

1 **Abstract**

2 It is important for athlete and public health that we continue to develop our understanding of
3 the effects of exercise and nutrition on bone health. Bone turnover markers (BTMs) offer an
4 opportunity to accelerate the progression of bone research by revealing a bone response to
5 exercise and nutrition stimuli far more rapidly than current bone imaging techniques. However,
6 the association between short-term change in the concentration of BTMs and long-term bone
7 health remains ambiguous. Several other limitations also complicate the translation of acute
8 BTM data to applied practice. Importantly, several incongruencies exist between the effects of
9 exercise and nutrition stimuli on short-term change in BTM concentration compared to long-
10 term bone structural outcomes to similar stimuli. There are many potential explanations for
11 these inconsistencies, including that short-term study designs fail to encompass a full
12 remodeling cycle. The current article presents the opinion that data from relatively acute
13 studies measuring BTMs may not be able to reliably inform applied practice aiming to optimise
14 bone health. Important factors to consider when interpreting or translating BTM data are
15 discussed.

16 **Keywords**

17 bone metabolism; bone health; bone remodeling; nutrition; exercise.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 **Introduction**

26 There is a growing need within sports and exercise science to improve our understanding of
27 how exercise and nutrition influence bone health. Osteoporosis is a disease characterised by
28 low bone mineral density (BMD) and millions suffer osteoporotic fracture each year (primarily
29 the elderly and post-menopausal women), costing \$17.9 and £4 billion to US and UK
30 healthcare systems, respectively (Clynes et al., 2020). Low BMD is also prevalent in
31 endurance-based athletes, in 28% of adolescent female runners (Barrack et al., 2008) and
32 89% of male masters cyclists (Nichols & Rauh, 2011), increasing the risk of bone injury and
33 early onset osteoporosis. For example, it has been shown that up to 21% of female distance
34 runners experience at least one bone stress injury per year (Barrack et al., 2014; Hutson et
35 al., 2021b; Scofield & Hecht, 2012). Exercise and nutrition are known to influence bone health;
36 however, it takes at least several months for stimuli to result in observable change in bone
37 mass using the gold standard method of dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) (Ahola et
38 al., 2009). Therefore, high quality studies measuring bone using DXA bear a high time
39 demand, the effects of specific practices are difficult to categorically confirm, and research
40 progression is slow. Bone turnover markers (BTMs) offer the potential to reveal bone
41 responses immediately post-exercise (acute) and within days (short-term) of a given exercise
42 or nutrition intervention in the rested state (Smith et al., 2021). For this reason, it is tempting
43 to consider that BTMs may be used to accelerate bone research in sport and exercise science.
44 This article provides an important opinion on the extent to which acute and short-term BTM
45 responses to exercise and nutrition intervention may be relied upon to inform applied practice
46 aiming to optimise bone health during developmental and older years in athletes and non-
47 athletes.

48 **Bone turnover markers**

49 BTMs are typically products or signalling molecules released into the circulation during one or
50 more stages of osteoblastic bone formation or osteoclastic bone resorption (Shetty et al.,
51 2016), see Table 1 for more detail on specific markers. They are often measured in plasma,

52 serum or urine to determine the rate of these processes on a systemic level at the time of
53 measurement. The fact that studies measuring BTMs can be much shorter in duration
54 compared to studies using imaging techniques allows for tighter control of extraneous
55 variables, reduces participant burden and lowers the risk of participant dropout. Nevertheless,
56 several laboratory visits are required under strict control. BTMs also provide mechanistic
57 information regarding bone cell activity and data may be used to inform and justify larger scale
58 long-term intervention studies. Furthermore, BTMs do not necessarily incur the same
59 equipment purchase and maintenance costs of radiological scanning. These are some of the
60 factors that have led to a growth in the use of BTMs in sport and exercise science; however,
61 ambiguity remains over their association with bone mass change (Bennell et al., 1997).

62 A bone remodeling cycle begins with osteoclastic bone resorption lasting up to 27 days,
63 followed by several days of reversal until coupled osteoblast activity forms new osteoid bone
64 in the resorptive cavity, which then becomes mineralised, with the entire cycle lasting >100
65 days (Agerbæk et al., 1991). BTMs provide a snapshot of the rate of bone formation and
66 resorption at the time of measurement and typical pre-test-post-test study designs are much
67 too short in duration to capture a complete cycle at any remodeling site initiated during the
68 intervention. Outcomes will also be influenced by remodeling cycles that were initiated prior to
69 study entry. Detailed reviews of the many available BTMs and associated limitations exist
70 elsewhere (Hlaing & Compston, 2014; Vasikaran et al., 2011). BTMs are measured
71 systemically whereas bone remodeling is highly localised and site-specific, at least in
72 response to mechanical loading (Hart et al., 2017), and some can lack specificity to either the
73 process of formation or resorption (or even bone tissue itself) (Table 1). Unlike the loss or gain
74 of bone tissue measured via imaging techniques, there is no consensus as to what constitutes
75 a meaningful change in any BTM in response to exercise or nutrition intervention. Several
76 factors are known to influence the accurate measurement of BTMs, including circadian and
77 seasonal variation, diet and exercise, disease and medication, hormonal status, intrinsic day-
78 to-day variations, renal function, blood flow, and sampling procedures and type (blood or urine)

79 (Hlaing & Compston, 2014). A summary of BTMs most frequently used in the studies cited
80 herein is provided in Table 1; however, for the purpose of this article, findings will mostly be
81 described in terms of the processes of bone formation and resorption rather than the specific
82 marker(s) measured.

Table 1: A summary of several bone turnover markers commonly used in exercise and nutrition research.

Marker (abbreviation)	Origin	Main activity	Comments
Bone formation			
Amino-terminal propeptide of type I collagen (P1NP)	N-terminal extension peptide of type 1 collagen precursor molecule	P1NP and P1CP are both cleaved from newly synthesised type 1 collagen following secretion into the extracellular space and released into the bloodstream.	P1NP is the international reference standard marker of bone formation and the most used marker of bone formation in the studies cited in the current article.
Carboxy-terminal propeptide of type I collagen (PICP)	C-terminal extension peptide of type 1 collagen precursor molecule		P1NP and P1CP are formed following the synthesis of newly formed type 1 collagen in other tissues (e.g., skin, dentin, cornea, vessels, fibrocartilage, and tendons) as well as bone.
Osteocalcin (OC)	Non-collagenous protein secreted by osteoblasts.	OC encompasses both carboxylated (cOC) and undercarboxylated (ucOC) forms. cOC osteocalcin binds to hydroxyapatite and increased concentrations have previously been used as a marker of increased bone formation. ucOC does not bind to hydroxyapatite. It is predominantly released into the circulation and is proposed to have various endocrine functions. It has been used as a marker of bone formation, such that increased levels reflect decreased bone formation.	cOC fragments bound to hydroxyapatite within the bone matrix are released into the circulation during bone formation. It is suggested that ucOC is involved in several processes in an endocrine manner, including glucose homeostasis. OC may be measured in its various forms, or as total OC, but it may be that none are markers of bone formation specifically, and may be influenced by bone formation, resorption, and several other metabolic processes.
Bone alkaline phosphatase (BAP)	Bone specific isoform of a membrane-bound glycoprotein. Found on outer surface of osteoblasts.	Hydrolysis of mineralisation inhibitor pyrophosphate and adenosine triphosphate, forming inorganic phosphate accumulation and promoting hydroxyapatite mineralisation.	BAP is considered a highly bone specific marker of bone formation, however, available assays exhibit some cross-reactivity with other alkaline phosphatase isoforms (e.g., liver).
Bone resorption			

Carboxyterminal telopeptide of type 1 collagen, β -isomer (β -CTx)	Telopeptides found on the C-terminal and N-terminal of tropocollagen molecules.	Form crosslinks between peptides within, or of adjacent, tropocollagen molecules and are cleaved and released into the circulation during collagen breakdown.	β -CTx is the international reference standard marker of bone resorption and the most used marker of bone resorption in the studies cited in the current article. β -CTx and NTx are involved in crosslink formation in other collagen-based structures. Other collagen telopeptide bone markers exist that reflect different types of crosslinks (e.g., carboxyterminal cross-linked telopeptide of type 1 procollagen; 1CTP) and various isoforms of specific crosslinks (e.g., α -CTx).
Amino-terminal telopeptide of type 1 collagen (NTx)			
Pyridinoline (Pyr or Pyr)	Pyridinium crosslink compounds formed during extracellular maturation of collagen fibrils	Pyd and Dpd mechanically stabilise collagen by bridging collagen peptides and are released into the circulation during resorption as mature crosslinked collagens are broken down.	Pyd and Dpd are formed in various other tissues of the body that also contain collagen.
Deoxypyridinoline (Dpd or D-Pyr)			

84 **Effects of exercise on bone**

85 The effects of habitual exercise on bone health are well documented (Santos et al., 2017).
86 Cross-sectional (Nilsson et al., 2009; Tenforde & Fredericson, 2011; Varley et al., 2021) and
87 longitudinal intervention studies (Evans et al., 2012; Nilsson et al., 2012; Weidauer et al.,
88 2012) have repeatedly shown the benefit of weight bearing exercise (including running) on
89 BMD and bone structure. However, the BTM response to running has been shown to be
90 variable, with both bone formation and resorption markers shown to increase (Scott et al.,
91 2011), decrease (Zittermann et al., 2002) and remain unchanged (Nishiyama et al., 1988) in
92 the hours following a running bout. Moreover, a recent systematic review and meta-analysis
93 showed no change in commonly studied BTMs in response to running (Civil et al., 2023).
94 Systematic reviews of the literature have concluded that non-weight bearing exercise (cycling
95 and swimming) does not benefit BMD (Gomez-Bruton et al., 2016; Nagle & Brooks, 2011;
96 Olmedillas et al., 2012). Non-weight bearing exercise interventions tend to result in a moderate
97 post-exercise increase in bone resorption; however, there is significant variability in this
98 response with effect sizes indicating a very low certainty (Dolan et al., 2020). For example,
99 bone formation has been shown to increase (Herrmann et al., 2007; Rong et al., 1997),
100 decrease (Herrmann et al., 2007), and remain unchanged (Guillemant et al., 2004; Pomerants
101 et al., 2008) in response to ergometer-based cycling.

102 The reason for the varied response could be multi-factorial and include the following: lack of
103 control over diet or exercise, history of physical activity, population studied, other tissues
104 releasing studied markers, systemic measure of tissue which exhibits site-specific
105 adaptations. Another reason for the inconsistency in the findings could be the time taken for
106 bone markers to significantly increase in concentration following an exercise bout being
107 greater than the time-period of follow-up (typically a maximum of 72 hours). Alternatively, an
108 insufficient period of pre-intervention standardisation may have been employed, such that the
109 increase or decrease in BTMs being captured during the measurement window could have
110 been activated by a stimulus incurred well before the start of the study. The inability of studies

111 to follow-up for longer than 72 hours is likely due to practical and logistical reasons. However,
112 it could be theorised that the effects of an exercise bout are not evident until >72 hours-post
113 intervention. For example, it is unlikely that bone formation marker P1NP would increase in
114 the 72 hours post exercise because it is a marker of type 1 collagen deposition, which is
115 unlikely to be formed and deposited in a short space of time (Dolan et al., 2020). The observed
116 increase in P1NP seen in some studies could be a result of leakage from other tissues
117 containing collagen (Civil et al., 2023) or due to changes in plasma volume (Brahm et al.,
118 1996) that are not typically accounted for. Therefore, literature may be making erroneous
119 conclusions regarding the effects of acute exercise on bone health due to the methodologies
120 employed not adequately capturing the full bone metabolic response.

121 **Effects of low energy availability and low carbohydrate high fat diets on bone**

122 Nutritional practices can also influence bone health and the effects of various interventions on
123 BMD and BTMs have been investigated (Palacios, 2006; Sale & Elliott-Sale, 2019). Energy
124 and macronutrient (particularly carbohydrate) demands of athletes vary between and within
125 days and this is a key driver of dietary intake, such that a degree of periodisation in energy
126 and carbohydrate intake is typically recommended (Stellingwerff et al., 2019). Planned and
127 unplanned bouts of low energy availability (LEA) and low carbohydrate diets (with or without
128 high fat) have been observed in various groups of athletes; thus, the bone response has been
129 of specific interest. It has been hypothesised that LEA and low carbohydrate high fat (LCHF)
130 have detrimental effects on bone health (Garofalo et al., 2023; Hutson et al., 2021a). This
131 raises ethical issues (in addition to the practical difficulties) of prolonged dietary control and
132 standardisation of LEA and LCHF. Therefore, when measuring bone imaging outcomes in
133 humans, investigations into LEA and LCHF have tended to employ observational or cross-
134 sectional designs (Garofalo et al., 2023; Hutson et al., 2021a). No gold standard measure
135 exists for LEA, so surrogate markers of LEA such as menstrual function or cumulative risk
136 score are utilised for group comparisons (Ackerman et al., 2011a; Heikura et al., 2018);
137 creating a demand for highly controlled short-term studies to support conclusions. In contrast,

138 the effects of various nutrition interventions hypothesised to improve bone health (e.g.,
139 increased protein, vitamin D, and calcium intake) have been extensively examined in well-
140 controlled prospective studies utilising bone imaging techniques (Mitchell et al., 2015). Studies
141 have begun to characterise the BTM response to <7 days of LEA or LCHF in men and women
142 (Anton-Solanas et al., 2016; Clayton et al., 2020; Ihle & Loucks, 2004; Murphy et al., 2021;
143 Papageorgiou et al., 2017; Papageorgiou et al., 2018; Zanker & Swaine, 2000) and these will
144 be the focus of this section.

145 It is often described that short (decreased bone formation and, sometimes, increased bone
146 resorption in the resting and fasted state) and longer-term bone outcomes to LEA (lower BMD
147 and differences in cortical bone geometry and trabecular microarchitecture) are both
148 detrimental to bone health (Hutson et al., 2021a; Murphy et al., 2021; Papageorgiou et al.,
149 2017). However, the following evidence suggests that there is an array of confounding factors
150 that impact this congruency. For example, men are more robust in defending against the
151 effects of a standardised bout of short-term LEA compared to women (Papageorgiou et al.,
152 2017). Nevertheless, similarly high rates of LEA and low BMD exist in men and women
153 participating in sports emphasising leanness and there is growing evidence to support that
154 male athletes with LEA have impaired bone health (De Souza et al., 2019; Mountjoy et al.,
155 2023; Viner et al., 2015). Short-term LEA induced by treadmill running may not impact bone
156 formation and resorption (Papageorgiou et al., 2018). However, a large body of evidence
157 shows that female distance runners who exhibit symptoms of chronic LEA have impaired bone
158 health (Hutson et al., 2021a). Carbohydrate restriction, independent of LEA, has been shown
159 to decrease bone formation and increase bone resorption within 6 days of a LCHF diet in elite
160 racewalkers (Fensham et al., 2022). Comparatively, a recent systematic review in overweight
161 and obese populations found no evidence of negative effects of longer-term LCHF on BMD,
162 although existing human studies are lacking in robust design and statistical power (Garofalo
163 et al., 2023). There are also no robust long-term data in athletic populations by which to
164 compare. Furthermore, 4 days caloric restriction of -630 ± 50 kcal.day⁻¹ from estimated

165 energy requirement reduced bone formation but had no effect on bone resorption in healthy
166 young women (Ihle & Loucks, 2004). However, 12 months caloric restriction of -280 ± 29
167 $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ from estimated energy requirement had no effect on bone formation but increased
168 bone resorption and caused loss of BMD in young healthy men and women, with no difference
169 between sexes (Villareal et al., 2016). A far greater energy deficit can be accumulated over
170 more prolonged periods of energy restriction (e.g., 12-months compared to 4-days) even if the
171 daily deficit is less severe, and this likely contributed to the differences identified between the
172 studies by Ihle & Loucks and Villareal and colleagues. The comparisons presented suggest
173 that whilst short-term studies measuring BTMs may have the potential to identify a bone
174 response, they do not reliably predict how bone mass (or even bone metabolism) will change
175 during a similar but more prolonged intervention and should not be used as evidence upon
176 which to base applied practice aiming to optimise bone health.

177 Findings of impaired bone health in women exhibiting symptoms of severe chronic LEA are
178 highly consistent (Ackerman et al., 2011b; Ackerman et al., 2012; Hutson et al., 2021a; Singhal
179 et al., 2019). This does not necessarily mean that a decrease in bone formation and an
180 increase in bone resorption in response to severe acute LEA (or LCHF) is detrimental. It is
181 plausible that an acute and transient bout of LEA might accelerate bone adaptation by initiating
182 greater resorptive activity which, provided adequate energetic recovery, may be followed by
183 an equivalent increase in bone formation, as per a typical remodeling cycle. However, the
184 typical pre-test-post-test design of acute studies fails to capture a complete bone remodeling
185 response and energy status is fixed.

186 A recent study has performed repeated post-exercise BTM measurement for up to 3-hours
187 (Fensham et al., 2022); however, it would be difficult to maintain appropriate standardisation
188 for the duration of an entire bone remodeling cycle. Interestingly, Fensham and colleagues
189 showed elevated post-exercise bone resorption for up to 3-hours following 6 days of LEA and
190 LCHF compared to a control diet. It was suggested that these changes were unfavourable,
191 but it is intriguing to consider the bone health result assuming an equal and opposite bone

192 formation response in following the days, weeks or months. In this view, parallels may be
193 drawn with acute “train-low” strategies which have been shown to augment exercise stress
194 and specific adaptations in muscle tissue provided daily energy status is not compromised
195 (Hansen et al., 2005). A hypothetical benefit of carbohydrate or energy periodisation could
196 help to explain why an observational study failed to show prospective losses in BMD over 12-
197 months in women exhibiting symptoms of long-term LEA (Singhal et al., 2019). There is also
198 little evidence that intermittent fasting protocols negatively impact bone health and, on the
199 contrary, some might even protect against bone loss during weight loss (Clayton et al., 2023).
200 It is not clear exactly how long or how many samples would be required to characterise a full
201 bone remodeling response to an acute stimulus of LEA (or indeed any nutrition or exercise
202 stimulus), but it would likely become very expensive and difficult to maintain appropriate
203 control and standardisation. Considering that months of repeat samples could be required, the
204 time and cost benefit of measuring BTMs instead of using imaging techniques might all but
205 disappear.

206 **Conclusion**

207 BTMs can be valuable tools for research and practice, particularly for monitoring an
208 individual’s ongoing bone metabolic activity throughout a prolonged and consistent exercise
209 or nutrition intervention. However, the opinion presented herein is that pre-post change in BTM
210 concentration immediately following exercise or following several days of exercise or nutrition
211 intervention should not be relied upon to inform applied practice, where the goal is to optimise
212 bone health. A summary of the factors that should be considered when using and interpreting
213 acute BTMs is presented in Table 2. Highly controlled short-term studies may still be useful to
214 accelerate bone research by informing longer-term follow-up studies with greater efficiency.
215 Regular measurement of BTMs in combination with imaging techniques during long-term
216 prospective research will help to build a better understanding of how these markers relate to
217 structural change in response to exercise and nutrition intervention.

Table 2: Considerations regarding the use and interpretation of bone turnover markers (BTMs) in applied exercise and nutrition research and practice.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement rigorous control measures and standardisation procedures for as long as feasibly possible preceding BTM measurement, considering the potential lasting influence of prior exercise or dietary practices on bone remodelling and the potential
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is no consensus regarding what represents a meaningful change in BTM concentrations
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Longitudinal monitoring of BTMs (with as many repeat measurements as feasibly possible) should be preferred to cross-sectional or pre-post comparisons
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrate BTM measurement with imaging techniques during longitudinal monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research aiming to make inferences regarding bone health should use imaging techniques for primary outcome measures
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Avoid concluding a beneficial, detrimental or null effect of exercise or nutrition intervention based on BTM data alone
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Avoid relying solely on BTM outcomes to inform applied exercise or nutrition practice aiming to impact bone health

218

219 **Acknowledgment, Authorships, Declarations**

220 All authors made substantial contributions to 1) the conception or design of the work, 2)
 221 drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content, and 3) final approval
 222 of the version to be published. All authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work
 223 in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are
 224 appropriately investigated and resolved. MH and IV both contributed to conceptualization,
 225 writing the original draft, and review and editing.

226 MH has held funding within the last three years from the American College of Sport Medicine
 227 Foundation for a project involving the measurement of bone turnover markers in response to
 228 exercise and nutrition. No funding was received to assist with the preparation of this
 229 manuscript.

230

References

- 231 Ackerman, K. E., Nazem, T., Chapko, D., Russell, M., Mendes, N., Taylor, A. P., Bouxsein,
232 M. L., & Misra, M. (2011a). Bone Microarchitecture Is Impaired in Adolescent
233 Amenorrheic Athletes Compared with Eumenorrheic Athletes and Nonathletic Controls.
234 *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 96(10), 3123-3133.
235 10.1210/jc.2011-1614
- 236 Ackerman, K. E., Nazem, T., Chapko, D., Russell, M., Mendes, N., Taylor, A. P., Bouxsein,
237 M. L., & Misra, M. (2011b). Bone Microarchitecture Is Impaired in Adolescent
238 Amenorrheic Athletes Compared with Eumenorrheic Athletes and Nonathletic Controls.
239 *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 96(10), 3123-3133.
240 10.1210/jc.2011-1614
- 241 Ackerman, K. E., Putman, M., Guereca, G., Taylor, A. P., Pierce, L., Herzog, D. B.,
242 Klibanski, A., Bouxsein, M., & Misra, M. (2012). Cortical microstructure and estimated
243 bone strength in young amenorrheic athletes, eumenorrheic athletes and non-athletes.
244 *Bone (New York, N.Y.)*, 51(4), 680-687. 10.1016/j.bone.2012.07.019
- 245 Agerbæk, M. O., Eriksen, E. F., Kragstrup, J., Mosekilde, L., & Melsen, F. (1991). A
246 reconstruction of the remodelling cycle in normal human cortical iliac bone. *Bone and*
247 *Mineral*, 12(2), 101-112. 10.1016/0169-6009(91)90039-3
- 248 Ahola, R., Korpelainen, R., Vainionpää, A., Leppäluoto, J., & Jämsä, T. (2009). Time-course
249 of exercise and its association with 12-month bone changes. *BMC Musculoskeletal*
250 *Disorders*, 10(1), 138. 10.1186/1471-2474-10-138
- 251 Anton-Solanas, A., Furber, M. J. W., Fraser, W. D., Elliott-Sale, K. J., van Someren, K. A., &
252 Sale, C. (2016). Bone Turnover is Influenced by Short-Term Higher Protein Intake but
253 not Dietary Energy Restriction. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 48, 1028.
254 10.1249/01.mss.0000488092.02352.d7

- 255 Barrack, M. T., Gibbs, J. C., De Souza, M. J., Williams, N. I., Nichols, J. F., Rauh, M. J., &
256 Nattiv, A. (2014). Higher Incidence of Bone Stress Injuries With Increasing Female
257 Athlete Triad–Related Risk Factors. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, *42*(4),
258 949-958. 10.1177/0363546513520295
- 259 Barrack, M. T., Rauh, M. J., & Nichols, J. F. (2008). Prevalence of and Traits Associated with
260 Low BMD among Female Adolescent Runners. *Medicine and Science in Sports and*
261 *Exercise*, *40*(12), 2015-2021. 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181822ea0
- 262 Bennell, K. L., Malcolm, S. A., Khan, K. M., Thomas, S. A., Reid, S. J., Brukner, P. D.,
263 Ebeling, P. R., & Wark, J. D. (1997). Bone mass and bone turnover in power athletes,
264 endurance athletes, and controls: A 12-month longitudinal study. *Bone (New York,*
265 *N.Y.)*, *20*(5), 477-484. 10.1016/S8756-3282(97)00026-4
- 266 Brahm, H., Piehl-Aulin, K., & Ljunghall, S. (1996). Biochemical markers of bone metabolism
267 during distance running in healthy, regularly exercising men and women. *Scandinavian*
268 *Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, *6*(1), 26-30. 10.1111/j.1600-
269 0838.1996.tb00066.x
- 270 Civil, R., Dolan, E., Swinton, P. A., Santos, L., Varley, I., Atherton, P. J., Elliott-Sale, K. J., &
271 Sale, C. (2023). P1NP and β -CTX-1 Responses to a Prolonged, Continuous Running
272 Bout in Young Healthy Adult Males: A Systematic Review with Individual Participant
273 Data Meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine - Open*, *9*(1), 85. 10.1186/s40798-023-00628-x
- 274 Clayton, D. J., James, L. J., Sale, C., Templeman, I., Betts, J. A., & Varley, I. (2020).
275 Severely restricting energy intake for 24 h does not affect markers of bone metabolism
276 at rest or in response to re-feeding. *European Journal of Nutrition*, *59*(8), 3527-3535.
277 10.1007/s00394-020-02186-4

- 278 Clayton, D. J., Varley, I., & Papageorgiou, M. (2023). Intermittent fasting and bone health: a
279 bone of contention? *British Journal of Nutrition*, , 1-13. 10.1017/S0007114523000545
- 280 Clynes, M. A., Harvey, N. C., Curtis, E. M., Fuggle, N. R., Dennison, E. M., & Cooper, C.
281 (2020). The epidemiology of osteoporosis. *British Medical Bulletin*, 133(1), 105-117.
282 10.1093/bmb/ldaa005
- 283 De Souza, M. J., Koltun, K. J., & Williams, N. I. (2019). The Role of Energy Availability in
284 Reproductive Function in the Female Athlete Triad and Extension of its Effects to Men:
285 An Initial Working Model of a Similar Syndrome in Male Athletes. *Sports Medicine*
286 (*Auckland, N.Z.*), 49(Suppl 2), 125-137. 10.1007/s40279-019-01217-3
- 287 Dolan, E., Varley, I., Ackerman, K. E., Pereira, R. M. R., Elliott-Sale, K. J., & Sale, C. (2020).
288 The Bone Metabolic Response to Exercise and Nutrition. *Exercise and Sport Sciences*
289 *Reviews*, 48(2), 49-58. 10.1249/JES.0000000000000215
- 290 Evans, R. K., Negus, C. H., Centi, A. J., Spiering, B. A., Kraemer, W. J., & Nindl, B. C.
291 (2012). Peripheral QCT sector analysis reveals early exercise-induced increases in
292 tibial bone mineral density. *Journal of Musculoskeletal & Neuronal Interactions*, 12(3),
293 155-164. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22947547>
- 294 Fensham, N. C., Heikura, I. A., McKay, A. K. A., Tee, N., Ackerman, K. E., & Burke, L. M.
295 (2022). Short-Term Carbohydrate Restriction Impairs Bone Formation at Rest and
296 During Prolonged Exercise to a Greater Degree than Low Energy Availability. *Journal of*
297 *Bone and Mineral Research*, 37(10), 1915-1925. 10.1002/jbmr.4658
- 298 Garofalo, V., Barbagallo, F., Cannarella, R., Calogero, A. E., La Vignera, S., & Condorelli, R.
299 A. (2023). Effects of the ketogenic diet on bone health: A systematic review. *Frontiers in*
300 *Endocrinology (Lausanne)*, 14, 1042744. 10.3389/fendo.2023.1042744

- 301 Gomez-Bruton, A., Montero-Marín, J., González-Agüero, A., García-Campayo, J., Moreno,
302 L. A., Casajús, J. A., & Vicente-Rodríguez, G. (2016). The Effect of Swimming During
303 Childhood and Adolescence on Bone Mineral Density: A Systematic Review and Meta-
304 Analysis. *Sports Medicine (Auckland)*, *46*(3), 365-379. 10.1007/s40279-015-0427-3
- 305 Guillemant, J., Accarie, C., Peres, G., & Guillemant, S. (2004). Acute Effects of an Oral
306 Calcium Load on Markers of Bone Metabolism During Endurance Cycling Exercise in
307 Male Athletes. *Calcified Tissue International*, *74*(5), 407-414. 10.1007/s00223-003-
308 0070-0
- 309 Hansen, A. K., Fischer, C. P., Plomgaard, P., Andersen, J. L., Saltin, B., & Pedersen, B. K.
310 (2005). Skeletal muscle adaptation: training twice every second day vs. training once
311 daily. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, *98*(1), 93-99. 10.1152/jappphysiol.00163.2004
- 312 Hart, N. H., Nimphius, S., Rantalainen, T., Ireland, A., Siafarikas, A., & Newton, R. U. (2017).
313 Mechanical basis of bone strength: influence of bone material, bone structure and
314 muscle action. *Journal of Musculoskeletal & Neuronal Interactions*, *17*(3), 114-139.
315 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28860414>
- 316 Heikura, I. A., Uusitalo, A. L. T., Stellingwerff, T., Bergland, D., Mero, A. A., & Burke, L. M.
317 (2018). Low Energy Availability Is Difficult to Assess but Outcomes Have Large Impact
318 on Bone Injury Rates in Elite Distance Athletes. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition*
319 *and Exercise Metabolism*, *28*(4), 403-411. 10.1123/ijsnem.2017-0313
- 320 Herrmann, M., Müller, M., Scharhag, J., Sand-Hill, M., Kindermann, W., & Herrmann, W.
321 (2007). The effect of endurance exercise-induced lactacidosis on biochemical markers
322 of bone turnover. *Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine*, *45*(10), 1381-1389.
323 10.1515/CCLM.2007.282

- 324 Hlaing, T. T., & Compston, J. E. (2014). Biochemical markers of bone turnover – uses and
325 limitations. *Annals of Clinical Biochemistry*, 51(2), 189-202.
326 10.1177/0004563213515190
- 327 Hutson, M. J., O'Donnell, E., Brooke-Wavell, K., Sale, C., & Blagrove, R. C. (2021a). Effects
328 of Low Energy Availability on Bone Health in Endurance Athletes and High-Impact
329 Exercise as A Potential Countermeasure: A Narrative Review. *Sports Medicine*
330 (*Auckland*), 51(3), 391-403. 10.1007/s40279-020-01396-4
- 331 Hutson, M. J., O'Donnell, E., Petherick, E., Brooke-Wavell, K., & Blagrove, R. C. (2021b).
332 Incidence of bone stress injury is greater in competitive female distance runners with
333 menstrual disturbances independent of participation in plyometric training. *Journal of*
334 *Sports Sciences*, 39(22), 2558-2566. 10.1080/02640414.2021.1945184
- 335 Ihle, R., & Loucks, A. B. (2004). Dose-Response Relationships Between Energy Availability
336 and Bone Turnover in Young Exercising Women. *Journal of Bone and Mineral*
337 *Research*, 19(8), 1231-1240. 10.1359/JBMR.040410
- 338 Mitchell, P. J., Cooper, C., Dawson-Hughes, B., Gordon, C. M., & Rizzoli, R. (2015). Life-
339 course approach to nutrition. *Osteoporosis International*, 26(12), 2723-2742.
340 10.1007/s00198-015-3288-6
- 341 Mountjoy, M., Ackerman, K. E., Bailey, D. M., Burke, L. M., Constantini, N., Hackney, A. C.,
342 Heikura, I. A., Melin, A., Pensgaard, A. M., Stellingwerff, T., Sundgot-Borgen, J. K.,
343 Torstveit, M. K., Jacobsen, A. U., Verhagen, E., Budgett, R., Engebretsen, L., &
344 Erdener, U. (2023). 2023 International Olympic Committee's (IOC) consensus
345 statement on Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport (REDs). *British Journal of Sports*
346 *Medicine*, 57(17), 1073-1097. 10.1136/bjsports-2023-106994

- 347 Murphy, C., Bilek, L. D. D., & Koehler, K. (2021). Low Energy Availability with and without a
348 High-Protein Diet Suppresses Bone Formation and Increases Bone Resorption in Men:
349 A Randomized Controlled Pilot Study. *Nutrients*, *13*(3), 802. 10.3390/nu13030802
- 350 Nagle, K. B., & Brooks, M. A. (2011). A Systematic Review of Bone Health in Cyclists.
351 *Sports Health*, *3*(3), 235-243. 10.1177/1941738111398857
- 352 Nichols, J., & Rauh, M. (2011). Longitudinal Changes in Bone Mineral Density in Male
353 Master Cyclists and Nonathletes. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *25*(3),
354 727-734. 10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181c6a116
- 355 Nilsson, M., Ohlsson, C., Mellström, D., & Lorentzon, M. (2009). Previous Sport Activity
356 During Childhood and Adolescence Is Associated With Increased Cortical Bone Size in
357 Young Adult Men. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, *24*(1), 125-133.
358 10.1359/jbmr.080909
- 359 Nilsson, M., Ohlsson, C., Odén, A., Mellström, D., & Lorentzon, M. (2012). Increased
360 physical activity is associated with enhanced development of peak bone mass in men: A
361 five-year longitudinal study. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, *27*(5), 1206-1214.
362 10.1002/jbmr.1549
- 363 Nishiyama, S., Tomoeda, S., Ohta, T., Higuchi, A., & Matsuda, I. (1988). Differences in basal
364 and postexercise osteocalcin levels in athletic and nonathletic humans. *Calcified Tissue*
365 *International*, *43*(3), 150-154. 10.1007/BF02571312
- 366 Olmedillas, H., González-Agüero, A., Moreno, L. A., Casajus, J. A., & Vicente-Rodríguez, G.
367 (2012). Cycling and bone health: a systematic review. *BMC Medicine*, *10*(1), 168.
368 10.1186/1741-7015-10-168
- 369 Palacios, C. (2006). The Role of Nutrients in Bone Health, from A to Z. *Critical Reviews in*
370 *Food Science and Nutrition*, *46*(8), 621-628. 10.1080/10408390500466174

- 371 Papageorgiou, M., Elliott-Sale, K. J., Parsons, A., Tang, J. C. Y., Greeves, J. P., Fraser, W.
372 D., & Sale, C. (2017). Effects of reduced energy availability on bone metabolism in
373 women and men. *Bone (New York, N.Y.)*, *105*, 191-199. 10.1016/j.bone.2017.08.019
- 374 Papageorgiou, M., Martin, D., Colgan, H., Cooper, S., Greeves, J. P., Tang, J. C. Y., Fraser,
375 W. D., Elliott-Sale, K. J., & Sale, C. (2018). Bone metabolic responses to low energy
376 availability achieved by diet or exercise in active eumenorrheic women. *Bone (New*
377 *York, N.Y.)*, *114*, 181-188. 10.1016/j.bone.2018.06.016
- 378 Pomerants, T., Tillmann, V., Karelson, K., Jürimäe, J., & Jürimäe, T. (2008). Impact of acute
379 exercise on bone turnover and growth hormone/insulin-like growth factor axis in boys.
380 *Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness*, *48*(2), 266-271.
381 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18427424>
- 382 Rong, H., Berg, U., Tørring, O., Sundberg, C. J., Granberg, B., & Bucht, E. (1997). Effect of
383 acute endurance and strength exercise on circulating calcium-regulating hormones and
384 bone markers in young healthy males. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in*
385 *Sports*, *7*(3), 152-159. 10.1111/j.1600-0838.1997.tb00132.x
- 386 Sale, C., & Elliott-Sale, K. J. (2019). Nutrition and Athlete Bone Health. *Sports Medicine*,
387 *49*(S2), 139-151. 10.1007/s40279-019-01161-2
- 388 Santos, L., Elliott-Sale, K. J., & Sale, C. (2017). Exercise and bone health across the
389 lifespan. *Biogerontology*, *18*(6), 931-946. 10.1007/s10522-017-9732-6
- 390 Scofield, K. L., & Hecht, S. (2012). Bone health in endurance athletes: runners, cyclists, and
391 swimmers. *Current Sports Medicine Reports*, *11*(6), 328-334.
392 10.1249/JSR.0b013e3182779193
- 393 Scott, J. P. R., Sale, C., Greeves, J. P., Casey, A., Dutton, J., & Fraser, W. D. (2011). The
394 role of exercise intensity in the bone metabolic response to an acute bout of weight-

- 395 bearing exercise. *Journal of Applied Physiology* (1985), 110(2), 423-432.
396 10.1152/jappphysiol.00764.2010
- 397 Shetty, S., Kapoor, N., Bondu, J., Thomas, N., & Paul, T. (2016). Bone turnover markers:
398 Emerging tool in the management of osteoporosis. *Indian Journal of Endocrinology and*
399 *Metabolism*, 20(6), 846-852. 10.4103/2230-8210.192914
- 400 Singhal, V., Reyes, K. C., Pfister, B., Ackerman, K., Slattery, M., Cooper, K., Toth, A., Gupta,
401 N., Goldstein, M., Eddy, K., & Misra, M. (2019). Bone accrual in oligo-amenorrheic
402 athletes, eumenorrheic athletes and non-athletes. *Bone (New York, N.Y.)*, 120, 305-
403 313. 10.1016/j.bone.2018.05.010
- 404 Smith, C., Tacey, A., Mesinovic, J., Scott, D., Lin, X., Brennan-Speranza, T. C., Lewis, J. R.,
405 Duque, G., & Levinger, I. (2021). The effects of acute exercise on bone turnover
406 markers in middle-aged and older adults: A systematic review. *Bone (New York, N.Y.)*,
407 143, 115766. 10.1016/j.bone.2020.115766
- 408 Stellingwerff, T., Morton, J. P., & Burke, L. M. (2019). A Framework for Periodized Nutrition
409 for Athletics. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, 29(2),
410 141-151. 10.1123/ijsnem.2018-0305
- 411 Tenforde, A. S., MD, & Fredericson, M., MD. (2011). Influence of Sports Participation on
412 Bone Health in the Young Athlete: A Review of the Literature. *Pm & R*, 3(9), 861-867.
413 10.1016/j.pmrj.2011.05.019
- 414 Varley, I., Stebbings, G., Williams, A. G., Day, S., Hennis, P., Scott, R., Grazette, N., &
415 Herbert, A. J. (2021). An investigation into the association of bone characteristics and
416 body composition with stress fracture in athletes. *Journal of Sports Medicine and*
417 *Physical Fitness*, 61(11), 1490-1498. 10.23736/S0022-4707.21.11871-7

- 418 Vasikaran, S., Cooper, C., Eastell, R., Griesmacher, A., Morris, H. A., Trenti, T., & Kanis, J.
419 A. (2011). International Osteoporosis Foundation and International Federation of
420 Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine Position on bone marker standards in
421 osteoporosis. *Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine*, 49(8), 1271-1274.
422 10.1515/CCLM.2011.602
- 423 Villareal, D. T., Fontana, L., Das, S. K., Redman, L., Smith, S. R., Saltzman, E., Bales, C.,
424 Rochon, J., Pieper, C., Huang, M., Lewis, M., & Schwartz, A. V. (2016). Effect of Two-
425 Year Caloric Restriction on Bone Metabolism and Bone Mineral Density in Non-Obese
426 Younger Adults: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*,
427 31(1), 40-51. 10.1002/jbmr.2701
- 428 Viner, R. T., Harris, M., Berning, J. R., & Meyer, N. L. (2015). Energy Availability and Dietary
429 Patterns of Adult Male and Female Competitive Cyclists With Lower Than Expected
430 Bone Mineral Density. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*,
431 25(6), 594-602. 10.1123/ijsnem.2015-0073
- 432 Weidauer, L. A., Eilers, M. M., Binkley, T. L., Vukovich, M. D., & Specker, B. L. (2012). Effect
433 of different collegiate sports on cortical bone in the tibia. *Journal of Musculoskeletal &*
434 *Neuronal Interactions*, 12(2), 68-73. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22647279>
- 435 Zanker, C. L., & Swaine, I. L. (2000). Responses of bone turnover markers to repeated
436 endurance running in humans under conditions of energy balance or energy restriction.
437 *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 83(4 -5), 434-440. 10.1007/s004210000293
- 438 Zittermann, A., Rühl, J., Berthold, H. K., Sudhop, T., van der Ven, H., Reinsberg, J., &
439 Stehle, P. (2002). Oral Contraceptives Moderately Effect Bone Resorption Markers and
440 Serum-Soluble Interleukin-6 Receptor Concentrations. *Calcified Tissue International*,
441 70(1), 11-21. 10.1007/s002230020035

