1 g/20 mL) for 8 min. Such treatment resulted in the formation of a continuous network containing (H3)x-(polyiodides)y in a surface layer of the polystyrene film.

Device fabrication and evaluation. The fabrication of the device was accomplished at ambient conditions without taking any precautions to isolate the material and device from exposure to ambient oxygen, moisture, or light.
bottom-gate thin film transistor (TFT) devices were built onto an n-doped silicon wafer as the gate electrode with a 100 nm thermal SiO2 as the dielectric layer, with or without an octyl-trichlorosilane (OTS) monolayer. The performances were measured in a top-contact configuration (drain and source electrodes deposited above the semiconductor). Gold source and drain contacts were deposited onto the organic layer through a shadow mask. The device characteristics were measured in air at room temperature using a Keithley 4200 SCS semiconductor parameter analyser.

Results and discussion

Synthesis

Building on our previous studies, a family of four hydroxyl functionalized BEDT-TTF derivatives were prepared, comprising three new donors H1–H3 as well as the previously reported HMET. H1 and H2 are achiral, HMET is a racemate and H3 was isolated as mixture of two diastereoisomers. It should be noted that for a side chain located on the six-membered ring of a BEDT-TTF derivative, the conformation of the ring can adjust between half chairs so that the R or S configured side chain can be orientated into similar positions. The general synthetic strategy for the preparation of the donors involves preparation of fused 1,3-dithiole-2-thiones, protection of their hydroxyl groups as acetates followed by formation of the central carbon–carbon double bond via a phosphite-mediated coupling reaction of the thione or its corresponding oxo compound and subsequent deprotection of the hydroxyl group. Thus, for H1 which has terminal six- and seven-membered rings, the hydroxyl substituted seven-membered ring thione 3 was obtained by cyclisation of the zinc complex of 2-thioxo-1,3-dithiole-4,5-dithiolate, (NEt4)2[Zn(dmit)2] with 1,3-dibromopropan-2-ol, Scheme 1. The hydroxyl group was protected as an acetate to give 4 which was converted to the corresponding oxo compound 5 by treatment with mercuric acetate. Reaction of 5 with the unsubstituted six-membered ring thione 6 in triethyl phosphate afforded the cross-coupled acetyl-protected donor 7 in 66% yield, along with BEDT-TTF and a small amount of the homo-coupled bis-acetyl protected donor 8 (5%) after chromatography. Deprotection of 7 with HCl in THF afforded H1 in an almost quantitative yield.

The bis(hydroxymethyl) donor H2 was prepared via the cycloaddition of 3,3-bis(hydroxymethyl)cyclopentene with tri-thione 9 to give thione 10 in 66% yield which was converted to
the bis(acetate) 11 and then treated with Hg(OAc)$_2$ to provide the oxo compound 12 in 86% yield.

Cross-coupling of 12 with the unsubstituted thione 6 afforded donor 13 in 53% yield. Deprotection of 13 in acidic conditions afforded donor H$_2$ as a yellow solid in 65% yield, Scheme 2.

Donor H$_3$, a BEDT-TTF derivative bearing a 1-hydroxybutyl side chain, was prepared from trithione 9 via cycloaddition with hex-1-en-3-ol to give thione 14 as a (65 : 35) mixture of diastereomers in 71% yield. Protection of the hydroxyl group as acetate 15, conversion of this thione to the corresponding oxo derivative 16 and cross coupling with the unsubstituted thione 6 afforded the mono-substituted donor 17 in 56% yield together with the homo coupled adducts. Deprotection of 17 with hydrochloric acid in THF afforded donor H$_3$ as a mixture of diastereomers which could not be completely separated by chromatography or recrystallization, Scheme 3.

**X-ray crystallography**

Single crystals of H$_1$ and H$_2$ and the O-acetylated precursor 13 were characterized by X-ray diffraction to study the dominant molecular interactions in the crystal packing of the neutral donors. Given that the molecular structures of these compounds dictate their electrical properties, crystallographic studies are crucial to shed light on how synthetic modifications to the BEDT-TTF framework disrupts the tendency of the donors to stack in the solid state. Finally, in order for these materials to become electronic conductors they are typically doped with iodine. In order to investigate how the crystal structures of the donors are altered after oxidation to radical cations, donors H$_1$ and HMET were doped with iodine and the structures of their radical cation salts were elucidated by X-ray diffraction. For all five structures, selected bond lengths and angles are reported in S-2 of the ESI.$^\dagger$

**Hydroxyl donors H$_1$ and H$_2$**

Single crystals of H$_1$ were obtained via diffusion of hexane into a THF solution of the donor. The compound crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P\overline{1}$ with two independent molecules, A and B, in the asymmetric unit, Fig. 2.

Both donors are slightly bowed due to bends about their dithiole S→S vectors in the range 17.9–23.9°. The ethylene bridges in both molecules are disordered between two half chair conformations for molecule A and two envelope conformations for molecule B, a feature which is common for such systems.$^{13,14}$ The seven-membered rings of both molecules crystallize in chair conformations with the hydroxyl groups adopting pseudo-axial orientations. The molecules are packed as centrosymmetric pairs in a head-to-tail manner with neighbouring dimers situated almost at right angles to one another, Fig. 3.

Within each pair, the central TTF units are offset from one another along the molecular axis so there are two S→S contacts per dimer of 3.5067(8) and 3.6065(8) Å, close to the S→S van der Waals distance of 3.6 Å. Donor pairs are assembled into layers in the $ca+ b$ plane, such that the hydroxyl groups of four donors can hydrogen bond to each other forming a roughly square arrangement between the oxygen atoms (O→O: 2.673(2) and 2.727(2) Å), Fig. 3. This type of square shaped hydrogen bonded motif has also been observed previously for the triiodide salt of bis(2R,2,3-dihydroxypropylthio) (ethylenedithio)TTF.$^{13,14}$ For H$_1$ the hydrogen bonding between donors dominates the crystal packing, and there are no short S→S contacts between co-parallel stacks of donors in the crystal lattice. In contrast,

---

**Fig. 2** Molecular structure of one independent molecule (B) of H$_1$ with atomic labelling scheme.
there are several short edge-to-edge S⋯S contacts between donors in neighbouring layers of 3.4121(8) Å and several longer interactions in the range 3.5067(8)–3.594(1) Å that could mediate electronic communication between donor molecules, Fig. 4. Channels running through the structure contain disordered hexane molecules which were excluded from the final model using PLATON SQUEEZE.19

Single crystals of H2 were obtained by the diffusion of Et2O into a DMF solution of the donor. The molecule crystallizes in the monoclinic space group P21/c. The framework of the donor is slightly bowed due to small bends of 12.9 and 13.4° about the dithiole S⋯S vectors. The fused cyclopentane ring adopts a half chair conformation with the largest torsion about a CH–CH2 bond, Fig. 5.

The donors are hydrogen bonded into layers which lie at 63° to the c-axis with four donors contributing one hydroxyl group to a hydrogen bonded square motif of oxygen atoms, similar to that observed in the structure of H1, Fig. 6a.

The O⋯O distances are 2.701(7) and 2.762(8) Å, and there are short S⋯S contacts of 3.323(3), 3.523(3) and 3.525(3) Å between donors. The unit cell contains eight successive layers, with no π-stacking of donors and just a few short S⋯S contacts in the range of 3.562(3) to 3.737(3) Å, Fig. 6b. Unfortunately, establishing suitable conditions for the growth of suitable single crystals from a diastereoisomeric mixture proved particularly challenging and thus no suitable crystals of H3 have been obtained to date.

O-Acetyl-protected donor 13

The crystal structure of the O-acetyl-protected 13 was determined to assess how the larger acetyl substituents as well the absence of the OH groups affect the packing arrangement of the BEDT-TTF derivatives. Single crystals of 13 were obtained via slow diffusion of diethyl ether into a CH2Cl2 solution of the protected donor.

The donor crystallizes in the triclinic space group P1 with two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit. In contrast to the bowed geometries of the previously described donors, this molecule adopts a planar configuration for the central organosulfur residue with only small torsion angles about the two dithiole S⋯S vectors of 4.8 and 5.3°, Fig. 7. The flexibility

Fig. 3 Crystal packing arrangement of H1. View down the a* axis showing four dimers participating in a hydrogen bonded “square motif.” S⋯S contacts between pairs of dimers and H-bonding interactions between hydroxyl groups are shown as red dashed lines. H-atoms are omitted for clarity.

Fig. 4 Crystal packing arrangement of H1 showing S⋯S contacts (red dashed lines) between molecules belonging to adjacent donor pairs. H-atoms are omitted for clarity.

Fig. 5 Molecular structure of H2 with atomic labelling scheme.

Fig. 6 (a) Crystal packing arrangement of molecules of H2 into layers showing S⋯S and H-bonding interactions as red dashed lines; (b) view down the b-axis with the donor layers running horizontally. H-atoms are omitted for clarity.
about these S⋯S vectors is a feature of this class of molecules in their neutral states. The molecules are packed in centrosymmetric pairs, with a dithiole group of one donor lying over the central double bond of the other and vice versa, which allows a pair of splayed acetyl groups to extend beyond each end of the pair. The pairs are packed in columns in the bc plane with the long molecular axes at ca. 45° to the b-axis and significantly offset to accommodate the two acetate groups resulting in no short π-stacking interactions, Fig. 8a. However, there are four short edge-to-edge S⋯S contacts between donors in adjacent columns in the range of 3.3772(6)–3.5881(5) Å, Fig. 8b. For comparison, a summary of the S⋯S contacts and H-bonding interactions in all five structures is presented in Table 2.

Attempts were made to oxidize the donors with iodine and to characterize the molecular structures of the resulting charge transfer salts by X-ray crystallography. Following this strategy we were successful in finding suitable conditions for the growth of suitable single crystals of two radical cation salts, 18 and 19.

Radical cation salts [(H1)⁺][I₃]⁻·0.5I₂ (18) and (HMET)⁺[I₃]⁻ (19)

BEDT-TTF forms radical cation salts readily with iodine, affording a number of different stoichiometries and polymorphs that all contain triiodide anions and in some cases also neutral iodine molecules.³¹ Previous studies have revealed that the triiodide salts of hydroxyl-substituted donors tend to have 1 : 1 stoichiometries with the “soft” triiodide anions preferring to lie closer to sulfur atoms than to hydroxyl groups.¹⁹,²² Single crystals of 18 were prepared via the slow diffusion of an iodine solution into a solution of H1 in dichloromethane. X-ray crystallography reveals that in contrast to the molecular structure of the neutral donor, there is one unique radical cation in the asymmetric unit whose 7-membered ring adopts a chair conformation, Fig. 9. After oxidation, the BEDT-TTF derivatives adopt a more planar conformation which can be clearly seen when comparing the molecular structures of donor H1 before and after oxidation, Fig. 10 and Table 3. The C–S bond lengths (1.721(9)–1.742(9) Å) and C=C bond lengths (C14=C15, C16=C17, C18=C19 are 1.361(13), 1.387(14) and 1.359(13) Å, respectively) are in good agreement with those observed in other BEDT-TTF⁺ charge transfer salts.³³ For clarity, selected bond lengths of molecule B of the neutral donor H1 and its oxidized counterpart [H1]⁺ in 18 are compared in Table 3. As expected, the C–S bond lengths of the donor decrease upon oxidation by ca. 0.035 Å and the central C=C bond shows the most change, being lengthened by ca. 0.04 Å.¹³κ

The crystals of 18 are comprised of centrosymmetric pairs of donor radical cations oriented in a head-to-tail manner surrounded by iodine molecules and a disordered array of triiodide ions corresponding to a formula of [(H1)I₃]⁺·0.5I₂ (18) that is further supported by the elemental analysis data, Fig. 11. The

Table 2  Summary of molecular interactions in the crystal structures of the neutral donors H1, H2 and 13 and the radical cation salts 18 and 19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>D⋯S (Å)</th>
<th>D⋯I (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>3.5067(8)</td>
<td>2.673(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.727(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.352(4)</td>
<td>3.639(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.394(6)</td>
<td>3.648(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7 Molecular structure of 13 with atomic labelling scheme.

Fig. 8 (a) Crystal packing of centrosymmetrically related pairs of molecules of 13; (b) S⋯S contacts between adjacent columns of 13 shown as red dashed lines. H-atoms are omitted for clarity.

Fig. 9 Molecular structure of [H1]⁺ with atomic labelling scheme. H-atoms are omitted for clarity.
disordered triiodide anions fill the space between iodine molecules in the c-direction between adjacent cells, and also fill channels running in the a-direction throughout the crystal. The organosulfur heterocycles of the cation pair lie opposite each other with eight S···S contacts; two each of 3.352(4), 3.365(4) and 3.462(4) Å and a longer one of 3.592(5) Å, Fig. 12a (red dashed lines). S···S contacts of 3.572(4) Å between dimer pairs are shown as blue dashed lines in Fig. 12a.

The iodine molecules lie between the donor cation pairs with S···I contacts in the range of 3.639(5)–3.648(2) Å, Fig. 12b. In contrast to the crystal structure of the neutral donor there are no H-bonded square motifs, but there are hydrogen bonds between the cation pairs located “end to end” (O···O = 2.87(2) Å).

Single crystals of the radical cation salt of HMET·H, were grown via the slow diffusion of an acetonitrile solution of iodine into a THF solution of the donor. The compound crystallizes in the monoclinic space group I2/c with 1.5 independent donors and 1.5 tri-iodide anions in the asymmetric unit. The molecular structure of the donors is shown in Fig. 13.

Once again the donors adopt a more planar conformation after oxidation, with the first donor packing as part of a centrosymmetric pair stabilized by four sets of face-to-face S···S contacts between 3.365(5) and 3.667(6) Å, Table 2. The second donor acts as a spacer where its sulfur atoms participate in edge-to-edge contacts (3.427(6) Å), connecting it to the dimers both above and below it in a stack that propagates along the b-axis, Fig. 14.

Further examination of the crystal packing reveals that the stacks are interspersed by two sets of tri-iodide counter anions. The first set occupies the spaces between the donor molecules and the second run perpendicular to the stacks of donors along the a-axis, Fig. 15. The former anion is well-located but the second I3− anion is poorly located indicative of some static or dynamic disorder. As is common for these systems, the packing arrangement is further stabilized by a series of short S···I

Table 3 Comparison of selected C–C, C=−C and C–S bond lengths in H1 and [H1]+ in 18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Distance (Å)</th>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Distance (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C5–C6</td>
<td>1.345(3)</td>
<td>C16–C17</td>
<td>1.387(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6–S5</td>
<td>1.7571(19)</td>
<td>C16–S13</td>
<td>1.721(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6–S6</td>
<td>1.7578(19)</td>
<td>C16–S14</td>
<td>1.713(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5–S3</td>
<td>1.758(2)</td>
<td>C17–S15</td>
<td>1.727(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5–S4</td>
<td>1.7607(19)</td>
<td>C17–S16</td>
<td>1.728(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5–C7</td>
<td>1.7609(19)</td>
<td>S13–C14</td>
<td>1.732(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6–C8</td>
<td>1.7611(19)</td>
<td>S14–C15</td>
<td>1.735(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3–C3</td>
<td>1.761(2)</td>
<td>S15–C18</td>
<td>1.742(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4–C4</td>
<td>1.776(2)</td>
<td>S16–C19</td>
<td>1.737(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7–C18</td>
<td>1.343(3)</td>
<td>C14–C15</td>
<td>1.361(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3–C4</td>
<td>1.345(3)</td>
<td>C18–C19</td>
<td>1.359(13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
contacts that range from 3.604(4) to 3.742(5) Å, Fig. 15. Interestingly, this arrangement is different from crystal packing of the HMET radical cation in the charge transfer salt (HMET)[Cr(NAOP)(NCS)2] \( \text{(NAOP}^2- = N,N'-(1,2-phenylenebis(nitrilomethylidyne))bis(2-naphthoate)) \). In the latter case, the radical cations are organized into pairs that are isolated from each other, but this may in part be due to the bulky nature of the complex anions in the crystal structure. Further comparison of the two HMET charge transfer salts reveals that for both compounds the hydroxylmethyl side chains are extremely disordered making the refinement of their crystal structures problematic. This may also explain why suitable conditions for the growth of single crystals of the neutral HMET donor have proven elusive to date. More importantly, this also reveals that in certain cases unsymmetrically substituted donors struggle to pack efficiently in the solid state, which may have a negative impact on their electron mobilities. In order to investigate this further we investigated the electronic properties of this family of donors both in solution and after fabrication into polymeric thin films.

### Electrochemical properties

The redox potentials of H1–H3 and HMET 2 were investigated by cyclic voltammetry. The results are summarized in Table 4, together with that of BEDT-TTF for comparison.

For donor H2 which had poor solubility in CH₂Cl₂, the redox properties were measured in THF. All donors show two reversible single-electron redox processes assigned to the formation of the radical cation and dication respectively. The calculated HOMO densities of H1 and H2 are similar. The HOMO density is high on the sulfur atoms of the TTF core, but negligible on the sulfur atoms of the outer rings as well as their substituents (Fig. 16).

Comparing the energies of the two HOMOs it is apparent that H1 is slightly higher in energy which is consistent with the electrochemistry data suggesting that it might be slightly easier to oxidize, Fig. 16.

### Thin film studies on H3

Given the compromised solubilities of H1 and H2 in comparison with HMET 2, we proposed to introduce a longer alkyl side chain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>( E_{1/2}^{1/2} / \text{V} )</th>
<th>( E_{2/3}^{1/2} / \text{V} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BEDT-TTF</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMET 2</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>( E_{1/2}^{1/2} / \text{V} )</th>
<th>( E_{2/3}^{1/2} / \text{V} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 )</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{THF} )</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Half-wave redox potentials for H1–H3 and HMET 2 vs. Ag/AgCl in CH₂Cl₂ or THF with 0.1 M \( n \)-Bu₄NPF₆ as the supporting electrolyte scan speed (100 mV s⁻¹).
chain into the BEDT-TTF derivative to target the preparation of a more soluble donor for fabrication into thin films. As expected, the particularly good solubility of H3 in organic solvents made this donor an ideal candidate to establish synthetic protocols for the preparation and study of polymer-blend thin films. Two sets of thin films of H3 with a thickness of ~27 nm were first prepared on (i) unmodified and (ii) OTS modified Si wafer substrates. The films were then doped with iodine vapour from an I2/CH2Cl2 solution. The specific conductivities and resistivities of these films were then determined and the results are summarized in Table 5. Interestingly, for the doped film of H3 on unmodified substrate, the conductivity was 3 times higher than the 2% composite films prepared from the thiophene appended BEDT-TTF donor 1.  

FET characterization of doped films comprised of a 1 : 1 weight ratio of H3 relative to polystyrene (PS) deposited on an unmodified silica wafer substrate showed \( I_{DS} - V_{DS} \) curves of ohmic and linear properties consistent with quasi-conducting behaviour and all the \( I - V \) curves overlapped with each other when the gate voltage was changed from +10 to −40 V in 10 V intervals (Fig. 17a).

In contrast, un-doped films of H3/PS gave rise to the \( I_{DS} - V_{DS} \) plots with profiles shown in Fig. 17b, consistent with an insulating material. From these results we can conclude that iodine vapour doping of the polymer blend film of the donors on Si wafer substrates produces continuous conducting-like layers. A device was then fabricated from a thin film of H3 as follows: an n-doped Si wafer with a 110 nm thermally grown silicon dioxide layer (capacitance of 32 nF cm\(^{-2}\)) was used as the substrate. Semiconductor films were then deposited by spin coating 1 wt% of chlorobenzene solution of the donor onto octyltrichlorosilane (OTS) modified Si wafer substrates. The speci fi c conductivities and resistivities of thin films on unmodi fi ed OTS Si substrate I2 doped films comprising 2 wt% of H3 donor in a PS composite were exposed to iodine vapour from a I2/CH2Cl2 solution (0.1 g/20 mL). Such treatment results in the deposition of a continuous network containing (H3)\(_{2}\)-(polyiodides) onto the surface layer of the polystyrene films.  

Unfortunately, no field effect was observed for the device which we propose is either due to (i) structural defects in the polycrystalline film at least in part due to a disorder of the side chains as observed in the radical cation salts of the closely related HMET donors, or (ii) to a charge trapping effect mediated by the hydroxyl substituents of the donors which is already known to seriously compromise the OFET properties of films fabricated from hydroxyl functionalized polymers.

In order to study the electronic properties of the polymer blend thin-films by Vis-NIR spectroscopy, a surface conducting layer (capacitance of \( 32 \text{nF cm}^{-2} \)) of 200 nm thick, 40 \( \mu \text{m} \) wide and 40 \( \mu \text{m} \) long was deposited on unmodified polystyrene (PS) film deposited on a glass substrate was prepared applying a two-step reticulate doping technique. Films comprising 2 wt% of H3 donor in a PS composite were exposed to iodine vapour from a I2/CH2Cl2 solution (0.1 g/20 mL). Such treatment results in the deposition of a continuous network containing (H3)\(_{2}\)-(polyiodides) onto the surface layer of the polystyrene films. It should be noted that since the real thickness of the conducting layer is unknown the exact resistivity and specific conductivity of the network in the films cannot be determined. The un-doped film exhibited insulating behavior with conductivities comparable to the glass substrate. For the doped film, if we assume the conducting layer thickness to be approximately the same as the thickness of the polymer film (20 \( \mu \text{m} \)), a resistivity (\( \rho \)) of 200 \( \Omega \text{cm} \) and conductivity (\( \sigma \)) of \( 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{S cm}^{-1} \) was estimated, suggesting that there is an efficient pathway for electron mobility. We also can A comparison of Vis-NIR spectra for doped and undoped films of H3 in a polystyrene matrix is shown in Fig. 19.

**Table 5** Specific conductivities and resistivities of thin films of H3 deposited on Si

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Specific conductivity S cm(^{-1})</th>
<th>Resistivity ( \Omega \text{ cm} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H3/PS OTS modified Si substrate, undoped</td>
<td>( 3.7 \times 10^{-8} )</td>
<td>( 2.7 \times 10^{7} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3/PS modified OTS Si substrate I2 doped</td>
<td>( 1.1 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>( 9 \times 10^{4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3/PS unmodified Si substrate, undoped</td>
<td>( 5 \times 10^{-8} )</td>
<td>( 2.0 \times 10^{4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3/PS unmodified OTS Si substrate I2 doped</td>
<td>( 2.2 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>( 4.5 \times 10^{4} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3/2% PS glass substrate I2 doped</td>
<td>( 5 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>( 200 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiophene donor 1/2% PS glass substrate I2 doped ( 14 )</td>
<td>( 3.5 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>( 2.8 \times 10^{4} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
deposited on either silica or glass substrates. Both types of films displayed quasi-conducting properties upon doping with $I_1$. The lack of any OFET response for devices fabricated from thin films deposited onto silica can be primarily attributed to the hydroxyl substituents of the donors combining with water molecules acting to trap the charges at the interface of the device between the semiconductor and the dielectric media. This phenomenon is not unique to this system; trap states in organic semiconductors are currently the subject of intense study and are known to severely compromise the performance of OFET devices.\textsuperscript{14} Although the hydroxyl donors studied here are clearly not suitable candidates for organic field effect transistors, they do afford thin films whose electronic properties and solubilities can be chemically tuned and thus may yet lend themselves to applications within the field of organic electronics.
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