### 1 DEFINING THE ALLOMETRY OF STEM AND CROWN DIAMETER OF URBAN TREES

## 2 ABSTRACT

- 3 There is a strong allometric relationship between stem diameter at breast height (DBH) and crown
- 4 diameter in healthy trees in the young to mature stages of their growth. How do geographical position,
- 5 site conditions and management treatments influence this relationship?
- 6 This study included only free-standing urban trees, thus providing data on the growth potential of the
- 7 species included in the survey in typical urban conditions by linking this with estimated tree age.
- 8 Field work involved recording the dimensions and growing conditions of 400 urban trees in two UK
- 9 cities; Norwich and Peterborough. Species selected for this study were pedunculate oak (Quercus
- 10 robur L.), sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus L.), silver birch (Betula pendula Roth.) and Norway maple
- 11 (Acer platanoides L.).
- The mean relationship between DBH and crown diameter exhibited a restricted range (a ratio of 24
- to 27) in this large sample. The results indicated that the factor of species did not have a strong impact
- on the allometric relationship in the case of the four species measured. It is therefore possible to
- produce good predictions of crown size by combining data from all the species used in this survey.
- A key finding of this study is that previous tree pruning and external site factors, such as hard
- surfacing over the rooting area and soil type, had no significant influence on the relationship between
- 18 DBH and crown diameter.

19

# 20 Key words

21 Allometry, crown spread, stem girth, mensuration, urban forestry

# 22 Funding

25

- 23 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial or
- 24 not-for-profit sectors.

## Introduction

- 26 This study focuses on the allometric relationship between DBH and crown diameter. The ability to
- 27 predict crown diameter from DBH and vice versa has a wide range of applications in arboriculture
- and urban forestry, especially the ability to manage trees to enhance their crown diameter and hence
- 29 overall canopy cover in urban areas.
- 30 Urban trees provide many beneficial ecosystem services and if measurements of DBH can be
- 31 confidently used to calculate crown diameter, better estimates of ecosystem services provided by
- 32 urban trees could be made from more easily collected data. It is important to recognise that most of
- 33 the ecosystem services provided by urban trees are directly related to their crown dimensions. The
- 34 following examples demonstrate the relevance of canopy size to the magnitude of the benefits gained
- from urban trees. The larger crowns of open grown urban trees sequester more carbon than typical

woodland trees (Nowak & Crane 2002). Trees also provide important shading and cooling, for example, research by Shasua-Bar et al (2008) in Tel Aviv, Israel found a strong link between canopy size and mitigation of the urban heat island effect. Additionally, surface water runoff from hard surfaces, which leads to flooding in urban areas, can be mitigated by trees and the amount of mitigation is directly related to the extent of canopy cover provided (Armson et al. 2013). As a final example, trees can contribute towards improving air quality, with increased canopy cover providing greater mitigation from air pollution (Nowak, 2006).

A better understanding of crown spread over time would also aid the management of trees in relation to urban development. For example, development of crown spread and branch extension can cause legal nuisances in the urban environment (Lyytimaki et al. 2008; Lyytimaki and Sipila 2009), e.g. where branches come into contact with adjacent property (Mynors, 2011). A better knowledge and application of tree growth patterns could help reduce instances of this form of nuisance and thus reduce the need for tree pruning. Furthermore, where trees are found within a proposed development site, the ability to predict crown diameter has applications both at the pre-development survey stage, by allowing quick estimates of crown spread from DBH measurements, and also at the design stage if reliable predictive equations for ultimate crown diameters can be formulated to allow better placement and retention of trees within developments. 

Knowledge of tree crown development has the potential to reduce tree numbers used in some urban planting schemes. Smaller numbers of successful tree plantings in positions that will not necessitate frequent pruning or thinning could provide substantial economic benefits in terms of reducing the costs of tree planting, maintenance and intervention. Avoiding wounding trees via pruning processes because they are well-placed would also promote greater longevity in urban trees. Focusing resources onto smaller numbers of young trees should help ensure that funds are available for ongoing and careful maintenance through to establishment in the landscape.

There has been increasing interest in this relationship between DBH and crown spread in urban trees from arboriculturists and urban foresters in the last twenty years, particularly with the increased availability of tree population data (Nowak et al., 2018). The interest in calculating the ecosystem services provided by urban tree stocks has also been a driver in this respect (Troxel, 2013). Researchers have approached the study of the allometric relationship in different ways, undertaking small detailed studies and wider studies based on mass tree population data. Peper et al. (2001) carried out a small-scale study sampling fifteen species of street trees and 480 individual trees in California, to produce equations for predicting tree dimensions including DBH and crown diameter. Three recent large-scale studies have also been published. First, Pretzsch et al. (2015) conducted a large, worldwide study which attempted to match results against allometric theory. Second, McPherson et al. (2016) produced an extensive urban tree database in the USA, providing predictive allometric equations covering a range of climate zones. Third, Vaz Monteiro et al. (2016) analysed the i-Tree data for eight British cities to compare this allometric relationship in seven tree species. The resultant analysis found significant variation between these regional centres, but the cause(s) of this variation was not determined.

The small-scale study presented here is designed to improve predictions by exploring the extent of variation of the allometric relationship between DBH and crown diameter between sites and assess the impact of urban site factors, which is one of the key areas of divergence in the literature. Dawkins (1963) noted that the relationship was little affected by site, tree age and silvicultural treatments. This finding has been corroborated by other researchers (Krajicek et al. 1957; Hummel 2000; Stoffberg et al. 2008; Blanchard et al. 2016). Furthermore, Hasenauer (1997) found site factors little affected regression analyses in a study of open grown trees. However, this contrasts with findings from more

- 82 recent studies that reported significant regional variations (Urban et al. 2010; Lines et al. 2012; Vaz
- 83 Monteiro et al. 2016).
- 84 This study also attempts to examine the trees' age in relation to crown diameter. Recent studies of
- growth rates of urban trees include the work of Vaz Monteiro et al. (2017) which examined trees in
- 86 five UK cities, including Peterborough; however, the conditions of this study was not directly
- 87 comparable, with the data collected for our study.
- 88 The three primary aims of our research were as follows. First, to define the allometric relationship
- between DBH and crown diameter in free-standing urban trees of four common species. Second, to
- 90 explore the impact of geographic and site factors on this allometric relationship. Third, to provide a
- 91 guide to ultimate growth potential for two of the species included in the study where current growth
- 92 rates were measured to estimate tree age for a given DBH.

### Materials and methods

94 Data collection

93

- This study surveyed only free-standing urban trees, which included street trees and trees in city parks
- or other urban green space. All data were collected within the boundaries of two selected cities:
- 97 Norwich and Peterborough, UK (Figure 1), with Peterborough being situated 124 kilometres west of
- Norwich. A wide range of age classes were sampled from recently established young trees to large
- 99 mature specimens.

# 100 Insert Figure 1 near here

- An initial pilot study in 2013 measured 100 trees in Norwich. The trees measured in the pilot study
- in Norwich included 50 oak and 50 sycamore. Further to this, 400 free-standing urban trees were
- surveyed in 2016-17, including re-surveying all 100 trees used in the pilot study. The four tree species
- measured for the main study were pedunculate oak (Quercus robur L.), silver birch (Betula pendula
- Roth.), sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus L.) and Norway maple (Acer platanoides L.). The main study
- was completed in the winter 2016/2017, surveying 200 trees in Peterborough and 200 trees in
- 107 Norwich.
- The two cities selected for the study have very similar climates as reported in Table 1, which shows
- ten-year averages for maximum and minimum temperatures, hours of sunshine, amount of rainfall
- and daily rainfall in both areas (Met Office 2017).

# 111 Insert Table 1 near here

- Both cities are at a low elevation; Norwich is 19 m and Peterborough only 12 m above sea level
- 113 (Ordnance Survey 2017). The main difference between the two cities is that soils in Peterborough are
- largely composed of clay, as opposed to the predominately sandy soils found in Norwich (Williamson
- 115 2006).

116

### Insert Table 2 near here

- 117 Table 2 shows the distribution of the samples between the two cities and the site conditions including
- street trees, city parks and other urban green spaces. Other green spaces included areas such as traffic
- islands, wide verges and small recreation grounds. The inclusion of trees growing in green space and

- 120 street trees with varying amounts of hard surfacing was fundamental to the exploration of the impact
- these factors had on the allometric relationship being assessed. Only free-standing trees were 121
- sampled. Pre-selected areas of the two cities were systematically searched for free standing trees of 122
- the target species. Where suitable trees were located, all were measured to avoid selection bias. The 123
- Ordnance Survey (OS) grid reference of each tree was recorded. Only trees where accurate crown 124
- 125 dimensions could be collected were included, for example, street trees where part of the crowns
- overhung private property were excluded. 126
- The measurement of DBH and crown diameter were based on the method used by Hemrey et al. 127
- (2005). The DBH was measured with a centimetre diameter tape at 1.3 metres above ground level. 128
- The crown diameter was calculated by measuring the radial branch spread at the four cardinal 129
- compass points. These radial measurements were then added together and divided by two to calculate 130
- an average crown diameter (Figure 2). 131

150

# Insert Figure 2 near here

- 133 Work by Ayhan (1974) has established that taking four radial measurements provides the same level
- of accuracy as more complicated systems involving multiple measurements of crown diameter. The 134
- radial crown diameters were measured with a steel tape. The end of the tape was secured directly onto 135
- the stem at each compass bearing at 1.3 m and the distance to the edge of the crown was then 136
- measured. An allowance of half the stem's diameter was added to each radial measurement to give a 137
- true representation of the radial spread from the centre of the tree's stem. The extent of the crown 138
- 139 was measured using a Suunto clinometer following the methodology of Hemery et al. (2005). This
- instrument has a scale up to 90° and allows the edge of the branches to be sighted accurately looking 140
- through the eye piece of the instrument directly upwards to fix the maximum extent of the crown. 141
- 142 This method was tested and proved to be repeatable with only a 100 mm variation.
- The height and crown depth of the trees were established using a laser hypsometer. Height was 143
- measured from ground level to the tip of the tree and crown depth was calculated by deducting 144
- clearance height from the total crown height. 145
- 146 An estimate of the life stage of each tree surveyed was made based on the following criteria: i) young
- newly established trees, ii) semi mature well established trees in the first quarter of their life 147
- expectancy, iii) early mature trees approaching maturity and in the second quarter of their life 148
- expectancy, iv) mature trees in the third quarter of their life expectancy. 149
- Dead or senescent trees, along with trees showing extensive crown die back were excluded from the 151
- survey. Cultivated varieties often have an untypical crown form: while pedunculate oak and sycamore 152
- are normally planted as type trees, there are many cultivars of both silver birch and Norway maple in 153
- common use in the UK. For this reason, as far as was practicable, cultivated varieties were also 154
- excluded from the survey. A visual assessment of the crown form was made, for example excluding 155
- the common cultivar of silver birch Betula pendula 'Tristis' with its exaggerated weeping form, 156
- common in both cities. Google Street View was used to confirm the colour of the summer foliage of 157
- street trees surveyed to exclude purple foliaged varieties, particularly of Norway maple. Double 158
- stemmed or multi-stemmed trees, newly pollarded or topped trees were also excluded. 159
- Previous pruning activity was recorded in two ways. First, a visual estimate of the time since the last 160
- pruning as evidenced by the condition of pruning cuts and the extent of wound occlusion was recorded 161
- (Clark and Matheny 2010). The three categories recorded were: i) no pruning evident, ii) pruning 162

- carried out within the last five years, and iii) pruning carried out between five and ten years ago.
- Second, the type of pruning was also noted using four categories: i) no pruning, ii) crown lifted, iii)
- other pruning (e.g. crown reduction or crown thinning), and iv) combinational pruning (e.g. a crown
- lift combined with either crown reduction or crown thinning).
- The percentage of hard standing that covered the ground within the crown area of the trees was
- estimated. These estimates ranged from 0% (no hard surfaces present) to 100% (all of the area under
- the tree's canopy was completely covered with a hard surface).
- Soils in urban areas are often adulterated and potentially restrictive of root growth. It was not
- practicable, however, to carry out individual soil assessments for the four hundred trees surveyed,
- which is a limitation of this study. The generic soil type was added to the data as a desk study
- 173 recording the superficial deposits for each tree position located on the 'Geology of Britain Viewer'
- website (British Geological Survey 2017). Recorded deposits were allocated to one of three categories
- as follows: i) sand and sandy loam, ii) clay and clay loam, and iii) mixed (sand, clay and silt).
- 176 Tree age calculation
- 177 Verifiable tree planting dates were unavailable, and it was not possible to take core samples or use a
- micro drill to produce estimates for tree age. Therefore, the 50 pedunculate oak and 50 sycamore that
- were sampled in 2013 in Norwich were re-measured to assess the annual growth increment and this
- data were used to produce an estimated age of all the oak and sycamore in the survey. With regard to
- annual growth increment for silver birch and Norway maple, as these species were not included in
- the pilot study it was not possible to predict their crown diameter for a given age. However, the data
- collected on these two species were used for all the other aspects of the study.
- 184 Data analysis
- The first step in the analysis was to produce scatter plots of DBH versus crown diameter with a best
- 186 fit line to gauge which regression method might be appropriate. Linear and quadratic regressions were
- produced to provide equations for data collected in each of the two cities and for each of the four
- species surveyed. Only the quadratic regressions are presented in this paper as, overall, the quadratic
- regression produced the higher adjusted R<sup>2</sup> value.
- A model was fitted using interaction terms to test for between-species differences in the allometric
- 191 relationship between DBH and crown diameter. This showed generally small differences and
- therefore the data for the four species were combined to provide a better powered analysis, and to
- enable investigation of site factors and to work towards a general formula for predicting the allometric
- relationship. As part of combining the data, a regression of crown diameter versus DBH was produced
- showing each city's data separately to check for geographical differences. The importance of species
- to the allometric relationship was investigated using interval plots.
- 197 The accuracy of the regression equations produced using the combined data was tested by allocating
- random numbers to the data to split the data in half, and then using the first two hundred trees (Dataset
- A) to predict the crown diameters of the second two hundred trees (Dataset B).
- 200 While the focus of this study was on the allometric relationship between DBH and crown diameter;
- 201 regressions were also produced to examine the relationship between crown diameter versus tree
- 202 height and crown diameter versus crown depth.

203 204	A quadratic regression based on the ratio of crown diameter/DBH versus DBH was also produced. To establish if this method produced similar predictions to the equations derived from the analysis of
204	the crown diameter, the data was randomised splitting it into datasets A1 and B1 and using the
206	equation derived from A1 to predict B1. The predictions for this method and the method used for
207	Datasets A & B were then compared.
	2 divine the 2 mars times to supplies as
208	Multiple regression was used to investigate the impact of physiological and site factors. All analyses
209	were conducted in Minitab v.17. The multiple regression produced included the Variation Inflation
210	Factor (VIF) as a measure of collinearity. The ratio of crown diameter/DBH versus DBH for the
211	combined data was used instead of crown diameter versus DBH as an additional analytical technique
212	to help assess the impact of site factors.
213	An assessment of growth increment was made based on the re-measurement of the 100 trees in
214	Norwich measured in 2013 (50 oak and 50 sycamore). The estimated increment value was divided
215	by the value for DBH to produce an estimate of tree age for the two species surveyed. For oak and
216	sycamore, a regression of estimated age versus crown diameter was produced and this provided an
217	equation for predicting crown diameter for trees of a given age. This prediction was applied to all
218	the data for these two species and used to produce a table of predicted crown diameters for trees of a
219	given age and DBH.
220	Results
221	Allometric modelling
222	Regression equations for crown diameter based on DBH for all four species are shown in Table 3. the
223	individual quadratic regressions produced for all four species examined are appended. The similarity
224	of the equations and regressions from two separate tree stocks in terms of their DBH and crown
225	diameter ratios is evident, particularly in the case of oak and sycamore. A model was fitted using
226	interaction terms to test for between-species differences in the allometric relationship between DBH
227	and crown diameter. This showed generally small differences and therefore data for the four species
228	were combined.
229	Insert Table 3 near here
230	The combined quadratic regression showing crown diameter versus DBH for all four species (Figure
231	3) was statistically significant and had an adjusted R <sup>2</sup> value of 0.82. Data from the four species
232	combined conformed well to the regression line (p<0.001).
233	Insert Figure 3 near here
234	The data were combined in a regression of the ratio of crown diameter/DBH versus DBH as shown
235	in Figure 4. This produced a significant range of higher ratios in smaller trees from 0.05 to 0.5 m
236	DBH. The regression shows gradual stabilisation of the ratio with increased stem growth towards a
237	ratio of around 1:25. This result suggests this ratio may be typical for open-grown urban broadleaf
238	trees of these four species where their crowns have been unfettered throughout their early

Insert Figure 4 near here

development.

239

240

241 242	The interval plot produced for species versus the ratio of crown diameter/DBH is shown in Figure 5. This shows that the mean ratio for all four species lay between 1:24 and 1:27.
243	Insert Figure 5 near here
244	The results of testing the predictive ability of the regression equations using the randomised combined
245	data, for DBH versus crown diameter and the randomised ratio of crown diameter/DBH versus DBH
246	produced statistically significant results with a p-value of <0.001. The root mean squared errors
247	represented 1.5 metres and 2.5 metres respectively.
248	Multiple regression
249	The regressions produced for tree height and crown depth versus crown diameter highlighted that
250	both had a relationship with crown diameter. This was confirmed in an initial multiple regression
251	where these two elements had VIF factors of 13.8 and 9.8 respectively. Height and crown depth were
252	therefore excluded from the multiple regression presented in Table 4 to allow the effect of the site
253	factors to be examined.
254	Insert Table 4 near here
255	The multiple regression shown in Table 4 demonstrates that species and age class were statistically
256	significant predictors of crown diameter from DBH. While the hard-standing around a tree was shown
257	as statistically significant, the p-value is much closer to 0.05, implying a weaker relationship. Other
258	site factors were not statistically significant when included in the model.
259	Comparing the predicted canopy size for a tree with a DBH of 0.50 m produced using the quadratic
260	regression equation presented in Figure 3 versus the predicted value produced using the multiple
261	regression equation in Table 4 gave a difference of only 0.12 m in canopy size, suggesting that the
262	site factors included in the multiple regression model had only a weak effect on the predicted crown
263	diameter.
264	Relationship between canopy diameter and tree age
265	The re-measurement of oak and sycamore in Norwich found average annual diameter increments of
266	7 mm and 9.5 mm respectively. One of the aims of this study was to provide a measure of ultimate
267	growth potential at a given age for these two species. Table 5 presents the equations for calculating
268	crown diameter for trees of a given age for oak and sycamore. The equations provided here represent
269	what must be regarded as estimations and there will be a degree of variation from the calculated figure
270	when any one tree is assessed.
271	Insert Table 5 near here
272	Table 6 provides predictions of DBH and crown diameter for a given age for free-standing urban trees
273	for two of the four species surveyed, based upon the sample means from our study.
274	Insert Table 6 near here

Discussion

- 276 The choice of statistical techniques to provide predictions of crown diameter from DBH and vice
- versa differs widely in the literature. In this study, overall quadratic regressions produced a higher
- adjusted R<sup>2</sup> value than linear regressions. However, the non-linear nature of quadratic regression lines
- means their use for making predictions outside the range of the data presented here is cautioned
- against.
- As first noted by Hemery et al. (2005), in a study of forest trees, the relationship between the DBH
- and crown diameter is close to linear up to 0.5 m stem diameter. The results of our study indicate that
- 283 this also applies to urban trees with unfettered crowns.
- The research trend in this subject is to use ever more sophisticated statistical models to produce results
- that explain the coefficient of variation in terms of  $R^2$  (McPherson et al. 2016). The predictive strength
- is often little better than what was achieved in earlier work, for example Duchaufour (1903) working
- 287 with forest grown beech produced a linear regression for DBH versus crown diameter with an R<sup>2</sup> of
- 288 0.92. The body of work that has been undertaken in this field demonstrates that there is a very strong
- relationship between these two dimensions for most tree species and in a wide range of site conditions
- 290 worldwide. The findings of this study suggest that general, non-species specific regression equations
- could provide acceptable accuracy for many purposes (Krajicek et al. 1957; Gering and May 1995;
- 292 O'Brien et al. 1995; Hemrey 2005).
- 293 The key finding of this study and one that has not featured widely in the literature is that, in this
- sample at least, site factors had a very limited impact on the allometric relationship between DBH
- and crown diameter. For example, pruning was found not have a statistically significant impact on
- the relationship; however, it should be noted that pollarded and topped trees were excluded from the
- study. Other researchers have found pruning a problem in the formulation of regression equations e.g.
- Peper et al. (2001a and 2001b), but in an earlier paper Peper (1998) also concluded that light pruning
- 299 had no impact on this allometric relationship. Given that just over half the trees in this survey had
- been crown lifted the limited impact is perhaps surprising. Crown lifting, by definition, removes lower
- branches which are potentially suppressed and subject to the apical dominance of the upper crown
- 302 (Rahman et al. 2014), which could also explain this result.
- Hard standing and impermeable surfaces within the crown spread of the trees was also found to have
- a very limited effect on the allometric relationship. In an urban tree growth study, Quigley (2004)
- found the growth of early successional species such as oak and silver birch were little affected by
- 306 hard surfacing which, to an extent, supports our finding.
- While detailed soil analysis was not possible, there appeared to be no statistically significant
- 308 difference in the allometry of the trees sampled due to local soil types, including the sandy soils of
- Norwich and heavy clays of Peterborough.
- Our study shows minimal variation in the relationship between crown diameter and DBH in the two
- 311 locations surveyed. However, in other situations, researchers have reported geographical variation
- 312 (Urban et al. 2010; Lines et al. 2012; Montallebi and Kangor 2016; Vaz Monteiro et al. 2016). It is
- accepted that these studies are not directly comparable. Some studies where regional variation in the
- 314 DBH to crown diameter relationship has been reported, have examined more extreme changes in
- altitude and climatic zones (Korhonen and Heikkinen 2009; Lines et al. 2012; McPherson et al. 2016).
- For example, the extensive study completed by McPherson et al. (2016) covered sixteen climatic
- 317 zones. In contrast, there were only minor differences between the climate and altitude in the two
- 318 locations included in our project, which may explain the similarity of the results. However, Vaz
- Monteiro et al. (2016) found variations in DBH versus crown diameter relationships between Luton

- and London (54 kilometres apart) and Glasgow and Edinburgh (74 kilometres apart). These are closer
- than the distance from Norwich and Peterborough.
- 322 All the figures presented in this study relate to free-standing urban trees. From the literature it is
- 323 sometimes difficult to distinguish between studies of general tree populations and open grown
- 324 specimens. The concentration on free standing trees in this study is important in that it allowed a
- measure of ultimate crown spread.
- Arboriculturists need to work with and have a good understanding of tree development over time.
- 327 The attempt in this study to link DBH to crown diameter predictions and to the age of the trees is
- unusual in this field. The growth rate estimates used compare well with other published figures. For
- example, White (1988) suggests that, mature oak continue steady growth to around 100 years with an
- annual DBH increment of 6 mm and sycamore to 60 years with an annual increment of 12 mm. Both
- figures are roughly comparable with the growth increments reported here (7 mm for average growth
- for mature oak and 9.5 mm for sycamore). The key finding of Vaz Monterio et al. (2017) was that
- tree growth rates varied significantly across the regions sampled; however, this study was confined
- to trees growing in green space and therefore is not directly comparable. In the urban forest, local site
- characteristics are often a more important factor (Sanders 2013). Our results showed significant
- localised variation in growth rates in the samples re-measured. It is important to distinguish growth
- rates from the allometric relationship between DBH and crown diameter which, based on our sample,
- remained stable regardless of the growth rates (Berlyn 1962). The corroboration of the estimate of
- annual growth by the literature provides a firm base for the calculation of age in relation to DBH, by
- 340 dividing DBH by the appropriate annual increment.
- Providing predictive data in tables has not been widely used other than when associated with studies
- of free-standing trees (Jobling and Pearce 1997; Frelich 1992; Lukaszkiewicz and Kosmala 2008).
- 343 This approach provides a useful way of disseminating the results of predictive equations to a wider
- audience. However, any expanded working model would need to present not just tables but also the
- 345 supporting equations, as the equations are needed to facilitate computer modelling for tree
- 346 management purposes.
- 347 Limitations of the study and avenues for further research
- 348 The results presented apply specifically to free-standing urban trees and no attempt has been made to
- extend the study to explore the effects of crown competition. However, the focus on open grown trees
- does provide a measure of the growth potential of the species included. It also provides comparative
- data on which to base further studies of the DBH versus crown diameter relationships of trees with
- 352 competing crowns (Pretzsch et al. 2015).
- A further limitation is that no definitive planting dates were available for the population of trees
- surveyed. While the estimates of growth increment and age compare well with other published data,
- basing the calculations on known planting dates would have provided a firmer basis for the
- predictions of crown diameter for a tree of a given age. In addition, a detailed comparison of soil
- qualities for the individual tree positions may have given insight into differences in tree growth rate
- 358 and tree form.

# **Conclusions**

361

- The relationship between DBH and crown diameter for both cities was very similar, which suggests
- that geographical location alone may not be significant in the UK context, although further studies
- may find differences when surveying in locations with greater environmental differences. In the
- context of our survey the results demonstrate that the allometric relationship between DBH and crown
- diameter was not strongly linked to species (for the four species studied). The exploration of other
- 367 allometric relationships found that tree height and crown depth also have a significant relationship
- with crown diameter, but with significantly lower coefficients of determination than for DBH.
- The influence of site factors including the extent of pruning, hard standing around the tree, and soil
- type did not significantly affect the allometric relationship for the 400 trees surveyed in this study.
- 371 There is a strong underlying allometric relationship between DBH and crown diameter and, based on
- the findings of this study, this appears to transcend common external influences that may disrupt tree
- 373 growth. There is also a degree of variation that cannot be explained by geographical location, site
- 374 factors or past management. If more accurate predictions are sought, it may be necessary to research
- other factors, especially variation in tree form that may relate to plant genetics. There are also many
- other urban site factors not included in this study, for example the effects of air pollution, restricted
- 377 rooting environments, relative exposure to wind, soil drainage and soil compaction.
- 378 Predictive equations have been produced by many authors over an extended period. They have
- 379 received limited attention outside academia, other than their use in software calculating ecosystem
- services provided by trees such as i-Tree. The body of research on this topic needs to be collated and
- rationalized producing generalized equations for urban tree populations that will be of immediate use
- to practitioners. The use of the ratio of DBH to crown dimeter in this paper illustrates the value of a
- simple multiplier that would be useful for practitioners in the field. Results presented in Table 6, and
- the corresponding models may be useful to a wider audience, particularly those concerned with urban
- 385 tree management.

386 387

388

392

394

398

400

## Acknowledgements

- The authors would like to thank the following for their help and support in the preparation of this
- 390 paper: Dr Emma Coombes and Professor Andy Jones of the University of East Anglia; Jonathan
- Bundock, Robert Green, and Gavin Robbie of A.T. Coombes Associates Ltd and Kit Hardy.

### 393 References

- Armson, D., Stringer, P. and Ennos, A.R., 2013. The effect of street trees and amenity grass on
- urban surface water runoff in Manchester, UK. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 12(3), pp.282-
- 397 286.
- 399 Ayhan, H.O. (1974) 'Crown diameter: DBH relations in Scots pine'. Arbor, 5 (4), pp. 15–25.

401 402 403	Berlyn, G.P. (1962) 'Some size and shape relationships between tree stems and crowns.' <i>Iowa State Journal of Science</i> , 37, pp. 7–15.
404 405	British Geological Survey (2017) Geology of Britain Viewer. Accessed 03/04/17
406	via http://mapapps.bgs.ac.uk/geologyofbritain/home.html
407	
408 409	Clark, J. R. and Matheny, N. (2010) 'The research foundation to tree pruning: A review of the literature'. <i>Arboriculture and Urban Forestry</i> , 36 (3), pp.110-120.
410 411 412 413	Dawkins, H.C. (1963) 'Crown Diameters: Their Relation to Bole Diameter in Tropical Forest Trees'. The Commonwealth Forestry Review, 42 (4), pp. 318-333.
414 415	Duchaufour, A. (1903) 'L'aménagement de la Forêt de Compiègne'. Revue Eaux et Forêt, 42, pp. 65–78.
416	
417	Frelich, L. E. (1992) Predicting dimensional relationships for Twin Cities shade trees.
418 419 420 421	Gering, L.R. and May, D.M. (1995) 'The Relationship of Diameter at Breast Height and Crown Diameter for Four Species Groups in Hardin County, Tennessee'. <i>Southern Journal of Applied Forestry</i> , 19 (4), pp. 177-181.
422	
423	Hein, S. Collet, C. Ammer, C. Le Goff, N., Skovsgaard, J. P. and Savill, P. (2009)
424 425	'A review of growth and stand dynamics of Acer pseudoplatanus L. in Europe: implications for silviculture'. <i>Forestry</i> , 82 (4), pp. 361-385.
426 427 428 429 430 431	Hemery, G.E. Savill, P.S. Pryor, S.N. (2005) 'Applications of the crown diameter–stem diameter relationship for different species of broadleaved trees.' <i>Forest Ecology and Management</i> , 215 (1–3), pp. 285–294.
431 432 433	Hummel, S. (2000) 'Height, diameter and crown dimensions of <i>Cordials alliodora</i> associated with tree density'. <i>Forest Ecology and Management</i> , 127 (1–3), pp. 31–34.
434 435 436 437	Korhonen, L. Heikkinen, J. (2009) 'Automated analysis of in situ canopy images for the estimation of forest canopy cover'. <i>Forest Science</i> , 55 (4), pp. 323–334.
438 439	Krajicek, J. E. Brinkman, K. A. and Gingrich, S. F. (1957) 'Com? etitio Measure of Density'. <i>Journal of Forestry</i> , 55, pp.99-104.
440	
441 442 443	Lines, E. R. Zavala, M. A. Purves, D. W. and Coomes, D. A. (2012) 'Predictable changes in aboveground allometry of trees along gradients of temperature, aridity and competition'. <i>Global Ecology and Biogeography</i> , 21 (10), pp.1017-1028.

- 444 Lukaszkiewicz, J. and Kosmala, M. (2008) 'Determining the age of streetside trees with diameter at 445 breast height-based multifactorial model'. Arboriculture and Urban Forestry, 34 (3), p.137. 446 447 Lyytimäki, J. and Sipilä, M. (2009) 'Hopping on one leg-The challenge of ecosystem disservices for 448 urban green management'. Urban Forestry and Urban Greening, 8 (4), pp. 309-315. 449 450 Lyytimäki, J. Petersen, L. K. Normander, B. and Bezák, P. (2008) 'Nature as a nuisance? Ecosystem 451 services and disservices to urban lifestyle'. Environmental Sciences, 5 (3), pp.161-172. 452 453 Martin, N. A. Chappelka, A. H. Loewenstein, E. F. Keever, G. J. and Somers, G. (2012) 'Predictive 454 open-grown crown width equations for three oak species planted in a southern urban locale'. 455 Arboriculture and Urban Forestry, 38 (2), pp. 58. 456 457 McPherson, E. G. van Doorn, N. S. and Peper, P. J. (2016) Urban tree database and allometric 458 equations. USDA General Technical Report PSW-GTR-253. 459 460 461 Met Office (2017) Eastern England Climate - Met Office. Accessed 25/03/17 via http://www. metoffice.gov.uk/climate/uk/regional climates/ee 462 463 Mynors, C., (2011) The Law of Trees Forests and Hedges Second Edition 10.5.2 pp 275-276 Sweet 464 465 Maxwell 466 Nowak, D. J. (1996) 'Notes: estimating leaf area and leaf biomass of open-grown deciduous urban 467 trees.' Forest Science, 42 (4), pp. 504-507. 468 469 Nowak, D. J. and Crane, D. E. (2002) 'Carbon storage and sequestration by urban trees in the USA'. 470 Environmental Pollution, 116 (3), pp. 381-389. 471 472 Nowak, D.J., Crane, D.E. and Stevens, J.C., 2006. Air pollution removal by urban trees and shrubs 473 474 in the United States. Urban forestry & urban greening, 4(3-4), pp.115-123. Nowak, D.J., Crane, D.E., Stevens, J.C., Hoehn, R.E., Walton, J.T. and Bond, J., 2008. A ground-based method 475 476 of assessing urban forest structure and ecosystem services. Arboriculture & Urban Forestry. 34 (6): 347-358., 34(6). 477
- Nowak, D.J., Maco, S. and Binkley, M., 2018. i-Tree: Global tools to assess tree benefits and risks to improve forest management. *Arboricultural Consultant*. *51* (*4*): *10-13*., *51*(4), pp.10-13.

O'Brien, S. Hubbell, S. Spiro, P. Condit, R. and Foster, R. (1995) 'Diameter, Height, Crown, and Age Relationship in Eight Neotropical Tree Species'. *Ecology*, 76 (6), pp. 1926-1939.

483 484	Ordinance Survey (2017). GPS/transformation. Accessed on 03/04/17
485	via https://www.ordnancesurvey.co.uk/gps/transformation
486	
487 488	Peper, P. J. M. (1998) 'Comparison of four foliar and woody biomass estimation methods applied to open-grown deciduous trees.' <i>Journal of Arboriculture</i> , 24 (4), pp. 191-200.
489 490 491 492	Peper, P. McPherson, E.G. Mori, S.M. (2001a) 'Equations for predicting Diameter, Crown Width, and Leaf Area of San Joaquin Valley Street Trees'. <i>Journal of Arboriculture</i> , 27 (6), pp. 306-317.
493	
494 495 496	Peper, P. J., McPherson, E. G., and Mori, S. M. (2001b) 'Predictive equations for dimensions and leaf area of coastal Southern California street trees.' <i>Journal of Arboriculture</i> , 27 (4), pp 169-180.
497	
498 499 500	Pretzsch, H. Biber, P. Uhl, E. Dahlhausen, J. Rötzer, T. Caldentey, J., and Du Toit, B. (2015) 'Crown size and growing space requirement of common tree species in urban centres, parks, and forests.' <i>Urban Forestry and Urban Greening</i> , 14 (3), pp. 466-479.
501	
502 503	Quigley, M. F. (2004) 'Street trees and rural conspecifics: will long-lived trees reach full size in urban conditions?' <i>Urban Ecosystems</i> , 7 (1), pp. 29-39.
504	
505 506 507	Rahman, L. Umeki, K. and Honjo, T. (2014) 'Modelling qualitative and quantitative elements of branch growth in saplings of four evergreen broad-leaved tree species growing in a temperate Japanese forest'. <i>Trees</i> , 28 (5), pp.1539-1552.
508	
509 510 511 512	Shashua-Bar, L. Potchter, O. Bitan, A. Boltansky, D. and Yaakov, Y. (2010) 'Microclimate modelling of street tree species effects within the varied urban morphology in the Mediterranean city of Tel Aviv, Israel'. International Journal of Climatology: A Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 30(1), pp.44-57.
513	
514 515 516	Stoffberg, G. H. Van Rooyen, M. W. Van der Linde, M. J. and Groeneveld, H. T. (2008) 'Predicting the growth in tree height and crown size of three street tree species in the City of Tshwane, South Africa'. <i>Urban Forestry and Urban Greening</i> , 7 (4), pp. 259-264.
517 518 519	Troxel, B., Piana, M., Aston M S and Murphy-Dunning, C., (2013) 'Relationships between bole and crown size for young urban trees in the northeastern USA Urban Forestry and Urban Greening Volume 12, Issue 2 pp. 127-262
520 521 522 523	Urban J, Rebrošová K. Dobrovolný L, Schneider J (2010) 'Allometry of four European Beech stands growing at the contrasting localities in small-scale area'. <i>Folia Oecologica</i> , 37 (1), pp. 103–112

524	
525 526	Vaz Monteiro, M. Doick, K. J. and Handley, P. (2016) 'Allometric relationships for urban trees in Great Britain'. <i>Urban Forestry and Urban Greening</i> , 19, pp. 223-236.
527	
528 529 530	Vaz Monteiro, M., Levanič, T. and Doick, K. J. (2017) 'Growth rates of common urban trees in five cities in Great Britain: A dendrochronological evaluation with an emphasis on the impact of climate'. <i>Urban Forestry and Urban Greening</i> , 22, pp. 11-23.
531	
532 533	White, J. (1998) <i>Estimating the age of large and veteran trees in Britain</i> . Forestry Practice. Forestry Commission, Edinburgh, UK.
534	
535 536	Williamson, T, (2006) England's landscape East Anglia Ed Cossons N English Heritage.
537	Figure Captions
538	
539	<b>Figure 1:</b> The locations of Norwich and Peterborough in the UK (Ordnance Survey 2017).
540 541	<b>Figure 2:</b> Average crown diameter was obtained for each of the 400 trees measured by the formula: $(r1 + r2 + r3 + r4) / 2$ .
542 543	<b>Figure 3:</b> Crown diameter versus DBH for the combined data. (Crown diameter = $0.8304 + 27.82$ DBH - $10.68$ DBH <sup>2</sup> ).
544 545 546	<b>Figure 4:</b> Ratio of crown diameter/DBH versus DBH for the combined data. (Ratio = 35.9 - 31.19 DBH + 14.19 DBH <sup>2</sup> ).
547 548 549	<b>Figure 5:</b> Interval plot showing the ratio of crown diameter/DBH by species. Mean ratio for the species are as follows: Norway maple 1:25.98, oak 1:26.62, silver birch 1:24.88 and sycamore 1: 26.39. All four species fell within the range 1:24 to 1.27. The bars show standard deviation.
550	
551	Table Captions
552	•
553	<b>Table 1:</b> Comparison of 10-year average annual climate data for Norwich and Peterborough.
554	
555	Table 2: The sampling pattern for Norwich and Peterborough.
556	The sampling patient for their and reteriorions.
	Table 2. Overdustic magnetic magnetic for commonicant between stars discrete and according
557	<b>Table 3:</b> Quadratic regression results for comparisons between stem diameter and crown diameter.

558	
559 560 561	<b>Table 4:</b> Multiple regression of the combined data, assessing site factors versus the ratio of crown diameter/DBH (y) versus DBH (x). The coefficient (Coef), the standard error of coefficient (SE coef) p-value and the Variation Inflation factor (VIF) are reported.
562	
563	Table 5: Crown diameter versus age regression equations for oak and sycamore.
564	
565	Table 6: Individual allometry predictions for a given tree age of oak and sycamore.
566	