

1 **Coping with the cold: Predictors of survival in wild Barbary macaques, *Macaca***
2 ***sylvanus***

3

4 Richard McFarland^{a,b,*} & Bonaventura Majolo^a

5

6 ^aSchool of Psychology, University of Lincoln, Lincoln, U.K.

7 ^bBrain Function Research Group, School of Physiology, University of the Witwatersrand,
8 Johannesburg, South Africa (richard.mcfarland@wits.ac.za)

9

10 *Correspondence: R McFarland, School of Physiology, University of the Witwatersrand,
11 Medical School, 7 York Road, Parktown, Johannesburg 2193, South Africa. Tel: +27(0) 1171
12 72152, E-mail: richard.mcfarland@wits.ac.za.

13

14 Word count = 2498, Tables = 2, Figures = 1

15 We report the death of 30 wild Barbary macaques, living in two groups, during an
16 exceptionally cold and snowy winter in the Middle Atlas Mountains, Morocco. We examined
17 whether an individual's time spent feeding, the quality and number of their social
18 relationships, sex, and rank predicted whether they survived the winter or not. The time an
19 individual spent feeding and the number of social relationships that an individual had in the
20 group were positive and significant predictors of survival. This is the first study to show that
21 the degree of sociality affects an individual's chance of survival following extreme
22 environmental conditions. Our findings support the view that sociality is directly related to an
23 individual's fitness, and that factors promoting the establishment and maintenance of social
24 relationships are favoured by natural selection.

25

26 *Keywords:* Climate change; Feeding; Fitness; Social relationships; Thermoregulation

27 **Introduction**

28 Experiencing extremely cold temperatures over long periods can impose significant energetic
29 costs to mammals. Given that a stable body temperature has to be maintained to avoid
30 hypothermia, an animal's metabolism needs to be increased at cold temperatures by
31 consuming enough food to sustain thermoregulation [1]. Moreover, during cold conditions a
32 diverse range of animal species have been observed to huddle with their con-specifics in
33 order to maintain a stable body temperature [1].

34 The strength of social bonds that an individual has with other group members is thought
35 to affect individual fitness [2,3]. However, empirical evidence on the link between sociality
36 and fitness is extremely scarce in the literature. In primates, individuals that share stronger
37 and more stable social relationships tend to have greater infant survival [4,5], a longer life
38 expectancy [6] and sire more offspring [7]. In dolphins, horses and marmots reproductive
39 success has also been linked to the strength of an individual's social relationships [8-10]. No
40 data are currently available on whether sociality affects fitness in response to sudden, extreme
41 changes in ecological conditions that can affect animal survival. These changes can occur
42 naturally but are also increasingly linked to human activity, de-forestation and global
43 warming; all factors forcing animals to inhabit unfavourable habitats [11-13].

44 We report the death of 30 wild Barbary macaques living in Morocco, during the 2008-
45 2009 winter. This was an exceptionally harsh winter (ESM Table 1), with 20-90cm of snow
46 covering the home range of our two study groups continuously from late November 2008 to
47 early March 2009. These deaths were considered to be due to starvation as snow coverage
48 significantly reduced the monkeys' access to food sources.

49 We used data collected during the six months preceding the death of our study animals
50 to analyse whether and how ecological and social factors affected the monkeys' survival. We
51 considered an individual's time spent feeding, the number and quality of their social

52 relationships, sex, and rank as potential predictors of survival. We predicted that individuals
53 that were more likely to survive the cold winter were: 1) those that spent more time feeding
54 as they would be in better physical conditions, in terms of fat reserves, and more effective at
55 fulfilling the energetic requirements of thermoregulation [1]; 2) those with a higher number,
56 and on average higher quality of social relationships, as they would gain the social benefits of
57 feeding tolerance [14,15] and behavioural thermoregulation (i.e. huddling: [1,16]); 3)
58 females, due to their smaller body mass and energetic demands compared to males [17]; 4)
59 high ranking individuals, who tend to get preferential access to food [18].

60

61 **Methods**

62 Data presented here were collected between August 2008 and January 2009 on two groups of
63 wild Barbary macaque living in the Middle Atlas Mountains of Morocco. The 30 individuals
64 that died, between December 2008 and January 2009, represented 65% of the animals in the
65 two study groups. Only 17 monkeys survived the 2008-2009 winter, i.e. remained in the
66 group or migrated to a neighbouring group. Detailed methods are provided in the ESM.

67 We used *ad libitum*, focal and instantaneous scan sampling techniques [19] to collect
68 data on the five factors analysed as potential predictors of survival: feeding time, number and
69 quality (i.e. composite sociality index: [4]) of social relationships, sex, and rank. We used
70 two binary logistic regressions to test which factors (ESM Table 2) best predicted the
71 probability that an animal would survive the winter. We entered group ID as a control
72 variable in both regressions as group size is known to affect foraging competition [20]. First,
73 we used a ‘full-model’ approach, by entering all variables together in a single regression, to
74 test their relative effect on survival. Second, we used a backward stepwise regression (based
75 on the -2 log likelihood value and chi-square statistics for each variable: [21]) to determine

76 which variables best predicted the probability that an individual would survive the winter. All
77 analyses were performed in IBM SPSS Statistics v20.

78

79 **Results**

80 Using the ‘full model’ approach (Table 1) we found that feeding time had a positive and
81 significant effect on survival. The number of social relationships an individual held was
82 positively, but marginally non-significantly correlated with survival. Social relationship
83 quality, sex, rank, and group ID had no significant effects.

84 A backward stepwise regression gave us a model (Table 2) with the amount of time
85 spent feeding, the number of social relationships and group ID as the most important
86 predictors of survival. Individuals that spent more time feeding or had more social
87 relationships were more likely to survive (Figure 1). An increase in one social relationship
88 gave a 0.48 increase in the log-odds of survival. A one percent increase in time spent feeding
89 gave a 0.13 increase in the log-odds of survival. Time spent feeding and number of social
90 relationships were themselves negatively correlated (Spearman's rho: $r_s = -0.46$, $p < 0.001$).

91

92 **Discussion**

93 We showed that an animal's degree of sociality and the time spent feeding affect their
94 chances of survival following extreme environmental conditions. To our knowledge, this is
95 the first study to show that sociality can affect fitness in response to an unpredictable, short-
96 term environmental event, such as the exceptionally harsh winter experienced by our study
97 animals. This is important, as the scarce evidence on the link between sociality and fitness
98 has so far focused on long-term fitness benefits (e.g. life-time reproductive success: [4-10]).
99 Taken together our study and previous research on this topic support the hypothesis that the
100 capacity to establish and maintain social relationships may have independently evolved in

101 different taxa, any time ecological conditions gave fitness benefits to individuals with a
102 stronger network of relationships [3,22,23]. It is important to note that due to the
103 opportunistic nature of this study (i.e. we observed an extremely rare and unexpected event),
104 interpretation of our results is difficult as our hypotheses were based on post-hoc predictions.

105 We found a significant positive effect of the amount of time spent feeding on an
106 individual's probability of survival. Individuals that spent more time feeding were more
107 likely to fulfil their energetic requirements for thermoregulation in the cold [1]; congruent
108 with optimal foraging theory [24] and the positive relationship between increased energy
109 intake and survival observed in animals [25,26]. Previous studies testing the relationship
110 between inclusive fitness and sociality [4-10] have used measures of relationship quality,
111 rather than the number of social relationships, to analyse the strength of an individual's social
112 network. In our study, we tested the effect of both measures of sociality. We provide
113 evidence that it is the quantity and not the quality of these relationships that predicts an
114 animal's survival. We predicted that individuals with a higher degree of sociality would be
115 more likely to survive as they would gain the social benefits of feeding tolerance. In support
116 of this prediction we found that both the number of social relationships and time spent
117 feeding were positively correlated with survival. These variables were themselves negatively
118 correlated. This suggests that the increased feeding tolerance resulting from a larger network
119 of social relationships favours more efficient foraging, enabling individuals to devote less
120 time and energy to foraging (and potential associated aggression) and thus improves their
121 chances of survival. These findings are consistent with evidence that social bonds promote
122 foraging tolerance [14,15] which, in turn, can impact on an individual's time budget and
123 survival [23,27].

124 We also predicted that having more and higher quality social relationships would
125 impact on an individual's ability to thermoregulate via huddling. During the night, Japanese

126 macaques have been observed to huddle more frequently with kin and familiar social partners
127 [28], and as temperatures get colder, the size of their huddling clusters increase [14]. We did
128 not collect data on the time individuals spent in contact with their conspecifics at night, so
129 we could not directly test the link between survival and the amount of time an individual
130 spent huddling at night [28]. However, our results suggest that individuals with more social
131 relationships may have had more opportunities to gain access to these huddling groups,
132 making it easier for them to preserve energy in cold periods. Finally, the absence of a
133 relationship between rank or sex with survival suggests that females and high ranking
134 individuals did not significantly benefit from, respectively, their reduced energetic demands
135 or increased social power [17,18].

136 Global climate change poses a serious and imminent threat to our planet's biodiversity
137 [29,30], particularly to those species which are unable to migrate to more favourable
138 climates. If animals are forced to live in habitats for which they have limited physiological
139 and behavioural adaptive responses, as is the case of the Barbary macaque [31], this may
140 disrupt their behaviour and have negative consequences for their reproduction and survival. A
141 harsh winter can pose similar ecological pressure on animals as a human induced change in
142 their habitat (e.g. a new ecological barrier due to logging). Our findings highlight that
143 sociality affects how animals respond to short-term changes and that social factors should be
144 taken into account when assessing the viability of a population and for effective conservation
145 plans.

146

147 **Acknowledgements**

148 We would like to thank Mohamed Qarro, Chris Young, Laëtitia Maréchal, Pawel Fedurek
149 and Paolo Piedimonte for their assistance in this study. We are grateful to the Haut
150 Commissariat des Eaux et Forêts et à la Lutte Contre la Désertification of Morocco for

151 research permission. We thank Harry Marshall and two anonymous reviewers for useful
152 comments that significantly improved our manuscript.

153

154 **References**

155 1.Satinoff, E. 2011 Behavioral thermoregulation in the cold. *Comprehensive Physiology* **14**,
156 481–505.

157 2.Cacioppo, J. T., Ernst, J. M., Burleson, M. H., McClintock, M. K., Malarkey, W. B. et al.
158 2000 Lonely traits and concomitant physiological processes: the MacArthur social
159 neuroscience studies. *Int. J. Psychophysiol.* **35**, 143-154.

160 3.Silk J. B. 2007 The adaptive value of sociality in mammalian groups. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B*
161 **362**, 539–559.

162 4.Silk, J. B., Alberts, S. C. & Altmann, J. 2003 Social bonds of female baboons enhance
163 infant survival. *Science* **302**(5648), 1231-1234.

164 5.Silk, J. B., Beehner, J. C., Bergman, T. J., Crockford, C., Engh, A. L. et al. 2009 The
165 benefits of social capital: close social bonds among female baboons enhance offspring
166 survival. *Proc. Roy. Soc. B* **276**, 3099-3104.

167 6.Silk, J. B., Beehner, J. C., Bergman, T. J., Crockford, C., Engh, A. L. et al. 2010 Female
168 chacma baboons form strong, equitable, and enduring social bonds. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*
169 **64**, 1733-1747.

170 7.Shülke, O., Bhagavatula, J., Vigilant, L. & Ostner, J. 2010 Social bonds enhance
171 reproductive success in male macaques. *Curr. Biol.* **20**, 2207-2210.

172 8.Frère, C. H., Krützen, M., Mann, J., Connor, R. C., Bejder, L. & Sherwin, W. B. 2010
173 Social and genetic interactions drive fitness variation in a free-living dolphin
174 population. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **107**(46), 19949-19954.

- 175 9.Cameron, E. Z., Setsaas, T. H. & Linklater, W. L. 2009 Social bonds between unrelated
176 females increase reproductive success in feral horses. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **106**, 13850-
177 13853.
- 178 10.Armitage, K. B. & Schwartz, O. A. 2000 Social enhancement of fitness in yellow-bellied
179 marmots. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **97**(22), 12149-12152.
- 180 11.Schaffner, C. M., Rebecchini, L., Ramos-Fernandez, G. Vick, L. G. & Aureli, F. 2012
181 Spider monkeys (*Ateles geoffroyi yucatenensis*) cope with the negative consequences of
182 hurricanes through changes in diet, activity budget, and fission–fusion dynamics. *Int. J.*
183 *Primatol.* **33**, 922–936.
- 184 12.McCarty, J. P. 2001 Ecological consequences of recent climate change. *Conserv. Biol.* **15**,
185 320-331.
- 186 13.Walther, G., Post, E., Convey, P., Menzel, A., Parmesan, C., et al. 2002 Ecological
187 responses to recent climate change. *Nature* **416**, 389-395.
- 188 14.Barrett, L., Henzi, S. P., Weingrill, T., Lycett, J. E. & Hill, R. A. 1999 Market forces
189 predict grooming reciprocity in female baboons. *Proc. Roy. Soc. B* **266**, 665-670.
- 190 15.Fruteau, C., Voelkl, B., van Damme, E. & Noë, R. 2009 Supply and demand determine the
191 market value of food providers in wild vervet monkeys. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **106**, 12007-
192 12012.
- 193 16.Takahashi, H. 1997 Huddling relationships in night sleeping groups among wild Japanese
194 macaques in Kinkazan Island during winter. *Primates* **38**, 57-68.
- 195 17.Key, C. & Ross, C. 1999 Sex differences in energy expenditure in non-human primates.
196 *Proc. Roy. Soc. B* **266**, 2479-2485.
- 197 18.Majolo, B., Lehmann, J., de Bortoli Vizioli, A. & Schino, G. 2012 Fitness-related benefits
198 of dominance in primates. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **147**, 652-660.

- 199 19. Altmann, J. 1974 Observational study of behavior: Sampling methods. *Behaviour* **49**(3),
200 227-267.
- 201 20. van Schaik, C. P. 1989 *The ecology of social relationships amongst female primates*. In
202 *Comparative socioecology: The behavioral ecology of humans and other mammals* (eds. V.
203 Standen & R. A. Foley), pp. 195-218. Oxford: Blackwell.
- 204 21. Norusis, M. J. 2005 *SPSS Advanced statistical procedures companion*. Prentice Hall.
- 205 22. Shultz, S. & Dunbar, R. I. M. 2010 Social bonds in birds are associated with brain size and
206 contingent on the correlated evolution of life-history and increased parental investment. *Biol.*
207 *J. Linn. Soc.* **100**, 111-123.
- 208 23. Marshall, H. H., Carter, A. J., Rowcliffe, M. & Cowlishaw, G. 2012 Linking social
209 foraging behaviour with individual time budgets and emergent group-level phenomena. *Anim.*
210 *Behav.* **84**, 1295-1305.
- 211 24. Stephens, D. W. & Krebs, J. R. 1987 *Foraging theory*. UK: Princeton University Press.
- 212 25. Ritchie, M. E. 1990 Optimal foraging and fitness in Columbian ground
213 squirrels. *Oecologia* **82**(1), 56-67.
- 214 26. Sansom, A., Lind, J. & Cresswell, W. 2009 Individual behavior and survival: the roles of
215 predator avoidance, foraging success, and vigilance. *Behav. Ecol.* **20**(6), 1168-1174.
- 216 27. Dunbar, R. I. M., Korstjens, A. H. & Lehmann, J. 2009 Time as an ecological constraint.
217 *Biol. Rev.* **84**, 413-429.
- 218 28. Wada, K., Tokida, E. & Ogawa, H. 2007 The influence of snowfall, temperature and
219 social relationships on sleeping clusters of Japanese monkeys during winter in Shiga
220 Heights. *Primates* **48**(2), 130-139.
- 221 29. Thomas, C. D., Cameron, A., Green, R. E., Bakkenes, M., Beaumont, L. J., et al. 2004
222 Extinction risk from climate change. *Nature* **427**, 145-148.

223 30.Travis, J. M. J. 2003 Climate change and habitat destruction: a deadly anthropogenic
224 cocktail. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* **270**, 467-473.

225 31.Fooden, J. 2007 Systematic review of the Barbary macaque, *Macaca sylvanus* (linnaeus,
226 1758). *Fieldiana Zoology* **113**, 1-60.

227

228 **Figure 1** – Mean±SD time spent feeding (left) and number of social relationships held (right)
229 by survivors (white bars) and non-survivors (grey bars)

230 **Table 1** – Logistic regression results using the full model approach (N=47)

Variable	Co-efficient ± SE	Wald (X^2)	2P1
Feeding time	0.11 ± 0.05	4.36	0.04 232
Number of social relationships	0.42 ± 0.23	3.28	0.07
Quality of social relationships	0.11 ± 1.18	0.01	0.93 233
Sex	-0.18 ± 1.23	0.02	0.88 234
Rank	0.04 ± 0.08	0.30	0.58 235
Group ID	1.86 ± 2.14	0.76	0.39

236 **Table 2** – Logistic regression results using the best model approach (N=47)

Variable	Co-efficient ± SE	Wald (X^2)	P
Feeding time	0.13 ± 0.05	7.31	0.01
Number of social relationships	0.48 ± 0.19	6.52	0.01
Group ID	2.37 ± 1.30	3.34	0.07

237

238 **Short title:** Coping with the cold