

## Journal Pre-proofs

Editorial

Emerging Insights on Internet Gaming Disorder: Conceptual and Measurement Issues

Halley M. Pontes, Vasileios Stavropoulos, Mark D. Griffiths

PII: S2352-8532(19)30242-1

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abrep.2019.100242>

Reference: ABREP 100242

To appear in: *Addictive Behaviors Reports*



Please cite this article as: H.M. Pontes, V. Stavropoulos, M.D. Griffiths, Emerging Insights on Internet Gaming Disorder: Conceptual and Measurement Issues, *Addictive Behaviors Reports* (2019), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abrep.2019.100242>

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd.

**Title:** Emerging Insights on Internet Gaming Disorder: Conceptual and Measurement Issues

**Authors:**

Halley M. Pontes<sup>1,2</sup>

Vasileios Stavropoulos<sup>3</sup>

Mark D. Griffiths<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>: Division of Psychology, School of Medicine, University of Tasmania, Launceston, Australia.

<sup>2</sup>: The International Cyberpsychology and Addictions Research Laboratory (iCARL), University of Tasmania, Launceston, Australia.

<sup>3</sup>: School of Psychology, College of Health and Biomedicine, Victoria University, Melbourne, Australia.

<sup>4</sup>: Psychology Department, Nottingham Trent University, Nottingham, United Kingdom.

Video gaming has become one of the most prevalent forms of leisure activity in today's societies. The latest data from the Entertainment Software Association (ESA) reported that approximately 65% of all American adults play videogames regularly and that approximately 75% of households have at least one gamer (Entertainment Software Association, 2019). Video gaming is widely accessible and the most commonly used devices for playing among adult gamers in the United States of America (USA) are smartphones (60%), personal computers (52%), and dedicated game consoles (49%) (Entertainment Software Association, 2019). A similar trend has been reported in other countries. For example, in Australia, the Interactive Games and Entertainment Association (IGEA) recently reported that 67% of all Australians play videogames and that 97% of households with children have computer games (Interactive Games & Entertainment Association, 2017). For the majority of gamers, the activity leads to positive and beneficial outcomes including psychomotor, cognitive, therapeutic, and educational benefits (Granic, Lobel, & Engels, 2013; M. D. Griffiths, 2019; F. Nuyens, Kuss, Lopez-Fernandez, & Griffiths, 2017). In general, gamers report that playing has a positive impact on their lives, with nearly 80% of all American adult gamers reporting that games provide mental stimulation, relaxation, and stress relief (Entertainment Software Association, 2019). Similarly, the majority of Australian gamers report that gaming helps them improve their thinking skills (84%), dexterity (78%), and manage pain (59%).

Although the great majority of gamers report experiencing similar positive outcomes, the extant literature has systematically reported detrimental and harmful effects stemming from excessive gaming, particularly in relation to disordered gaming (Burleigh, Griffiths, Sumich, Stavropoulos, & Kuss, 2019; F. M. Nuyens, Kuss, Lopez-Fernandez, & Griffiths, 2019; Şalvarlı & Griffiths, 2019; Stavropoulos, Gomez, & Motti-Stefanidi, 2019). The consequences of excessive and addictive gaming have been researched for over 35 years (e.g., Harry, 1983;

Ross, Finestone, & Lavin, 1982) and the psychiatric importance of this phenomenon has been steadily increasing since 2013.

In light of the latest conceptual and diagnostic advances in the field, the American Psychiatric Association (APA) included 'Internet Gaming Disorder' (IGD) in the fifth revision of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders* (DSM-5) (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) as a tentative addictive disorder warranting further research. Furthermore, the APA has proposed a diagnostic framework defining IGD as a condition characterized by disordered gaming behavior leading to significant clinical impairments within a period of 12 months as indicated by the endorsement of five out of nine following diagnostic criteria. These criteria include: (i) preoccupation with games ('*preoccupation*'); (ii) withdrawal symptoms when gaming is taken away ('*withdrawal*'); (iii) tolerance, resulting in the need to spend increasing amounts of time gaming ('*tolerance*'); (iv) unsuccessful attempts to control gaming activities ('*loss of control*' and '*relapse*'); (v) loss of interest in previous hobbies and pastime activities as a result of, and with the exception of, gaming ('*giving up other activities*'); (vi) continued excessive gaming behavior despite the knowledge of psychosocial problems ('*continuation*'); (vii) deceiving family members, therapists, or others regarding the amount of gaming ('*deception*'); (viii) gaming to escape or cope with negative mood states ('*escape*' and '*mood modification*'); and (ix) jeopardizing or losing significant relationships, jobs, or education or career opportunities due to gaming ('*negative consequences*') (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).

More recently, additional developments in the field culminated in the long-awaited formal recognition of 'Gaming Disorder' (GD) as a behavioral addiction by the World Health Organization (WHO) in May 2019 (M. D. Griffiths & Pontes, 2019; Pontes & Griffiths, 2019). Accordingly, GD is characterized by a pattern of online and/or offline gaming behaviors that is persistent and indicated by the following clinical criteria: impaired control over gaming (i.e.,

onset, frequency, intensity, duration, termination, context – ‘*loss of control*’); increasing priority given to gaming to the extent that it takes precedence over other life interests and daily activities (‘*giving up other activities*’); and continuation or escalation of gaming despite the occurrence of negative consequences (‘*continuation*’). Additionally, these symptoms must occur within a 12-month timeframe and the behavior pattern must be of sufficient severity and lead to significant impairments (i.e., personal, family, social, educational, occupational) across important areas of life (‘*negative consequences*’) (World Health Organization, 2019).

Given the public health relevance of this emerging phenomenon, researchers must continue to carry out high-quality research to further improve the current understanding of GD and the way in which it negatively impacts the life of a minority of gamers. To this end, previous robust epidemiological research has reported prevalence rates of GD usually below 5% among nationally representative samples (Pontes, 2018). It is clear that the research community is taking seriously the issue of GD as timely special issues dedicated to different aspects of GD have been recently published on a number of refereed journals. These included recent special issues focusing on investigating “Internet Gaming Disorder: A Pathway Towards Assessment Consensus” (Stavropoulos, Gomez, et al., 2019) and the “Neural Mechanisms Underlying Internet Gaming Disorder” (Zhang & Brand, 2018).

With this in mind, the present special issue sought to contribute to the many ongoing debates in the field of GD (see Ferguson, Bean, Nielsen, & Smyth, 2019; Ferguson & Colwell, 2019; M. D. Griffiths, Van Rooij, et al., 2016; Schimmenti & Starcevic, 2019; van Rooij et al., 2018) and to expand the knowledge base of this condition by encouraging additional relevant research focusing on providing novel insights to help tackle conceptual and measurement issues surrounding GD. This is a timely challenge given that the two major diagnostic frameworks for this condition are not consistent in the number of criteria needed to be endorsed to diagnose GD. Such inconsistencies led Pontes, Schivinski, Brzozowska-Woś, and

Stavropoulos (2019) to suggest that the operationalization for GD according to the APA and WHO frameworks highlight important discrepancies at the clinical level. Furthermore, these authors posited that the WHO framework arguably takes a laxer approach when defining the condition by reducing the number of criteria needed to be endorsed, potentially contributing to over-diagnosis and over-pathologization of GD among gamers. This is a key consideration given that the choice of diagnostic framework itself may negatively affect diagnostic accuracy of GD in terms of specificity and sensitivity, as well as positive and negative predictive values, which are key parameters in clinical assessment. Interestingly, such discrepancies between these two diagnostic frameworks have been found to interfere with the estimation of prevalence rates in GD (Montag et al., 2019), and a recent neuroimaging study found key neurobiological differences associated with the two adoption of the two diagnostic frameworks to measure GD (Zhou et al., 2019).

In this context, the studies published within this special issue of *Addictive Behaviors Reports* on GD provide further valuable empirical insights concerning this condition at several levels. Overall, the studies recruited culturally and developmentally diverse samples from several countries, including Italy (Triberti et al., 2018), United Kingdom (Moudiab & Spada, 2019), Poland (Schivinski, Brzozowska-Woś, Buchanan, Griffiths, & Pontes, 2018), USA (Snodgrass, Zhao, Lacy, Zhang, & Tate, 2019; Stavropoulos, Anderson, et al., 2019), Australia (Hu, Stavropoulos, Anderson, Scerri, & Collard, 2019; Scerri, Anderson, Stavropoulos, & Hu, 2019; Stavropoulos, Adams, et al., 2019; Stavropoulos, Anderson, et al., 2019), New Zealand (Hu et al., 2019), China (Snodgrass et al., 2019), as well as participants from different European countries (Snodgrass et al., 2019). Although all the studies in the special issue recruited participants via online surveys, the studies used a different range of analytical strategies to provide empirical insights concerning GD. These included using basic multivariate statistical analyses such as testing multiple linear regression models (Moudiab & Spada, 2019;

Snodgrass et al., 2019; Stavropoulos, Adams, et al., 2019; Stavropoulos, Anderson, et al., 2019; Triberti et al., 2018), latent variable modeling using exploratory factor analysis, confirmatory factor analysis and testing for Multiple Indicator, Multiple Cause (MIMIC) models (Schivinski et al., 2018; Snodgrass et al., 2019), Item Response Theory (Schivinski et al., 2018), moderation analyses (Stavropoulos, Adams, et al., 2019; Stavropoulos, Anderson, et al., 2019) and mediation analyses (Hu et al., 2019; Scerri et al., 2019).

In addition to using a relatively diverse array of statistical analyses, the studies published in the special issue yielded important findings. The study by Triberti et al. (2018) investigated the relationship between average time spent playing over day phases (morning, afternoon, night; week days; weekend days), age, and game preferences in relation to GD. The authors concluded that time spent playing over day phases is related to game preferences and age and that GD predicts time spent gaming on mornings. The study by Schivinski et al. (2018) scrutinized the APA diagnostic criteria for IGD in a large-scale survey and found that each IGD criterion presents with distinct clinical weighting when diagnosing this condition, leading the authors to conclude that the nine IGD criteria need to take into account differential clinical weighting in diagnostic practices. The special issue also published the first study ever conducted on the intricacies between the Hikikomori phenomenon and GD (Stavropoulos, Anderson, et al., 2019). This study found that Hikikomori symptoms are usually associated with higher incidence of GD symptoms and living with parents may exacerbate GD in Australian gamers. In another important study, Hu et al. (2019) investigated the interplay between preference for social games, flow levels, and gender in the context of GD. The authors found that exclusive preference for social games is associated with higher levels of flow and GD symptoms while gender did not produce significant effects.

Other research published in the special issue investigated GD in the context of Self-Determination Theory (Deci & Ryan, 1985). This study by Scerri et al. (2019) found that need

fulfilment deficits were associated with increased GD symptoms, an association that was mediated by self-esteem and depression but not loneliness. Another study in the special issue investigated psychological motives and maladaptive cognitions among those with GD (Moudiab & Spada, 2019). The authors found that motives associated with coping skills development and maladaptive cognitions associated to overvaluing in-game rewards significantly predicted GD regardless of negative affect and problematic Internet use.

Finally, this special issue of *Addictive Behaviors Reports* included strong contributions from studies examining the cross-cultural effects of GD (Snodgrass et al., 2019; Stavropoulos, Adams, et al., 2019). In their study, Snodgrass et al. (2019) showed that, in particular, the addictive and problematic dimensions of gaming distress are influenced by culture-specific expressions of achievement motivations, social connection and disconnection, and unique psychosomatic experiences across North American, European, and Chinese gamers. Relatedly, Stavropoulos, Adams, et al. (2019) found that among Australian and American gamers, increased inattention and hyperactivity levels associated with increased GD symptoms among the two samples. The study also found that these associations differed across genders between the two countries because more hyperactive-impulsive and inattentive males in the USA presented higher levels of disordered gaming in comparison to Australian gamers with a similar profile.

Although significant progress appears to have been made in relation to the conceptualization, measurement, understanding, and the treatment of GD behaviors, the extant knowledge in the field necessitates further advancement across several important areas. More specifically, GD manifestations have been often implicated with the psychological attachment developed between gamers and their in-game figure of representation, commonly known as the avatar (Liew, Stavropoulos, Adams, Burleigh, & Griffiths, 2018; Stavropoulos, Dumble, Cokorilo, Griffiths, & Pontes, 2019). Interestingly, the same gamer-avatar association has been

associated with potential behavior transference in real-life (e.g., Stavropoulos, Gomez, Mueller, Yucel, & Griffiths, in press). Therefore, it follows that specific aspects of GD may invite a convergence of the users' in-game and real-life behaviors, which (depending upon the character adopted online) could be either adaptive or maladaptive. However, further knowledge is required in relation to the specific interplay between offline and online demographics that could accommodate the channeling of in-game behaviors in a gamer's real life conduct. Such studies are valued as significant because they could offer clinically useful information concerning either real-life disruptive or real-life functional behaviors, precipitated and perpetuated by GD manifestations.

Furthermore, GD appears to be uniquely different when compared to other forms of behavioral addictions because gamers in non-western countries appear to be at a higher risk (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) although this might be a consequence of how different cultures view the activity of gaming more generally (for instance, non-western societies often pathologize any activity that is not educationally- or family-oriented (M. D. Griffiths, Kuss, Billieux, & Pontes, 2016). However, gaming-related motivations have not been yet thoroughly examined in the context of cultural differences, such as those related to individualistic-collectivistic or hierarchy reflecting cultural values. This gap in the literature appears significant given the global impact of GD behaviors, as well as their particular effects and consequences in the populations of multicultural societies. Specific knowledge considering the cultural aspects involved with GD would enable the employment of more culturally responsive (and thus resource-effective) prevention and intervention initiatives. Finally, while GD behaviors have been often associated with other forms of addiction (both chemical and behavioral) (both chemical and behavioral; see Burleigh et al., 2019 for a recent review), it is still unclear as to what extent and how "addiction hopping" phenomena occur (e.g., migrating from one form of addiction to another; alternatively known as cross-addiction (M. Griffiths,

Parke, & Wood, 2002; Haylett, Stephenson, & Lefever, 2004). Such knowledge could significantly advance clinical practice in the field by addressing addiction tendencies holistically and in a more efficient manner. However, and independent of the future research directions adopted by scholars internationally, tackling such challenging GD issues requires consistency in regard to the formal diagnostic definitions and measures introduced, as well as appropriately capitalizing on the available empirical and clinical knowledge already accumulated.

Journal Pre-proofs

## References

- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (5th ed.)*. Arlington, VA: Author.
- Burleigh, T. L., Griffiths, M. D., Sumich, A., Stavropoulos, V., & Kuss, D. J. (2019). A systematic review of the co-occurrence of Gaming Disorder and other potentially addictive behaviors. *Current Addiction Reports*. doi:10.1007/s40429-019-00279-7
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior*. New York: Plenum.
- Entertainment Software Association. (2019). *2019 essential facts about the computer and video game industry*. Retrieved from Washington, DC: Retrieved from: [http://www.theesa.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/ESA\\_Essential\\_facts\\_2019\\_final.pdf](http://www.theesa.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/ESA_Essential_facts_2019_final.pdf)
- Ferguson, C. J., Bean, A. M., Nielsen, R. K. L., & Smyth, M. P. (2019). Policy on unreliable game addiction diagnoses puts the cart before the horse. *Psychology of Popular Media Culture*, No Pagination Specified-No Pagination Specified. doi:10.1037/ppm0000249
- Ferguson, C. J., & Colwell, J. (2019). Lack of consensus among scholars on the issue of video game “addiction”. *Psychology of Popular Media Culture*, No Pagination Specified-No Pagination Specified. doi:10.1037/ppm0000243
- Granic, I., Lobel, A., & Engels, R. C. M. E. (2013). The benefits of playing video games. *American Psychologist*, 69(1), 66-78. doi:10.1037/a0034857
- Griffiths, M., Parke, J., & Wood, R. (2002). Excessive gambling and substance abuse: is there a relationship? *Journal of Substance Use*, 7(4), 187-190. doi:10.1080/14659890215688
- Griffiths, M. D. (2019). The therapeutic and health benefits of playing video games. In A. Attrill-Smith, C. Fullwood, M. Keep, & D. J. Kuss (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Cyberpsychology* (pp. 485-505). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Griffiths, M. D., Kuss, D. J., Billieux, J., & Pontes, H. M. (2016). The evolution of Internet addiction: A global perspective. *Addictive Behaviors*, 53, 193-195. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2015.11.001
- Griffiths, M. D., & Pontes, H. M. (2019). The future of Gaming Disorder research and player protection: What role should the video gaming industry and researchers play? *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. doi:10.1007/s11469-019-00110-4
- Griffiths, M. D., Van Rooij, A. J., Kardefelt-Winther, D., Starcevic, V., Király, O., Pallesen, S., . . . Demetrovics, Z. (2016). Working towards an international consensus on criteria for assessing Internet Gaming Disorder: A critical commentary on Petry et al. (2014). *Addiction*, 111(1), 167-175. doi:10.1111/add.13057
- Harry, B. (1983). Obsessive video-game users. *JAMA*, 249(4), 473-473. doi:10.1001/jama.1983.03330280023014
- Haylett, S. A., Stephenson, G. M., & Lefever, R. M. H. (2004). Covariation in addictive behaviours: A study of addictive orientations using the Shorter PROMIS Questionnaire. *Addictive Behaviors*, 29(1), 61-71. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4603(03)00083-2
- Hu, E., Stavropoulos, V., Anderson, A., Scerri, M., & Collard, J. (2019). Internet gaming disorder: Feeling the flow of social games. *Addictive Behaviors Reports*, 9, 100140. doi:10.1016/j.abrep.2018.10.004
- Interactive Games & Entertainment Association. (2017). *Digital Australia 2018*. Retrieved from Eveleigh, NSW: IGEA. Retrieved from: <https://igea.net/2017/07/digital-australia-2018-da18/>

- Liew, L. W. L., Stavropoulos, V., Adams, B. L. M., Burleigh, T. L., & Griffiths, M. D. (2018). Internet Gaming Disorder: The interplay between physical activity and user–avatar relationship. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 37(6), 558-574. doi:10.1080/0144929X.2018.1464599
- Montag, C., Schivinski, B., Sariyska, R., Kannen, C., Demetrovics, Z., & Pontes, H. M. (2019). Psychopathological Symptoms and Gaming Motives in Disordered Gaming—A Psychometric Comparison between the WHO and APA Diagnostic Frameworks. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 8(10), 1691. doi:10.3390/jcm8101691
- Moudiab, S., & Spada, M. M. (2019). The relative contribution of motives and maladaptive cognitions to levels of Internet Gaming Disorder. *Addictive Behaviors Reports*, 9, 100160. doi:10.1016/j.abrep.2019.100160
- Nuyens, F., Kuss, D. J., Lopez-Fernandez, O., & Griffiths, M. D. (2017). The experimental analysis of problematic video gaming and cognitive skills: A systematic review. *Journal de Thérapie Comportementale et Cognitive*, 27(3), 110-117. doi:10.1016/j.jtcc.2017.05.001
- Nuyens, F. M., Kuss, D. J., Lopez-Fernandez, O., & Griffiths, M. D. (2019). The potential interaction between time perception and gaming: A narrative review. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. doi:10.1007/s11469-019-00121-1
- Pontes, H. M. (2018). Making the case for video game addiction: Does it exist or not? In C. J. Ferguson (Ed.), *Video Game Influences on Aggression, Cognition, and Attention* (pp. 41-57). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Pontes, H. M., & Griffiths, M. D. (2019). A new era for gaming disorder research: Time to shift from consensus to consistency. *Addictive Behaviors*, 106059. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2019.106059
- Pontes, H. M., Schivinski, B., Brzozowska-Woś, M., & Stavropoulos, V. (2019). Laxer clinical criteria for gaming disorder may hinder future efforts to devise an efficient diagnostic approach: A tree-based model study. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 8(10), 1730. doi:10.3390/jcm8101730
- Ross, D. R., Finestone, D. H., & Lavin, G. K. (1982). Space Invaders obsession. *The Journal of the American Medical Association*, 248(10), 1177. doi:10.1001/jama.1982.03330100017009
- Şalvarlı, Ş. İ., & Griffiths, M. D. (2019). Internet gaming disorder and its associated personality traits: A systematic review using PRISMA guidelines. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. doi:10.1007/s11469-019-00081-6
- Scerri, M., Anderson, A., Stavropoulos, V., & Hu, E. (2019). Need fulfilment and internet gaming disorder: A preliminary integrative model. *Addictive Behaviors Reports*, 9, 100144. doi:10.1016/j.abrep.2018.100144
- Schimmenti, A., & Starcevic, V. (2019). Logical fallacies in justifying problematic gaming as a mental disorder. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 53(7), 604-605. doi:10.1177/0004867418821431
- Schivinski, B., Brzozowska-Woś, M., Buchanan, E. M., Griffiths, M. D., & Pontes, H. M. (2018). Psychometric assessment of the Internet Gaming Disorder diagnostic criteria: An Item Response Theory study. *Addictive Behaviors Reports*, 8, 176-184. doi:10.1016/j.abrep.2018.06.004
- Snodgrass, J. G., Zhao, W., Lacy, M. G., Zhang, S., & Tate, R. (2019). The cross-cultural expression of internet gaming distress in North America, Europe, and China. *Addictive Behaviors Reports*, 9, 100146. doi:10.1016/j.abrep.2018.100146
- Stavropoulos, V., Adams, B. L. M., Beard, C. L., Dumble, E., Trawley, S., Gomez, R., & Pontes, H. M. (2019). Associations between attention deficit hyperactivity and internet

- gaming disorder symptoms: Is there consistency across types of symptoms, gender and countries? *Addictive Behaviors Reports*, 9, 100158. doi:10.1016/j.abrep.2018.100158
- Stavropoulos, V., Anderson, E. E., Beard, C., Latifi, M. Q., Kuss, D., & Griffiths, M. (2019). A preliminary cross-cultural study of Hikikomori and Internet Gaming Disorder: The moderating effects of game-playing time and living with parents. *Addictive Behaviors Reports*, 9, 100137. doi:10.1016/j.abrep.2018.10.001
- Stavropoulos, V., Dumble, E., Cokorilo, S., Griffiths, M. D., & Pontes, H. M. (2019). The physical, emotional, and identity user-avatar association with disordered gaming: A pilot study. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*. doi:10.1007/s11469-019-00136-8
- Stavropoulos, V., Gomez, R., & Motti-Stefanidi, F. (2019). Editorial: Internet Gaming Disorder: A pathway towards assessment consensus. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10(1822). doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01822
- Stavropoulos, V., Gomez, R., Mueller, A., Yucel, M., & Griffiths, M. D. (in press). User-avatar bond profiles: How do they associate with disordered gaming? *Addictive Behaviors*.
- Triberti, S., Milani, L., Villani, D., Grumi, S., Peracchia, S., Curcio, G., & Riva, G. (2018). What matters is when you play: Investigating the relationship between online video games addiction and time spent playing over specific day phases. *Addictive Behaviors Reports*, 8, 185-188. doi:10.1016/j.abrep.2018.06.003
- van Rooij, A. J., Ferguson, C. J., Colder Carras, M., Kardefelt-Winther, D., Shi, J., Aarseth, E., . . . Przybylski, A. K. (2018). A weak scientific basis for gaming disorder: Let us err on the side of caution. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 7(1), 1-9. doi:10.1556/2006.7.2018.19
- World Health Organization. (2019). ICD-11 Beta Draft: Gaming Disorder. Retrieved from <http://apps.who.int/classifications/icd11/browse/f/en#/http%3a%2f%2fid.who.int%2fid%2fentity%2f1448597234>
- Zhang, J. T., & Brand, M. (2018). Editorial: neural mechanisms underlying internet gaming disorder. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 9(404). doi:10.3389/fpsy.2018.00404
- Zhou, X., Wu, R., Liu, C., Kou, J., Chen, Y., Pontes, H. M., . . . Montag, C. (2019). Dimensional neuroimaging: (Internet) Gaming Disorder symptoms according to the WHO and APA frameworks associate with lower striatal volume. *bioRxiv*, 852855. doi:10.1101/852855