## Title: Genetic factors associated with exercise performance in atmospheric hypoxia

Philip J. Hennis<sup>1</sup>, Alasdair F. O'Doherty<sup>2</sup>, Denny Z. H. Levett<sup>1,3-5</sup>, Michael P. W. Grocott<sup>1,3-5</sup>, Hugh M Montgomery<sup>1</sup>

Short title: Genetic factors associated with exercise performance in hypoxia

## Address correspondence to:

Philip J. Hennis, University College London Centre for Altitude Space and Extreme Environment Medicine, UCLH NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Institute of Sport and Exercise Health, 170 Tottenham Court Road, London, W1T 7HA, UKE-mail: sejjphe@ucl.ac.uk; Telephone: +44 7554436234

Authors' affiliations:

- University College London Centre for Altitude Space and Extreme Environment Medicine, UCLH NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Institute of Sport and Exercise Health, 170 Tottenham Court Road, London, W1T 7HA, UK
- 2. Department of Sport, Health and Exercise Science, University of Hull, Hull, UK
- Integrative Physiology and Critical Illness Group, Clinical and Experimental Sciences, Mailpoint 810, Sir Henry Wellcome Laboratories, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Tremona Road, Southampton SO16 6YD, UK.
- 4. Anaesthesia and Critical Care Research Unit, University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Mailpoint 27, D Level, Centre Block, Tremona Road, Southampton SO16 6YD, UK.
- 5. NIHR Southampton Respiratory Biomedical Research Unit, Southampton SO16 5ST, UK

#### ABSTRACT

**Background and Objective:** 'Natural selection' has been shown to have enriched the genomes of highaltitude native populations with genetic variants of advantage in this hostile hypoxic environment. In lowlanders who ascend to altitude, genetic factors may also contribute to the substantial interindividual variation in exercise performance noted at altitude. We performed a systematic literature review to identify genetic variants of possible influence on human hypoxic exercise performance, commenting on the strength of any identified associations.

**Criteria for considering studies for this review:** All studies of the association of genetic factors with human hypoxic exercise performance, whether at sea level using 'nitrogen dilution of oxygen' [normobaric hypoxia], or at altitude or in low-pressure chambers [field or chamber hypobaric hypoxia respectively] were sought for review.

**Search strategy for identification of studies:** Two electronic databases were searched (Ovid MEDLINE, Embase) up to January 31<sup>st</sup> 2014. We also searched the reference lists of relevant articles for eligible studies. All studies published in English were included as were studies in any language for which the abstract was available in English.

**Data collection and analysis:** Studies were selected and data extracted independently by two reviewers. Differences regarding study inclusion were resolved through discussion. The quality of each study was assessed using a scoring system based on published guidelines for conducting and reporting genetic association studies.

**Results:** Eleven studies met all inclusion criteria and were included in the review. Subject numbers ranged from 20 to 1,931 and consisted of healthy individuals in all cases. The maximum altitude of exposure ranged from 2,690 to 8848 m. The exercise performance phenotypes assessed were mountaineering performance (n = 4), running performance (n = 2), and maximum oxygen consumption ( $\dot{V}O_2max$ ) (n = 4). In total, thirteen genetic polymorphisms were studied, four of which were associated with hypoxic exercise performance. The adenosine monophosphate deaminase (AMPD1) C34T (rs17602729), beta2-adrenergic receptor (ADRB2) Gly16Arg SNP (rs1042713), and androgen receptor CAG repeat polymorphisms were associated with altitude performance in one study, and the angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE) insertion/deletion (I/D) (rs4646994) polymorphism was associated with performance in three studies. The median score achieved in the study quality analysis was 6 out of 10 for case control studies, 8 out of 10 for cohort studies with a discrete outcome, 6 out of 9 for cohort studies with a continuous outcome, and 4.5 out of 8 for genetic admixture studies.

**Conclusion:** The small number of articles identified in the current review and the limited number of polymorphisms studied in total highlights that the influence of genetic factors on exercise performance in hypoxia has not been studied in depth, which precludes firm conclusion being drawn. Support for the association between the ACE I allele and improved high altitude performance was the strongest, with three studies identifying a relationship. Analysis of study quality highlights the need for future studies in this field to improve the conduct and reporting of genetic association studies.

## Key Points

1. The size and scope of the literature regarding the role of genetics on high altitude exercise performance is limited

2. The association between the angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE) insertion (I) allele and improved high altitude performance has the strongest support

3. The volume and quality of the literature limits firm conclusion being made and needs to be addressed in future studies

**1** Introduction

With ascent to altitude, barometric pressure falls, and with it the partial pressure of inspired oxygen (PiO<sub>2</sub>). Despite diverse adaptive responses, the arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO<sub>2</sub>) also falls. Such 'hypobaric hypoxia' impairs physical performance and can cause pathology (acute mountain sickness, AMS) which may be fatal (e.g. high altitude pulmonary oedema (HAPE) or high altitude cerebral oedema (HACE)).

Longstanding communities of high-altitude residents exist worldwide, most notably on the Tibetan Plateau and Andean Altiplano, but also in the area of the Great Rift Valley in Ethiopia. 'Natural selection' has enriched the genomes of high-altitude native populations conferring advantage in this hostile hypoxic environment [1]. Meanwhile, increasing numbers of native lowlanders travel to high altitude for recreation or work. Amongst these lowland populations, similar genetic factors may contribute to the substantial inter-individual variation in exercise performance and wellbeing observed at altitude [2, 3]. Whilst attempts have been made to identify genetic variants associated with improved high-altitude performance, the results of such studies have yet to be collated. We thus set out to systematically review the published literature and identify genetic variants of influence on human hypoxic exercise performance, commenting on the strength of any identified associations.

#### 2 Methods

#### 2.1 Search strategy

### 2.1.1 Criteria for considering studies for this review

All studies of the association of genetic factors with human hypoxic exercise performance, whether at sea level using 'nitrogen dilution of oxygen' [normobaric hypoxia], or at altitude or in low-pressure chambers [field or chamber hypobaric hypoxia, respectively] were sought for review. 'Altitude' was defined as a real or simulated (normobaric hypoxia) elevation of  $\geq$ 2000m above sea level. Performance measures were defined as any measure of aerobic exercise capacity (such as  $\dot{V}O_2$ max or  $\dot{V}O_2$ peak) or of exercise performance (e.g. a timed physical challenge). Studies were included if they evaluated exercise performance under conditions of hypoxia or changes in sea-level performance following hypoxic exposure. Case-control studies were included where cases were successful performers at >2000m, and where appropriate control groups (by race and by similar altitude of origin [±500m]) were utilised. Only human studies and full primary research papers (e.g. not conference abstract, letter to the editor, or review) were eligible for inclusion. Studies of high-altitude population genetics *per se* were excluded unless specifically assessing a physical performance phenotype.

Research articles were excluded if they did not seek to identify genetic factors and their relationship with hypoxic exercise performance.

### 2.1.2 Search strategy for identification of studies

The following electronic databases were searched: Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily Update <January 31, 2014>, Ovid OLDMEDLINE(R) <1946 to 1965>, Ovid MEDLINE(R) In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present> and Embase Classic+Embase <1947 to 2014 January 31>. Ovid MEDLINE is produced by the National Library of Medicine and indexes information from approximately 5,600 journals in the fields of biomedicine and related fields. Embase is an Elsevier database that indexes biomedical and pharmacological bibliographic records from articles published in more than 7, 500 peer reviewed journals. All studies published in English were included in the search as were studies in any language for which the abstract was available in English. No other limits were applied.

We developed a search strategy based on the 'patient or population, intervention, comparison, outcome' (PICO) framework. However, the types of study we sought do not conform exactly to the PICO format, which could not therefore be fully adhered to: in particular, all populations were eligible for inclusion, making the 'patient or population' parameter redundant. In order to formulate a research question, each search contained one term from each of the following three parameters (intervention, comparison, and outcome) with each term linked by the Boolean operator 'AND'. The full search strategy run in the various databases can be found in Electronic Supplementary Material Appendix S1 and Appendix S2. In brief, the key terms used in the search are listed hereafter, and these were also used to identify synonyms and related terms (which were also searched for):

- Intervention: Altitude, anoxia, or hypoxia;
- Comparison: Gene frequency, genotype, polymorphism, haplotype, single nucleotide polymorphism, or genetic linkage;
- Outcome: Exercise tolerance, exercise test, exercise, athletic performance, performance, mountaineering, summit, physical endurance, endurance.

### 2.1.3 Searching other resources

In addition to electronic databases, we searched bibliographies of included articles for research papers. Research papers with titles that indicated that the study was in the scope of the current review were retrieved and underwent the formal review process.

#### 2.1.4 Data collection and analysis

In the first stage of the review process, two authors (PJH, AFO) independently inspected all citations from the searches (using information contained in the title, keywords and abstract) to identify candidate articles for which full texts were retrieved. If any ambiguity arose regarding the inclusion or exclusion of an article due to the absence of key information, the full text article was obtained. In the second stage, the authors (PJH, AFO) independently applied the inclusion and exclusion criteria to the candidate full text articles. At both stages of the process, differences regarding study inclusion were resolved through discussion.

## 2.1.5 Data extraction and management

From each source document, the lead author (PJH) extracted data including study identification information, number of participants in each group, demographic information (including the sex, age and ethnicity, race or origin of the participants), magnitude of hypoxic exposure (altitude or hypoxic exposure), polymorphism and/or gene or region being studied, gene and allele frequencies, probability values from comparisons, measure of performance, and the information required to assess the quality of each study (see table 1). Studies were separated according to their primary study design (case-control or cohort). Odds ratios were determined for studies with categorical outcomes variables using an additive model.

#### 2.2 Study quality analysis

The quality of each study was assessed using a scoring system (Table 1) adapted from Clark and Baudouin [4], which was based on published guidelines for conducting and reporting genetic association studies. Different aspects of the study were scored 1 if the information was present or 0 if it was not. These were then summed to give a final score which ranged from 0-10 for case-control studies, 0-9 for cohort studies, and 0-8 for genetic admixture studies. We modified the approach of Clark and Baudouin [4] in relation to sample size and power calculations. Studies were deemed adequate in this respect if a power calculation was reported, but we did not perform a power calculation for studies where this was not reported. The criteria used for considering the quality of the control group were also adapted. In the original system by Clark and Baudouin [4] a study that used a control group from a previously published study would need to describe the population in sufficient detail to meet the study quality criteria to score. Due to word restrictions imposed by publishers and the need to avoid repetition, we extended

this. In studies that provided a reference to a control population the referenced study was retrieved and the control group analysed according to the original criteria. We also altered the methods used to assess the reproducibility of genotyping to allocate a score both to studies that provided their own validation results, and to those that provided a reference to a study using the same procedures which included validation information.

### **3 Results**

### 3.1 Results of the search

The initial search identified 307 articles (see figure 1). After removing duplicate articles, 213 remained for the first evaluation. Following review of the title, abstract and key words of these records, 12 candidate articles were identified that met the predefined criteria, and full text versions were obtained for further review. Four candidate articles did not meet the review inclusion criteria and were therefore rejected, leaving eight eligible articles for appraisal [5-12]. Examination of the full text version of these articles and their bibliographies identified three additional eligible articles [13-15]. Eleven articles were included in the final analysis.

### 3.2 Description of included studies

The eleven studies reviewed can be separated into those comparing the frequency of genotypes or alleles between two groups (case-control) (Table 2) [6, 15]; cohort studies where participants were separated according to task success or failure and where genotype or allele frequencies were compared between groups (Table 3) [8-10]; and cohort studies comparing the difference in performance measures (continuous variable) between individuals grouped by genotype or allele (Table 4) [6, 7, 11, 12]. Two studies used a different approach, and examined whether Spanishcompared to Quechua genetic admixture explained differences in  $\dot{V}O_2$ max at high altitude [13, 14]. According to the location of the corresponding author, studies were from the United Kingdom (n=4), the USA (n=3), Greece (n=1), New Zealand (n=1), South Africa (n=1), and China (n=1). The number of subjects in each study varied from 20 to 1,931, and consisted of healthy males in four studies [12-15] and healthy males and females in 6 studies [5, 7-11], with sex of the participants not stated in one study [6]. The maximum altitude of exposure ranged from 2690 to 8848m. The exercise performance phenotype assessed was mountaineering performance in five studies [6, 8-10, 15], running performance in two studies [7, 11] and maximum oxygen consumption in four studies [5, 12-14]. In total, 13 genetic polymorphisms located within 10 different genes were studied, excluding those used to estimate genetic admixture by Brutsaert

and colleagues [13, 14]. The most studied gene was that encoding the angiotensin I-Converting Enzyme (ACE) which was studied in seven separate papers. The names of all the genes studied, excluding those used to indicate Quechua and Spanish ancestry by Brusaert and co-workers [13, 14], are listed in Table 5 along with the symbols and the chromosomal location. Results are presented according to the genetic polymorphism of interest, then by phenotype of interest (given sub-heading where necessary), and are ordered based on the maximum altitude studied.

## 3.3 ACE insertion/deletion (I/D) polymorphism (rs4646994)

Seven studies investigated the association of the ACE I/D (rs4646994) polymorphism with exercise performance at altitude. Two were case-controlled studies which compared genotype or allele frequencies between high-altitude mountaineers and a control population (Table 2). Five cohort studies were also conducted; in three of these, study participants were separated according to task success or failure and genotype and/or allele frequencies were compared between groups (Table 3), whilst four studies compared performance phenotype (as a continuous variable [e.g. time and  $\dot{V}O_2max$ ]) between those of different genotype (Table 4).

## 3.3.1 Mountaineering performance

In a prospective study, Tsianos et al. [10] reported the ACE I-allele to be associated with successful ascent of Mont Blanc (4807m). ACE genotype distribution varied between Caucasian climbers who successfully summited Mt Blanc (n=183) and those who tried and failed (n=12) (P=0.048) (odds ratio, 3.41), the I allele being more prevalent in those that summited compared to those that did not (0.47 vs 0.21, P=0.01) (Table 3). The proportion of those of each genotype who successfully summited was 87.7%, 94.9% and 100% for the DD, ID, and II genotypes, respectively.

Kalson et al. [8] prospectively studied Caucasian trekkers attempting to climb Mt Kilimanjaro (5895m), participants being separated by ascent rate (4 days ['direct'] vs 5 days ['slow']). Genotype distribution did not differ by success rate in the 'slow' group II 9 (0.22), ID 18 (0.44), DD 14 (0.34) successful versus II 9 (0.22), ID 22 (0.54), DD 10 (0.24) unsuccessful, P = 0.54; I allele frequency 0.44 versus 0.49 respectively; odds ratio, 0.82). In 'fast ascent' subjects, ACE genotype distribution was II 6 (0.30) vs ID 11 (0.55) vs DD 3 (0.15) in those successful and II 0 (0.00) vs ID 10 (0.71) vs DD 4 (0.29) in those who failed (P = 0.09). I-allele frequency for those successful versus those who failed was 0.58 versus 0.36 (odds ratio, 2.44) (Table 3).

Montgomery et al. [15] studied ACE genotype in 25 elite British high-altitude mountaineers who had frequently ascended beyond 7000m without supplementary oxygen. When compared to 1906 British controls (free from clinical cardiovascular disease), the I allele was found to be over-represented in cases (P = 0.003), as was ACE II genotype (proportion 0.48 vs 0.23 in cases vs controls respectively) (odds ratio, 2.43) (Table 2). The DD genotype was not present in any individual who had previously ascended beyond 8000m.

Djarova et al. [6] also reported I allele prevalence to be greater in 5 elite Bulgarian mountaineers (all climbed above 7500m without supplementary oxygen) than in 72 Bulgarian student controls (0.60 vs 0.41 respectively, P = 0.002) (odds ratio, 2.29). ACE genotype distribution differed, largely due to an excess of ID genotype in the elite group (P=0.024) (Table 2). The small case population (n=5) limits confidence in this finding. Further, we were unable to replicate their statistical analysis: using the ACE allele frequencies and population numbers stated in the paper, and applying Fisher's exact test (as the authors did) in a commonly used statistical package (SPSS 21, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), we were unable to demonstrate a statistically significant difference in ACE allele frequencies between the two groups (P = 0.203). The same held true for the two other polymorphisms they studied, which were the alpha actinin-3 ACTN3 R577X (rs1815739) and the adenosine monophosphate deaminase (AMPD1) C34T polymorphisms (rs17602729).

Thompson et al. (2007) extended the observations of Tsianos et al. [10], Karlson et al. [8] and Montgomery et al. [15] to individuals attempting to climb beyond 8000m, the maximum altitude attained by each individual and the reason for failure also being noted. Once again, in a population of Caucasian and Asian mountaineers, ACE genotype distribution differed between successful summiteers (n=92) and those who failed (n=57) (odds ratio, 2.15) (Table 3) (P=0.003), both II genotype and the I allele being more prevalent in the successful group (II genotype 0.33 vs 0.06 (P=0.003); I allele, 0.55 vs 0.36 for success vs failure respectively). Maximum altitude attained (as a continuous variable) was also related to ACE I allele, being 8079m, 8107m, and 8559 m for those of DD, ID and II genotype respectively (allele comparison, P=0.0023).

3.3.2 Maximum oxygen uptake (VO₂max) at altitude

Bigham et al. [5] reported the relationship of ACE genotype with  $\dot{V}O_2max$  at 4338m in Peruvian highaltitude native residents (n=74) and in Peruvian low-altitude residents whose family originate from high altitude (n=68).  $\dot{V}O_2max$  did not differ by genotype, even when other cofactors, such as altitude of residence, were accounted for (Table 4).

### 3.3.3 Sea level running performance

Hinckson et al. [7] studied whether improvement in sea level exercise performance following hypoxic exposure (2500-3500m) was related to ACE genotype (Table 4). This study was primarily designed to test the efficacy of hypoxic training for improving running performance. It included a study group whose 10 participants were exposed to four weeks of hypoxia for > 10 hrs per day, and ten controls who had no hypoxic exposure. In eight participants (ethnicity not disclosed) in the study group, the relationship between improvements in running performance following hypoxic training with ACE I/D genotype was sought (Table 3). Neither numerical results by genotype nor statistical analysis, however, are reported – although a figure suggests a lack of genotype association.

## 3.4 ACTN3 R577X (rs1815739)

Two studies tested if an association was present between the ACTN3 R577X polymorphism and high altitude exercise performance. Tsianos et al. [11] included the ACTN3 RX polymorphism as one of eleven polymorphisms (in eight genes) for which an association was sought with performance in the Mt Olympus Marathon (maximum altitude of 2690m). In all, no such association was identified in 438 participants over two years of racing. The distribution of the ACTN genotypes in the small elite high altitude mountaineer cohort (n=5) tested by Djarova et al. [6] was RR 1 (0.20), RX 3 (0.60), and XX 1 (0.20) compared to RR 30 (0.42), RX 32 (0.44) and XX 10 (0.14) in the control group (n=72). The allele frequencies between the two groups were different (p = 0.032) with a relative excess of the X allele in the mountaineers (odds ratio, 1.77) (Table 2). However, as discussed in the previous section, the statistical approach of Djarova et al. [6] was of questionable validity. Using the allele frequencies and subject numbers from the paper [6], we found no difference in the ACTN RX allele distributions between the two populations (P = 0.501).

### 3.5 AMPD1 C34T (rs17602729)

In the study by Tsianos et al. [11] (see previous section) the adenosine monophosphate deaminase (AMPD) C34T polymorphism was not associated with Mt Olympus Marathon performance for the whole

group studied, but sub-group analysis revealed a modest association between the T allele (referred to as the 'A allele' in Tsianos et al. [11]) and better performance in athletes whose preferred sport was running (event completion time, P = 0.021; best ever time, p = 0.03). Djarova et al. [6] found that the frequency of the T allele was higher in mountaineers than in controls (p = 0.032; odds ratio, 2.82) (Table 2). However, as stated in the previous two results sections, the statistical analysis in this study is incorrect; by using the reported allele frequencies in a Fishers test we found no difference in the distribution of the allele of the AMPD1 C34T polymorphism between the elite mountaineers and controls (P=0.155).

#### 3.6 Other polymorphisms

Ten other polymorphisms were studied. Of nine studied by Tsianos et al. [11] (listed in Table 4) the beta2-adrenergic receptor (ADRB2) Gly16Arg SNP (rs1042713) was associated with performance in the Mt Olympus Marathon. In the study by Tsianos et al. [11], the Arg allele was associated with a shorter time to complete the race amongst people who chose running as their preferred exercise mode (P = 0.015), and with best ever Mt Olympus Marathon time for those who have completed the event multiple times (P=0.003). Meanwhile, Wang et al. [12] studied the association between the androgen receptor (AR) CAG repeat polymorphism and  $\dot{V}O_2$ max changes in 65 unrelated Han Chinese college athletes following a 30 day bout of intermittent hypoxic exposure, consisting of 10 hours-a-day of sleeping at 14.8-14.3% O<sub>2</sub> (simulating 2800–3000m altitude), 30 minute exercise bouts at 15.4% O<sub>2</sub> (simulating 2500m altitude) three times per week, and additional sea level training. They found that individuals with the lowest number of CAG repeat units ( $\leq$ 21) had a greater increase in  $\dot{V}O_2$ max following hypoxic training than those with a higher number of repeats (>21) ( $\Delta \dot{V}O_2$ max;  $\leq$ 21 CAG repeats 11.9% increase, >21 CAG repeats 3.6% increase; P=0.004).

## 3.7 High altitude population ancestry

Two papers from the same group measured a number of physiological variables, including  $\dot{V}O_2$ max, at altitude in Peruvian males of mixed Quechua and Spanish origins [13, 14]. Both sought to determine whether individuals with stronger Quechua (historical long-term high altitude resident) origins had favourable physiological responses to altitude. We considered excluding these two papers as, strictly speaking, they do not meet one of the inclusion criteria: to 'seek to identify genetic factors.' However, we did include them given that they studied exercise performance at altitude and separated individuals based on their genetic profile. The first, published in 2004, comprised two groups from Peru; a group

resident in Lima (sea level) and a group resident in Cerro de Pasco (4388m) [13]. No association was found between  $\dot{V}O_2$ max values at an altitude of 4388m, and the degree of Quechua, Spanish and African ancestry, as indicated by 22 genetic markers. The second study [14] has used the same lowland population and the same genetic profiling as the 2004 study [13] (confirmed with primary author of the manuscripts via email correspondence) and analysed the influence of genetic admixture on the magnitude of the  $\dot{V}O_2$ max decrement from sea level to high altitude (data not presented in the same author's 2004 paper). After controlling for other covariates, genetic admixture explained a significant proportion of the variation ( $\beta$  = - 0.205) in the decrement in  $\dot{V}O_2$ max from sea level to high altitude (P = 0.041), with those with a higher Quechua (and lower Spanish) genetic ancestry maintaining sea level  $\dot{V}O_2$ max values to a greater degree.

## 3.8 Study quality

As the method of assessing study quality is not validated and may only provide a guide to the quality of reporting of the research, we have not commented on each study individually. Instead we aim to identify trends in the available literature where the conduct and/or reporting of research could be improved. The median score achieved in the study quality analysis was 6 out of 10 for case control studies, 8 out of 10 for cohort studies with a discrete outcome, 6 out of 9 for cohort studies with a continuous outcome, and 4.5 out of 8 for genetic admixture studies (Table 6). The study quality score ranged considerably between studies, for example in cohort studies with a continuous outcome the total score ranged from 2-7 out of 9.

In the case-control studies, the descriptions of the case groups were adequate with both the studies given a score of one [6, 15]. However, only one of the control groups was deemed adequate [15], with the other study failing to provide adequate information about whether the level of relatedness of participants was controlled [6]. The majority of studies in which testing for Hardy-Weinberg (HW) equilibrium was appropriate did so (7 of 8). The one study that did not test for HW equilibrium had very small subject numbers (n=8) and so it would have provided very little information [7]. In the other three studies, two investigated whether genetic admixture was associated with performance. This approach utilised polymorphisms that differed according to ancestry, meaning that testing for HW equilibrium would be uninformative [13, 14]. In the other study, the polymorphism was located on the X chromosome and the populations were male so genotype distribution would not conform with HW equilibrium, evidence of which was thus not sought [12].

Three study quality criteria relate to genotyping methods and reporting: providing the sequence of primers, demonstrating the reproducibility of the genotyping methods, and adequately blinding the genotyping staff from the phenotype details. Most studies (7 of 11) provided the details of the primer sequence (or a reference to them), one stated that this would be made available on request [11], two gave reference to a website which could be used to identify them [13, 14], and one failed to provide any information [7]. Eight studies stated that they had validated their genotyping methods or cited a reference for a validation study, while only two studies stated that their genotyping staff were blinded from the phenotype data.

The statistical merit of each paper was analysed in three of the study quality criteria. These analysed if appropriate statistical tests were performed, if adjustments were made to account for multiple comparisons in studies analysing more than one polymorphism, and if power calculations were made. Ten of the eleven studies used appropriate formal methods of comparison to establish if difference were present between groups. Only one study did not use a formal test of significance, and this was probably justified because the small number of participants would have precluded any meaningful results being obtained [7]. Only one study analysed several polymorphisms and failed to make adjustments for multiple comparisons [6]. Four of the eleven studies conducted sample size calculations [6, 8, 11, 13], with one study performing the calculation prior to conducting the study [11].

No article included in the review provided both a study identifying a potential polymorphism and a study validating the original finding. Following the original paper analysing the association between the ACE I/D polymorphism and mountaineering performance by Montgomery et al. [15], several other papers analysed the association between this polymorphism and highly related phenotypes [6, 8-10]. Furthermore, one study replicated polymorphisms related to endurance performance at sea level using an endurance race at altitude as the phenotype [11].

### 4 Discussion

### 4.1 Main findings

This review identified four genetic polymorphisms associated with hypoxic exercise performance: AMPD1 C34T, ADRB2 GA, androgen receptor CAG repeat, and the ACE I/D polymorphisms. Support for the association between the ACE I allele and high altitude performance was the strongest, with three studies identifying a positive relationship between the I allele and improved performance. Conversely,

the AMPD1 34T allele and the ADRB2 16Arg allele were associated better performance in atmospheric hypoxia in one study, while those with the lowest number of CAG repeat units in the androgen receptor had better  $\dot{V}O_2$ max gains following hypoxic training in one study. This review identified that the number of articles and the total number of polymorphisms studied within this area are few; only eleven articles were identified and only thirteen polymorphisms within 10 different genes studied. In addition, the conduct and/or reporting of the studies was not optimal with many failing to select and describe the populations adequately, several not conducting or describing their genotyping methods sufficiently and many conducted without calculating the correct sample size required to adequately power the study.

#### 4.2 Study number and design

The small number of articles identified in the current review and the limited number of polymorphisms studied in total highlights that the influence of genetic factors on exercise performance in hypoxia has not been studied in depth. This is in contrast to the hundreds of articles that have studied the influence of genetics on other phenotypes such as exercise performance at sea level [16]. The majority of studies have taken the candidate gene approach (n = 9), whilst two studied whether genetic admixture influenced exercise performance [13, 14]. The limited range of SNPs studied is likely due to a bias towards studying genes associated with exercise and/or altitude phenotypes in previous publications and to the reliance on the candidate gene approach. The absence of genome-wide association studies is notable as it would allow hypothesis-free investigation and help identify novel candidate genes for which the biological pathway is not known. This approach is commonplace in many other fields of research and has been used successfully to identify polymorphisms associated with VO<sub>2</sub>max gains at sea level [17], obesity [18] and blood pressure [19]. The candidate gene approach is justified as it has greater power to detect difference in genotype frequency between groups, and is suited to studies using modest population sizes [20]. Despite most studies using the candidate gene method, study designs differed greatly with regards to the population, hypoxic exposure, and the performance phenotype. The small number of studies, in addition to the variety of study designs, makes comparison between studies difficult, and limits the ability to assess the reliability of results. The variety of study designs, polymorphisms and outcomes studied, and the small number of studies precluded meaningful formal assessment of bias, such as a funnel plot [21]. That said, in spite of small samples sizes, seven of the nine candidate gene studies identified an association between a polymorphism and the performance phenotype with effect sizes considered very large for genetic association studies [22]. These factors may

point towards some form of bias within the literature base, although this cannot be confirmed at this time.

### 4.3 Genetic polymorphisms associated with exercise performance during hypoxic exposure

This review identified four polymorphisms associated with exercise performance in a hypoxic environment, and found nine polymorphisms to have no relationship (Tables 3, 4 and 5). Furthermore, in a study of Peruvian natives a genetic profile with a greater Quechua (as opposed to Spanish) origin was associated with greater preservation of  $\dot{V}O_2$ max at altitude [14].

## 4.4 ACE insertion/deletion (I/D) polymorphism (rs4646994)

The ACE I/D polymorphism had the most supporting literature with three studies observing an association of the I-allele (lower ACE activity) with mountaineering performance [9, 10, 15]. ACE is an important component of the endocrine renin-angiotensin system (RAS), converting angiotensin I to angiotensin II, which exerts pressor effects through arteriolar vasoconstriction and aldosterone-mediated salt and water retention [23]. However, cellular and tissue RAS are now known to exist in multiple tissues [23] where they have diverse roles relating to the regulation of cell growth and survival, metabolism and inflammation [24]. The presence (insertion, I-allele) rather than absence (deletion, D-allele) of a 287bp fragment in the ACE gene (rs4646994) is associated with lower circulating [25] and tissue [26, 27] ACE activity. Whilst the mechanism underpinning the association between the ACE I/D polymorphism and mountaineering performance has yet to be fully established, the I-allele has been associated with enhanced endurance performance at sea level [28], greater hypoxic ventilatory drive [29], enhanced arterial oxygenation at altitude [30], and enhanced training-related gains in metabolic efficiency [31].

It should be noted that three studies found no association between the ACE ID polymorphism and their hypoxic exercise performance phenotype of interest [5, 7, 8] and one presented incorrect results [6]. The others found no ACE genotype association with  $\dot{V}O_2$ max at 4338m in Peruvian natives [5] or change in endurance running performance following hypoxic training [7]. This later study, however, had limited power to detect any difference in genotype distribution due a very small sample size. These results are important in their own right, but it is entirely plausible that an association exists between the ACE polymorphism and mountaineering performance that is mediated by a mechanism unrelated to  $\dot{V}O_2$ max. The lack of association between the polymorphism and mountaineering performance in the

study by Kalson et al. [8] is more difficult to explain. However, despite the altitude exposure and performance phenotype being similar in all four studies there are still subtle differences in ascent rate, maximum altitude of exposure and total time at high altitude which may explain the discrepancy. Furthermore, Kalson et al. [8] acknowledged that they had insufficient sample size to detect a difference between successful and unsuccessful climbers and were therefore at risk of a type 2 error. The lack of power due to small sample size is a limitation of many of the reviewed studies and will be discussed further in the Study quality section.

### 4.5 ACTN3 R577X (rs1815739)

The ACTN3 gene encodes the protein alpha actinin-3- an actin binding protein expressed predominantly in type II (fast) muscle fibres, which has structural, cell signalling and metabolic roles [32, 33]. The ACTN3 gene contains a nonsense polymorphism that results in the substitution of an arginine (R) with a stop codon (X) at amino acid 577 on the alpha actinin-3 protein (rs1815739) [34]. The premature termination of the alpha actinin-3 protein associated with homozygosity for the R577X null allele results in complete alpha actinin-3 deficiency, and occurs in approximately 16% of the world population [34]. Whilst this deficiency does not cause muscle disease, possibly due to compensation by the closely related isoform alpha actinin-2, it does appear to influence sporting performance [32]. The frequency of the ACTN3 alleles was reported to differ between a 'normal' population, an elite sprint and power athletic population, and an elite endurance athletic population (P<0.001), with elite power athletes having a lower frequency of the XX genotype (normal, 18%; elite power, 6%) and elite endurance athletes having a higher frequency of XX genotype (normal, 0.16; elite endurance, 0.24) [35]. The two studies identified in our review, do not support an association between the ACTN3 R577X polymorphism and exercise performance at high altitude [6, 11]. However, given the limited performance phenotypes investigated and the flawed statistical analysis in Djarova's study [6] an association cannot be excluded.

## 4.6 AMPD1 C34T (rs17602729)

Adenosine monophosphate deaminase (AMPD) catalyses the conversion of adenosine monophosphate (AMP) to inosine monophosphate (IMP) and ammonia which, in turn, moves the myokinase equilibrium reaction (2 ADP  $\Rightarrow$  ATP + AMP) towards ATP resynthesis [36]. The AMPD1 gene contains a genetic variation (C34T) which results in the replacement of a glutamine amino acid with a stop codon and has an allele frequency of 13.7% in a healthy Caucasian population [37]. Norman et al. (1998) also showed that AMPD activity in skeletal muscle of AMPD1 TT homozygotes was <1% that of CC homozygotes, and

that heterozygotes had intermediate activity levels. At sea level the AMPD1 TT homozygotes have been shown to have ~14% lower peak power output than their CT/CC counterparts (P<0.05) [38] and the frequency of the T allele is lower in elite endurance athletes compared to controls (0.043 Vs 0.085; P<0.05) [39]. Tsianos et al. [11] also found an association between the AMPD T allele and Mt Olympus Marathon performance in athletes whose preferred endurance sport was running. It is thus unclear if and whether AMPD1 genotype is associated with endurance performance per se or with hypoxic endurance performance. No data are available to answer this question. The result must be viewed with some caution as they were obtained in a sub-population of the whole group studied and are yet to be verified in other high-altitude exercise phenotypes (we do not consider the results of Djarova et al. [6] valid).

#### 4.7 Other polymorphisms

The adrenergic receptors are G protein coupled receptors which are expressed in a wide range of tissues and cause a variety of physiological responses in response to binding with circulating catecholamines [40]. The beta2-adrenergic receptor sub-group has a primary function to facilitate a sympathetic nervous system response, altering respiratory, cardiac and vascular function [41]. In a meta-analysis, the pooled frequency of the ADRB2 minor (16Arg) allele was 0.420 in Caucasians, 0.492 among populations with an African heritage (African-American, African, and Afro-Caribbean populations), and 0.562 among Oriental populations [42]. The ADRB2 polymorphism alters physiological function; compared to their ADRB2 Gly/Gly counterparts, 16Arg homozygotes have lower receptor density on isolated lymphocytes [43], lower resting stroke volume and cardiac output [44, 43], and lower sub maximal exercising stroke volume and mean arterial pressure [44]. Furthermore, the Arg/Arg genotype was more prevalent in an elite male endurance athlete population than in a control populations consisting of geographically matched males (0.17 Vs 0.09, P=0.03).

This review identified one study in which the beta2-adrenergic receptor (ADRB2) Arg allele was associated with better endurance exercise performance at altitude. Tsianos et al. [11] found the Arg allele was associated better performance in the Mt Olympus Marathon amongst people whose preferred mode of exercise was running, and with best ever Mt Olympus Marathon time amongst those who had completed the event several times. As described in the previous section regarding similar results for the AMPD1 C34T SNP, these results should be viewed with caution given that these associations were established for particular sub-groups and were only sought and identified in one

study. However (and again as described above), the results are aligned with those at sea level which increases the confidence in the validity of such findings.

Androgens, such as testosterone, act by binding to the AR, and their effects on anthropometric variables and physical performance (including increasing fat free mass, muscle strength and size) are well described [45]. In skeletal muscle cells, the AR CAG repeat polymorphism alters the receptor's transcriptional activity, with higher repeat lengths causing greater activity, as well as altering markers of cell growth and development [46]. Wang et al. [12] identified an association between those with a lower number of CAG repeat units ( $\leq$ 21) and a greater increase in  $\dot{V}O_2$ max following hypoxic training. However, a normoxic control population was not employed and therefore the increases in  $\dot{V}O_2$ max, and the association with the AR polymorphism, may simply reflect a training effect. Further studies designed to distinguish the effect of training from that of hypoxia are required.

## 4.8 High altitude population ancestry

The two related studies by Brutsaert and colleagues [13, 14] found no association between the degree of Quechua, Spanish and African ancestry in population of Peruvian males, as indicated by 22 genetic markers, and  $\dot{V}O_2$ max values at an altitude of 4,388 meters. They did however find that the magnitude of the decrease in  $\dot{V}O_2$ max from sea level to high altitude was lower in those with a greater degree of Quechua ancestry. The specific mechanisms to explain this exercise performance phenotype are not readily forthcoming as the data in this field are sparse; however, a genetically-mediated reduction in SaO<sub>2</sub> during maximal exercise appears to have a role [14]. This finding adds to a growing body of literature suggesting that the Quechua have altered anthropological and physiological responses to hypoxia as a result of genetic selection [47].

### 4.9 Study quality

Analysis of study quality highlights a need for better conduct and/or reporting of genetic association studies in this field. The selection of populations, genotyping practices, and statistical procedures were inadequate in many of the studies (Table 6) and only three studies attempted to replicate prior findings using similar phenotypes [8-10]. Some studies did not ensure that ethnicity of populations was accounted for in the analysis process, country of origin often being an inadequate descriptor. Selecting unrelated populations of the same ethnicity is critical to avoid population stratification which can confound results and lead to spurious association being identified [48]. However, such problems are

hard to overcome in 'field' research. Poor genotyping practices may also lead to erroneous results and can be caused by many factors including; collecting low quality and/or quantity of DNA, machine error or failure, human error, poor storage conditions, and issue with the array [49]. Despite this, only eight studies stated that they had checked the validity of their genotyping procedure or cited a reference for a validation study [5, 9-12, 14, 15], and only two blinded genotyping staff from phenotypic data [8, 9]. Meanwhile seven studies provided sufficient primer information to allow replication. Seven of the eleven studies failed to conduct sample size calculations, and only one study performed the calculation prior to conducting the study. The majority of studies included in the review seem underpowered to test their hypothesis effectively as the associations between SNPs and complex human phenotypes, such as exercise performance, are generally small [22]. The studies in this review typically had a sample size under 100, whereas it has been suggested that to adequately power genetic association studies investigating complex multifactorial traits, studies should employ sample sizes well in excess of this number [22]. Finally, replication of initial findings is of paramount importance because a first study often overestimates the size of the effect when compared to subsequent studies, and in many cases the subsequent studies fail to support the initial findings [50]. The studies we reviewed failed to validate initial findings by testing the same genetic polymorphism on a similar population and phenotype. However the association between the ACE polymorphism and mountaineering performance identified by Montgomery et al. [15] was evaluated in similar populations in three subsequent studies, albeit using different study designs [8-10].

#### 4.10 Strengths and Limitations of the review

The strengths of the review are that it used systematic search and analysis methodologies to identify studies that have investigated the association between genetic factors and exercise performance in atmospheric hypoxia. This allowed us to identify all studies in the area and avoided selection bias. Identifying these polymorphisms will guide future research, identifying targets for further study and helping to avoid the repetition of work. The review also analysed the conduct and/or reporting of the studies giving an indication of study quality and highlighting where improvements in study design can be made.

Limitations of this study include the method of study selection. It may have been helpful to exclude studies based on study design and/or quality to provide a clearer picture of which polymorphisms and molecular systems are important in hypoxic exercise performance. However, the lack of a robust

validated method of analysing study design and quality in genetic association studies made this difficult. Consequently we adapted Clark and Baudouin's [4] method of analysing study quality. This method has not been validated and thus it is unclear whether it indicates greater study validity, or the likelihood of replication. However, it does follow previous studies and articles that give recommendations for how genetic association studies should be conducted and presented [51, 52].

#### 5 Conclusions

This review shows that the association between genetic polymorphisms and high altitude exercise performance has not been studied in depth. We identified only eleven articles which studied a total of just thirteen genetic polymorphisms. Four polymorphisms were associated with hypoxic exercise performance: AMPD1 C34T, ADRB2 GA, androgen receptor CAG repeat, and the ACE I/D polymorphisms. The strongest association appears to be between the ACE I allele and enhanced high altitude mountaineering performance with three studies identifying a relationship. The study quality analysis highlighted deficiencies in the conduct and reporting of the genetic association studies. The selection and description of populations, genotyping methods, and statistical analysis procedures were inadequate in many of the studies. In particular, many studies failed to calculate the correct sample size required to adequately power their study and subsequently most appear underpowered to test their hypothesis effectively. To attain greater understanding of the role that genetic factors have on high attitude exercise performance, further studies need to be performed. Future studies should endeavour to use more robust study design (e.g. appropriate sample size, replicable phenotypes, robust methods of selecting study and control populations) and ensure they provide adequate information to permit subsequent replication or meta-analysis. Furthermore, genome wide studies should be considered in future in order to help identify novel biological pathways of hypoxic adaptation.

## **Acknowledgements**

We would like to thank Caroline De Brun for her invaluable help during the systematic review process.

MPWG is director of the Xtreme Everest Oxygen Research Consortium and has received unrestricted grant funding form a variety of commercial and charitable sources to support this programme of research. MPWG has no conflicts of interest with respect to this manuscript and has received no financial support for the conduct of this study or preparation of this manuscript.

## References

1. MacInnis MJ, Rupert JL. 'Ome on the range: altitude adaptation, positive selection, and himalayan genomics. High Alt Med Biol. 2011;12(2):133-9.

2. Grocott M, Montgomery H. Genetophysiology: using genetic strategies to explore hypoxic adaptation. High Alt Med Biol. 2008;9(2):123-9.

3. Martin DS, Levett DZH, Grocott MPW et al. Variation in human performance in the hypoxic mountain environment. Exp Physiol. 2010;95(3):463-70.

4. Clark MF, Baudouin SV. A systematic review of the quality of genetic association studies in human sepsis. Intensive Care Med. 2006;32(11):1706-12.

5. Bigham AW, Kiyamu M, Leon-Velarde F et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme genotype and arterial oxygen saturation at high altitude in Peruvian Quechua. High Alt Med Biol. 2008;9(2):167-78.

6. Djarova T, Bardarev D, Boyanov D et al. Performance enhancing genetic variants, oxygen uptake, heart rate, blood pressure and body mass index of elite high altitude mountaineers. Acta Physiol Hung. 2013;100(3):289-301.

7. Hinckson EA, Hopkins WG, Fleming JS et al. Sea-level performance in runners using altitude tents: a field study. J Sci Med Sport. 2005;8(4):451-7.

8. Kalson NS, Thompson J, Davies AJ et al. The effect of angiotensin-converting enzyme genotype on acute mountain sickness and summit success in trekkers attempting the summit of Mt. Kilimanjaro (5,895 M). Eur J Appl Physiol. 2009;105(3):373-9.

9. Thompson J, Raitt J, Hutchings L et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme genotype and successful ascent to extreme high altitude. High Alt Med Biol. 2007;8(4):278-85.

10. Tsianos G, Eleftheriou KI, Hawe E et al. Performance at altitude and angiotensin I-converting enzyme genotype. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2005;93(5-6):630-3.

11. Tsianos GI, Evangelou E, Boot A et al. Associations of polymorphisms of eight muscle- or metabolismrelated genes with performance in mount olympus marathon runners. J Appl Physiol. 2010;108(3):567-74.

12. Wang HY, Hu Y, Wang SH et al. Association of androgen receptor cag repeat polymorphism with Vo2max response to hypoxic training in North China Han men. Int J Androl. 2010;33(6):794-9.

13. Brutsaert TD, Parra E, Shriver M et al. Effects of birthplace and individual genetic admixture on lung volume and exercise phenotypes of Peruvian Quechua. Am J Phys Anthropol. 2004;123(4):390-8.

14. Brutsaert TD, Parra EJ, Shriver MD et al. Spanish genetic admixture Is associated with larger V(O2) max decrement from sea level to 4338 M in Peruvian Quechua. J Appl Physiol. 2003;95(2):519-28.

15. Montgomery HE, Marshall R, Hemingway H et al. Human gene for physical performance. Nature. 1998;393(6682):221-2.

16. Bray MS, Hagberg JM, Perusse L et al. The human gene map for performance and health-related fitness phenotypes: the 2006-2007 update. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2009;41(1):35-73.

17. Bouchard C, Sarzynski MA, Rice TK et al. Genomic predictors of the maximal O(2) uptake response to standardized exercise training programs. J Appl Physiol. 2011;110(5):1160-70.

18. Xi B, Mi J. Genome-wide association studies of common obesity: now and future. Biomed Environ Sci. 2013;26(10):787-91.

19. Newton-Cheh C, Johnson T, Gateva V et al. Genome-wide association study identifies eight loci associated with blood pressure. Nat Genet. 2009;41(6):666-76.

20. Amos W, Driscoll E, Hoffman JI. Candidate genes versus genome-wide associations: which are better for detecting genetic susceptibility to infectious disease? Proc Biol Sci. 2011;278(1709):1183-8.

21. Sterne JA, Sutton AJ, Ioannidis JP et al. Recommendations for examining and interpreting funnel plot asymmetry in meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. BMJ. 2011;343:d4002.

22. Hagberg JM, Rankinen T, Loos RJ et al. Advances in exercise, fitness, and performance genomics in 2010. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2011;43(5):743-52.

23. Lavoie JL, Sigmund CD. Minireview: overview of the renin-angiotensin system - an endocrine and paracrine system. Endocrinology. 2003;144(6):2179-83.

24. Passos-Silva DG, Verano-Braga T, Santos RAS. Angiotensin-(1–7): beyond the cardio-renal actions. Clin Sci. 2013;124(7):443-56.

25. Rigat B, Hubert C, Alhenc-Gelas F et al. An insertion/deletion polymorphism in the angiotensin Iconverting enzyme gene accounting for half the variance of serum enzyme levels. J Clin Invest. 1990;86(4):1343-6.

26. Costerousse O, Allegrini J, Lopez M et al. Angiotensin I-converting enzyme in human circulating mononuclear cells: genetic polymorphism of expression in T-lymphocytes. Biochem J. 1993;290 (1):33-40.

27. Danser AH, Schalekamp MA, Bax WA et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme in the human heart. effect of the deletion/insertion polymorphism. Circulation. 1995;92(6):1387-8.

28. Puthucheary Z, Skipworth JR, Rawal J. The ace gene and human performance: 12 years on. Sports Med. 2011;41(6):433-48.

29. Patel S, Woods DR, Macleod NJ et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme genotype and the ventilatory response to exertional hypoxia. Eur Respir J. 2003;22(5):755-60.

30. Woods DR, Pollard AJ, Collier DJ et al. Insertion/deletion polymorphism of the angiotensin I-converting enzyme gene and arterial oxygen saturation at high altitude. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2002;166(3):362-6.

31. Williams AG, Rayson MP, Jubb M et al. The ace gene and muscle performance. Nature. 2000;403(6770):614.

32. Berman Y, North KN. A gene for speed: the emerging role of alpha-actinin-3 in muscle metabolism. Physiology. 2010;25(4):250-9.

33. Yang N, Garton F, North K. Alpha-actinin-3 and performance. Med Sport Sci. 2009;54:88-101.

34. North KN, Yang N, Wattanasirichaigoon D et al. A common nonsense mutation results in alphaactinin-3 deficiency in the general population. Nat Genet. 1999;21(4):353-4.

35. Yang N, MacArthur DG, Gulbin JP et al. Actn3 genotype Is associated with human elite athletic performance. Am J Hum Genet. 2003;73(3):627-31.

36. Lowenstein JM. The purine nucleotide cycle revisited [corrected]. Int J Sports Med. 1990;11 Suppl 2:S37-46.

37. Norman B, Mahnke-Zizelman DK, Vallis A et al. Genetic and other determinants of AMP deaminase activity in healthy adult skeletal muscle. J Appl Physiol. 1998;85(4):1273-8.

38. Rico-Sanz J, Rankinen T, Joanisse DR et al. Associations between cardiorespiratory responses to exercise and the C34T AMPD1 gene polymorphism in the heritage family study. Physiol Genomics. 2003;14(2):161-6.

39. Rubio JC, Martin MA, Rabadan M et al. Frequency of the C34T mutation of the AMPD1 gene in world-class endurance athletes: does this mutation impair performance? J Appl Physiol. 2005;98(6):2108-12.

40. Davis E, Loiacono R, Summers RJ. The rush to adrenaline: drugs in sport acting on the betaadrenergic system. Br J Pharmacol. 2008;154(3):584-97.

41. Snyder EM, Johnson BD, Joyner MJ. Genetics of beta2-adrenergic receptors and the cardiopulmonary response to exercise. Exerc Sport Sci Rev. 2008;36(2):98-105.

42. Thakkinstian A, McEvoy M, Minelli C et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between beta2-adrenoceptor polymorphisms and asthma: a HuGE review. Am J Epidemiol. 2005;162(3):201-11.

43. Snyder EM, Hulsebus ML, Turner ST et al. Genotype related differences in beta2 adrenergic receptor density and cardiac function. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2006;38(5):882-6.

44. Snyder EM, Beck KC, Dietz NM et al. Arg16gly polymorphism of the beta2-adrenergic receptor is associated with differences in cardiovascular function at rest and during exercise in humans. J Physiol. 2006;571(Pt 1):121-30.

45. Bhasin S, Storer TW, Berman N et al. The effects of supraphysiologic doses of testosterone on muscle size and strength in normal men. N Engl J Med. 1996;335(1):1-7.

46. Sheppard RL, Spangenburg EE, Chin ER et al. Androgen receptor polyglutamine repeat length affects receptor activity and C2c12 cell development. Physiol Genomics. 2011;43(20):1135-43.

47. Rupert JL, Hochachka PW. Genetic approaches to understanding human adaptation to altitude in the Andes. J Exp Biol. 2001;204(Pt 18):3151-60.

48. Lunetta KL. Genetic association studies. Circulation. 2008;118(1):96-101.

49. Little J, Higgins JP, Ioannidis JP et al. Strengthening the reporting of genetic association studies (Strega)--an extension of the strobe statement. Eur J Clin Invest. 2009;39(4):247-66.

50. Ioannidis JP, Ntzani EE, Trikalinos TA et al. Replication validity of genetic association studies. Nat Genet. 2001;29(3):306-9.

51. Bogardus ST, Jr., Concato J, Feinstein AR. Clinical epidemiological quality in molecular genetic research: the need for methodological standards.JAMA. 1999;281(20):1919-26.

52. Romero R, Kuivaniemi H, Tromp G et al. The design, execution, and interpretation of genetic association studies to decipher complex diseases. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2002;187(5):1299-312.

Ite	m	Criterion	Score					
1.	Control group	Was the control/comparison group equal or larger in size than the	Yes = 1					
		case group, and was;	No = 0					
		<ul> <li>it described in such a way that it could be replicated</li> </ul>						
		<ul> <li>it stated or inferred that the ethnicity of the control group</li> </ul>						
		was not different to that of the case group.						
		If the control group from a previous study was used and						
		referenced, the referenced study was retrieved and the control						
		group analysed as above. No score was assigned to cohort studies						
		for this item.						
2.	Hardy-Weinberg	Were the groups included in the study assessed for to determine if	Yes = 1					
	equilibrium	they were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium?	No = 0					
3.	Case group/whole	Is the definition of the case group adequate to allow replication?	Yes = 1					
	group	For cohort studies, is the description of the whole group sufficient						
		for replication?						
4.	Primer	Were the primer sequences provided or was a reference to these	Yes = 1					
		given?	No = 0					
5.	Reproducibility of	Was the description of genotyping methods sufficient to allow	Yes = 1					
	genotyping	replication or was a reference providing this information given? and was the validity of the genotyping technique checked by	No = 0					
		performing a second assay technique, by validating the accuracy						
		the assay used, or was a reference to a validation study given?						
6.	Blinding	Were genotyping staff blinded from group allocation or phenotypic	Yes = 1					
		data?	No = 0					
7.	Power calculation	Was a power calculation performed either prospectively or	Yes = 1					
		retrospectively?	No = 0					
8.	Statistics	Were major findings presented with well described tests of	Yes = 1					
		significance including p values, odds ratios or confidence intervals?	No = 0					
9.	Corrected statistics	When more than one genetic polymorphism was studied, were	Yes = 1					
		statistical corrections made to account for the increased risk of a	No = 0					
		false positive? <sup>a</sup> One-tailed significance testing was also scored as zero. Those testing one genetic polymorphism were scored as one.						
	Independent	Was a secondary confirmatory study performed, or does the study	Yes = 1					

Table 1. Study quality scoring assessment system

replication	specifically state it is being performed to confirm results from an	No = 0
	earlier study?	

<sup>a</sup>if the study only investigated one genetic polymorphisms the question was scored as '1'.

			Case		Control					
Gene	Polymorphism	N (number of	Population	Frequency	N (number of	Population	Frequency	Р	Odds ratio	Reference
		males)			males)			Value		
ACE	I/D	25 (25 males)	Elite British mountaineers	II: 12 (0.48)	1906 (1906	British – free	II: (0.26)	0.02	2.43	Montgomery
	(rs4646994)			ID: 11 (0.44)	males)	from CV disease	ID: (0.50)			et al. (1998)
					DD: 2 (0.08)		DD: (0.24) <sup>a</sup>			[15]
		5 (not stated)	Bulgarian elite high-altitude	II: 1 (0.20)	. (0.20) 72 (not stated)		II: 8 (0.11)	0.024	2.29	Djarova et al.
			mountaineers	ID: 4 (0.80)		students	ID: 41 (0.57)	~		(2013) [6]
				DD: 0 (0.00)			DD: 23 (0.32)			
ACTN3	R577X	5 (not stated)	Bulgarian elite high-altitude	RR: 1 (0.20)	72 (not stated)	Athletic Bulgarian	RR: 30 (0.42)	0.032	1.77	Djarova et al.
	(rs1815739)		mountaineers	RX: 3 (0.60)		students	RX: 32 (0.44)	bc		(2013) [6]
				XX:1 (0.20)			XX: 10 (0.14)			
AMPD1	G/A	5 (not stated)	Bulgarian elite high-altitude	CC: 3 (0.60)	72 (not stated)	Athletic Bulgarian	CC: 53 (0.74)	0.003	2.82	Djarova et al.
	(rs17602729)		mountaineers	CT: 1 (0.20)		students	CT: 19 (0.26)	bc		(2013) [6]
				TT: 1 (0.20)			TT: 0 (0.00)			

# Table 2. Exercise performance in atmospheric hypoxia and candidate genes; case-control studies

<sup>a</sup>values taken from subsequent paper using the same population; <sup>b</sup>Statistic for allele comparisons; <sup>c</sup>statistical analysis appears to be incorrect; CV, cardiovascular.

	Polymorphism		Task success			Task failure				
Gene		N (number of	Population	Frequency	N (number of	Population	Frequency	P	Odds	Referen
		males)			males)			Value	ratio	ce
ACE	I/D	183 (not stated –	Caucasians successful in	II: 40 (0.22)	12 (not stated)	Caucasians who failed	II: 0 (0.00)	0.048	3.41	Tsianos
	(rs4646994)	whole	summiting Mt Blanc	ID: 93 (0.51)		to summit Mt Blanc	ID: 5 (0.42)			et al.
		population: 83%	(4,807 m)	DD: 50 (0.27)		(4,807 m)	DD: 7 (0.58)			(2005)
		male)								[10]
		92 (not stated –	Caucasians and Asian	II: 30 (0.33)	57 (not stated)	Caucasians and Asian	II: 3 (0.06)	0.003	2.15	Thomps
		whole	mountaineers Successful	ID: 41 (0.45)		mountaineers	ID: 28 (0.60)			on et al.
		population: 90%	in summiting > 8,000 m	DD: 21 (0.23)		unsuccessful in	DD: 16 (0.34)			(2007) [9]
		male)				summiting > 8,000 m				[9]
		41 (not stated –	Successfully ascended to	II: 9 (0.22)	41 (not stated)	Unsuccessful in an	II: 9 (0.22)	0.54	0.82	Kalson
		whole	5,895 m with a slow	ID: 18 (0.44)		attempt to 5,895 m	ID: 22 (0.54)			et al. (2009)
		population: 60%	ascent profile	DD: 14 (0.34)		with a slow ascent	DD: 10 (0.24)			[8]
		male)				profile				
		20 (not stated –	Successfully ascended to	II: 6 (0.30)	14 (not stated)	Unsuccessful in an	II: 0 (0.00)	0.09	2.44	Kalson
		whole	5,895 m with a fast	ID: 11 (0.55)		attempt to 5,895 m	ID: 10 (0.71)			et al. (2009)
		population: 60%	ascent profile	DD: 3 (0.15)		with a fast ascent	DD: 4 (0.29)			[8]
		male)				profile				

Table 3. Exercise performance in atmospheric hypoxia and candidate genes; cohort studies separated by summit success.

Gene Polymorphism (rs number)		Number of participants (male/female)	Phenotype	Genotype frequency	Results (performance separated by genotype)	P value	Reference
ACE	I/D	7 competitive runners	Sea level time	II: 0 (0.00)	Sea level time trial performance	Not	Hinckson et
	, (rs4646994)		trial performance	ID: 3 (0.38)	change organised by genotype was	given	al. (2005) [7]
			change following hypoxic training	DD: 5 (0.62)	not given	- NS	
ACE	I/D	142 Peruvians	VO₂max at high	Lowlanders:	VO₂max (ml/kg/min) - mean (SE);	0.663	Bigham et al.
	(rs4646994)	68 lowlanders (32	altitude (4438 m)	II: 36 (0.53)	II: 35.3 (0.6)		(2008) [5]
		male)		ID: 23 (0.34)	ID: 34.9 (0.8)		
		74 highlanders (39 male)		DD: 9 (0.13)	DD: 36.0 (1.5)		
				Highlanders:			
				II: 38 (0.51)			
				ID: 31 (0.42)			
				DD: 5 (0.07)			
AR	Androgen receptor CAG repeat polymorphism	65 Han Chinese athletes	VO₂max after hypoxic training (simulating 2800– 3000 m altitude)	≤21 CAG repeats: 21 (0.32) >21 CAG repeats: 44 (0.68)	VO₂max (% change following training) - mean (SE); ≤21 CAG repeats: 11.9% >21 CAG repeats: 3.6%	0.004	Wang et al. 2010 [12]
ACTN3	R577X (rs1815739)	438 Greek athletes (417 males)	Mt Olympus Marathon performance	Not given	Phenotype results were not given. No difference between genotypes/alleles	0.20 <sup>ab</sup>	Tsianos et al. (2010) [11]
AMPD1	G/A (rs17602729)	438 Greek athletes (417 males)	Mt Olympus Marathon performance	Not given	Phenotype results were not given. No difference between genotypes/alleles	0.34 <sup>ab</sup>	Tsianos et al. (2010) [11]
BDKRB2	C/T (rs1799722)	438 Greek athletes (417 males)	Mt Olympus Marathon	Not given	Phenotype results were not given. No difference between	0.17 <sup>a</sup>	Tsianos et al. (2010) [11]

 Table 4. Exercise performance in atmospheric hypoxia and candidate genes; cohort studies studying a continuous trait.

			performance		genotypes/alleles		
ADRB2	G/A (rs1042713)	438 Greek athletes (417 males)	Mt Olympus Marathon performance	Not given	Phenotype results were not given. No difference between genotypes/alleles	0.21ª	Tsianos et al. (2010) [11]
PPARGC1A	A/G (rs8192678)	438 Greek athletes (417 males)	Mt Olympus Marathon performance	Not given	Phenotype results were not given. No difference between genotypes/alleles	0.71ª	Tsianos et al. (2010) [11]
PPARA	G/C (rs4253778)	438 Greek athletes (417 males)	Mt Olympus Marathon performance	Not given	Phenotype results were not given. No difference between genotypes/alleles	0.25ª	Tsianos et al. (2010) [11]
PPARD	T/C (rs6902123)	438 Greek athletes (417 males)	Mt Olympus Marathon performance	Not given	Phenotype results were not given. No difference between genotypes/alleles	0.24ª	Tsianos et al. (2010) [11]
	T/C (rs1053049)	438 Greek athletes (417 males)	Mt Olympus Marathon performance	Not given	Phenotype results were not given. No difference between genotypes/alleles	0.20ª	Tsianos et al. (2010) [11]
	A/G (rs2267668)	438 Greek athletes (417 males)	Mt Olympus Marathon performance	Not given	Phenotype results were not given. No difference between genotypes/alleles	0.71ª	Tsianos et al. (2010) [11]
APOE E4	(rs7412)	438 Greek athletes (417 males)	Mt Olympus Marathon performance	Not given	Phenotype results were not given. No difference between genotypes/alleles	0.12ª	Tsianos et al. (2010) [11]
	(rs429358)	438 Greek athletes (417 males)	Mt Olympus Marathon performance	Not given	Phenotype results were not given. No difference between genotypes/alleles	>0.05 a	Tsianos et al. (2010) [11]

<sup>a</sup>probability value only for male athlete as values not given for the whole group; <sup>b</sup>significant differences were found between genotypes for other sub-group analysis; VO<sub>2</sub>max, maximum oxygen consumption; NS, not significantly different; SE, standard error.

Gene	Name	Location
ACE	Angiotensin 1-converting enzyme	9q34.1-34.2
ACTN3	Actinin, alpha 3	11q13-q14
ADRB2	Adrenergic, beta 2, receptor	5q31-q32
AMPD1	Adenosine monophosphate deaminase 1	1p13
APOE	Apolipoprotein E	19q13.2
AR	Androgen receptor	Xq11-12
BDKRB2	Bradykinin receptor B2	14q32.1-q32.2
PPARA	Peroxisome proliferative activated receptor, alpha	22q13.31
PPARD	Peroxisome proliferative activated receptor, delta	6p21.2-p21.1
PPARGC1A	Peroxisome proliferative activated receptor, gamma,	4p15.1
	coactivator 1, alpha	

Table 5. Symbols, names, and chromosomal location of all genes identified

Table 6. Study	quality results
----------------	-----------------

Study ID	Control group	Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium	Case group/ whole group	Primer	Reproducibility o genotyping	of Blinding	Power calculation	Statistics	Corrected statistics	Independent replication	Total
Case-control											
Montgomery et al. (1998) [15]	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	7/10
Djarova et al. (2013) [6]	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	5/10
Cohort: discret	e outcome										
Tsianos et al. (2005) [10]	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	7/10
Thompson et al. (2007) [9]	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	8/10
Karson et al. (2009) [8]	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	8/10
Cohort: continu outcome	uous										
Hinckson et al. (2005) [7]	-	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2/9
Bigham et al. (2008) [5]	-	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	6/9
Tsianos et al. (2010) [11]	-	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	7/9
Wang et al. (2009) [12]	-	1ª	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	6/9
Genetic admixt	ture										
Brutsaert et al. (2003)[14]	-	-	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	4/8

<b>-</b>					_				_		- 10
Brutsaert et	-	-	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	5/8
al. (2004) [13]											

32

<sup>a</sup>Polymorphism located on the X chromosome and the populations were male so genotype distribution would not conform with Hardy-Weinburg equilibrium, this section was scored as 1.